

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

- accountability and transparency in use
  - of CPE of demand, 299–303
- adjusted  $R^2$  statistic in multiple
  - regression analysis, 270, 270–271, 286, 299
- administrative burden of quantitative
  - evidence, 314–315
- admissibility of expert economic
  - testimony, 209–212, 214–216
- adversarial bias in expert economic
  - testimony, 198–199
- adversarial jurisdictions
  - advantages of, 195
  - expert economic testimony in, 191–194
- advertisement, marketing, and
  - distribution strategies, 107–109, 172
- aims-and-effects test, 88–92
- allocative efficiency, 17, 18–19, 23
- Antidumping Agreement (WTO, 1994), 136
- antitrust law, 15–21.
  - See also* comparative analysis of antitrust and international trade law
  - burden of proof in, 318–319
  - centrality of product likeness and relevant market to, 1–9
  - competition, defined, 15
  - competitive constraints analysis in, 149–152
  - consumer welfare, promoting, 19–21
  - CPE of demand in.
    - See under* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand
  - economic approach
    - historical development of, 95–100
    - initial reluctance regarding, 109–111
    - reasons for shift to, 111–113
    - “supply-side” factors in move to, 117–118
  - economic efficiency, promotion of, 17–19
  - EU versus US, economic analysis in, 2. *See also* EU antitrust law; US antitrust law
  - expert economic testimony in, 192, 205, 206, 209, 210–211, 214–215
  - extent of substitutability in, 156
  - market, concepts of, 168–178
  - neoclassical microeconomic price theory, premised on, 15–16
  - on potential competition, 150, 162
  - quantitative methods in, 185–186
  - role of market definition in.
    - See under* role of market definition
  - statistical and economic significance, confusion of, 273
  - on supply-side substitution, 150–151
  - terminological issues, 2
  - traditional formalistic approach in, 58–67
- arbitrary nature of traditional
  - formalistic approach, 119–123
- Article I:1 of the GATT, 41, 43, 49–51, 153
- Article III of the GATT.
  - See also* comparative analysis of antitrust and international trade law; treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

365

- aims-and-effects test, 88–92
- beneficiaries of, 51
- Border Tax Report* (GATT Working Party, 1970), 68–72.
  - See also Border Tax Report*
- competitive constraints analysis
  - under, 152–156
- CPE of demand under.
  - See under* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand
- de jure* and *de facto* discrimination
  - under, 53, 116–117
- economic approach, 332
  - backlash against, 128–130
  - historical development of, 100–109
- extent of substitutability under, 157–158
- fiscal measures in Article III:2(1) and (2), 45–49
- focus on, 7–8
- in GATT 1947 jurisprudence, 75–77
- in GATT 1994 jurisprudence, 77–81
- general principles in Article III:1, 142, 144, 145
- internal measures, shift in focus of GATT regime from border to, 116
- interpretation of. *See* treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT
- interrelationship of instances
  - of product comparison in, 181–183
- “less favorable treatment” under, 51, 55, 89, 92, 142–143
- “like” and DCS products, concepts
  - of, 40–41, 47–48, 49–51, 181–183
- market-based approach,
  - development of, 100–107
- marketing, advertisement, and distribution strategies,
  - consideration of, 107–109
- potential competition under, 162–168
- price increase test for, 158–162
- purpose of, 26
- quantitative methods and, 186–188
- regulatory measures in Article III:4, 49–51
- role of market definition in.
  - See under* role of market definition
- SATAP requirements, 47, 51, 89, 91–92, 161
- structure of, 44–45
- supply-side substitution under, 152–156
- traditional formalistic approach in, 67–94
- types of discriminatory treatment
  - covered by, 53–54
- Article III:1 of the GATT, 142, 144, 145
- Article III:2 of the GATT, 157
- Article III:2(1) of the GATT, 45–49, 142, 181–182, 186
- Article III:2(2) of the GATT, 45–49, 175, 181–182, 186
- Article III:4 of the GATT, 49–51, 142, 179, 182
- asymmetrical information in expert economic testimony, 196–197, 200
- autocorrelation, 296, 297, 299
- averaging, regression as generalized form of, 296
- Bain, J. S., 172, 226
- Baker, Jonathan B., 328
- beneficiaries of Article III of the GATT, 51
- Best Practices guides, US and EU, on expert economic testimony, 205
- Bhala, R., 141
- Border Tax Report* (GATT Working Party, 1970), 68–72
  - abstract, analysis of product likeness in, 72
  - criteria for product likeness in, 68–70
  - legal and *de facto* status of, 70–72
  - market-based framework, criteria subordinate to, 179

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

366

INDEX

- border to internal measures, shift in
  - focus of GATT regime from, 116
- Brandeis, L., 1
- burden of proof regarding quantitative evidence
  - in antitrust law, 318–319
  - eroding ability to prove and increasing ability to disprove, 315
  - general principles of standard of proof, 316–318
  - high technical burden for establishing econometric evidence, 315–316
  - “imperfection” of quantitative evidence, implications of, 325–327
  - refutation of evidence, 327–330
  - statistical significance, relationship to, 321–325
  - in WTO law, 318
- “but for” test, 165, 165, 242, 242, 243, 243
- Cellophane Fallacy* (over-priced CPE of demand), 239–241, 249, 250, 265
- Chicago School, 17, 20, 111
- coefficient estimates in multiple regression analysis, 266–267
- Commission Best Practices for Economic Evidence* (EU), 301, 309, 328
- Commission Notice on market definition* (EU), 97, 150, 151, 179, 186, 205, 226, 228, 242, 335
- commitment school, 21
- comparative advantages, theory of, 22–23, 147
- comparative analysis methodology, 11
- comparative analysis of antitrust and international trade law, 11, 13–28
  - comparative advantage, international trade law premised on theory of, 22–23
  - congruence of efficiency and welfare standards, 26–28
  - convergence of views on market definition, 333
  - differences versus commonalities, 13, 26–28
  - economic efficiency, promotion of
    - in antitrust law, 17–19
    - congruence of standards, 26–28
    - in international trade law, 23–25
  - free trade, case for, 21
  - markets, different concepts of, 168–178
  - neoclassical microeconomic price theory, antitrust law premised on, 15–16
  - underlying purpose of market
    - definition in, focus on, 6, 13
  - welfare benefits
    - of antitrust law, 19–21
    - congruence of standards, 26–28
    - of international trade law, 25–26
- comparing products. *See* product likeness and relevant market
- competition, defined, 15
- competition law. *See* antitrust law
- competitive constraints analysis, 149–158
- competitive relationship in the marketplace* test, 100–107
- as appropriate marketplace comparator, 146
- Article III of the GATT’s notion of, 132
- consumer choice at heart of, 156–158
- content of, 148–162
- demand-side substitutability as critical factor for, 149–158
- dispute settlement bodies endorsing, 145
- extent of substitutability, 156–158
- interpretative modality providing basis for, 133
- price increase test for Article III of the GATT, 158–162
- purposive interpretation of, 148–149

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

367

- purposive interpretation of Article III of GATT leading to, 141
- concentration, measures of, 40
- conceptual framework for an economic approach to market definition, 12, 132–184, 332–334.  
*See also competitive relationship in the marketplace* test; demand-side substitutability; treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT
- interrelationship of instances of product comparison in Article III, 181–183
- market, different concepts of, 168–178
- potential competition, 150, 162–168
- SSNIP test in, 132, 149, 150, 157, 158, 171, 172, 177
- traditional formalistic approach, role of, 178–181
- conceptual framework for use of CPE of demand under Article III of the GATT, 230–252
- confidence intervals in multiple regression analysis, 267–268, 271
- conflict of interest in expert economic testimony, 196–197, 200
- conflicting expert economic testimony, 199–200
- conscious bias in expert economic testimony, 198, 201
- consumers
  - antitrust law promoting welfare of, 19–21
  - competitive relationship in the marketplace* test, consumer choice at heart of, 156–158
  - distorted customer perceptions of CPE of demand, 243–247
- consumers' tastes and habits (CTH), as criterion for product likeness, 68–70, 72, 84–88, 121–122, 152, 153, 180, 237
- Cournot, A., 169–172
- CPE. *See* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand
  - cross-examination expert economic witnesses, 212–213, 216, 219
  - cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand, 223–331, 335
  - advantages of, 303–304
  - antitrust law and
    - case law, use of CPE in. *See* entry “market definition case law,” below
    - distorted (underpriced or overpriced) competitive conditions in, 239–240, 241–243
    - distorted customer perceptions, 244
    - statistical and economic significance, confusion of, 273
    - theoretical application in, 225–228, 230
  - arbitrary pre-selection of products, criticism of, 305, 306
  - Article III of the GATT and
    - case law, use of CPE in. *See* entry “market definition case law,” below
  - conceptual framework for use under, 230–252
  - conclusions regarding, 248
  - distorted competitive conditions, 239–243, 251–252
  - distorted customer perceptions, 243–247
  - misleading price elasticities, 239–247
  - new products, 244
  - relevant CPE, determining, 233–235
  - sovereignty issues, 237–239
  - theoretical application to, 228–230
  - threshold issue, 231–233
  - wholesale versus retail CPE, 235–237
- Cellophane Fallacy* (overpriced CPE of demand), 239–241, 249, 250, 265

