INDEX

abortion rights (A, B and C v. Ireland) access to abortion services as human right 96-9 discrimination (Article 14) 113-14, 118 - 20factual background 99-100 importance of judgment 95-6 inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 3) 104-7, 115-16 privacy (Article 8) Court's approach 107 Court's finding 107-8 whether interference 'necessary in democratic society' 111-13 positive and negative obligations 108-11, 116-18 rewritten judgment 114-20 right to life (Article 2) Court's finding 102 rewritten judgment 114-15 right to life of mother 103-4 right to life pre-birth 102–3 summary of analysis 114 summary of judgment 100-1 age of criminal responsibility child-friendly justice 36 agency vulnerability and 70-3, 84-5, 85-6 applicant perspective on case rewriting of judgments to reflect 6-7 and procedural justice see procedural justice argumentation improvements in rewritten judgments 10-15

armed forces operational effectiveness as defence for discrimination 169-70 parental leave entitlement see parental leave entitlement privacy see sexual minorities, armed forces Austria psychiatric treatment (Herczegfalvy v. Austria) see disabled persons, psychiatric treatment same-sex marriage ban (Schalk and Kopf v. Austria) see sexual minorities, same-sex marriage ban Belgium migrant children (Muskhadzhiyeva and others v. Belgium) see children, migration capacity child's lack of see children, education and indirect discrimination parents' lack of 55-7 children custody disputes see religious minorities, custody disputes education and indirect discrimination (D H and others v. Czech Republic) children's right to be heard importance of, and margin of appreciation 47-9 issue of 46-7 Court's position on right to education 49-50

470

INDEX

factual background 43-5 image of Roma children and parents children as incompetent and passive objects 50-1 issue of 50 lack of specific and individualised information on applicants 51-5 parent's lack of capacity 55-7 importance of judgment 40-3 main issues 45 objective and reasonable justification 63-6 presumption of indirect discrimination arising 61-3 segregation of Roma pupils 45 summary of analysis 57-9 fairness of trial (V v. UK) age of criminal responsibility 36 child-friendly justice 30 Committee on the Rights of the Child General Comment No. 10 30 Council of Europe Guidelines on Child-Friendly Justice 32 Court developments 32-5 factual background 20-2 importance of judgment 19-20 protection of child's identity 27 - 30rewritten judgment 37-9, 59-67 subsequent developments 24-7 summary of analysis 36-7 summary of judgment 22-4 transfer from youth court to adult court 35-6 migration (Muskhadzhiyeva and others v. Belgium) family life (Article 8) obligations to promote and facilitate 82 violation of 91-2 importance of judgment 68-70 inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 3) 85-9 lawfulness of detention (Article 5) 80-2, 89-91

obligations to unaccompanied and accompanied children distinguished 73-4 parameters for 'minimum level of severity' threshold (Article 3) 76 reference to other human rights standards for ECHR interpretation 83 relationship between human rights of children and adults 75-6 rewritten judgment 85-92 summary of analysis 84 vulnerability and agency of children 70 vulnerability see vulnerability circular argumentation avoidance of 15-10 civil partnership marriage compared 279-81 valorisation of 275-8 Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) applicability of insights see parental leave entitlement Committee on the Rights of the Child General Comment No 10 as to fairness of trial 30 community-based mental health care see disabled persons, communitybased mental health care competence child's lack of see children, education and indirect discrimination parents' lack of 55-7 conjugality alternatives to 282-8 and social inclusion 282-3 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) 330 conflict with ECHR 356-9 Council of Europe Guidelines on Child-Friendly Justice 32 cultural minorities cultural differences as to names (Kemal Taşkın and others v. Turkey)

