The dark side of body part trading operates in a dynamic fashion, full of mystery, intrigue, and ambition. On the one hand, black and gray markets are illegal, but also pioneering and inventive; and although this type of criminal activity requires a level of dexterity and innovation, the point should not be lost that these markets thrive and flourish, sometimes in view of law. On the other hand, altruistic body part procurement is mired by low participation, which encourages black market transactions. Thousands of sick patients will die each year without the hope of receiving an organ or bone marrow donation through the altruistic procurement system, so they turn to the dark side.

This book offers a frank conversation about altruism in the global body market. It exposes how researchers exploit their patients’ ignorance to harvest tissue samples, blood, and other biologics without consent for research and patent development. The book chronicles exploitation in the name of altruism, including the nonconsensual use of children in dangerous clinical trials, and analyzes social and legal commitments to the value of altruism – offering an important critique of the vulnerability of altruism to corruption, coercion, pressure, and other negative externalities.

Michele Goodwin is the Everett Fraser Professor of Law and Professor of Medicine and Public Health at the University of Minnesota. She holds joint appointments at the University of Minnesota Medical School and the University of Minnesota School of Public Health. Prior to teaching law, Goodwin was a Gilder-Lehrman Postdoctoral Fellow at Yale University. She serves on the editorial boards of several journals, including *Law & Social Inquiry* and the *Harvard/Stanford/Duke Journal of Law and the Biosciences*. She is the author or editor of four books and more than sixty articles and book chapters. Her editorials and commentaries have appeared in the *Los Angeles Times*, *New York Times*, *Forbes*, *Gene Watch*, *Christian Science Monitor*, *Cleveland Plain Dealer*, *Houston Chronicle*, *Chicago Sun Times*, and *Washington Post*. She is a columnist for “The Conversation” at the *Chronicle of Higher Education* and a blogger for the Harvard Bill of Health blog.
The Global Body Market

ALTRUISM’S LIMITS

Edited by

MICHELE GOODWIN

Everett Fraser Professor of Law and Professor of Medicine & Public Health, University of Minnesota
For Sage and Brooks
Contents

About the Authors .............................................................. page ix
Acknowledgments ............................................................. xiii
The Global Body Market: Altruism’s Limits .............................. xv
Introduction by Michele Goodwin ........................................ xvii

PART I CONTESTABLE COMMODITIES

   Debora L. Spar

2 Exploitation and Choice in the Global Egg Trade: Emotive Terminology or Necessary Critique? ................ 21
   Donna Dickenson

3 How to Create Markets in Contestable Commodities ................................................................. 44
   Richard A. Epstein

PART II THE BLIND SIDE OF ALTRUISM: ABUSE, COERCION, AND FRAUD

4 Compelled Body Part Donations from Children ................................................................. 67
   Michele Goodwin

5 Quid pro Quo Altruism ........................................................ 87
   Jamila Jefferson-Jones
Contents

6 Situated Bodies in Medicine and Research: Altruism versus Compelled Sacrifice .........................107
Naomi N. Duke

PART III MANAGING MARKETS IN CONTESTABLE
COMMODITIES: PUBLIC AND PRIVATE REGULATION

7 The Perverse History of Dead Bodies under
   American Law .................................................127
   Ray D. Madoff

8 Taxing the Body ..............................................148
   Dorothy A. Brown

9 Criminal Policing of Human Experimentation ........160
   L. Song Richardson

10 Liberalizing Tort Law .......................................183
   Michele Goodwin

Conclusion .........................................................203
Journal Acknowledgments ....................................209
Index ..................................................................211
About the Authors

Dorothy A. Brown: dorothy.brown@emory.edu
Professor Brown is a Professor of Law at Emory University Law School with specialty focus in federal tax law and critical race theory. Professor Brown previously taught at Washington and Lee University School of Law, where she was also the director of the Frances Lewis Law Center. Professor Brown’s editorials on tax policy have appeared in the New York Times. She completed her LLM at New York University School of Law and holds a JD from Georgetown University Law Center and a BS from Fordham University.

Donna Dickenson: d.dickenson@bristol.ac.uk
Professor Dickenson is a research associate at HeLEX Centre for Health, Law, and Emerging Technologies; an Emeritus Professor of Medical Ethics and Humanities at the University of London; and an honorary Senior Research Fellow at the Centre for Ethics in Medicine, University of Bristol. Professor Dickenson’s research interests include commodification of the human body, genetic patenting, reproductive ethics and law, personalized genetic testing, and biobanks. She is a prolific author and holds a PhD from Open University in the United Kingdom, an MSc from the London School of Economics, and a BA from Wellesley College.

Naomi N. Duke: duke0028@umn.edu
Dr. Duke is an Assistant Professor of Pediatrics in the Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, and the Medical Director of the West Suburban Teen Clinic in the greater Twin Cities Metro area of Minnesota. Dr. Duke is board certified in Internal Medicine, Pediatrics, and Adolescent
About the Authors

Medicine. She holds an MD from Harvard Medical School, an MPH from the University of Minnesota, and a BS from Wake Forest University.

Richard A. Epstein: r-epstein@uchicago.edu

Professor Epstein is the James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor Emeritus of Law and Senior Lecturer at the University of Chicago Law School, the Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law at New York University, and the Peter and Kristen Bedford Senior Fellow at the Hoover Institution. Professor Epstein is also a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and a Senior Fellow of the Center for Clinical Medical Ethics at the University of Chicago Medical School. He is the author of many books, including *Takings: Private Property and the Power of Eminent Domain* (1985) and *Mortal Peril: Our Inalienable Right to Health Care?* (1997). He holds an LLB from Yale Law School, a BA (Juris) from Oxford University, and a BA from Columbia College.

Michele Goodwin: mgoodwin@umn.edu

Professor Goodwin is the Everett Fraser Professor in Law at the University of Minnesota. She holds joint appointments at the University of Minnesota Medical School and the University of Minnesota School of Public Health. Professor Goodwin’s research focuses on the role of law in the promotion and regulation of critical intersections of medicine, science, and biotechnology. She teaches Constitutional Law, Torts, Constitutional Torts, and a broad selection of health law courses. She is the author of *Black Markets: The Supply and Demand of Body Parts* (2006) and *Baby Markets* (2009). She was a Gilder-Lehrman Postdoctoral Fellow at Yale University after completing her LLM from the University of Wisconsin, a JD from Boston College Law School, and a BA from the University of Wisconsin.

Jamila Jefferson-Jones: jjefferson@mail.barry.edu

Professor Jefferson-Jones teaches at Barry University School of Law. She is a graduate of Harvard Law School and Harvard College. Prior to entering academia, serving as a Visiting Assistant Professor at the University of Denver Sturm College of Law, she was a staff attorney in the New Orleans Public Defenders office and an associate in the general business and energy section for Jones Walker, LLP in New Orleans. She was the Executive Editor of the *Civil Rights–Civil Liberties Law Review* and graduated with honors.
About the Authors

from Harvard College as an undergraduate, presenting the English Oration at Harvard’s 346th commencement.

Ray D. Madoff: ray.madoff@bc.edu
Professor Madoff is a Professor of Law at Boston College Law School and a regular commentator on matters of estate taxes, charitable giving, and the rights of the deceased. She completed her LLM at New York University School of Law and holds a JD from New York University School of Law and an AB from Brown University.

L. Song Richardson: srichard@wcl.american.edu
Professor Richardson is a Professor of Law at the University of Iowa Law School. Professor Richardson is an expert in criminal law and procedure, and her current research utilizes the science of implicit social cognition to study criminal procedure and policing. She holds a JD from Yale Law School and a BA from Harvard University.

Debora L. Spar: dspar@barnard.edu
Professor Spar is the seventh President of Barnard College. Professor Spar’s scholarly research focuses on issues of international political economy, examining how rules are established in new and emerging markets and how firms and governments jointly shape the changing global economy. She is the author of The Baby Business. She holds a PhD from Harvard University, an AM from Harvard University, and a BS from Georgetown University.
Acknowledgments

_The Global Body Market_ is a long time coming, and there was no better home for it than with John Berger at Cambridge University Press. Thank you, John. The book began as a symposium idea, during my visit at the University of Chicago Law School. Thus, I am grateful to Saul Levmore, Richard A. Epstein, and the John M. Olin Program in Law and Economics at the University of Chicago for support of the project that ultimately resulted in this edited volume. In addition to the fine authors who contributed to this book, there are many others whom I wish to thank, including my former student Nevin Gewertz and Professors Jake Linford and Shine Tu, as well as my former colleagues at the University of Chicago: Lee Fennell, Lainie Ross, Mary Anne Case, Lior Strahilevitz, and Anup Malani.

