

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-03199-9 - Legal Mobilization Under Authoritarianism: The Case of Post-Colonial Hong Kong Waikeung Tam Index More information

## **INDEX**

advocacy groups see rights advocacy groups agency	cause lawyering as agentic element of legal mobilization,
action on shifting political opportunities,	4–5
111	and autonomy of legal profession, 127–128
agentic elements of legal mobilization, 4–5,	backgrounds of cause lawyers, 116–118fig
180	Basic Law and, 119–121
of CFA judges, 17–18	and close-down of political opportunities,
of rights support structure, 21–23	124–125
transformative agents see cause lawyering;	concern for civil liberties, 122–124
rights advocacy groups	constraints, 127
appeal cases	Court of Final Appeal and, 121–122, 126
government as party, 14fig, 16fig	developments summarized, 134
result of cases, 81fig	economic pressures on, 132–133
appellate courts see Court of Appeal; Court of	gatekeeping role, 136–137
Final Appeal	and government-funded legal aid, 130,
rmar rippear	137–141
Basic Law	HKBORO and, 119–121
active use by cause lawyers, 126	and judiciary, 125
alleged violation by democratic reforms, 95,	lack of academic legal support, 133–134
107	new legal opportunities and, 118–119
alleged violation by government policies,	opportunities, 127
136–137, 174	political activism and public support,
Basic Law Committee, 175–176	128–130
cause lawyering and, 119–121	representation
democracy provisions, 18–19, 92, 97,	accommodation of needs of
103–104, 172–173	disadvantaged, 145–148
effect on lawyers' caseloads, 35–36	alignment with clients' objectives and
grey areas in, 19	strategies, 148
human rights provisions, 17	and legal mobilization, 141–145
invocation in cases, 32, 49, 79, 172	role in economic modernization and
judicial independence provisions, 44, 53,	democratization, 150–151
72–73, 74–75	transfer of sovereignty and rise of,
and legal mobilization, 13–17, 20	115–116
as new legal opportunity, 110–111, 125,	transformative role, 135
150, 163, 183, 186	CFA see Court of Final Appeal
and new legal opportunity structure, 64–66,	CFI see Court of First Instance
115, 118–119, 124–125, 156	Chief Executive see executive
right of abode under, 77–79, 106–107,	Chile
156–157	judicial independence compared, 73–74
rights protection provisions, 13–17, 19–20	China
schedule of democratic development, 18–19	Beijing government see government
use of, 73, 88, 126	judicial dependence see Shanghai
Beijing government see government	civil liberties see also human rights
Bill of Rights see Hong Kong Bill of Rights	cause lawyering and, 115, 116, 124–125,
Ordinance	128



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-03199-9 - Legal Mobilization Under Authoritarianism: The Case of Post-Colonial Hong Kong Waikeung Tam Index More information