471

472

INDEX

cultural minorities (cont.) discrimination (Article 14) alleged violation 466-7 whether difference in treatment 467 - 8whether different treatment justified 469-8 substantive equality 458-60 factual background Court's case law 449 parties' arguments 447-8 summary of judgment 448 historical vulnerability of minorities 455 importance of judgment 445-7 privacy (Article 8) whether interference 'necessary in democratic society' 462 for 'legitimate aim' 461 substantive equality 458 rewriting of judgment approach to 449-51 importance of names 451-3 reasons for demand to change names 453-5 rewritten judgment 461 search for substantive equality 458 summary of analysis 460-1 Roma marriage (Muñoz Díaz v. Spain) discrimination (Article 14) 417 discrimination as to right to marriage 413-16 discrimination resulting from undifferentiated treatment 409-11 diversity perspective on judgment 407-9 factual background 402-4 importance of judgment 401-2 indirect discrimination 411-13 peaceful enjoyment of possessions (Article 1 of Protocol 1) 417 rewritten judgment 417 summary of analysis 416-17 summary of judgment 404-7 Roma traditional lifestyle (Chapman v. UK) critique of judgment

dissenting opinion 434 formalistic approach to equality and non-discrimination 433-4 individualistic reading of facts 432 - 3trivialisation of minority rights 431 - 2discrimination (Article 14) 443-4 factual background 427-9 importance of judgment 426-7 privacy (Article 8) 441-3 rewriting of judgment acknowledgment of systemic nature of violations of Roma right to pursue traditional lifestyle 438 approach to 434-5 discrimination issues 438-40 recognition of Roma right to traditional housing 435-8 rewritten judgment 441 summary of analysis 440-1 summary of judgment 429-31 custody disputes see religious minorities, custody disputes Czech Republic indirect discrimination against Roma pupils (D H and others v. Czech Republic) see children, education and indirect discrimination detention lawfulness of (Article 5) 80, 89 disabled persons community-based mental health care (Kolanis v. UK) factual background 384-5 importance of judgment 382-4 privacy (Article 8) 394-7 protection of new interests under ECHR impact of institutionalisation on persons' daily life 388-9 legal consensus 390-4 medical and scientific issues

389-90

methods for 385-8

INDEX

protection of interest in community-based treatment 388-94 rewritten judgment 394-7 summary of analysis 394 private life - positive obligations to promote (Sentges v. Netherlands) critique of judgment 339-44 establishing interference with right to private life 331-3 factual background 338-9 importance of judgment 329-31 mainstreaming of disability 346-8 related judgments 334-8 revision of judgment applicability of Article 8 344 applicability of Article 14 344-6 approach to 344 distinction between scope of Article 8 applicability and justification for nonprovision of requested measure 346 rewritten judgment 350-1 summary of analysis 348-9 summary of judgment 339 psychiatric treatment (Herczegfalvy v. Austria) analysis of judgment 364-9 compulsory medical treatment 369-73 conflict between ECHR and CRPD 356-9 importance of judgment 352-3 inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 3) 369-73 involuntary treatment criteria 373 relevant principles 373-80 privacy (Article 8) 380-1 restraint and isolation 377-80 rewritten judgment 369 substantive clarity in judgments 353-6 summary of analysis 369 summary of judgment 359-64 discrimination (Article 14) see also gender stereotypes;

intersectional discrimination; reciprocal anti-discrimination; stereotypes; vulnerability abortion rights see abortion rights armed forces see parental leave entitlement, sexual minorities, armed forces cohabiting sisters see sexual minorities, cohabiting sisters custody disputes see religious minorities, custody disputes disabled persons see disabled persons, private life - positive obligations to promote gender stereotypes as discrimination 14 indirect discrimination and education see children, education and indirect discrimination integration of forms of discrimination 162-4 Kurdish names see cultural minorities, cultural differences as to names multiple discrimination see intersectional discrimination parental leave entitlement see parental leave entitlement Roma marriage see cultural minorities, Roma marriage same-sex marriage ban see sexual minorities, same-sex marriage ban traditional lifestyles see cultural minorities, Roma traditional lifestyle wearing of religious clothing and symbols see religious minorities, wearing of religious clothing and symbols disproportionality see also proportionality effect 35-6, 51-2, 53, 54-5, 59-60, 61, 119-20, 372, 422-3, 438-9, 439-40, 441, 444 finding as to 143, 191, 233, 424, 434, 442-3, 450-1 interference 84, 107, 108-9

