

Index

accusations bias. See neutrality of mediators formulation of, 67-70 blame, third person attributions of, 68 mitigating, 70 brief oppositional utterances, in participation framework of mediation, 48 responses to, 66 separation from denials, 63-66 activity misalignment, between co-mediators, clarification of main areas of disagreement, by 199-203 mediator, 124-126 agreement, mediator teamwork through expression of, 211 American Arbitration Association, 3 and-prefaces, mediator teamwork through, 216-219 approaches to mediation, 3-4 argument, 60-88 avoiding, 61, 63-71 and, 245 direct exchanges between disputants and, 71 - 77disputant self-exit from, 71-73 focusing on facts to manage, 82 formulation of accusations and denials and, denials 67 - 70interruptions, questions, and sanctions to manage, 80-82 disputants managing and minimizing, 227 mediator-assisted disputant exit from, 73-77 mediator responses to, 77-88 minimal responses and topic shift to manage, 78-80 mediator representation of positions of. mitigated, 62 See mediator representation of positions in ordinary conversation, 61-63 nonproblematic intrusions by, in responses to accusations and, 66 participation framework of mediation, separation of accusations and denials and, 44-50 63-66 opening statements of. See disputants' stepwise departures from mediation format opening statements to, 83-88 opposing, replacement by mediator, Arnold Institute, 21 136 - 147Association of Family and Conciliation Courts, recommendations for, 242-244 replacement by mediator. See replacement of 3 attorney representation, 4 disputant autonomy of disputants, 9 requests for permission to speak from, 41 proposal production and, 235-238 resistance to proposal solicits, 156-164 replacement of disputant and, 141-143 self-representation by, 120

community-based mediation, 12 complementary actions, mediator teamwork through, 219-222 consumer complaint cases. See also small claims mediation conversation analysis, 15 need for research on caucuses in mediation cross-cultural comparisons, need for, 245 data collection, 18, 22 data preparation, 23 formulation of, 67-70 separation from accusations, 63-66 autonomy of. See autonomy of disputants direct exchanges between, 71-77 ideas for resolution, as position reports,

269



270 Index

disputants' opening statements, 89-119 facilitating, 94-99 of first disputants, soliciting, 90-94 interactional consequences of going second and, 111-116 with mediator facilitation, 96-99 mediator solicitation and mediation of, 229 with minimal mediator intervention, 94-96 moral claims for, 102-104 order of, 111-116 preemptive, 104-107 quotes and reported speech for, 101 of second disputant, soliciting, 107-111 stories or narratives for, 100 strong, constructing, 99-104 dispute resolution. See resolution of dispute divorce mediation, 8 interactional organization of sessions for, 51 - 58mediator replacement of disputant in, 138-141 Midwest data and, 21 opening of sessions in, 33-36 proposal production in, 185-194 proposal solicitation in, 167-171 small claims mediation compared with, 13 soliciting disputants' opening statements in, 92-93

empowerment in mediation, 12 error repair, in participation framework of mediation, 46 ethnomethodology, 14 conversation analysis in, 15 examples of mediation sessions, 23

facilitative mediation, 5 facts, focusing on, to deflect from argument, 82 failure of mediator teamwork, 199–209

activity misalignment and, 199–203 interactional misalignment and, 205–208 substantive misalignment and, 203–205 fairness of mediators, 9

fairness of mediators, 9 fairness, replacement of disputant and, 143–147 Family Mediation Association, 3

Federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, 3 footing shifts, mitigation of proposals by, 181

highlighting

of common ground, by mediator, 126–130 of main point, by mediator, 123 history of mediation, 2

humor, to display cooperation, 58 hybrid mediation format, 51–55 proposal generation in, 186–191

information provision, in participation framework of mediation, 50 information-seeking questions as mediator proposals, 178–180 in participation framework of mediation, 49 Institute for Mediation and Conflict Resolution, 3 interactional approaches to research on mediation, 11–14 interactional misalignment, between co-mediators, 205–208

interactional organization of mediation, 29–59 in divorce mediation sessions, 51–58 participation framework and, 44–50 session openings and, 29–36 turn taking system and, 36–44 interruptions, emerging arguments and, 80–82

joking proposals, 191-194

local organizations, 3

mediation programs comparing different types of, 244 recommendations for procedures and processes in, 242–244

research implications for practice of, 240–244

mediator(s)

facilitating solution-generating process by, 233–235

ideas for resolution, as suggestions, 177–185 opening statements with facilitation by, 96–99

opening statements with minimal intervention by, 94–96 recommendations for, 242–244 replacement of opposing disputant by.

