

## Index

---

- ability to control, 104–7
- aborted rescue case, 93–4
- absolutism, 229
- actual cost
  - asymmetry in, 63
  - as normative characteristic, 8
- agglomeration, 211
- agreement case, 135
- aid
  - assistance beyond, 74
  - assistance-based responsibilities and, 63–74
  - composition of, 70–1
  - conclusions, 75
  - economic growth and, 64
  - expert opinion and, 71–2
  - incentives and, 68
  - independent evaluation of, 68–70
  - NGOs and, 66–7
  - RCTs and, 68–70
  - rescue at lake example and, 72–4
  - withdrawing, 93–5, 112–14
- allowing harm. *See also* doing, allowing, and enabling distinction; doing and allowing distinction; enabling–allowing hypothesis
  - clear-cut cases of, 81–4
  - cost, giving rise to, and, 109–12
  - differences between enabling and, 116–17
  - Foot and, 89, 100, 102
  - limb allowing case, 121
  - obstructors and, 109–11
- analogies. *See also* pond analogy; trolley analogy
  - taking issue with, 13–14
- Anderson, Elizabeth, 71–2
- arbitrariness, 211
- argument from innocence, 185–7
- argument from insignificance
  - force implication and, 180–4
  - overdetermination and, 182–4
- Robinson case and, 180–4
- argument from uncertainty
  - force implication and, 189–92
  - probability-weighted portion and, 191–2
  - Russian roulette and, 190–1
  - standards of proof and, 189–90
- argument from varieties of contribution
  - FAT and, 194
  - force implication and, 193–4
  - injured child case and, 193
- Arneson, Richard, 19, 57
- assistance failure
  - assistance shortfalls, 34–6
  - assistance-based responsibilities and, 30–49
  - conclusions, 49
  - deferring performance, 43–4
  - defined, 32
  - implications of, 30–49
  - imposing costs and, 37–43
  - multiple failures, 44–5
  - other factors, 34–7
  - overview, 30–1
  - pond analogy and, 31–4
  - poor and, 45–8
- assistance force, 178–9
- assistance-based responsibilities
  - assistance and aid and, 63–74
  - assistance beyond aid and, 74
  - assistance failure and, 30–49
  - asymmetry in required cost and, 51–63
  - benefits impact on, 144–5
  - conclusions, 29
  - direct financial transfers and, 63–4
  - implications and, 28–9, 30–49
  - less demanding, 19–21
  - money and wealth and, 23–5
  - motivating moderate and, 27–8
  - openness to poor of, 45–8
  - overview about, 2, 4, 11
  - pond analogy and, 30
  - preliminaries, 12–14

- principles and, 14–15
  - in real world, 50–75
  - self-sacrifice and, 21
  - Singer's three formulations and, 15–18
  - Singer's works on, 2
  - symmetry and, 50–1
  - trade and, 154
  - undue risk and cost sharing and, 25–7
  - association-based responsibilities, 3–4
    - trade and, 155, 156–7
  - asymmetry
    - in actual cost, 63
    - blameworthiness and, 51
    - cooperative principle of beneficence and, 55–6
    - diminished entitlements and, 52–4
    - fair shares and, 55–9
    - injustice and misfortune and, 54–5
    - institutional, 129
    - others and, 59–60
    - pond analogy and, 50–1
    - in required cost, 51–63
    - responsibility and, 61–3
  - autonomy, 28
  
  - babysitter case, relevant action and, 83
  - beneficence. *See* cooperative principle of beneficence; optimizing principle of beneficence
  - beneficiary-based responsibilities, 3–4
    - trade and, 155
  - Bob and Avalanche, 26–7
  - Bob's Bugatti, 23, 25–6
  - Bob's Internet Banking, 23–5
  - boosting factors, 168–9
  - bridge (prevent) case, 109–10
  - Buchanan, Allen, 71–2
  - Bugatti. *See* Bob's Bugatti
  - burning building case, 86–7
  - burning building 2 case, 87
  
