

Representational Style in Congress

This book demonstrates the consequences of legislators' strategic communication for representation in American politics. *Representational Style in Congress* shows how legislators present their work to cultivate constituent support. Using a massive new data set of texts from legislators and new statistical techniques to analyze the texts, this book provides comprehensive measures of what legislators say to constituents and explains why legislators adopt these styles. Using the new measures, Justin Grimmer shows how legislators affect how constituents evaluate their representatives and the consequences of strategic statements for political discourse. The introduction of new statistical techniques for political texts allows a more comprehensive and systematic analysis of what legislators say and why it matters than was previously possible. Using these new techniques, the book makes the compelling case that to understand political representation, we must understand what legislators say to constituents.

Justin Grimmer is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Political Science at Stanford University, which he joined after receiving a Ph.D. from Harvard University's Department of Government in 2010. His research combines new statistical techniques, machine learning, and massive data sets to study how political representation occurs in American politics. His work has appeared in the American Political Science Review, American Journal of Political Science, The Journal of Politics, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Political Analysis, and Regulation and Governance. Grimmer's research has received several awards, including the Warren E. Miller Prize for best paper published in political analysis, the Robert H. Durr Award from the Midwest Political Science Association, and the John T. Williams Prize from the Society for Political Methodology.





Representational Style in Congress

What Legislators Say and Why It Matters

JUSTIN GRIMMER





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107026476

© Justin Grimmer 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Grimmer, Justin.

Representational style in Congress: what legislators say and why it matters / Justin Grimmer.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-02647-6 (hardback)

- 1. Communication in politics-United States. 2. Legislators-United States.
- 3. Representative government and representation–United States. I. Title. JA85.2.U6G75 2013

328.73'073-dc23 2013013350

ISBN 978-1-107-02647-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my wife, Terese





Contents

Acknowledgements		
1	 Representation Inside and Outside Congress 1.1 Position Takers and Appropriators 1.2 Presentational Styles, Representational Styles, and Representation: An Overview of the Argument 1.3 Conclusion 	1 3 7 10
2	Representation and Evaluation on the Senator's Terms 2.1 The Study of Representation and the Irony of Home Style 2.2 Presentational Styles and Evaluation on the Senator's Terms 2.3 Conclusion	11 13 19 25
3	Measuring Presentational Styles with Senate Press Releases 3.1 Existing Sources for Measuring How Senators Engage Constituents 3.2 Why Press Releases 3.3 Conclusion	26 27 29 38
4	Measuring Presentational Styles in Thousands of Press Release 4.1 A Bayesian Statistical Model to Measure Expressed Priorities 4.2 Identifying the Topics of Discussion 4.3 Assessing the Validity of the Topic Classification 4.4 Validating the Estimated Priorities 4.5 Conclusion	
5	The Types of Presentational Styles in the U.S. Senate 5.1 The Types of Presentational Styles in the Senate 5.2 Position-Takers to Credit-Claimers: Validating the Policy-Po- Spectrum 5.3 Conclusion	59 60 ork 73 75

vii



V111		Cor	itents
6	6.1	Electoral Connection's Effect on Senators' Presentational Styles Introduction The Electoral Connection and Presentational Style Choice The Farmer and the Lawyer The Electoral Connection's Influence on Expressed Priorities Stable and Slowly Changing Presentational Styles Conclusion	77 77 78 80 83 92 102
7	Pres. 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Correspondence between Senators' Work in Washington and entational Styles Introduction Appropriators in Presentational Styles and Roll-Call Votes Senators' Positions in Press Releases Are Positions Articulated in Floor Speeches Correlation between Presentational Styles and Bill Introductions Conclusion	104 104 105 111 116 118
8		Presentational Styles Matter for Dyadic Representation Introduction What Constituents Know about Representatives and How They Evaluate Their Members of Congress How Press Releases Drive Newspaper Coverage The Effect of Presentational Styles on Constituent Evaluations Legislators' Presentational Styles and Dyadic Representation	120 120 122 125 132 138
9	Why 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4	Presentational Styles Matter for Collective Representation Partisan Convergence in Issue Attention Partisan Debates in Presentational Styles Distorted Debate and Extremist Domination The Collective Consequences of Presentational Styles	141 143 147 155 160
10	10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	what Legislators Say and Why It Matters Consequences for Existing Studies of Ideological Representation How Politicians Are Covered in the Press Credit Claiming: The Personal Vote and the Budget Rhetorical Polarization How Politicians Engage the Public Representation Inside and Outside the Senate	163 164 165 166 167 167 168 170
	A.1 A.2	hods Appendix Statistical Model and Estimation Latent Dirichlet Allocation Chapter 3 Appendix	171 171 180 181
	Bibliography Index		183 199



Acknowledgements

Academic work suffers from an attribution problem. Authors are given full credit (and most of the blame) for their work. Yet every piece of academic work is the result of the intellectual exchange among a community of scholars, the support of centers, and the patience of family. Credit deserves to be spread more broadly than is the standard. Like other works, this book has benefited immensely from colleagues, academic centers, and a wonderfully supportive family. And as with most academic work, those who supported this work will receive too little credit for the end product.