473

474

diversity and rewriting of judgments generally 10

education (Article2 of Protocol 1) Court's position 49–50 headscarf ban *see* religious minorities, wearing of religious clothing and symbols and indirect discrimination *see* children, education and indirect discrimination

equality

- formal conception of 158–9, 271–3 and gender stereotypes 153–4 religious minorities and 212–13 and transformative justice 153, 154, 158–9, 164, 165
- European Convention of Human Rights see also under entries relating to specific Articles e.g. privacy (Article 8)
 - conflict with CRPD 356-9 protection of new interests 385-8 reference to other human rights standards for interpretation of 83-4
- violation *see* interference European Court of Human Rights rewriting of judgments *see* rewriting of Court judgments
- fair trial (Article 6) *see* children, fairness of trial fairness and procedural justice 220 family life (Article 8) custody disputes *see* children, migration 'form' or 'functional' approach to family 288–9 migration *see* children, migration obligations to promote and facilitate 82–3 same-sex marriage ban *see* sexual minorities, same-sex
 - marriage ban

INDEX

succession to noble title see succession to noble title France compulsory removal of turban (Suku Phull v. France) see religious minorities, compulsory removal of turban custody disputes (Deschomets v. France) see religious minorities, custody disputes freedom of thought, conscience and religion (Article 9) compulsory removal of turban (Suku Phull v. France) see religious minorities, compulsory removal of turban custody disputes see religious minorities, custody disputes headscarf ban see religious minorities, wearing of religious clothing and symbols gender 142

abortion rights see abortion rights parental leave entitlement see parental leave entitlement succession rules see succession to noble title gender stereotypes both sexes 152 Court's challenge to use of 151, 165, 170 definition 167 as discrimination 14 effect of 155-6 equality and role of 153-4 harmfulness of 167-8, 169-70, 248-9, 261 importance of naming 160-2 persistence of 168

headscarf ban *see* religious minorities, wearing of religious clothing and symbols health care *see* disabled persons, community-based mental health care

inclusive justice see also social inclusion perspective on case 401-2 versus transformative justice 148-9 indirect discrimination and education see children, education and indirect discrimination inheritance tax and same-sex couples see sexual minorities, cohabiting sisters inhuman or degrading treatment (Article 3) abortion rights see abortion rights migrant children 85 parameters for 'minimum level of severity' 76 psychiatric treatment 369-73 interference see also margin of appreciation; proportionality clarification of threshold for 10 evaluation of seriousness 434, 438, 442 finding as to 176, 191, 216, 226, 228, 229-30, 296, 320, 341 lack of finding 339-41 whether for 'legitimate aim' 8, 143, 193-4, 195-6, 199, 380, 461-2 whether 'necessary in a democratic society' Article 8 provision 395 finding as to 143, 193, 211-12 question of 111, 231, 321-4, 461, 462 - 6test of 226, 231-5, 237-40, 331-3, 431 obligation to abstain from 334-8 proportionality of 84, 107, 112-13, 191, 213-16, 450-1 question of 80, 89, 117, 236-7, 321, 329-31 requirement to give reasons for 188 test of 181-2 international human rights standards and ECHR interpretation 83 inclusion of references in rewritten judgments 8-10 intersectional discrimination agency and 204-7 concept of 14-15, 41 Court's approach 59, 196-7, 205-7

INDEX

475

definition 412–13 finding of 166–7 integrated approach 162–4 Ireland abortion rights (*A*, *B* and *C* v. Ireland) see abortion rights