  - children, 12–13
  - civil law
    - false negatives and, 208
    - threshold approach and, 206–8
    - toxic torts and, 209
  - climate change, 220
  - coercion, 40–3
  - colonial imposition case, 125, 161–3
  - Compaoré, Blaise, 151–2
  - complete causal process
    - DAD and, 83–4
    - interpose case and, 85–6
    - push case and, 83–4
    - remove case and, 85
  - stay back case and, 83–4
  - compliance
    - Arneson and, 19
    - fair shares and, 56–8
    - fairness consideration and, 19–20
    - Murphy and, 19
    - optimizing principle and, 20
    - optimizing principle of beneficence and, 19
  - consequentialist solution, 233–5
  - contribution-based responsibilities. *See also*
    - feasible alternatives thesis;
    - overdetermination of harm
  - application principles related to, 200–1
  - assuming, 195–219
  - belief and practical stance and, 198–200
  - culpability and, 216–17
  - doing, allowing and enabling distinction, 79–95
  - expectations approach and, 203, 212–14
  - IMF and, 196–7
  - implications of, 173–94
  - magnitudes and, 214–16
  - overview, 4–6
  - overview of arguments surrounding, 2
  - Pogge's works on, 2
  - pond analogy and, 30
  - to poor abroad, 219
  - rationale for, 174–5
  - self-assessments of, 210
  - suspension of belief and, 199–200
  - third parties and, 218–19
  - threshold approach and, 201–12
  - trade and, 151–72
  - two approaches, 202
  - uncertainty and, 198
- cooperative principle of beneficence, 55–6
  - cost. *See also* undue risk and cost sharing;
    - specific type of*
    - ability to control and, 104–7
    - allowers and, 109–12
    - appeal to, 98–100
    - atypical cases, 108–9
    - children and, 12–13
    - coercion and, 40–3
    - deferring performance and, 43–4
    - discounting and, 103–4
    - doing, allowing and enabling distinction and, 96–121
    - EAH and, 112–16
    - enablers of harm and, 102
    - of false negatives and positives, 208–9
    - FAT and, 134
    - forms of, 99
    - implications, 107–8

## 258 Index

- cost (cont.)  
 imposing, 37–43  
 infidelity and, 38  
 innocent bystanders and, 97–8  
 of intervening, 99–100  
 multiple failures and, 44–5  
 as normative characteristic, 8  
 obstructors and, 109–11  
 permissible risk taking and, 101–2  
 push (prevent) case and, 100–1  
 rationale related to, 103–8  
 required cost and, 96–7  
 rights of resistance and, 177–8  
 stringency and, 12  
 ways one may give rise to, 97  
 cost sharing. *See* undue risk and cost sharing  
 counterfactual dependence, 158  
 criminal law  
 evidential burden and, 208  
 threshold approach and, 205–6  
 Cullity, Garrett, 15, 27–8  
 culpability, 216–17  
 cut up case  
 complete causal process and, 83–4  
 relevant action and, 82–3  
 statement of, 82
- DAD. *See* doing and allowing distinction  
*Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*, 209  
 defensive force, 178–9  
 deferring performance, 43–4  
 diffuse connections, force implication and,  
 187–9  
 direct financial transfers  
 assistance-based responsibilities  
 and, 63–4  
 counterproductivity of, 65–6  
 futility of, 64–5  
 discontinuity, 210–11  
 discounting, 103–4  
 dislodge case, energy release and, 84  
 distortional factors, 24  
 distributing harm  
 between oneself and others, 121  
 among others, 117–20  
 doing, allowing and enabling distinction  
 cases related to, 89  
 contribution-based responsibilities and,  
 79–95  
 cost, giving rise to, and, 96–121  
 overview about, 4–5  
 withdrawing aid and, 93–5  
 doing and allowing distinction (DAD)  
 alternative to, 88–90  
 clear-cut cases of, 81–4  
 complete causal process and, 83–4  
 defined, 79  
 energy release and, 84  
 failure of, 79  
 intermediate cases related to, 85–8  
 philosophical tasks related to, 79  
 preliminaries, 80–1  
 relevant action and, 82–3, 91–2  
 doing harm  
 duck case and, 90–1  
 enabling harm as species of, 90–3  
 Foot and, 100  
 overdetermination of harm and, 223–5  
 rationale related to, 103–8  
 stop 2 case and, 91  
 trade and, 161–3  
 Donagan, Alan, 80–1  
 drive 1 case, 119–20  
 drive 2 case, 120  
 duck case, 90–1, 169
- EAH. *See* enabling–allowing hypothesis  
 Easterly, William, 66–7  
 economic growth, 64  
 electric storm case, 108  
 enablers of harm  
 affluent as, 132  
 cost, giving rise to, and, 102  
 rationale related to, 103–8  
 enabling harm. *See also* doing, allowing and  
 enabling distinction  
 boosting factors, 168–9  
 differences between allowing and,  
 116–17  
 duck case and, 90–1  
 Foot and, 89  
 Hanser and, 89  
 implications of, 164–7  
 in interpose case, 88–9  
 limb enabling case, 121  
 malicious, 114  
 reducing factors, 169–70  
 in remove case, 88–9  
 as species of doing harm, 90–3  
 stop 2 case and, 91  
 stringency of reasons based on, 167–71  
 subsidies and tariffs moral equivalence  
 and, 170–1  
 trade and, 163–71  
 enabling–allowing hypothesis (EAH)  
 cost, giving rise to, and, 112–16  
 explained, 112  
 hospital case and, 112–14  
 scope of, 114–16  
 energy release, 84