In this book I show that politicians solve similar attribution problems with public statements – explaining what they did to earn the support of their constituents. This brief section represents my attempt to solve the attribution problem inherent in academic work. And as with other attempts to more appropriately distribute credit in the academy, I will fail to adequately thank everyone who helped me finish this book.

This project began as my dissertation at Harvard University. There, generous intellectual support from colleagues and monetary support from centers in the Harvard Government Department helped me to develop and refine my argument. Gary King, my chair, was (and continues to be) a regular source of advice and collaboration. The other members of my committee – Claudine Gay, D. Sunshine Hillygus, and Kevin Quinn – pressed me to refine my argument and contributed immensely to this final product. Other professors at Harvard were generous with their time and advice – Daniel Carpenter, Adam Glynn, Paul Peterson, Arthur Spirling, Matthew Platt, Ryan Owens, Jennifer Hochschild, and Ken Shepsle were especially so. Daily conversations with my fellow graduate students helped immensely. Several of my fellow students deserve special mention: Jennifer Bachner, Emily Hickey, Dan Hopkins, Elena Llaudet, Jon Bischof, Omar Wasow, Mike Kellerman, Matt Blackwell, John Gasper, Scott Moser, Ryan Moore, Brian Feinstein, Eleanor Powell, Sean

ix



Acknowledgements

x

Ingham, Lucas Stanczyk, Jason Lakin, Josh Cherniss, Andrew Coe, Andy Eggers, Jens Hainmueller, Yuki Takagi, Ben Goodrich, Brandon Stewart, Maya Sen, Miya Woolfalk, and Abby Williamson. Clayton Nall provided nearly daily advice on my research and continues to offer insightful comments that make my work more relevant for the practice of politics. Joel Newberger was a tireless research assistant. I also benefited from generous support from the Center for American Political Studies and the Institute for Quantitative Social Science.

After graduate school, I arrived in the Stanford University Department of Political Science. It is hard to imagine a more supportive group of colleagues who could have been more generous with their time or more insightful with their advice. Adam Bonica, Dave Brady, Gary Cox, Lauren Davenport, Jim Fearon, Mo Fiorina, Simon Jackman, Karen Jusko, David Laitin, Phil Lipscy, Alison McQueen, Josh Ober, Jonathan Rodden, Gary Segura, Paul Sniderman, Mike Tomz, Jonathan Wand, and Barry Weingast all provided invaluable advice on finishing the manuscript. Lisa Blaydes deserves special mention not only did she suggest the title for the book, but she also pressed me to offer deeper and more theoretically interesting explanations for many of the patterns presented. A group of exceedingly talented graduate students was instrumental in finishing the project. Solomon Messing, Rebecca Weiss, Sean Westwood, and Frances Zlotnick all read the complete manuscript and offered detailed (and wonderfully earnest) criticism that vastly improved the content. Participants in my freshman seminar "The Politics of Communication" provided valuable assistance and helped refine some analyses as part of their class assignments. The Hoover Institute also provided financial support.

Throughout graduate school and my time at Stanford, my argument has improved because of the generosity of other political scientists and workshop participants. R. Michael Alvarez, Frank Baumgartner, Amber Boydstun, Daniel Butler, David Canon, Skyler Cranmer, Daniel Diermeier, Jeff Gill, Jeff Lewis, Burt Monroe, Rob Van Houweling, Hanna Wallach, John Wilkerson, and Rick Wilson all provided valuable feedback. Workshop participants were essential for refining my argument. The workshop participants who helped were many, including the Triangle Methods Group, SUNY–Stony Brook, Texas A&M University, University of Notre Dame, University of Wisconsin, University of California, Berkeley, University of California, Los Angeles, University of Chicago Booth Business School, California Institute of Technology, UMass Amherst, MIT, and New York University. Lewis Bateman was an extraordinarily encouraging editor.

My family's unending support has made this book possible. My parents, Karl and Becky Grimmer, are the embodiment of love and support, and to them I will be forever grateful. My children – Isabella, Eliot, and Holden – have been an endless source of joy. The most credit, however, should be given to



Acknowledgements

xi

my wife, Terese Grimmer. She has been a daily source of inspiration, provided constant support, and demonstrated amazing patience. Words are insufficient to describe the debt I owe her.

Justin Grimmer Palo Alto, California