Kurdish names *see* cultural minorities, cultural differences as to names

life, right to (Article 2) see abortion rights

margin of appreciation accounting for 322 adherence to 198, 268-9, 283, 291-2, 339-40, 415, 423 applicability of 261, 367 applicants' rights and 47-9 decision as to 84, 101, 111, 118, 151, 164, 199–200, 226, 243–4, 246, 247, 270, 271-2, 442-3 deference to 195, 198, 203, 212, 213, 249, 343 disregard of 216, 217, 240 as element in proportionality analysis 232 exercise of 66-7 legitimate use of 204-5 narrow margin 114, 118, 188, 349 narrowing of 255-6, 263, 349-30, 441, 456-7, 460 possession of 189, 194, 238-9, 267-8, 338, 343, 350-1, 374, 380-1 recognition of 404 reference to 13 reinforcement of 181 reliance on 195-6, 250 restriction of 9, 61, 84, 196-7, 209-10, 234 rewriting of judgments as to 7-8 stereotypes 164 wide margin 49-50, 101, 118, 150-1, 165, 168, 179, 201, 207, 234, 249, 330, 334, 431, 449 marriage civil partnership compared 279-81

476

marriage (cont.) right to (Article 12) see sexual minorities, same-sex marriage ban Roma see cultural minorities, Roma marriage same-sex marriage ban see sexual minorities, same-sex marriage ban symbolic and material meaning 281 - 2valorisation of 275-8 medical treatment see disabled persons, psychiatric treatment mental health care see disabled persons, community-based mental health care migrant children see children, migration 'minimum level of severity' threshold parameters for (Article 3) 76-80 minorities see cultural minorities, religious minorities, sexual minorities, vulnerability motherhood association of women with 167-9 multiple discrimination see intersectional discrimination names see cultural minorities, cultural differences as to names Netherlands disabled persons (Sentges v. Netherlands) see disabled persons, private life - positive obligations to promote noble titles see succession to noble title non-discrimination see discrimination objective and reasonable justification question of 63–6 parental leave entitlement (Konstantin Markin v. Russia) applicability of CEDAW insights effect of gender stereotypes 155-6 equality and role of gender

stereotypes 153–4 methodological issues 151–3

discrimination (Article 14) 166-7

INDEX

factual background 149-50 importance of judgment 148-9 improvement of judgment context assessment 159-60 integration of forms of discrimination 162-4 naming of gender stereotypes 160 - 2inclusive justice versus transformative justice 148-9 merits of judgment 156-8 merits of redraft 164-5 operational effectiveness of armed forces 169-70 rewritten judgment 166 shortcomings of judgment formal conception of equality 158 - 9lack of gender context assessment 159 - 60narrow conception of problem 160 - 2separation of forms of discrimination 162-4 special social role of mothers 167-9 summary of analysis 165-6 summary of judgment 150-1 parents see also parental leave entitlement custody disputes see religious minorities, custody disputes lack of capacity 55-7 migrants with children see children, migration peaceful enjoyment of possessions (Article 1 of Protocol 1) cohabiting sisters 290-2 Roma marriage 417–25 privacy (Article 8) abortion rights see abortion rights armed forces see sexual minorities, armed forces community-based mental health care see disabled persons, community-based mental health care disabled persons see disabled persons, private life - positive obligations to promote

Kurdish names see cultural minorities, cultural differences as to names traditional lifestyles see cultural minorities, Roma traditional lifestyle procedural justice applicant's arguments considered 227 - 8applicant's religion considered 228-9 benefits of approach 220-2 case by case approach 226-7 child-friendly justice see children, fairness of trial concern with fairness 220 criteria 222-5 rewriting of judgments as to 10 test of judgment 226-9 proportionality see also disproportionality; margin of appreciation accommodation 200-3 analysis/assessment 7-8, 12, 77, 112-13, 183, 225, 226-7, 229, 231, 236, 249, 450-1, 457, 460-1, 468 decision as to 84, 118-19, 193, 209-10, 216, 231, 406 grounds of 415-16 principle 80, 82-3, 271 question of 238-9 'reasonable relationship of' 63-4, 67, 182-3, 190, 213, 267-8, 269, 324, 404, 418, 420, 421 requirement for 151, 200, 203, 373 test 12, 81, 82-3, 84, 89-90, 90-1, 251-2, 261-2 UN law as to 197 protection of child's identity and fairness of trial 27 psychiatric treatment see disabled persons, psychiatric treatment recent research

addition of references to 10–15 reciprocal anti-discrimination argumentation 122, 123, 145 INDEX