This book is about human flourishing and the ways in which that can be achieved through human biological exchanges. There are colleagues in the field who, for many years, have shared a passion for pursuing this ideal and their individual and collective voices have mattered to this work. I am grateful to Lori Andrews, Adrienne Asche, Naomi Cahn, June Carbone, Mary Anne Case, Glenn Cohen, Richard A. Epstein, Benjamin Hippen, Frances Kissling, Virginia Postrel, Radhika Rao, Dorothy Roberts, Sally Satel, Mary Simmerling, and Harriet Washington. In addition, I am indebted to the Tarrytown Meetings (and alumni) for three years of stimulating exchange with brilliant scholars, activists, journalists, and others and the Baby Markets “faculty” who keep the fire burning six years after our first meeting in Chicago with annual symposia.

I am also grateful to the late Senator Arlen Spector who courageously agreed to promote a dialogue about organ transplantation policy before departing Congress. He and his staff were helpful in thinking through steps
Acknowledgments

forward to relieve the strain in the nation’s organ transplantation system. That it has been nearly thirty years since Congress last took up organ transplantation policy in more comprehensive terms indicates how long overdue a meaningful dialogue remains.

My coauthors add pathbreaking value to this book. I thank each of them: Dorothy A. Brown, Donna Dickenson, Naomi N. Duke, Richard A. Epstein, Jamila Jefferson-Jones, Ray D. Madoff, L. Song Richardson, and Debora L. Spar. My gratitude for their commitment to this project and eagerness to engage in a long overdue conversation about the nuances of altruism, choice, incentives, and the externalities that attend each of those domains cannot be overstated. I am indebted to them.

David Wippman, Dean of the University of Minnesota Law School, provides generous support for my research, and I wish to thank him. My work benefits from the assistance provided by Professor Suzanne Thorpe of the University of Minnesota Library. I work closely with Suzanne and am grateful for her contributions on nearly all of my projects. This project could not have been completed without the aid of my former Executive Assistant, Jessica Kubis, who assisted in transcribing and proofing. I am also grateful to my research assistant, Allison Whelan, for her cite checking.

Finally, for a book positing altruism’s limits, there is a subtle irony in closing my acknowledgments by thanking my family for their selflessness, support, kindness, and consideration. I am inspired by and ever grateful to them. I mark the growth, travels, and development of my children by their accomplishments as well as each of my book projects. As I finalize this book, Sage completes a first semester in college after a year in China and Brooks nears the end of his time at Fletcher, after a successful summer in Thailand. Their accomplishments place everything that their parents achieve in context as too often what we do pales in comparison. And to my husband, Gregory Shaffer, thank you for knowing what work is, for recognizing it, embracing it, and giving so very much with an indomitable kindness of spirit and soul.
The Global Body Market: Altruism’s Limits

(U.S. Public Health Service; Tuskegee University Archives)
Eighty years ago a medical study, commonly referred to as the “Tuskegee Study,” engaged hundreds of poor black farmers and day laborers in a human research project focused on their deaths from syphilis. The participants were syphilitic and many were sexually active with their wives, who later became infected. During the forty years that the study was conducted, treatments to cure the clinical trial subjects were withheld from them. Instead, under the guise of treatment, they were provided aspirin and subjected to painful spinal taps. Most interesting, however, are the dynamics and interplay of altruism. The U.S. Public Health Service and researchers involved emphasized that what the human subjects received was “special and free.” The research subjects were problematically portrayed as altruistic, rather than coerced and vulnerable. This book addresses these problematic framings.
Introduction

Michele Goodwin

There exists both the public and private negotiation processes for body part transactions, and both systems operate at crisis levels. These systems are characterized by incoherence, ambiguity, and sometimes fraud, coercion, clandestineness, and opportunity. Even more perniciously, there are black market transactions in the human body that involve direct exploitation, slavery, and even murder. Such are the scale and scope of the human body trade.

On one end of the spectrum exists an altruistic vision for human body part exchange, whether for organs, bone marrow, ova, sperm, corneas, heart valves, bones, or other highly profitable body parts. At the other end operate cold realities: medical school chop shops selling off abandoned and donated parts; creepy, mafialike organizations that sweep in after disasters like the tsunamis in Thailand and Japan or the devastating earthquake in Haiti to rob from the dead; rabbis hustling organs – conscripting poor Israelis to surrender kidneys for so-called cousins in the United States; and desperate delicensed doctors who figure the best way to make money these days is to open a biobank – and steal deceased persons’ organs. These are the dark secrets of body part trading; hungry mafias taking while they can.