## INDEX

civil liberties (cont.) defence by judiciary, 19–20, 73, 74, 75, 79–80, 85, 88, 126, 157, 183 pro-democracy legislation, 93–94 Civil Rights for Sexual Diversities (CRSD) competency for legal mobilization, 164 legal mobilization as long-term strategy, 164–166 rights advocacy by, 163 civil service	critical antecedents contrasted, 7 definition of, 11 interdependence with legal mobilization, 20–21 legal mobilization, 11–20 new legal opportunity structure, 12–18 1989 Tiananmen democratic movement as 5–6, 11–12, 60–61, 91–92, 122, 180, 182
public confidence, 85–86fig	transfer of sovereignty as, 4–5
colonial government see government	democracy see political opportunities;
constitution see Basic Law	pro-democracy movement
Court of Appeal	pro democracy movement
government as party, 16fig	economic modernization
Court of Final Appeal	democratization and, 150-151
analysis of cases, 30–37	role of middle class, 186
assertiveness, 85	electoral system
case load, 17	and legal mobilization, 35, 63, 67–68,
cause lawyering and, 121-122, 125, 126	123–124, 148–149, 153, 171–172
changes in agenda, 30–37	executive
conceptions of role of, 73–77 defence of rights by, 19–20, 73, 74, 75, 85,	balance of power, 27–28, 35–36, 61, 73–74 90, 98, 104, 108, 153
88, 126, 157, 183	colonial government, 91
establishment, 13–17, 66, 115, 118–119	competence, 24
government as party, 14fig	control over legislation, 97–98, 153, 163
importance of geographical proximity,	Executive Council, 97–98
66–69	foreign participation, 53, 69
increase in use of, 100–103	and judicial independence, 39, 41, 44,
invocation of international human rights	71–73, 74, 85 public confidence, 85–86fig
treaties, 76 judicial independence	expatriate judges see judiciary
Basic Law provisions, 44, 53, 72–73,	expatriate judges see judiciary
74–75	government see also judicialization of
and legal mobilization, 39–41, 43–45, 71–73	politics; political system; transfer of sovereignty
and new legal opportunity structure, 71 overseas non-permanent judges, 18, 69–71,	authoritarianism and forms of legal mobilization, 183–185
72–73	and Basic Law see Basic Law
overturning of decision, 175–176	Basic Law violations, 85, 136–137, 174
public confidence, 85–87fig	democratic reforms pre-1997, 91–95
result of cases, 81fig	dismantling of democratic reforms,
right-of-abode litigation, 32, 176–177fig,	95–99fig
141	economic pressures on cause lawyering,
shift of political opportunities to, 89–90	132–133
Court of First Instance	executive see executive
discussion of cases, 30	judiciary see judiciary
courts see judiciary; legal opportunity structure	legislature see legislature
critical antecedents	overturning of Court of Final Appeal
critical junctures contrasted, 7	decisions, 175–176
definition of, 7	as party in appeal cases, 14fig, 16fig
interdependence with legal mobilization, 20–21	pre-emptive measures against judicial intervention, 172–173
legal complex as, 7–11fig, 38–55	public satisfaction with performance of,
critical junctures	87fig
changes in political opportunities, 18–20 creation of, 11–12	Tiananmen crackdown, 5–6, 11–12, 60–61 91–92, 122, 180, 182

216



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-03199-9 - Legal Mobilization Under Authoritarianism: The Case of Post-Colonial Hong Kong Waikeung Tam Index More information

INDEX

historical institutionalism historical aspect, 6–7	judicial independence and legal mobilization, 39-41, 43-45,
historical aspect, 6–7	and legal mobilization 30_41 43 45
	and regar moonization, 37-71, 73-73,
institutional aspect, 6	71–73
and legal mobilization, 5–7	other jurisdictions compared, 73–74,
Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance	80–83
(HKBORO)	see also Court of Final Appeal
active use by cause lawyers, 126	and new legal opportunity structure, 71
cause lawyering and, 119–121	overseas non-permanent judges, 69–71
incorporation of ICCPR, 12–13, 61, 120	political dependence in Shanghai, 50–51
invocation in cases, 32	public confidence, 85–87fig
judicial independence provisions, 44	shift of political opportunities to, 6, 11–12,
legal aid entitlement, 48, 130–131	19–20, 89–90
and legal mobilization, 20	
and level of human rights litigation, 14, 20	Korea
as new legal opportunity, 5–6, 13, 16,	judicial independence compared, 83
110–111, 125, 150, 163, 182, 183, 186	
and new legal opportunity structure, 64–66,	law schools see legal education
115, 118–119, 124–125	legal aid
rights protection provisions, 17, 19–20, 64	cause lawyering and, 130
use of, 73, 88, 126, 153	politicization of, 177
human rights see also civil liberties	state-funded aid and legal mobilization,
Basic Law protections see Basic Law	42–43, 48–50
cases see appeal cases	legal complex see also judiciary; legal aid; legal
treaty usage by Court of Final Appeal,	profession
76, 84	comparison of Hong Kong and Shanghai,
T 1 .	50
Indonesia	as critical antecedent, 7–11fig, 38–55
judicial independence compared, 80–83	importance of geographical proximity of
intermediate appellate court see Court of	institutions, 66–69
Appeal	importance summarized, 54–55, 182–183
International Covenant on Civil and Political	legislation see Basic Law; Hong Kong Bill of
Rights (ICCPR)	Rights Ordinance
incorporation into HKBORO, 12–13,	significance for legal mobilization, 39, 43
61, 120	transformative agents see cause lawyering;
international human rights treaties	rights advocacy groups
reference by Court of Final Appeal, 76, 84 Israel	legal education
	support for cause lawyering, 133–134
judicial independence compared, 73	legal mobilization
Japan	agentic elements, 180 comparative contributions of book,
Japan judicial independence compared, 73–74,	180–181
80–83	comparative issues, 25–27
Judicial Committee of the Privy Council	comparison of Hong Kong and
see Privy Council	Shanghai, 50
judicialization of politics	competency for, 157–162, 164
constitutional issues as to policy making,	concepts, 5–6
172–174	content of book, 27–28
developments summarized, 179	courts see entries for specific courts
key issues, 171–172	critical antecedents, 7–11
politicization of courts, 174–177	critical junctures, 11–20
politicization of government-funded legal	definition of, 4
aid, 177	developments summarized, 186
judiciary see also entries for specific courts	distinctive development in Hong Kong, 4–5
assertiveness, 85	forms of mobilization and forms of
cause lawyering and, 125	authoritarianism, 183–185
changes in agenda, 30–37	and historical institutionalism, 5-7
conceptions of role of, 73-77	historical origins, 38–39

