Pretense and Pathology

In this book, Bradley Armour-Garb and James A. Woodbridge distinguish various species of fictionalism, locating and defending their own version of philosophical fictionalism. Addressing semantic and philosophical puzzles that arise from ordinary language, they consider such issues as the problem of non-being, plural identity claims, mental-attitude ascriptions, meaning attributions, and truth-talk. They consider "deflationism about truth," explaining why deflationists should be fictionalists, and show how their philosophical fictionalist account of truth-talk underwrites a dissolution of the Liar Paradox and its kin. They further explore the semantic notions of reference and predicate-satisfaction, showing how philosophical fictionalism can also resolve puzzles that these notions appear to present. Their critical examination of fictionalist approaches in philosophy, together with the development and application of their own brand of philosophical fictionalism, will be of great interest to scholars and upper-level students of philosophy of language, metaphysics, philosophical logic, philosophy of mind, epistemology, and linguistics.

BRADLEY ARMOUR-GARB is Associate Professor of Philosophy at the University at Albany-SUNY and a Fellow of Wolfson College at the University of Oxford. He is co-editor of and a contributor to several books, including *The Law of Non-Contradiction: New Philosophical Essays* (2004), *Deflationism and Paradox* (2005), and *Deflationary Truth* (2005). He is also the editor of and a contributor to *The Relevance of the Liar* (forthcoming).

JAMES A. WOODBRIDGE is Associate Professor of Philosophy at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas. He is the author of "Truth as a Pretense" in *Fictionalism in Metaphysics* (2005). He has also published articles with Bradley Armour-Garb in journals including *Noûs*, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, Analysis, Philosophical Studies*, and *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, and in edited collections such as *New Waves in Truth* (2010), *Recent Trends in Philosophical Logic* (2014), and *Unifying the Philosophy of Truth* (2015).

Pretense and Pathology

Philosophical Fictionalism and its Applications

Bradley Armour-Garb

and

James A. Woodbridge



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107028272

© Bradley Armour-Garb and James A. Woodbridge 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Armour-Garb, Bradley P., 1968- author.
Pretense and pathology : philosophical fictionalism and its applications / Bradley
Armour-Garb and James A. Woodbridge.
pages cm
ISBN 978-1-107-02827-2 (Hardback)
1. Fictions, Theory of. 2. Philosophy. I. Woodbridge, James A., author.
II. Title.
BC199.F5A76 2015
121-dc23 2014042755

ISBN 978-1-107-02827-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> An entire mythology is stored within our language. —Ludwig Wittgenstein, "Remarks on Frazer's *Golden Bough*"

Contents

Preface		
1	 Philosophical fictionalism 1.1 Two species of fictionalism 1.2 Some important aspects of fiction (or, a minor foray into philosophy of fiction) 1.3 Concerns with comparative fictionalism <i>qua</i> fictionalism 1.4 The central tenets of fictionalism 1.5 From comparative fictionalism to philosophical fictionalism 1.6 Philosophical fictionalism and other distinctions within fictionalism 	1 5 8 11 18 30
2	 Semantic pretense-involving fictionalism and existence-talk 2.1 Make-believe, representational aids, and partially pretend claims 2.2 Language-world connections, long-arm conceptual roles, and M-conditions 2.3 Types of pretense in partially pretend claims 2.4 Pragmatic PIF and its problems 2.5 Prior SPIF accounts and the Engagement Complaints 2.6 Our SPIF account of existence-talk 	39 39 44 50 55 60 64
3	 Propositional realism and a SPIF account of proposition-talk 3.1 Propositions and proposition-talk 3.2 Benacerraf's challenges as problems for propositions 3.3 Our SPIF account of proposition-talk 3.4 Our SPIF account of proposition-talk and Benacerraf's challenges 	77 77 79 84 113
4	 T-deflationism and a SPIF account of truth-talk 4.1 Understanding T-deflationism 4.2 From mathematical fictionalism to truth-theoretic fictionalism 4.3 Our SPIF account of truth-talk 4.4 Conclusions for truth-talk 	116 116 119 130 150
5	 Diagnosing and treating the Liar Paradox and other apparent alethic pathologies 5.1 Unearthing (apparent) semantic pathology 5.2 Pretense and meaninglessness 5.3 Meaninglessness and understanding 5.4 Meaninglessness, generalization, and denial 5.5 Semantic characterization and s-defectiveness 	152 152 154 157 161 163