The dark side of body part trading operates in a dynamic fashion, full of mystery, intrigue, and ambition. On the one hand, black and gray markets are illegal, but also pioneering and inventive. It may be argued that criminal activity requires a level of dexterity and innovation. However, the point should not be lost; black and gray body part markets thrive and flourish, sometimes in view of law. Indeed, biobank companies often directly flout the rule of law.
On the other hand, our altruistic system of procurement is sadly predictable and quite conventional. For example, today we know that thousands of sick patients will die without the hope of ever receiving an organ or bone marrow donation. End-stage renal failure patients – those in need of kidneys – are the unfortunate victims in a system that demands altruism from any and all who will consider saving their lives. Only recently, in *Flynn v. Holder*, did the Ninth Circuit carve out an exception for bone marrow patients. In other words, as of 2012, those in need of bone marrow are no longer harnessed to a procurement scheme that necessitates altruism only; their donors may be compensated or receive a gift or some form of incentive as a reward for donating. The United States Justice Department threatens to appeal.

Predictably, the transplant waiting list will expand far beyond the capacity of organ donors to ever meet the demand. You might consider what this all means after a “gift of life” public service announcement airs on your local television station. Within the span of your favorite one-hour television show, a candidate on our organ transplant waiting list will likely die. Commentators are divided as to how this issue should be addressed. To change the altruistic procurement system by introducing market alternatives may ultimately lead to placing values on products from the body, thereby interfering with important societal notions of personhood according to Professor Margaret Radin. Acquiescence to an altruistic system of procurement might necessarily mean accepting its failures to generate an adequate supply of organs and the avoidable deaths that result.

In either case, formal law has yet to catch up with these new malleable biotechnological regimes, which operate both within the public sphere and underground. The public process is best characterized by our current organ procurement and allocation systems, which are regulated by Congress through the National Organ Transplantation Act (NOTA) and at the state level through the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act. However, those systems, relying upon pure altruistic transfers, are underserved and over-subscribed. Quite bluntly, demand for precious body parts – corneas, kidneys, hearts, lungs, livers, and the like – far outpaces altruistic supply. The private, underground systems involve individuals taking their chances on buying an organ through the black market and companies buying and selling human body parts and tissues from university hospitals, funeral homes, and organ procurement organizations.
These contemporary scenarios raise a multitude of issues, including the ethics of financial incentives in supplying resources from the body, the role of law in shaping the contours of body part exchanges, the limits of autonomy, the breadth of legitimate contractual agreements, morality, responsibility, and conflicts of interests. The strange narratives associated with body part corruption are animated by the tremendous demand for human biological supply and suppliers resorting to crafty – and often clandestine – measures to procure them. With more than one million allograft surgeries taking place each year in the United States, supply must come from somewhere.

Yet, recent body part scandals obscure the other contested and equally commoditized spaces mapped on the human body. Wombs, ova, sperm, embryos, and children represent the publicly marketized end of the spectrum. At this end of the scale, the essences of life – the building blocks – become regularly and intensely subjected to market norms. What contributes to the differences in how these spheres (organs vs. ova and the like) are perceived in society, regulated by legislatures, or adjudicated by the judiciary is conditioned by perceptions and normative understandings of the human body.

Quite restrictively, NOTA prohibits any “valuable consideration” to be exchanged for human tissues. Despite this broad language, reproductive markets flourish in direct view of the Justice Department. The law, crafted by Senator Al Gore in 1984, reflects a biotechnologically bygone era that predates robust ova and sperm markets, billion-dollar tissue markets, and the grave disparity between organ supply and demand in the United States and worldwide.

The Global Body Market: Altruism’s Limits reaches beyond asking what is appropriately commodifiable and what is not. Those questions are important but fall short of a nuanced engagement on commensurability and altruism. The book considers the tremendous demands for substances like organs, ova, sperm, and other biological materials as well as information demands that can only be satisfied by rigorous research and analysis. Importantly, this book does not abandon legal pragmatism in search of a theory. Instead, the analysis breaks ground by taking a legal realism approach to study the history of body part transactions and then turns to the necessary conditions for a market in contestable commodities. The chapters vary by subject matter, casting a broad net querying the function...
of altruism and markets across a spectrum, encompassing organ commodification and baby markets to patents based on cell lines and tissues for research.