217



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-03199-9 - Legal Mobilization Under Authoritarianism: The Case of Post-Colonial Hong Kong Waikeung Tam Index More information

## INDEX

legal mobilization (cont.) interdependence with critical antecedents and critical junctures, 20–21	pro-democracy movement in, 78, 92–95 Provisional Legislative Council, 77–78 public confidence, 85–86fig
judiciary and see judiciary	reversal of reform, 95–99
legal aid and, 42–43, 48–50	role of, 3
legal complex and see legal complex	shift of political opportunities from, 6,
legal profession and see legal profession	11–12, 19–20, 89–90, 99–100,
as long-term strategy, 164–166	103–104, 115, 124–125, 152–153,
methodology of book, 28-29	163, 186
paths to legal mobilization under	Taiwan compared, 26
authoritarianism, 181–182	voting rights, 91–92
political disadvantage and, 99–100,	weakening of pro-democracy movement
103–104	within, 110
political opportunities see political opportunities	lower court see Court of First Instance
rights support structure, 21–23	Malaysia
rise of	judicial independence compared, 80–83
changes in judiciary's agenda, 30–37	middle class
explanations for, 23–24	role in economic modernization and
question of, 3–5	democratization, 186
in Shanghai see Shanghai	
structural elements, 180	new legal opportunity structure see legal
theoretical issues, 25–27 legal opportunity structure see also cause	opportunity structure
lawyering; political opportunities	overseas judges see judiciary
Basic Law as new opportunity, 5–6, 60, 88,	overseas judges see judiciary
110–111, 115, 118–119, 124–125, 150,	Patten, Chris
156, 163, 183, 186	democratic reforms pre-1997, 18–19, 89–90,
conclusions as to, 88	91–95, 110–111
Court of Final Appeal as new opportunity,	reforms reversed after 1997, 95–99, 110–111
13–17, 27–28, 66, 124–125, 156	political opportunities see also legal
exploitation by rights advocacy groups, 157	opportunity structure
HKBORO as new opportunity, 5-6, 13, 16,	close-down after 1997, 95–99fig
110–111, 125, 150, 163, 182, 183, 186	close-down and rise of cause lawyering,
importance of, 59–60	124–125
increase in use of courts by politically	concept of, 90–91
marginalized, 100–103	democratic reforms pre-1997, 91–95
new structure as critical juncture, 12–18	developments summarized, 110–111
legal profession see also cause lawyering;	exploitation by rights advocacy groups,
judiciary; rights advocacy groups	153–154
backgrounds of cause lawyers, 116–118fig	political disadvantage and legal
independence and legal mobilization,	mobilization, 99–100, 103–104
41–42, 45–48, 127–128	shift from legislature to judiciary, 89–90,
involvement of foreign practitioners, 53–54 lack of autonomy in Shanghai, 51–52	100–103
legislature	weakening of legislature, 110 political parties
balance of power, 5–6, 90	public confidence, 85fig
Basic Law interpretation, 172	political system see also government;
competence, 24	judicialization of politics; transfer of
control over legislation, 12–13, 61	sovereignty
electoral system and legal mobilization, 35,	change as critical juncture, 18–20
63, 67–68, 123–124, 148–149, 153,	Privy Council
171–172	geographical remoteness, 66–69, 121–122
foreign participation, 53	government as party, 14fig
and judicial independence, 39, 44, 71-73	result of cases, 81fig
Patten reforms and, 19	pro-democracy movement see also cause
pre-1997 reform, 91–95	lawyering