vii

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02827-2 - Pretense and Pathology: Philosophical Fictionalism and its Applications
Bradley Armour-Garb and James A. Woodbridge
Frontmatter
More information

viii	Conte	nts			
	5.6 S-Defec	tiveness and liar sentences	165		
	5.7 Replies	to further potential revenge concerns	168		
	5.8 Extende	ed apparent alethic pathology	180		
	5.9 Diagnos	sing and treating extended apparent alethic pathology	187		
	5.10 Closin	g remarks	190		
6	SPIF accounts of reference-talk and predicate-satisfaction-talk				
	and appare	ent non-alethic semantic pathology	193		
		IF account of reference/denotation-talk	193		
	6.2 Our SP	IF account of predicate-satisfaction-talk	202		
	6.3 Apparen	nt non-alethic semantic pathology revealed	205		
	6.4 Diagnos	sing and treating apparent non-alethic semantic pathology	210		
	6.5 Conclus	sions for reference/denotation-talk and predicate-satisfaction-talk	222		
7	Extensions and objections		224		
	7.1 Plural id	lentity-talk	224		
	7.2 M-cond	itions-talk	241		
	7.3 Circular	ity worries and putative restrictions on fictionalism	244		
	7.4 A challe	enge to our dissolution of apparent semantic pathology	251		
	7.5 Conclud	ling remarks	258		
Bik	liography		259		
Index					
IIIUCA			267		

Preface

For the last twenty-five years, a number of philosophers have made increasing use of the notion of *fiction* as a means for dealing with, or attempting to resolve, certain philosophical puzzles or problems. As a result, there are a number of philosophical accounts that fall under the 'fictionalism' banner. Although we applaud this use of the notion of fiction as a sort of philosophical tool, it is important to understand the classification itself. Our aim in this book is both to clarify what we see as the most promising sort of fictionalist account and to employ it as a means for resolving certain puzzles, problems, and paradoxes that are familiar from metaphysics, epistemology, and the philosophies of language and mind.

We began discussing many of the issues we take up here sixteen ago in New York City, when a just-post-PhD Armour-Garb was investigating the relationships between deflationism about truth, semantic paradox, and dialetheism, and Woodbridge was finishing his dissertation on a pretense-based version of deflationism about truth-talk. Our conversations about truth and deflationism proved so edifying and enjoyable to both of us that they led to a continuing and extensive collaboration. Despite the geographical separation that ensued, our work together remained an utterly shared enterprise, often involving line-by-line joint writing over the phone. This process generated a number of co-authored journal articles and anthology chapters that seemed to separate into two streams that rarely crossed: one applying the notion of pretense in philosophical theorizing, the other investigating the dimensions and unrecognized extent of semantic pathology. This book is where we merge the two streams and explain the connections between pretense and pathology. The general outline of the book is as follows.

In Chapter 1, we distinguish *philosophical fictionalism* from a more common fictionalist approach, *comparative fictionalism*. We then consider some reasons for favoring accounts that are members of the former species rather than the latter. In addition, we discuss some of the other distinctions one can draw within fictionalism in philosophy. In Chapter 2, we explain the central ideas behind, and the general framework for, our preferred variety of

ix

x Preface

philosophical fictionalism – semantic pretense-involving fictionalism, or SPIF – using existence-talk as our example. We then explain how our SPIF account of existence-talk (along with the other SPIF accounts we develop in subsequent chapters) skirts various challenges that confront certain rival fictionalist approaches.