477

concept of 14, 122, 132 power to remove 139 reciprocal anti-discrimination arguments (RADARs) 133-5 vulnerability to 135-9 religious minorities compulsory removal of turban (Suku Phull v. France) avoidance of theological assessments 229-31 factual background 225-6 freedom of thought, conscience and religion (Article 9) alleged violation 236 whether interference lawful 237 whether interference necessary 237 whether interference with 236 importance of judgment 218-19 merits of judgment 229-35 necessity defence question of 231 test of 231, 237 procedural justice applicant's arguments considered 227 applicant's religion considered 228 benefits of approach 220 case by case approach 226-7 concern with fairness 220 criteria 222 test of judgment 226 proportionality analysis 231 rewritten judgment 236 summary of analysis 235-6 summary of judgment 226 custody disputes (Deschomets v. France) approach to rewriting judgment 186-8 background issues 178-80 under ECHR 180-5 factual background 174-6 importance of judgment 173 - 4related judgments 176-8 rewritten judgment 189-91

478

INDEX

religious minorities (cont.) wearing of religious clothing and symbols (Levla Sahin v. *Turkev*) discrimination (Article 14) 216-17 equality issues 212-13 factual background 193-4 freedom of thought, conscience and religion (Article 9) 193-4, 208 - 16importance of judgment 192-3 proportionality 200-3, 213 rewriting of judgment agency and intersectionality 204 - 7approach to 196-7 avoidance of abstract issues 197 - 200harmonisation with international human rights law 197 proportionality 200-3 reasons for 194-6 rewritten judgment 208 secularism issues 210-12 summary of analysis 207 restriction see interference rewriting of Court judgments addition of more and better arguments 15-10 additional objectives 10-15 as to applicant's perspective 6-7 approaches to redrafting 13-14 avoidance of circular argumentation 10 - 15broadening of judgment's scope 7 description of project 1-2 diversity issues 10 inclusion of references to other international human rights standards 8-10 as to margin of appreciation 7-8 objectives of redrafting 6-10 overview of redrafted judgments 2-6 as to procedural justice 10 as to proportionality analysis 7-8 references to recent research 10-15 right to be heard see children, education and indirect discrimination

Roma children see children, education and indirect discrimination marriage see cultural minorities, Roma marriage traditional lifestyle see cultural minorities, Roma traditional lifestyle vulnerability see vulnerability Russia parental leave entitlement (Konstantin Markin v. Russia) see parental leave entitlement sexual minorities armed forces (Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. UK) critique of judgment equality of privacy 309-10 lessons for future cases 308-9 narrow conception of same-sex orientation 298-303 normalisation of oppressive social condition 303-6 reliance on privacy arguments 306-8 'transparency' of heterosexuality 308 discrimination (Article 14) alleged violation 323-4 consideration of claim 324-5 critique of judgment 303 rewritten judgment 303 factual background 295-8 future approach to privacy 318-19 importance of judgment 293-5 privacy (Article 8) alleged violation 323-4 critique of judgment 298 whether interference 321 whether interference 'necessary in democratic society' 321-4 revisions 315-17 rewritten judgment 319-20 revision of judgment approach to 311 Article 8 and 14 revisions 315