218



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-03199-9 - Legal Mobilization Under Authoritarianism: The Case of Post-Colonial Hong Kong Waikeung Tam Index More information

INDEX

Basic Law democracy provisions, 92, 97, Shanghai 103-104, 172-173 judicial political dependence, 50-51 democratization and economic modernization, 150-151 increase in use of courts, 100-103 in legislature, 78, 92-95 Singapore middle class and democratization, 186 political marginalization and legal mobilization, 103-104 1989 Tiananmen democratic movement as critical juncture, 5-6, 11-12, 60-61, 91-92, 122, 180, 182 weakening in legislature, 110 Provisional Legislative Council challenge to legality of, 77-78 South Africa public institutions confidence in, 85fig satisfaction with performance of, 87fig public opinion confidence in judiciary, 85-87fig confidence in public institutions, 85fig satisfaction with performance of government institutions, 87fig support for cause lawyering, 128-130 Taiwan right of abode under Basic Law, 77-79, 106-107, Thailand 156-157 Court of Final Appeal litigation, 32, 141 rights advocacy groups as agentic element of legal mobilization, 4–5 competency for legal mobilization, and rise of cause lawyering, 115-116 157-162, 164 transformative agents see cause lawyering; CRSD as example see Civil Rights for rights advocacy groups Sexual Diversities (CRSD) developments summarized, 166-167 exploitation of new legal opportunities, 157 legal mobilization as long-term strategy, 164-166 'one shotters' and 'repeat players', 154-155 and shift in political opportunities, 153-154 SoCO as example see Society for Community Organization (SoCO) as transformative agents, 152-153 rights support structure as agentic element of legal mobilization,

lack of legal professional autonomy, 51-52 legal mobilization compared with Hong Kong, 50 judicial independence compared, 80-83 Sino-British Joint Declaration see transfer of sovereignty Society for Community Organization (SoCO) cases brought by, 161fig competency for legal mobilization, 157-162 exploitation of new legal opportunities, 157 rights advocacy by, 156 judicial independence compared, 73-74 sovereignty, transfer of see transfer of sovereignty structural elements of legal mobilization see also critical junctures; legal complex summary of, 4-5, 180 judicial independence compared, 83 legislature compared, 26 judicial independence compared, 80-83 Tiananmen see pro-democracy movement transfer of sovereignty as critical juncture, 4-5 democratic reforms prior to, 91-95

United Kingdom (UK) see also Patten, Chris; Privy Council cause lawyering, 125 cause lawyers in Hong Kong, 122-124 colonial government see government democratic reforms in Hong Kong see pro-democracy movement and HKBORO see Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance legal legacy in Hong Kong see legal complex representation by UK law firms, 145 transfer of sovereignty see transfer of sovereignty use of international human rights treaties, 76,84

importance summarized, 182-183

for legal mobilization, 21-23