In Chapter 3, we investigate *proposition-talk*. We begin by considering some of the motivations that theorists offer for endorsing propositional realism along with what we take to be some compelling arguments for rejecting that view. We then motivate and provide our SPIF account of proposition-talk, highlighting the expressive role that this way of talking incorporates into our language via the pretense of talking *as if* there were propositions.

In Chapter 4, after explaining deflationism about truth (what we call *T*-*deflationism*), we argue that T-deflationists should be SPIF theorists about truth-talk and go on to present our SPIF account of that fragment of discourse. Having done so, in Chapter 5, we provide both a diagnosis and a treatment of the putative semantic pathology that the Liar Paradox (and a wide range of cases of its apparently pathological kin) appears to reveal.

In Chapter 6, we take up reference- and predicate-satisfaction-talk. After motivating and presenting our SPIF accounts of these fragments of discourse, we diagnose and treat the apparent semantic pathology that these further traditional semantic notions appear to manifest. In Chapter 7, we tie up some loose ends, beginning with motivating and setting out our SPIF account of plural identity-talk. We then address some issues touched on in previous chapters, regarding a way of talking that we have employed in explaining certain general aspects of pretense-involving discourse, providing the details of our particular SPIF accounts, and resolving apparent semantic pathology. Finally, we consider, and put to rest, two further putative challenges to our project, thereby demonstrating the resourcefulness of our SPIF approach for dealing with a broad range of philosophical problems.

We received (either jointly or individually) helpful comments, criticisms, and suggestions from a number of people at the following conferences: Sellars Centenary Conference and Workshop (Dublin, Ireland, June 2012); Trends in Logic XI: Advances in Philosophical Logic (Bochum, Germany, June 2012); Truth at Work Conference (Paris, France, June 2011); BW7, Seventh Barcelona Workshop on Issues in the Theory of Reference: Paradoxes of Truth and Denotation (Barcelona, Spain, June 2011); Normativity of Meaning: Sellarsian Perspectives (Prague, Czech Republic, May 2011); Society for Exact Philosophy (Kansas City, MO, March 2010 and Toronto, ON, Canada, May 2005); Meaning, Understanding and Knowledge: 5th International Symposium for Cognition, Logic and Communication (Riga, Latvia, August 2009); Joint Session of The Aristotelian Society and The Mind Association (Bristol, England, July 2007); Mimesis, Metaphysics, and Make-Believe: A Conference in

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02827-2 - Pretense and Pathology: Philosophical Fictionalism and its Applications Bradley Armour-Garb and James A. Woodbridge Frontmatter

More information

Preface

xi

Honour of Kendall Walton (Leeds, England, June 2007); Young Scholars Summer Institute, Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin (Berlin, Germany, August 2004); 18th International Symposium Logica (Hejnice Monastery, Czech Republic, June 2004); and 17th International Symposium Logica (Kravsko Chateau, Czech Republic, June 2003). In addition, we received helpful feedback from colloquia audiences at University of Amsterdam, University of Groningen, Georgia State University, University of Connecticut, Northern Arizona University, University of Nevada Las Vegas, State University of Kaliningrad, Russia, The College of William and Mary, Williams College, Bard College, University of Rochester, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, University at Albany-SUNY, CUNY Graduate Center, and Yale University.

We would like to extend further thanks to the following people: the late Jonathan Adler (especially for introducing us!), Jody Azzouni, Ray Buchanan, Alexis Burgess, Roy Cook, Mark Crimmins, Catarina Dutilh-Novaes, Matti Eklund, Hartry Field, Stephen Gross, Anil Gupta, David Hills, Terry Horgan, Robert Howell, John Joseph, Jim Joyce, Mark Kalderon, Phil Kremer, Fred Kroon, Rebecca Kukla, Mark Lance, David Liggins, Peter Ludlow, Michael Lynch, Joseph Moore, Douglas Patterson, Graham Priest, Gurpreet Ratthan, Gideon Rosen, Stephen Schiffer, Jason Stanley, Talbot Taylor, Jonathan Vogel, Kendall Walton, Richard Woodward, and Stephen Yablo.

We would also like to thank Hilary Gaskin and Rosemary Crawley from Cambridge University Press for their support of this project and their hard work making it a reality.

Earlier versions of some of the views and arguments we develop and present in this book have appeared in several of our prior individual and joint publications. We thank the publishers of the following articles and book chapters for their kind permission to reexpress here certain material first presented in them. By B. Armour-Garb: "Challenges to Deflationary Theories of Truth," Philosophy Compass 7: 256-266; "Deflationism (about Theories of Truth)," Philosophy Compass 7: 267-277; "The Monotonicity of 'No' and the No-Proposition View," American Philosophical Quarterly 49: 1-14; "New Problems for Modal Fictionalism," Philosophical Studies (forthcoming). By J. Woodbridge: "Truth as a Pretense," in Kalderon, M. (ed.), Fictionalism in Metaphysics, Oxford University Press, pp. 134-177; "Propositions as Semantic Pretense," Language & Communication 26: 343-355. By B. Armour-Garb and J. Woodbridge: "Dialetheism, Semantic Pathology and the Open Pair," Australasian Journal of Philosophy 84: 395-416; "Why Deflationists Should be Pretense Theorists (and Perhaps Already are)," in Pedersen, N. and Wright, C. (eds.), New Waves in Truth, Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 59-77; "The Story about Propositions," Noûs 46: 635-674; "Liars, Truthtellers, and Naysayers: A Broader View of Semantic Pathology I," Language & Communication 32: 293-311; "Sellars and Pretense

xii Preface

on 'Truth & ''Correspondence'' (with a Detour through Meaning Attribution)," *Discusiones Filosóficas* 13(21), pp. 33–63; "Semantic Defectiveness and the Liar," *Philosophical Studies* 164: 845–863; "From Mathematical Fictionalism to Truth-Theoretic Fictionalism," *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 88: 93–118; "Semantic Defectiveness: A Dissolution of Semantic Pathology," in Ciuni, R., Wansing, H., and Willkommen, C. (eds.), *Recent Trends in Philosophical Logic (Trends in Logic, Vol. 41)*, Cham: Springer International Publishing Switzerland, pp. 1–12. By J. Woodbridge and B. Armour-Garb: "Semantic Pathology and the Open Pair," *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 71: 695–703; "The Pathology of Validity," *Synthese* 160: 63–74; "Linguistic Puzzles and Semantic Pretense," in Sawyer, S. (ed.), *New Waves in Philosophy of Language*, Basingstoke/New York: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 250–284.

We extend more individualized gratitude as follows.

Armour-Garb: I would like to thank James Woodbridge for years of very fruitful and terrifically satisfying collaboration and friendship. I would like to thank Mitch Earleywine who has been a very helpful sounding board and a great critic, both of which I appreciate immensely. I would like to thank my colleague and friend, Robert Howell, for the stimulating weekly lunches and the invaluable discussions of philosophical fictionalism. And, lastly, but certainly not least, I would like to thank my family – my wife, Allison, for her patience, her wisdom, and her unqualified support, and my wonderful kids, Isabel and Zev. They were close to me through the highs and lows of this project and for that I am very, very grateful.

Woodbridge: I want to thank Brad Armour-Garb for years of friendship, support, and collaboration that both helped me get through some very tough times and raised the caliber of my thinking and understanding of the issues we consider in this book to a much higher level than they would have otherwise reached. I would like to extend further thanks to my colleagues in the University of Nevada Las Vegas Department of Philosophy for their feedback on and questions about elements of this project. Special thanks go to my family, – and to my mom, Kristin Johnson, in particular – for their support, patience, and understanding throughout the years.