The Framework

*The Global Body Market* does not attempt to prove that black markets exist in human biological supply. Rather, this book is the next step. It considers how we should think about the markets that currently exist and what we should do about them. To accomplish this, I have enlisted experts in contracts, criminal law, tax law, trusts and estates, tort law, and property law, among others, to contribute to this important dialogue. The contributors consider how we might begin to create frameworks that move the discussion about incentives for human biological materials beyond hypothetical treatments in the literature to test them at the state and federal levels.

The book offers an important analytical framework for regulating markets in the human biological domain, thus distinguishing it from sociolegal accounts of the topic in recent years that call attention to human markets without offering a normative view. It analyzes demand and supply of human biologics by taking into account the need for law, the demands of biotechnology, and the role of bioethics. We do not debate whether there should be markets in the human biological sphere. Rather, we acknowledge body markets as a global phenomenon and probe how we should think about public and private regulations of body markets. Thus, we move beyond attempting to call public attention to rogue operations involving human body parts to interrogating the rule and role of law when body markets emerge.

*The Global Body Market* contributes to an emerging social discourse on the human body exchange in five distinct ways. First, it expands the conventional bioethics framework to include an examination of markets in human biological supply – an issue marginally explored by traditional bioethics scholarship. Second, it adds cultural value to bioethics and biotechnology scholarship by taking seriously the emerging discourses of race, socioeconomics, and politics in bioethics. Third, it engages tort law, articulating why a civil remedies approach should serve as a viable vehicle for redressing harms suffered by consumers of human biological supplies. Surprisingly, in the realm of human body politics, tort law is a spotty patchwork. For
example, in some instances plaintiffs who claim that their (or a relative’s) body parts were “stolen” by doctors and researchers can recover damages, and in other cases they cannot. In some instances those who have been harmed by the implantation of unhealthy human tissue can recover, while again others are without a remedy. The chapter entitled Liberalizing Tort Law critiques the judicial formalism that ultimately constrains the development of judicial frameworks to reorient legal consideration of body part claims. Fourth, this book engages criminal and tax law, shaping a prospective view of public regulation of human biological exchanges. The chapters on criminal law and tax law regimes provide compelling, original scholarship, which defines how policy makers should “police” human biological exchanges. Fifth, in Situated Bodies in Medicine and Research, Naomi N. Duke warns that those most likely to be harmed by judicial formalism and a blind adherence to altruism will be poor people of color.

This book examines a blind spot in the broader literature on the human body and its uses in the marketplace, medicine, and society. Authors in this volume urge a more nuanced approach to considering human biological exchanges. That is, they make the case that social justice, morality, and important sociolegal values do not derive exclusively from altruism. For example, a legal and social literature has developed, which associates commensurability and alienability in the human body with devaluing personhood, repugnance, and even claims that compensating people for organ sharing amounts to prostitution. Quite often, racial and economic statuses are deployed to argue that alienability or markets particularly hurt minorities. Assumed in this are the vulnerability and lack of capability (or incapacity) of the poor and people of color to steer themselves effectively out of the reach of hungry body “commodifiers” or brokers who seek to coerce and exploit them for their body parts. Such heuristics condemn all nonaltruistic body part exchanges as tainted by money or property exchanges.

Yet, altruism is corruptible, vulnerable to coercion, pressure, and threat. Indeed, that which is “free” does not always correlate to nor uphold foundational human values, such as honesty, love, compassion, integrity, dignity, empathy, respect, freedom, liberty, and dignity. Slavery remains the starker example of that. However, one need not extend back to slavery in order to parse out the limits of altruism.

The Global Body Market avoids positioning and patronizing marginalized groups as “objects” within the broader discourse of human biological
exchange. For example, for many years bioethicists rejected the use of incentives to procure more organs. Largely, their claims rested on beliefs that incentives disserve and harm minorities. In the United States, the claim was that African Americans would become the unwitting victims of wealthy white organ procurers. Bioethicists used race as a trope for discouraging proposals that might have led to regulated body-supply markets. Ironically, black markets in human body parts have flourished in the absence of regulated markets. Missing is a credible alternative view that documents the scope of human biological markets, articulates the importance of incentivized markets in those domains, and explains why regulation of human biological industries makes more sense than an unwavering commitment to altruistic human biological exchanges. The collection of voices in this volume fills this void.