See replacement of disputant representation of positions by. See mediator representation of positions

roles of. See roles of mediators sanctions imposed by. See sanctions solicitation and facilitation of disputants' opening statements by, 229

teamwork by. *See* mediator teamwork mediator representation of positions, 120–149, 230–233

articulating main areas of disagreement and, 124–126 articulating the main point and, 123



> Index 271

highlighting of common ground and,	paraphrasing
126–130	by mediator, 122–132
mediator replacement of opposing disputant	mediator teamwork through, 213
and. See replacement of disputant	participation framework of mediation, 44–50
refocusing or redirecting the discussion and,	nonproblematic disputant intrusions and,
122	44–50
repeating summaries and, 130–132	permission to speak, disputants' requests for, 41
revoicing of disputant's position and, 132–136	position reports, disputants' ideas for resolution
nediator style, 4	as, 174–177
nediator style, 4 nediator teamwork, 197–223, 238	preemption, 104–107
activity misalignment and, 199–203	proposals
alignment display through silence and,	display of mediator neutrality and, 183–185
209	of disputants, as position reports, 174–177
through and-prefaces, 216–219	footing shifts and, 181
through complementary actions, 219–222	generation in hybrid exchanges, 186–191
creating and displaying alignment and,	information-seeking questions as, 178–180
209–222	joking, 191–194
through explicit negotiation, 210	of mediators, as suggestions, 177–185
through expressing agreement, 211	mitigating, 180–181
failure of, 199–209	production in divorce mediation, 185–194
interactional misalignment and, 205-208	production of, disputant autonomy and,
through paraphrasing, 213	235–238
through sentence completion, 214–216	proposal solicitation, 150–172
substantive misalignment and, 203–205	with candidate suggestions, 155
Midwest data, 19–23	disputant resistance to, 156–164
minimal responses, to emerging arguments,	in divorce mediation, 167–171
78–80	for elaboration of existing proposal, 154
nitigated proposals, 180–181	general solicits for, 150
noral claims, for constructing opening	narrowing range of, 153
statements, 102–104	for new suggestions, 152
nultiple mediation sessions, interactional	pursuit of proposals and, 164-167
impact of, 51–58	recycling, 164–167
	specific solicits for, 152–156
narrative(s), for constructing opening	purposes of mediation, 1
statements, 100	
narrative mediation, 6	questions
national organizations, 3	emerging arguments and, 80-82
negotiation, explicit, mediator teamwork	information-seeking, as mediator proposals,
through, 210	178–180
neutrality of mediators, 9, 12	quotes, for constructing opening statements,
bias perceived by disputants and, 10	101
display of, mediator proposals and, 183–185	
nonproblematic disputant intrusions, in	recording equipment, 19, 22
participation framework of mediation,	recycling, of proposal solicits, 164–167
44–50	redirecting/refocusing the discussion, by mediator, 122
opening of mediation sessions, 29–36	regional organizations, 3
in divorce mediation, 33–36	replacement of disputant, 136-147
in small claims mediation, 30-33	disputant autonomy and, 141–143
opening statements, of disputants.	in divorce mediation, 138-141
See disputants' opening statements	fairness and, 143-147
oppositional utterances, brief, in participation	in small claims mediation, 136-138
framework of mediation, 48	reported speech, for constructing opening
ordinary conversation, disputing in, 61–63	statements, 101



272 Index

representation silence by mediator. See mediator representation of positions of self, by disputants, 120 research on mediation, 8-14 conversation-analytic, on caucuses, need for, 245 further, suggestions for, 244-247 implications for practice, 240-244 improving access to data and, 246 interactional approaches to, 11-14 research settings, 16-23 Midwest data and, 19-23 West Coast data and, 17-19 resolution of dispute, 173-196 disputants' ideas for, as position reports, 174-177 in divorce mediation, 185-194 facilitating solution-generating process and, 233-235 mediators' ideas for, as suggestions, 177-185 soliciting proposals for. See proposal solicitation revoicing, by mediator, 132-136 roles of mediators in facilitative mediation, 5 as facilitators, 13 in narrative mediation, 6 in transformative mediation, 6 sanctions emerging arguments and, 80-82 imposed by mediators, 42-44 sentence completion mediator teamwork through, 214-216 in participation framework of mediation, 47

co-mediator alignment display through, 209 resistance to proposal solicits using, 158-160 small claims mediation, 8 divorce mediation compared with, 13 mediator replacement of disputant in, 136 - 138Midwest data and, 19-23 opening of sessions in, 30-33 soliciting disputants' opening statements in, 90 - 92West Coast data and, 17-19 solutions, generation of, facilitating process of, 233-235 speech exchange system of mediation, 225-227 stories, for constructing opening statements, 100 strengths of mediation, 8 styles of mediation, 4 substantive misalignment, between co-mediators, 203-205 summarizing, by mediator, 122-132 teamwork. See failure of mediator teamwork; mediator teamwork topic shifts, emerging arguments and, 78-80

transformative mediation, 6 turn taking system of mediation, 36-44

upshots, mediator representation and, 122-132 uses of mediation, 2

weaknesses of mediation, 9 West Coast data, 17-19