COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE
IN EU PROCUREMENT

Competitive dialogue is a procedure introduced into the EU procurement system in 2004 to provide an improved method for awarding complex contracts, such as those for public infrastructure and major IT systems. This book provides a critical examination of the legal rules on this new procedure, focusing in particular on grey areas such as availability of the procedure and the scope for negotiations after 'final tenders'. It considers both the EU-level rules and the way in which those rules have been applied in national systems. The examination draws on extensive evidence of the way in which the procedure has been operated and interpreted across Europe, including from several studies commissioned specifically for this volume. It also includes an extensive chapter co-authored by the volume editors which provides a thorough analysis of the EU-level rules, a comparative reflection on national experiences, and significant critical commentary and recommendations.
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The introduction of competitive dialogue into the EU’s legal regime on public procurement was indisputably one of the most important elements of the latest revision of this regime in 2004. Competitive dialogue was introduced in order to improve on the procedures previously available for realising complex projects, such as privately financed infrastructure projects, complex IT systems, and new types of services. Prior to this, many stakeholders considered that the award procedures for such projects were either too inflexible or were not sufficiently widely available in law, and competitive dialogue was added to fill the gap. The complex contracts to which it applies are significant both because they often involve considerable public expenditure and because they concern vital public services and infrastructure, and a suitable legal regime for awarding such contracts is therefore of utmost importance.

The main aim of this book is to provide a critical examination of the legal regime on competitive dialogue, in light of its objective of providing a procedure for complex procurement across the diverse Member States of the EU. This involves considering both the legal rules at EU level and the way in which those rules have been implemented and applied at national level, the latter being essential for a full perspective on the EU rules themselves. Through this analysis, the book also, significantly, aims to contribute towards the sound future development of the rules on competitive dialogue, both at EU level and in its implementation in national law and practice – whether that be through legislative change, judicial development, government guidance or simply application by contracting authorities. The work also offers an interesting case study of the way in which EU public procurement law has been received in national law and practice, and has influenced, and been influenced by, national approaches to regulating public procurement.
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