Authors in this book scrutinize the law's role in demarking the boundaries of commodification and the human body. By no means are their chapters uniform; indeed the diversity of opinion and varying insights are quite refreshing. The authors challenge the notion that markets necessarily violate personhood, while also debating the relevance of socioeconomics, status, race, politics, influence, power, and gender in biological exchanges. Their chapters are perceptive, constructive commentaries about body part supply and demand in a global economy.

For example, Ray D. Madoff reminds us that the global economy in human biologics predates the contemporary questions with which we struggle: to commodify or not to commodify. Simply harnessing supply became an eighteenth- and nineteenth-century challenge, which necessarily led to coercion, corruption, and surreptitious body part theft. The rise of the U.S. medical school model depended on grave exploration and pil laging. Medical students sometimes stole the bodies on which they would later research. Medical school deanships rose and fell on how well a supply of cadavers could be maintained for entering classes. Thus, the business of body part procurement is not a new predicament. Rather, this problem is quite old, and still seemingly unresolved.

Dorothy A. Brown and L. Song Richardson offer compelling intuitions that help to answer the problem. Richardson proposes criminal sanctions to reign in those who abuse biological markets. Brown takes a different approach, urging pragmatic consideration of tax liability associated with biological markets. Where Richardson proposes retribution and punishment to curtail a rogue body market, Brown turns to the tax code. Brown's
chapter emphasizes the importance of considering the pragmatic contours of markets, including the tax liability that flows with the sale of goods.

In his chapter, Richard A. Epstein describes the selling of kidneys, livers, hearts, blood, and eggs, as well as the selling or adopting of babies as provoking “the constant cries of ‘commodification,’” which are not meant to show how market institutions introduced the standardization that makes voluntary exchange possible. Instead, as he notes, “the new use of the term ‘commodification’... is intended to explain why those supposed efforts at standardization and voluntary exchange, especially for valuable consideration, run against the moral grain.” Thus, Epstein takes to dispelling what he describes as “confusion” about behavior in body part markets. His chapter provides a sophisticated counternarrative to the concern that markets prevent human flourishing and can never protect individual rights. That said, he critiques and later praises the enormously insightful chapter authored by Debra L. Spar.

Debra L. Spar is equally concerned with questions regarding markets and rights, particularly reproductive rights. For example, she questions whether markets can ever protect reproductive rights. She acknowledges that “markets are about money and prices,” and nevertheless, markets, she notes, “may still be able ... to provide [the] critical function” of protecting rights. Spar’s chapter explores this counterintuitive proposition, examining whether — and how, and why — markets might be harnessed to the service of this particular right. She reminds us that “markets do not operate in a vacuum, and they can never be the only source of protection for rights, since any movement that they make in this direction happens only as an accident of their normal, profit-maximizing behavior.” So what can markets provide? She observes that “[i]n the end ... the only market that can fully protect reproductive rights is one that combines commercial forces with the tempering hand of government regulation.

Yet, not all authors in this volume agree that rights or choice frameworks provide the most useful frame for debating markets in human tissue. For example, Donna Dickenson urges a revised concept of exploitation — one that “can provide a more sophisticated analysis than the standard neo-liberal rhetoric of choice.” She makes a compelling case that “while emphasis on respecting individual choice in liberal and utilitarian thinkers was originally intended to extend rights to oppressed groups, particularly women, and while it was put to use by feminist groups in the ongoing
debates over abortion, it is now too laden with vagueness” to provide explanatory or prescriptive guidance.

Jamilla Jefferson-Jones takes up these thematic concerns – rights, choice, and exploitation – in her chapter. Specifically, she wrestles with an important question: when might or under what circumstances could a charitable deed becomes repugnant? For example, under what circumstances might connecting prison release programs with organ donations reek of repugnance? Could concerns about coercion be mitigated through formal process? Jefferson-Jones explains why a program that provides opportunity for early prison release can not only avoid coercion, but also achieve important societal goals.

Collectively, these chapters argue for greater transparency and pragmatism in the disposition, procurement, and transplantation of human biologics. The authors emphasize the importance of avoiding fraud and coercion in human body part transactions as well as the value in considering a spectrum of supply platforms ranging from mild incentive-based procurement to regulated commodification. In this way, equity, access, and antidiscrimination can be at the forefront in the retrieval and disposition of human organs and tissues.