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

- cross-price elasticities (*cont.*)
  - competitive influences on demand, impossibility of identifying and separating, 304
  - defined, 4, 225
  - disadvantages of, 307–330
  - domestic, 241
  - as economic measure for
    - demand-side substitutability, 12
  - elasticities, concept of, 224
  - European criticism of, 306
  - historical evolution of, 59, 61, 65, 104
  - imported, 241
  - legal professionals' lack of knowledge of, 305
  - market, concept of, 172
  - in market definition case law, 303
    - critical assessment of econometric estimation of elasticities in, 289–303
  - data observations, import of, 293–294
  - different uses of CPE, technical and non-technical, 273
  - econometric estimations using regression analysis, 280–289
  - interpretation of relevant parameters, 294–295
  - non-quantitative and descriptive use of CPE, 273–280
  - results, reliability of, 295–299
  - transparency and accountability in use of CPE, 299–303
  - variables used in regressions, 290–293
- of Marshallian demand curve, 224
- objections and criticisms to use of, 304–306
- OPE versus, 224–225
- price competition, criticism of focus on, 304, 305
- quantitative nature of, 6–7
- regression analysis, econometric estimation using. *See* regression analysis
- residual demand curve, 224
- reverse Cellophane Fallacy* (underpriced CPE of demand), 240–241, 251
- self-confirming bias, problem of, 124
- significance of, 188–190
- SSNIP test and, 225, 226–228, 232, 235, 240, 244, 273
- surveys, use in, 277–279
- value of, 231–233
- WTO use of, 4
- CTH (consumers' tastes and habits), as criterion for product likeness, 68–70, 72, 84–88, 121–122, 152, 153, 180, 237
- Customs Valuation Agreement (WTO, 1994), 136
- DCS (“directly competitive or substitutable”) products, 40–41, 47–48, 49–51, 181–183. *See also* product likeness and relevant market
- de jure* and *de facto* discrimination under Article III of the GATT, 53, 116–117
- de Melo, J., 291
- degrees of substitutability, 126–128
- demand-side substitutability
  - as critical factor, 149–158
  - “like” and DCS products, Article III concepts of, 181–183
  - quantitative versus qualitative criteria regarding, 178–181
- Department of Justice (DoJ), US economic presence of, 117
  - Merger Guidelines* (1982 and 1992), 95–100, 150, 151, 185, 205, 228, 242, 332
- direct measurement of market power, 37–38
- “directly competitive or substitutable” (DCS) products, 40–41, 47–48, 49–51, 181–183. *See also* product likeness and relevant market
- discovery and regression analysis materials in market definition case law, 299–303

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

- discrimination
  - “less favorable treatment” under Article III of the GATT, 51, 55, 89, 92, 142–143
  - provisions prohibiting. *See* non-discrimination provisions
- dispute settlement procedure in WTO law. *See* Article III of the GATT; World Trade Organization
- Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU), WTO, 115, 118, 193, 202, 204, 207–209, 210, 222, 314, 319
- distinguishing products. *See* product likeness and relevant market
- distribution, advertisement, and marketing strategies, 107–109, 172
- Dodwell study, 275–276, 276
- DoJ. *See* Department of Justice (DoJ), US
- domestic CPE of demand, 241
- DSU (Dispute Settlement Understanding), WTO, 115, 118, 193, 202, 204, 207–209, 210, 222, 314, 319
- dynamic efficiency, 17, 19, 23
- EC (European Commission). *See* Europe/European Union
- EC Merger Regulation (ECMR), 33
- econometrics. *See* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand; quantitative evidence; regression analysis
- economic approach to product likeness and relevant market, 1–9, 336–338
  - in antitrust law. *See under* antitrust law
  - historical move to. *See* historical evolution from traditional to economic approach
  - in international trade law. *See under* international trade law
  - WTO and Article III of the GATT, 332
    - backlash against, 128–130
    - historical development of, 100–109
- economic definitions
  - of market, 169–172
  - of market power, 36
- economic efficiency, promotion of
  - in antitrust law, 17–19
  - congruence of standards regarding, 26–28
  - in international trade law, 23–25
- economic exercise, market definition as, 54
- economic or practical significance in multiple regression analysis, 272–273
- Economic Research and Statistics Division, WTO, 204
- education, training, and guidance for adjudicators regarding expert economic testimony, 205–206, 217
- efficiency. *See* economic efficiency, promotion of
- elasticities, concept of, 224
- end-uses, as criterion for product likeness, 68–70, 82–84, 120–121, 127, 153, 179, 180
- entry (potential competition), 150, 162–168
- equality/equal protection principles, and non-discrimination provisions, 141
- EU antitrust law. *See also* antitrust law
  - burden of proof in, 318
  - econometric analysis in, 281
  - economic approach in, 2, 97–100, 112–113
  - expert economic testimony in, 192, 205, 206
  - German antitrust law, influence of, 66
  - legal definition of market power in, 35
  - market definition in statutory law, 32–33