## Index

259

- enforceability
  - as normative characteristic, 7
  - trade and, 152–3
- entitlements, diminished, 52–4
- equality
  - moderate and, 28
  - moral, 11, 170–1
- equivalence hypothesis. *See* enabling–allowing hypothesis
- expectations approach
  - absurdity and, 212
  - contribution-based responsibilities and, 203, 212–14
  - non-zero probability and, 212
  - threshold approach and, 212
- exploitation. *See also* normative content, of exploitation
  - FAT and, 134–6
  - understanding, 136–9
- Fabre, Cecilé, 179
- failure to assist. *See* assistance failure
- fair shares, 55–9
  - compliance condition and, 56–8
  - cooperative principle of beneficence and, 55–6
  - Miller, David, and, 58–9
- fairness consideration, 19–20
- fair-share proposal, 27
- false negatives
  - civil law and, 208
  - cost of, 208–9
- false positives
  - cost of, 208–9
  - threshold approach and, 204–6
- ‘Famine, Affluence, and Morality’ (Singer), 14–15
- feasible alternatives thesis (FAT)
  - affluent as culpable enablers, 132
  - argument from varieties of contribution and, 194
  - benefits impact on assistance-based responsibilities and, 144–5
  - collaboration with elites, 145–6
  - conclusions, 148
  - contribution and, 125–36
  - cost and, 134
  - counterarguments and clarifications, 145–8
  - criticism of, 123–4
  - exploitation and, 134–6
  - feasible institutional modifications, 123
  - harming by imposing injustice, 147–8
  - imposing institutions and, 131–2
  - knowledge and, 132–3
  - modified case, 147
  - normative content of exploitation, 139–45
  - not their benefits and, 143–4
  - overview about, 5, 122–3
  - past and current interaction and, 147
  - Pogge and, 123–36
  - suffering as it happens and, 141–3
  - suffering through actions and, 140–1
  - trade and, 159–61
  - understanding exploitation, 136–9
- Feldman, Heidi Li, 209
- final responsibility, 25–6
- floodgate, energy release and, 84
- Foot, Philippa, 80–1
  - allowing harm and, 89, 100, 102
  - doing harm and, 100
  - enabling harm and, 89
- force implication
  - case, 173–4
  - contribution-based responsibilities rationale and, 174–5
  - defensive and assistance force and, 178–9
  - Fabre and, 179
  - overdetermination and, 182–4
  - overview, 173–4
  - poverty-related-death numbers and, 175–7
  - rights of resistance and, 177–9
  - Robinson case and, 180–4
  - strategies for denying, 179–94
    - argument from innocence, 185–7
    - argument from insignificance, 180–4
    - argument from uncertainty, 189–92
    - argument from varieties of contribution, 193–4
    - diffuse connections, 187–9
    - third-party intervention and, 178
- giving rise to cost. *See* cost, giving rise to
- Hanser, Matthew, 85–6, 112
  - enabling harm and, 89
- harm. *See also* overdetermination of harm; *specific type of*
  - application principles related to, 200–1
  - assuming responsibility for, 195–219
  - belief and practical stance and, 198–200
  - IMF and, 196–7
  - suspension of belief and, 199–200
  - threshold approach and, 201–12
  - two approaches, 202
  - uncertainty and, 198
- harmful positive agency, 82

260 Index

- harming, by imposing injustice, 147–8  
 hospital case, 112–14
- IMF. *See* International Monetary Fund
- immediate responsibility, 25–6
- imperfect/perfect distinction, 46
- imposing institutions, 131–2
- infidelity, 38
- injured child case, 193
- injustice, 54–5, 147–8
- innocent bystanders, 97–8
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 196–7
- interpose case  
   complete causal process and, 85–6  
   disagreement over, 86  
   enabling harm in, 88–9  
   relevant action and, 85–6  
   statement of, 85  
   variations on, 87–8
- interpose (knowledge) case, 87
- interpose (non-culpable ignorance) case, 87–8
- interposing obstacles, 116
- intuitive judgements, 13
- justice. *See also* injustice  
   minimal standard of, 127, 129
- Kamm, Frances, 105
- kick, 85. *See also* remove case
- knowledge  
   FAT and, 132–3  
   interpose (knowledge) case, 87  
   remove (knowledge) case, 86
- law of torts, 201–2
- leave whole case  
   relevant action and, 82–3  
   statement of, 82
- liability, 38
- Lichtenberg, Judith, 222
- limb allowing case, 121
- limb doing case, 121
- limb enabling case, 121
- magnitudes, 214–16
- making/allowing distinction. *See* doing and allowing distinction
- malicious enabling, 114
- McMahan, Jeff, 80–1, 93–4, 166
- McTernan, Emily, 38
- Miller, David, 58–9  
   outcome responsibility and, 61–3
- Miller, Richard, 20
- Minimal Assistance Principle  
   as aggregative, 20  
   defined, 20  
   moderate cost and, 21  
   pond analogy and, 20–1  
   Principle of Sympathy and, 20
- misfortune, 54–5
- moderate cost  
   Cullity and, 27–8  
   defined, 21  
   equality and autonomy and, 28  
   implications and, 29  
   Minimal Assistance Principle and, 21  
   moral responsibility and, 14  
   motivating, 27–8  
   Scanlon and, 21  
   self-sacrifice and, 21
- money and wealth  
   assistance-based responsibilities and, 23–5  
   Bob's Bugatti and, 23  
   Bob's Internet Banking and, 23–5  
   distortional factors and, 24  
   unique dependency and, 24–5
- moral assessment, of trade, 154–7
- moral equality, 11, 170–1
- moral responsibility  
   arguments surrounding, 1  
   moderate and, 14  
   moral equality and, 11  
   obvious statements about, 11
- moral significance  
   level of sacrifice and, 17  
   need and, 17  
   SAP<sub>2</sub> and, 16–17
- motion detector case, 118
- motion detector 2 case, 118
- motivating moderate, 27–8
- multiple failures, 44–5
- Murphy, Liam  
   compliance condition and, 19  
   cooperative principle of beneficence and, 55–6  
   fairness consideration and, 19–20  
   optimizing principle and, 20
- necessary cost, 8
- need, 17
- negative duties, 122
- NGOs. *See* non-government organizations
- non-agreement case, 139
- non-government organizations (NGOs)  
   aid and, 66–7  
   harmful purposes and, 67
- non-zero probability, 212

## Index

261

- normative characteristics
  - cost, 8
  - enforceability, 7
  - of responsibilities, 6–8
  - specificity, 7
  - stringency, 6–7
- normative content, of exploitation
  - benefits impact on assistance-based responsibilities, 144–5
  - constraint and, 139–40
  - demand and, 140
  - FAT and, 139–45
  - non-agreement case and, 139
  - not their benefits and, 143–4
  - suffering as it happens and, 141–3
  - suffering through actions related to, 140–1
- obstructors, 109–11
- optimizing principle of beneficence, 19, 20
- outcome responsibility, 61–3
- overdetermination of harm
  - absolutism and, 229
  - argument from insignificance and, 182–4
  - compensation and, 243
  - consequentialist solution and, 233–5
  - as contribution, 231–2
  - doing harm and, 223–5
  - levels of description and, 235–7
  - number of contributors and, 238–40
  - overview, 220–3
  - probability of being member of actual set and, 237–8
  - Robinson cases and, 226
  - scepticism and, 227–9
  - stringency and, 240–3
  - universalization requirement and, 230
  - what is, 225–7
- Patten, Alan, 124
- permissible risk taking, 101–2
- Pogge, Thomas
  - collaboration with elites and, 145–6
  - cost and, 134
  - criticism of argument of, 2
  - FAT and, 123–36
  - FAT and contribution and, 125–36
  - FAT explanation by, 123
  - government empowered by individuals and, 220–1
  - harming by imposing injustice and, 147–8
  - imposing institutions and, 131–2
  - injured child case and, 193
  - institutional asymmetries and, 129
  - minimal standard of justice of, 127, 129
  - negative duties and, 122
  - past and current interaction and, 147
  - poverty related-death numbers and, 175–6
  - trade and, 159–61
  - understanding exploitation and, 136–9
  - works of, 2
- pond analogy
  - assistance failure and, 31–4
  - assistance-based responsibilities and, 30
  - compliance condition and, 56–8
  - contribution-based responsibilities and, 30
  - diminished entitlements and, 52–4
  - direct financial transfers and, 64–5
  - injustice and misfortune and, 54–5
  - level of sacrifice and, 17
  - Minimal Assistance Principle and, 20–1
  - poor people and, 12–14
  - responsibility and, 61–3
  - self-sacrifice and, 21
  - symmetry and asymmetry and, 50–1
- poverty
  - IMF and, 196–7
  - intergenerationally transmissible, 47
  - overview about, 1–4
  - related-death numbers, 175–7
  - preponderance standard, 202
  - Principle of Sympathy, 20
  - principles of assistance
    - assistance-based responsibilities and, 14–15
    - less demanding, 19–21
  - probability-weighted portion, 191–2
  - push case
    - complete causal process and, 83–4
    - doing and allowing harm and, 81–4
    - energy release and, 84
    - factors influencing, 82–4
    - relevant action and, 82–3
    - robust opinion in, 81
    - statement of, 81
- Quinn, Warren, 80–1
  - harmful positive agency and, 82
- randomized control trials (RCTs), 68–70
- reasonable standard of giving (RSG)
  - SAP<sub>3</sub> and, 18
  - Singer and, 63
- reducing factors, 169–70
- relevant action
  - babysitter case and, 83
  - cut up case and, 82–3

## 262 Index

- relevant action (cont.)  
 DAD and, 82–3, 91–2  
 duck case and, 90–1  
 harmful positive agency and, 82  
 interpose case and, 85–6  
 push case and, 82–3  
 remove case and, 85  
 stop 2 case and, 91  
 remove case  
 burning building 2 case and, 87  
 burning building case and, 86–7  
 complete causal process and, 85  
 disagreement over, 86  
 enabling harm in, 88–9  
 relevant action and, 85  
 statement of, 85  
 variations of, 86–7  
 remove (knowledge) case, 86  
 remove (non-culpable ignorance) case, 87  
 remove (prevent) case, 102  
 required cost  
 asymmetry in, 51–63  
 cost, giving rise to, and, 96–7  
 as normative characteristic, 8  
 rescue at lake example, 72–4  
 rescue case, 119–20  
 resistance, rights of  
 cost and, 177–8  
 force implication and, 177–9  
 third party intervention and, 178  
 responsibility. *See also* assistance-based responsibilities; contribution-based responsibilities; moral responsibility  
 assuming, 195–219  
 asymmetry and, 61–3  
 demands of, 200  
 immediate and final, 25–6  
 outcome, 61–3  
 pond analogy and, 61–3  
 Rickless, Samuel, 112  
 differences between enabling and allowing and, 116–17  
 distributing harm among others and, 117–20  
 distributing harm between oneself and others and, 121  
 EAH and, 112–16  
 rights of resistance. *See* resistance, rights of  
 risk. *See also* permissible risk taking; undue risk and cost sharing  
 zones, 73–4  
 Robinson cases  
 force implication and, 180–4  
 overdetermination and, 226  
 RSG. *See* reasonable standard of giving  
 Russian roulette, 190–1  
 SAP<sub>1</sub>  
 Bob's Internet Banking and, 23–5  
 as controversial, 16  
 defined, 15  
 self-sacrifice and, 21  
 SAP<sub>2</sub>  
 Bob's Internet Banking and, 25  
 defined, 16  
 level of sacrifice and, 17  
 moral significance and, 16–17  
 need and, 17  
 as obscure formulation, 16–17  
 self-sacrifice and, 21  
 SAP<sub>3</sub>, 18  
 Bob's Internet Banking and, 23–5  
 defined, 16  
 as demanding, 18  
 meaning of, 18  
 relative importance and, 18, 21–2, 25  
 RSG and, 18  
 self-sacrifice and, 21  
 Scanlon, T. M., 21  
 scepticism, 227–9  
 self-sacrifice, 21  
 Singer, Peter  
 criticism of argument of, 2  
 Cullity and, 15  
 'Famine, Affluence, and Morality' by, 14–15  
 RSG and, 63  
 strategy employed by, 12–13, 15  
 three formulations of, 15–18  
*The White Man's Burden* and, 66–7  
 works of, 2, 14–15, 66–7  
 specificity, as normative characteristic, 7  
 start to drive case, 117  
 start to drive 2 case, 120  
 stay back case  
 complete causal process and, 83–4  
 doing and allowing harm and, 81–4  
 factors influencing, 82–4  
 relevant action and, 82–3  
 robust opinion in, 81–2  
 statement of, 81  
 stay back (prevent) case, 100  
 stop 2 case, 91, 169  
 stringency  
 assistance principles and, 14–15  
 cost and, 12  
 enabling harm and, 167–71  
 moderate cost and, 14  
 as normative characteristic, 6–7

- overdetermination of harm and, 240–3
- subsidies moral equivalence, 170–1
- subsidy case, 157, 163–4
- suffering
  - through actions, 140–1
  - as it happens, 141–3
- suspension of belief, 199–200
- symmetry, 50–1
- sympathy. *See* Principle of Sympathy
- tariff case, 157, 163–4
- tariffs moral equivalence, 170–1
- terminology, 6–8
- Thomson, Judith, 105
  - relevant action and, 82–3
- three formulations, Singer’s
  - assistance-based responsibilities and, 15–18
  - sources of, 15
- threshold approach
  - agglomeration and, 211
  - arbitrariness and, 211
  - civil law and, 206–8
  - context and, 204
  - contribution-based responsibilities and, 201–12
  - criminal law and, 205–6
  - discontinuity and, 210–11
  - expectations approach and, 212
  - false positives and, 204–6
  - harm and, 201–12
  - law of torts and, 201–2
  - preponderance standard and, 202
  - problems with, 210–12
  - specification of, 202–3
  - thresholds and, 203–10
  - toxic torts and, 209
  - vagueness and, 212
- toxic pollution case, 125, 162
- toxic torts, 209
- trade
  - assistance-based responsibilities and, 154
  - association-based responsibilities and, 155, 156–7
  - beneficiary-based responsibilities and, 155
  - conclusions, 171–2
  - contribution types and, 157–8
  - contribution-based responsibilities and, 151–72
  - counterfactual dependence and, 158
  - death resulting from, 151–2
  - doing harm to poor, 161–3
  - enabling harm implications through, 164–7
  - enforceability and, 152–3
  - FAT and, 159–61
  - issues surrounding, 151–4
  - moral assessment of, 154–7
  - overview, 5
  - philosophical question regarding, 153
  - Pogge and, 159–61
  - policy effects determination, 153
  - subsidy case and, 157
  - tariff case and, 157
  - Venus case and, 162
- trolley analogy, 38–9
- undue risk and cost sharing
  - assistance-based responsibilities and, 25–7
  - Bob and Avalanche and, 26–7
  - Bob’s Bugatti and, 25–6
  - fair-share proposal and, 27
- Unger, Peter, 24
- unique dependency, 24–5
- universalization requirement, 230
- vagueness, 212
- Valdman, Mikhail, 137, 138
- Venus case, 126
  - trade and, 162
- Venus\* case, 127–8
- Venus\* 1 case, 128–9, 130
- Venus\* 2 case, 129–31
- The White Man’s Burden* (Easterly), 66–7
- withdrawing aid, 93–5, 112–14