INDEX

479

multiple conceptions of privacy 311-15 rewritten judgment 319-25 cohabiting sisters (Burden and Burden v. UK) alternatives to conjugality 282-8 choice of relationship formal equality and 271-3 issue of 278-9 comparison of marriage and civil partnership 279-81 discrimination (Article 14) 290 effect of judgment on same-sex couples 273-5 factual background 266-7 'form' or 'functional' approach to family 288-9 importance of judgments 265-6 judgments compared 270-1 peaceful enjoyment of possessions (Article 1 of Protocol 1) 290 rewritten judgment 290 summary of analysis 289-90 summary of judgments 267-70 symbolic and material meaning of marriage 281-2 valorisation of marriage and civil partnership 275-8 same-sex marriage ban (Schalk and Kopf v. Austria) discrimination (Article 14) 259-64 factual background 245-6 importance of judgment 243-5 privacy (Article 8) 259 redrafting of anti-discrimination analysis approach to 247 differences to original judgment 257-9 exercise of judicial review 249-53 justification for judicial review 247-9 retention of judicial restraint 253-7 rewritten judgment 259 right to marriage (Article 12) 259 summary of analysis 259 vulnerability see vulnerability

Sikh turban see religious minorities, compulsory removal of turban social inclusion see also inclusive justice affirmation of 259 conjugality and 282-3 opposition to 304 right of 382-3 support for 205 via incremental reform 254-5, 262-3 Spain Roma marriage (Muñoz Díaz v. Spain) see cultural minorities, Roma marriage succession to noble title (De La Cierva Osorio De Moscoso v. *Spain*) *see* succession to noble title stereotypes see also vulnerability expressions of hostility 323 gender see gender stereotypes harmfulness of 457 importance of naming 14 judgments' reinforcement of 298 margin of appreciation 164 and transformative justice 164 and vulnerability 12 succession to noble title (De La Cierva Osorio De Moscoso v. Spain) applicability of Article 8 126-9 gender issues 129-30 importance of judgment 121-3 insignificance of noble title 123-4 noble title as merely symbolic 124-6 preservation of historical authenticity of titles 139-41 reciprocal anti-discrimination see reciprocal anti-discrimination rewritten judgment 142-7 semiotic and symbolic importance of noble title 129 summary of analysis 141 women's social visibility 130-2

taxation and same-sex couples *see* sexual minorities, cohabiting sisters 480

INDEX

United Nations

titles of nobility see succession to noble title traditional lifestyles see cultural minorities, Roma traditional lifestyle transfer of proceedings from youth court to adult court 35 transformative justice achievement of 165-6 approach to rewriting judgment 164 equality and 153, 154, 158–9, 164, 165 via human rights law 151-2 versus inclusive justice 148-9 promotion of 13 stereotypes and 164 turban see religious minorities, compulsory removal of turban Turkey headscarf ban (Leyla Şahin v. Turkey) see religious minorities, wearing of religious clothing and symbols Kurdish names (Kemal Taşkın and others v. Turkey) see cultural minorities, cultural differences as to names unborn right to life 102 United Kingdom armed forces (Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. UK) see sexual minorities, armed forces child-friendly justice (V v. UK) see children, fairness of trial community-based mental health care (Kolanis v. UK) see disabled

persons, community-based mental health care Roma traditional lifestyle (*Chapman*

v. *UK*) *see* cultural minorities, Roma traditional lifestyle

law as to proportionality 197 vulnerability agency and 70, 84, 85-6 assessment of 87, 88 clarity of decisions affecting 381 'contextual vulnerability' 12, 87-8, 446, 455-7 Court's accounting for 25, 34, 38-9, 52, 60-1, 86-7, 406, 414, 419, 421-2, 431, 441 emphasis on 12 greater ECHR protection 174 historical experience of 401, 423-4, 450-1, 452-3, 465, 468-9 images of 50, 59, 69, 75 and intersectional discrimination 14, 59 lack of allowance for 80 mitigation as to 215 particular vulnerabilities 88 position of 106, 160, 372 and protection of private life 347-8 and reciprocal anti-discrimination 135 to reciprocal anti-discrimination 135 recognition of 446-7 research into 26-7 as to social visibility 130 women

abortion rights *see* abortion rights association with motherhood 167–9 CEDAW *see* parental leave entitlement headscarf ban *see* religious minorities, wearing of religious clothing and symbols social visibility 130 succession to noble title *see* succession to noble title