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

370

INDEX

- EU antitrust law (*cont.*)
  - purpose of, 15
  - quantitative methods in, 186
  - traditional formalistic approach in, 63–67
- Europe/European Union (EU)
  - Commission Best Practices for Economic Evidence*, 301, 309, 328
  - Commission Notice on market definition*, 97, 150, 151, 179, 186, 205, 226, 228, 242, 335
  - criticism of CPE of demand in, 306
  - ECMR (EC Merger Regulation), 33
  - TFEU (Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union), 32–33, 34, 35, 97, 135, 137–140, 163, 175, 176, 239, 243
  - treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT in context of EU legal regime, 135, 137–140
- evolution from traditional to economic approach. *See* historical evolution from traditional to economic approach
- expert economic testimony, 190–223
  - admissibility of, 209–212, 214–216
  - adversarial bias in, 198–199
  - adversarial characteristics
    - emphasized as remedial option, 202
  - in adversarial (party-sponsored expert) versus inquisitorial (court-appointed expert) jurisdictions, 191–194
  - in antitrust law, 192, 205, 206, 209, 210–211, 212, 214–215
  - asymmetrical information, 196–197, 200
  - conclusions regarding, 221–223
  - conflicting, 199–200
  - conscious or “hired guns” bias in, 198, 201
  - cross-examination, 196, 212–213, 216, 219
  - effectiveness and quality, judging, 195
  - independent economic experts,
    - Panel-appointed, 209, 213, 217–221
  - inquisitorial characteristics
    - emphasized as remedial option, 202
  - institutional support for non-expert adjudicators, 202, 217
  - objectivity and truth, legal versus scientific notions of, 199–200, 222
  - Panel of expert adjudicators,
    - appointment of, 202–203, 217, 220
  - principal–agent conflict of interest, 196–197, 200
  - problems with party-sponsored testimony, 195–201
  - remedial options, 201–221
  - selection bias in, 198
  - sufficiency of the evidence, analysis of, 209
  - summary judgment limiting, 209, 209
  - training, education, and guidance for adjudicators regarding, 205–206, 217
- in WTO dispute settlement
  - procedure, 190
  - admissibility of evidence, 210–211, 214–216
  - compatibility of, 196
  - conclusions regarding, 221–223
  - consensus statement on use of economic and econometric evidence, 206
  - cross-examination, 212, 219
  - as hybrid adversarial/inquisitorial jurisdiction, 192–194
  - in-house economic expertise, 204, 217
  - independent economic experts,
    - Panel-appointed, 207–209, 218–221
  - Panel of expert adjudicators,
    - appointment of, 202
  - extent of substitutability, 156–158

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

371

- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), US, 301
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC), US, 117, 192
- formalistic approach. *See* traditional formalistic approach
- FRCP (Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), US, 301
- free trade, case for, 21. *See also* General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade; international trade law; World Trade Organization
- Freeman, P., 315
- FTC (Federal Trade Commission), US, 117, 192
- future-oriented market definition, 162–168
- GATT. *See* Article III of the GATT; General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
- Gaussian or normal distribution in multiple regression analysis, 266
- Gemines study (1996 and 1995), 277, 285
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). *See also* Article III of the GATT; international trade law; World Trade Organization
- Antidumping Agreement, 136
- Article I:1, 41, 43, 49–51, 153
- backlash against economic approach in, 128–130
- centrality of product likeness and relevant market to, 1–9
- comparative advantages, based on theory of, 26, 147
- Customs Valuation Agreement, 136
- establishment of WTO and incorporation of GATT 1947 as GATT 1994, 77
- internal measures, shift in focus of GATT regime from border to, 116
- MFN clause, 41, 43
- Textiles and Clothing Agreement, 182
- geographic market, relevant, 29
- German antitrust law, influence on EU of, 66
- “goodness of fit” in multiple regression analysis, measuring, 270–271, 286
- guidance, education, and training for adjudicators regarding expert economic testimony, 205–206, 217
- harmonization of tariff classifications, 74
- Harvard School, 172
- Heckscher–Ohlin* theory, 23
- Herfindahl–Hirschman Index (HHI), 40
- “hired guns” bias in expert economic testimony, 198, 201
- historical evolution from traditional to economic approach, 12, 57–131, 332. *See also* traditional formalistic approach
- aims-and-effects test, 88–92
- CPE of demand, 59, 61, 65, 104
- CTH, 68–70, 72, 84–88, 121–122
- economic approach, move to, 94–109
- in antitrust law, 95–100. *See also under* antitrust law
- Article III of the GATT and, 100–109
- backlash against, in WTO case law, 128–130
- Commission Notice on market definition* (EU), 97
- initial reluctance regarding, 109–111
- in international trade law. *See under* international trade law
- Merger Guidelines* (US DoJ, 1982 and 1992), 95–100
- problems with traditional approach leading to, 119–128



