### Indigo Plantations and Science in Colonial India

Prakash Kumar documents the history of agricultural indigo, exploring the effects of global processes on a colonial industry in South Asia. Kumar discusses how the knowledge of indigo culture thrived among peasant traditions on the Indian subcontinent in the early modern period. Caribbean planters and French naturalists then developed and codified this knowledge in widely disseminated texts. European planters who began to settle in Bengal with the establishment of British rule in the third quarter of the eighteenth century drew on this network of information. Through the nineteenth century, indigo culture in Bengal became more modern, science based, and expert driven. When a cheaper and purer synthetic indigo was created in 1897, the planters and the colonial state established laboratories to find ways to cheapen the cost of the agricultural dye and improve its purity. This indigo science crossed paths with the colonial state's effort to develop a science for agricultural development and the effort by the Indian intelligentsia to develop a science for the nation. For two decades, natural indigo survived the competition of the industrial substitute. The indigo industry's optimism faded only at the end of the First World War, when the use of German synthetic indigo for textile dyeing and printing became almost universal.

Prakash Kumar is Assistant Professor of South Asian History at Colorado State University.

# Indigo Plantations and Science in Colonial India

PRAKASH KUMAR

Colorado State University



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107023253

© Prakash Kumar 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Kumar, Prakash, 1967– Indigo plantations and science in colonial India / Prakash Kumar. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-02325-3 (hbk.: alk. paper) I. Indigo industry – India – Bengal – History. 2. Plantations – India – Bengal – History. 3. Indigo – India – History. 4. Crop science – India – History. 5. Agriculture – India – History. 6. India – History – British occupation, 1765–1947. I. Title. HD9019.I321445 2012 338.1'7337-dc23 2012016494

ISBN 978-1-107-02325-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> Dedicated to the memory of my father, Akhileshwar Prasad Sinha

### Contents

List of Figures	<i>page</i> viii
List of Maps	ix
List of Tables	Х
Preface	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
Introduction: The Odyssey of Indigo	I
1. The World of Indigo Plantations: Diasporas and Knowledge	25
2. The Course of Colonial Modernity: Negotiating the Landscape in Bengal	77
3. Colony and the External Arena: Seeking Validation in the Market	128
4. Local Science: Agricultural Institutions in the Age of Nationalism	178
5. The Last Stand in Science and Rationalization	226
6. A Lasting Definition of Improvement in the Era of World War	270
Conclusion	297
Bibliography	309
Index	327

### Figures

Ι.	Front cover of Elias Monnereau's Indigo Maker, 1769	page 35
2.	Roxburgh's experimental design using the indigenous	
	process	73
3.	Sowing of indigo, or <i>chitani</i> , in Bengal on river islands	86
4.	The planter's boat ( <i>bhowalea</i> )	86
5.	Planter's bungalow, India	133
6.	Seet, or indigo refuse, being spread in Tirhut agricultural	
	fields as manure	136
7.	Indigo factory, India	138
8.	Indigo beaters, India	138
9.	Indigo drying house, India	139
10.	Portrait of Adolf von Baeyer	149
11.	F. M. Coventry's advertisement for Natal-Java seed	174
12.	Playne's advertisement challenging the chemists	248
13.	Indigo Box (From the Cargo of the German U-Boat	
2	"Deutschland" that made Two Trips to the United States	
	in 1916 at the Behest of an Hoechst Importer)	291

### Maps

Ι.	Indigo manufacturing districts in Bengal in the	
	early nineteenth century	page 3
2.	Indigo tracts of Tirhut showing the four prominent	
	districts, 1870	131

## Tables

Ι.	Indigo production in Bengal and adjoining territories	
	between 1795–6 and 1831–2	page 79
2.	Indigo imported into England from Bengal and	
	other parts of the world between 1783 and 1800	80
3.	Account of the expense and profit of a concern	
	using the gaud culture in Aligarh	96
4.	Eugene Schrottky's patents registered in Calcutta	122
5.	Export of indigo from Tirhut to various destinations	142
6.	Quantity and value of natural indigo into London,	
	1896–1902	162
7 <b>.</b>	Indigo output in Bengal, 1894–1903	163

### Preface

This book explores the construction of marginality for agricultural indigo via colonial conditions and global processes. The history of the dye's development on the Indian subcontinent exemplifies colonial power and conditions. The life cycle of indigo on the subcontinent also illustrates participation of colonial India in a global order of uneven structures. The Indian peasantry's labor for the production of indigo was appropriated for a world market. European planters were the agents of this appropriation. This cheap Bengal indigo produced with the labor of Indian peasantry pushed out Spanish and French indigo from the world markets, making dyers across the world beneficiaries of the colonial enterprise in Bengal. The subsequent marginalization of agricultural indigo by synthetic dyes was itself the product of new global rankings among major industrial powers when Germany emerged to be the leading industrial producer of hydrocarbon-derived dyes. The "global" in this study, to deploy the Africanist James Ferguson's use of the phrase, is "globehopping, not globe covering." The global emerges at certain points as processes, multiscalar in dimension, are connected in historically contingent ways. It does not efface the other forces characterized as local, colonial, national, metropolitan, and imperial but is integrated with them.

This book developed over more than a decade and has accumulated debts to a wide range of scholars and institutions on multiple continents.

My academic career started in India. Sunil Kumar and Sumit Sarkar at University of Delhi first taught me to appreciate the values and pleasures of historical research during the M.Phil. stage of my training. Deepak Kumar of Jawaharlal Nehru University introduced me to history of science, while Majid Siddiqui and Neeladri Bhattacharya provided key input at important stages in my developing research. In my early years in the teaching profession, I was privileged to be in the company of very committed fellow historians at Ramjas College at the University of Delhi. My senior colleagues, Mukul Manglik, Hari Sen, Sudhakar Singh, and G. B. Upreti, reinforced in me the importance of remaining a historian tuned in to important issues of the times. In Delhi through the years, friends like Shahana Bhattacharya, Pankaj Jha, Dipu Saran, and Ravikant Sharma shared their own insights over cups of tea at the Nehru Memorial Library of Teen Murti Bhavan.

The project on the history of indigo took concrete shape as part of my doctoral research at the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta. In 2000, I first found papers on indigo experiments in colonial India among agricultural files during a summer visit to the Bihar State Archives in Patna. These papers ignited my initial interest in the subject and became the core of a developing project on colonial history of indigo for my Ph.D. thesis. As my principal supervisor John Krige at Georgia Tech helped define this project, and his exceptional support was critical to the launching of this project and its development through to the very end. John was an ideal supervisor and remains a very important influence on

CAMBRIDGE

xiv

#### Acknowledgments

me. Among other members on the Ph.D. committee, Steve Usselman critically influenced the writing of the dissertation. He served as principal investigator for a dissertation improvement grant from the National Science Foundation that enabled a research trip to the United Kingdom to consult archives. Hanchao Lu on the committee encouraged me to explore the agricultural and social dimensions of the history of laboratory science, a suggestion that I took to heart while developing the book manuscript. Anthony Travis as the external member on the Ph.D. committee prodded me to contextualize indigo history within a broader history of the dye industry and responded to countless queries while I was revising the dissertation. