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Preface

GIGI FOSTER

During my undergraduate days, while studying the materialist science of economics together with its more flowery cousins, philosophy and political science, I kept the following translation of a quotation from Dostoevsky’s *Brothers Karamazov* pinned above my desk:

*Give up your gods and come worship ours, or else death to you and your gods!*

At the time, I saw this as a comment on the negative power of organized religion. Displaying the quotation was for me both an affirmation of the comparatively pacific nature of atheism and an expression of horror that beliefs alone could lead to material destruction. My horror, as with most people’s, was both self-righteous and the product of ignorance.

As a budding economist and a firm utilitarian, however offended I was by the apparent irrationality of faith, I still perceived something good in it. I could not ignore its pacifying influence on the downtrodden, an observation offered only with heavy negative moralistic overtones in the standard canon. Yet many vexing questions continued to reverberate in my mind, to which I found no satisfactory answers in anything I read. Why did smart people allow themselves to believe in the demonstrably false? Why could they not be shaken by rational arguments? Why did they engage in seemingly ridiculous activities, such as prayer, and why did they brainwash their own children and others into performing these activities as well? Why did this brainwashing regularly work? Why might it feel good to believe in falsehoods? How personally committed was I to rejecting this potential stream of good feeling on the basis of the intellectual barrenness of its source, and was my rejection itself not a blatant violation of my own professed utilitarianism?

The science of mainstream economics captured my mind and my career by quantifying and explaining so much of human behavior
using one simple framework. But economic theory as taught to me
did not go far enough, and the links depicted in my liberal-arts edu-
cation between economics, philosophy, political theory, and other
social sciences (chiefly psychology, anthropology, and sociology) were
not developed or mutually consistent enough, to satisfactorily answer
questions such as these.

Several years ago, I accepted Paul Frijters’ offer to collaborate on the
production of a book like this, which marks a key milestone in what
is now a longer-term joint project. A central goal of this project is the
development of a tractable theory that comprehensively and with min-
imal internal inconsistency explains the governing dynamics of social
and economic life. Questions such as those above as well as many others
are being directly addressed in this project. Paul began this work inde-
pendently, laboring for twenty years mostly without acknowledgment
and at great personal cost to construct the basic intellectual framework
that currently underpins the project and is presented in this book. By
the time I joined him, therefore, most of the pieces of the puzzle we
present here were already identified. What I have contributed is mainly
glue, polish, some rejigging here and there, and above all a new source
of energy to help put the pieces into place. I thank Paul for seeing the
potential in our collaboration. It has been immensely rewarding, both
personally and intellectually, to be of assistance in this way and to see
something so much larger than myself take shape.

Naturally, as with any significant undertaking, there have been costs
to joining this project. First and most obviously, it is highly time- and
labor-intensive to produce a book. These costs have been borne not
only directly by me but also indirectly by my husband Michael Baker
and our children, Sienna and Neil, without whose unending support I
could never have committed to such a venture. From a career perspec-
tive too, there have been costs: the academy of economists by and large
does not reward the production of broadly reaching books such as this
one, but rather the production of “high-quality” journal articles. This
is the main reason why books like this are rare.

A longer-term cost that has come from working on this book is a
loss of innocence. The story told here offers such a powerful micro-
scope into the inner workings of humans that looking through it can
trigger fundamental personal change. As a prime example, while the
prospect of understanding the genesis of love is intellectually comp-
pelling, actually witnessing the demystification of the love mechanism
is also shocking on a personal level. One must find a way to carry on after this experience as a normal individual, despite having deconstructed love (and hence one’s own loves) into constituent parts that are laid bare. More generally, a strong element of conscious will gradually becomes crucial in maintaining the health of one’s inner life, as the pillars of that inner life are gradually exposed.

What this book contains in relation to the realm of the heart is hence not a message for cowards. Part of the book’s message is that the “realm of the heart” is broader than common understanding would suggest. I expect that most readers who are open enough to read to the end will have a negative emotional reaction to at least one part of the story told – a reaction that many will quickly rationalize on intellectual grounds – because in this book, sacred cows of one breed or another are slaughtered regularly and without ceremony. Established wisdom in many disciplines is not taken at face value, but rather examined with single-minded intent, and what are deemed the useful bits are surgically excised. By implication, the remainder is merely offal. There is no dignity in this, which will offend those for whom established wisdom has become part of their own identity and is therefore something to be revered. Given the constraints of space, and notwithstanding scores of references, we also cannot pay homage to each previous thinker who has had an idea that could be shown to support the various lines of argument put forth. I cannot offer an apology for what will be perceived as the book’s insufficient reverence, but I do recognize the need to ask the reader to be patient and forgiving.

I believe that the story we tell in this book heralds a new dawn in social science. An enhanced version of the economic view of man is built, defended, and used to explain at a fundamental level the supporting features of a modern economy; the process of technical advancement and the ultimate sources of economic growth; the roles of faith, power, competition, and collaboration in our economies; how the symbiotic relationship between the public and private sectors has emerged through the process of social development; gender relations, political manipulation, and the natural tendencies of academic disciplines; the variable longevity of different types of social group structures; and the nature of culture. Our story nominates a minimum set of individual and social building blocks required to make sense of both our daily lives and our socioeconomic structures in a cohesive manner. It points out the awesome strengths and the inherent
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Weaknesses of our current societies and provides a foundation on which to conceptualize economic, social, moral, and psychological health, and predict our future as a social species. An avalanche of supporting research findings and real-world examples – shocking, comic, and banal – are drawn from throughout the world and across time. Centuries of thought are drawn upon, meaning that some of the explanations offered will already be known to the reader, while others will be either the result of new combinations of familiar material, or else entirely novel. Because it is a work in progress, frontiers are described that I hope will lead to rigorous debate in established circles and stimulate the research agendas of young people. Extensions and applications in dozens of areas are immediate. Reading this story for the first time will be, at worst, extremely uncomfortable and, at best, the ultimate intellectual thrill.

No science can progress without continued rejuvenation of its theoretical backbone. One of my hopes for this project in the longer term is to make the story in this book, as it continues to evolve, fully at one with the canon of mainstream economics, for the sake of the continued intellectual vitality of economics and social science more broadly. In this sense, helping to construct this book has been above all a religious endeavor with the pursuit and dissemination of truth in social science as the god. My religiosity in this regard has defeated my rational utilitarian impulses, as I am not at all sure that the dissemination of this book will increase total happiness.

I hope that you approach this book with an eager and open mind, ready to engage. My best advice to you for coping with its messages on an emotional level is to allow yourself to love, and to retain your belief in a few benign falsehoods. Without them, you are not truly human.
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