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

372

INDEX

- historical evolution from traditional to economic approach (*cont.*)
  - reasons for, 111–128
  - “supply-side” factors encouraging, 116–117
- end-uses, 68–70, 82–84, 120–121, 127
- market-based approach,
  - development of, 100–107, 127
- marketing, advertisement, and distribution strategies,
  - consideration of, 107–109
- physical characteristics, 73–74, 75–80, 104, 120–121, 127
- PPMs, 92–94
- SSNIP test, 96, 97–100, 111, 127
- tariff classification, 74–75, 77, 81
- Holmes, O. W., 1
- Hudec, R. E., 125, 141, 179
- hypothetical monopolist test, 96, 159
- ICJ (International Court of Justice)
  - Statute, 206
- “imperfection” of quantitative evidence, 262, 307–312, 325–327
- imported CPE of demand, 241
- independent economic experts,
  - Panel-appointed, 209, 213, 217–221
- indirect measurement of market power, 38–40
- inquisitorial jurisdictions, expert economic testimony in, 191–194
- internal measures, shift in focus of GATT regime from border to, 116
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
  - Statute, 206
- international trade law, 21–26.
  - See also* comparative analysis of antitrust and international trade law; General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade; World Trade Organization
- burden of proof in, 318
- centrality of product likeness and relevant market to, 1–9
- comparative advantages, theory of, 22–23, 147
- economic approach
  - Article III of the GATT and, 100–109
  - backlash against, 128–130
  - initial reluctance regarding, 109–111
  - reasons for move toward, 113–117
  - “supply-side” factors in move to, 118–119
- economic efficiency, promotion of, 23–25
- free trade, case for, 21
- market, concepts of, 168–178
- scientific and technical issues,
  - increasing involvement with, 114
- total welfare, promotion of, 25–26
- interpretation of treaties. *See* treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT
- Justice Department (DoJ), US
  - economic presence of, 117
  - Merger Guidelines* (1982 and 1992), 95–100, 150, 151, 185, 205, 228, 242, 332
- Kaldor–Hicks* efficiency, 17, 20, 25, 28
- legal definition of market power, 35
- “less favorable treatment” under Article III of the GATT, 51, 55, 89, 92, 142–143
- liberalization of trade, case for, 21.
  - See also* General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade; international trade law; World Trade Organization
- “like” products. *See* product likeness and relevant market
- linear regression analysis, 256, 256
- market-based approach to product likeness, development of, 100–107, 127

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

373

- market definition. *See* product likeness and relevant market; role of market definition
- market, different concepts of, 168–178
- market power, antitrust law analysis of. *See* under role of market definition
- market research companies, data collections of, 312–313
- marketing, advertisement, and distribution strategies, 107–109, 172
- Marshall, A., 169–172
- Marshallian demand curve, elasticities of, 224
- Merger Guidelines* (US DoJ, 1982 and 1992), 95–100, 150, 151, 185, 205, 228, 242, 332
- MFN (most-favored-nation) clause in Article I:1 of GATT, 41, 43
- misleading price elasticities of demand, 239–247
- monopolies
- allocative inefficiency of, 18–19
  - dynamic inefficiency of, 19
  - hypothetical monopolist test, 96, 159
  - productive inefficiency of, 19
- most-favored-nation (MFN) clause in Article I:1 of GATT, 41, 43
- multicollinearity, 296–297, 299
- multiple regression analysis. *See* under regression analysis
- Nash* equilibrium, non-cooperative, 21
- National Treatment (NT) obligation in Article III. *See* Article III of the GATT
- neoclassical microeconomic price theory, antitrust law premised on, 15–16
- new product introductions and CPE of demand, 244
- non-discrimination provisions in Article III of GATT. *See* Article III of the GATT
- equality/equal protection principles and, 141
- legal concepts inherent in interpretation of, 141
- MFN clause in Article I:1 of GATT, 41, 43
- in TFEU, 137–140
- normal or Gaussian distribution in multiple regression analysis, 266
- NT (National Treatment) obligation in Article III. *See* Article III of the GATT
- null hypothesis, 268–270, 269, 271
- objectivity and truth, legal versus scientific notions of, 199–200, 222, 262, 307–312, 315, 325–327
- OLS (ordinary least squares) regression, 259, 267, 296
- OPE (own-price elasticities) of demand, 224–225, 225, 232
- ordinary least squares (OLS) regression, 259, 267, 296
- origin-neutral treatment under Article III of the GATT, 54
- over-priced CPE of demand (*Cellophane Fallacy*), 239–241, 249, 250, 265
- own-price elasticities (OPE) of demand, 224–225, 225, 232
- Panel-appointed independent economic experts, 209, 213, 217–221
- Panel of expert adjudicators, appointment of, 202–203, 217, 220
- parameter estimation, 253
- Pareto* efficiency, 17, 20, 25
- Philippines, use of econometric evidence in, 332
- physical characteristics, as criterion for product likeness, 73–74, 75–80, 104, 120–121, 127, 178, 180
- Popper, K., 199
- potential competition, 150, 162–168
- PPMs (process and production-based methods) for determining product likeness, 92–94, 179

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

374

INDEX

- practical or economic significance in multiple regression analysis, 272–273
- price comparison, 169, 189
- price elasticities of demand
  - CPE. *See* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand
  - defined, 224
  - econometric estimation using regression analysis. *See* regression analysis
  - misleading, 239–247
  - OPE (own-price elasticities), 224–225, 225, 232
- price increase test for Article III of the GATT, 158–162
- principal–agent conflict of interest in expert economic testimony, 196–197, 200
- Principles of Political Economy and Taxation* (Ricardo, 1817), 22–24
- prisoner's dilemma, 21
- process and production-based methods (PPMs) for determining product likeness, 92–94, 179
- product likeness and relevant market, 332–338
  - Article III of the GATT, focus on, 7–8. *See also* Article III of the GATT
  - centrality to antitrust and international trade law, 1–9
  - comparative analysis methodology used in, 11
  - comparative analysis of antitrust and international trade law, 11, 13–28. *See also* comparative analysis of antitrust and international trade law
  - conceptual economic framework for, 12, 132–184, 332–334. *See also* conceptual framework for an economic approach to market definition
  - CPE of demand as means of analyzing, 223–331, 335. *See also* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand
  - economic approach to, 1–9, 336–338. *See also* economic approach to product likeness and relevant market
  - expert economic testimony on, 190–223. *See also* expert economic testimony
  - historical evolution of approaches to, 12, 57–131, 332. *See also* historical evolution from traditional to economic approach
  - “like” and DCS products, Article III concepts of, 40–41, 47–48, 49–51, 181–183
  - practical relevance of economic approach to, 9–10
  - quantitative evidence regarding, 12, 185–331, 335–337. *See also* quantitative evidence
  - relevant product market and relevant geographic market, 29
  - role of, 12, 29–56. *See also* role of market definition
- product market, relevant, 29
- productive efficiency, 17, 19, 23
- proof. *See* burden of proof regarding quantitative evidence
- protectionism
  - price increase test for Article III of the GATT and, 158–162
  - treaty interpretation of, 142–144, 148
- Public Choice Theory, 21
- qualitative criteria, 58, 178–180, 335
- quantitative evidence, 12, 185–331, 335–337. *See also* burden of proof regarding quantitative evidence; cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand; expert economic testimony
- administrative burden of, 314–315
- advantages of, 303–304
- in antitrust law, 185–186
- appraisal difficulties, 307–312

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

375

- data requirements of, 312–314
  - as direct evidence of demand
    - substitution, 178
  - disadvantages of, 307–330
  - “imperfection” of, 262, 307–312, 325–327
  - main benefit of, 185
  - objections and criticisms to use of, 304–306
  - objectivity and truth, legal versus scientific notions of, 307–312, 315, 325–327
  - price comparison, 169, 189
  - qualitative criteria, relationship to, 335
  - refutation of, 327–330
  - resistance to, 6–7
  - shock analysis, 189
  - WTO law, dispute settlement
    - procedure in, 186–188, 189
- $R^2$  or adjusted  $R^2$  statistic in multiple regression analysis, 270, 270–271, 286, 299
- reasonable interchangeability test, 59, 60, 64, 67, 124
- Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence*, US, 205
- Regan, D. H., 147
- regression analysis, 253–273
  - autocorrelation, 296, 297, 299
  - averaging, as generalized form of, 296
  - data observations, import of, 293–294
  - data requirements of, 312–314
  - defined, 253
  - determining regression line, 257, 259
  - display of results in economic regressions, 256
  - “imperfection” of regression models, 262, 307–312, 325–327
  - interpretation of relevant parameters, 294–295
  - legal context, practical use in, 253–255
  - linear regression, 256, 256
  - market definition case law using, 280–289
  - multicollinearity, 296–297, 299
  - multiple regression analysis
    - advantages of, 303–304
    - coefficient estimates, 266–267
    - confidence intervals, 267–268, 271
    - defined and described, 260–262
    - disadvantages of, 307–330
    - estimation of demand elasticities using, 263–265
    - evidentiary weight, appraising, 266–271
    - “goodness of fit,” measuring, 270–271, 286
    - new product introductions,
      - distortion of CPE of demand due to, 248
    - normal or Gaussian distribution in, 266
    - null hypothesis, testing, 268–270, 269, 271
    - objections and criticisms to use of, 304–306
    - practical or economic significance, 272–273
    - $R^2$  or adjusted  $R^2$  statistic, 270, 270–271, 286, 299
    - standard errors (SE), 266–267
    - statistical significance, 268–270, 271–273
    - $t$ -statistics, 269, 269, 271, 285
  - OLS (ordinary least squares), 259, 267, 296
  - parameter estimation, 253
  - results, reliability of, 295–299
  - scatter diagrams, 257
  - simple regression, 255–260
  - table of outputs, 283 t. 1
  - transparency and accountability in use of, 299–303
  - variables included in market
    - definition case law regressions, 267–268
  - relevant market. *See* product likeness and relevant market
  - relevant product market and relevant geographic market, 29

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

376

INDEX

- residual demand curve, 224
- retail versus wholesale CPE of demand, 235–237
- reverse Cellophane Fallacy* (underpriced CPE of demand), 240–241, 251
- Ricardo, D., trade theory of, 22–24
- role of market definition, 12, 29–56
  - in antitrust law analysis of market power, 29–40
  - as analytical tool, 34–40
  - direct measurement of market power, 37–38
  - economic definition of market power, 36
  - indirect measurement of market power, 38–40
  - legal definition of market power, 35
  - relevant product market and relevant geographic market, 29
  - statutory law on, 30–34
- in Article III of the GATT, 40–54
  - beneficiaries of Article III, 51
  - fiscal measures in Article III:2(1) and (2), 45–49
  - “like” and DCS products, concepts of, 40–41, 47–48, 49–51
  - non-discrimination obligation of NT (national treatment), 43–44
  - regulatory measures in Article III:4, 49–51
  - structure of Article, 44–45
  - types of discriminatory treatment covered by, 53–54
- as economic exercise, 54
- underlying purpose, focus on, 6, 13
- Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) Agreement (WTO), 114, 194, 194, 207, 207
- SATAP (“so as to afford protection to the domestic production”) requirements, Article III, 47, 51, 89, 91–92, 161
- scatter diagrams, 257
- selection bias in expert economic testimony, 198
- shock analysis, 189, 276
- simple regression, 255–260
- “small but significant and non-transitory increase in price” (SSNIP) test
  - in conceptual framework for an economic approach to market definition, 132, 149, 150, 157, 158, 171, 172, 177
  - CPE of demand and, 225, 226–228, 232, 235, 240, 244, 273
  - in historical evolution from traditional to economic approach, 96, 97–100, 111, 127
  - profitability of SSNIP, determining, 227, 232
  - statistical and economic significance, confusion of, 273
- “so as to afford protection to the domestic production” (SATAP) requirements, Article III, 47, 51, 89, 91–92, 161
- sovereignty and CPE of demand, tensions between, 237–239
- SPS (Sanitary and Phytosanitary) Agreement (WTO), 114, 194, 194, 207, 207
- SSNIP test. *See* “small but significant and non-transitory increase in price” (SSNIP) test
- standard errors
  - burden of proof in quantitative evidence, relationship to, 322–325
  - in multiple regression analysis, 266–267
- standard of proof. *See* burden of proof regarding quantitative evidence
- statistical significance
  - burden of proof in quantitative evidence, relationship to, 321–325
  - in multiple regression analysis, 268–270, 271–273
- Stigler, G. J., 169–172
- strategic markets, 172

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

377

- submarkets, 60, 62
- sufficiency of expert economic testimony, 209
- summary judgment, expert economic testimony limited by, 209, 209
- “supply-side” factors encouraging move to economic approach, 116–117
- supply-side substitution
  - antitrust law on, 150–151
  - Article III of the GATT and, 152–156
- surveys, use of CPE of demand in, 277–279
- Sweden, Panels of expert adjudicators in, 202
- Sykes, A. O., 25
- t*-statistics in multiple regression analysis, 269, 269, 271, 285
- tariff classification, as criterion for product likeness, 74–75, 77, 81, 179
- Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Agreements (WTO), 114
- Textiles and Clothing Agreement (GATT), 182
- TFEU (Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union), 32–33, 34, 35, 97, 135, 137–140, 163, 175, 176, 239, 243
- trade law. *See* Article III of the GATT; General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade; international trade law; World Trade Organization
- traditional formalistic approach, 57–94
  - in antitrust law, 58–67
  - arbitrary nature of, 119–123
  - in Article III of the GATT, 67–94
  - Border Tax Report* (GATT Working Party, 1970), 68–72. *See also Border Tax Report*
  - in conceptual framework for an economic approach to market definition, 178–181
  - defined, 57
  - degrees of substitutability, failure to reflect, 126–128
  - pertinent question, failure to respond to, 123–124
  - pre-determined results, leading to, 124–126
  - problems with, 119–128
  - qualitative criteria in, 58, 178–180
  - training, education, and guidance for adjudicators regarding expert economic testimony, 205–206, 217
- transparency and accountability in use of CPE of demand, 299–303
- treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT, 133–148
  - contextual analysis, 135–140
  - EU legal regime, in context of, 135, 137–140
  - fundamental economic purpose, 141–142, 147–148
  - historical circumstances, 140–141
  - marketplace comparator, determining, 145–148
  - non-discrimination, legal concepts inherent in, 141
  - ordinary meaning of the words, 133–148
  - protectionism, interpretation of, 142–144, 148
  - purposive interpretation, 141–148
  - standard of discrimination, 142–144
  - VLCT rules of treaty interpretation, 133–134, 140
  - WTO Agreements, in context of, 135, 136–137
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 32–33, 34, 35, 97, 135, 137–140, 163, 175, 176, 239, 243
- truth and objectivity, legal versus scientific notions of, 199–200, 222, 262, 307–312, 315, 325–327
- underpriced CPE of demand (*reverse Cellophane Fallacy*), 240–241, 251
- US antitrust law. *See also* antitrust law burden of proof in, 318–319

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03152-4 - The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis

Christian A. Melischek

Index

[More information](#)

378

INDEX

- US antitrust law (*cont.*)
  - econometric analysis welcomed in, 280
  - economic approach in, 2, 95–97, 112
  - expert economic testimony in, 192, 205, 206, 209, 211, 212, 214–215
  - market definition in statutory law, 30–32
  - Merger Guidelines* (US DoJ, 1982 and 1992), 95–100, 150, 151, 185, 205, 228, 242, 332
  - purpose of, 15
  - quantitative methods in, 185
  - traditional formalistic approach in, 58–63
- US Department of Justice (DoJ)
  - economic presence of, 117
  - Merger Guidelines* (1982 and 1992), 95–100, 150, 151, 185, 205, 228, 242, 332
- US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), 301
- US Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 117, 192
- US objections to use of CPE by WTO dispute settlement bodies, 237
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 70, 133–134, 140
- welfare benefits
  - of antitrust law, 19–21
  - congruence of standards regarding, 26–28
  - of international trade law, 25–26
- wholesale versus retail CPE of demand, 235–237
- Wille, S. B., 238
- World Trade Organization (WTO). *See also* Article III of the GATT; General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade; international trade law
  - Antidumping Agreement (1994), 136
  - burden of proof in, 318
  - comparative advantage, based on theory of, 26
  - CPE of demand in GATT Article III dispute settlement procedures. *See under* cross-price elasticities (CPE) of demand
  - Customs Valuation Agreement (1994), 136
  - data limitations of quantitative analysis for disputes involving, 313
  - discovery and transparency rules, lack of, 301
  - DSU (Dispute Settlement Understanding), 115, 118, 193, 202, 204, 207–209, 210, 222, 314, 319
  - economic interpretation of WTO matters, 332
    - backlash against, 128–130
    - development of, 4–5
  - Economic Research and Statistics Division, 204
  - establishment of WTO and incorporation of GATT 1947 as GATT 1994, 77
  - exceptional nature of WTO Panels in judicial landscape, 221
  - expert economic testimony in dispute settlement procedure. *See under* expert economic testimony
  - judicialization of dispute settlement procedures, 114–116
  - quantitative approach in dispute settlement procedures, 186–188, 189
  - SPS (Sanitary and Phytosanitary) Agreement, 114, 194, 194, 207, 207
  - TBT (Technical Barriers to Trade) Agreements, 114
  - treaty interpretation of Article III of GATT in context of, 135, 136–137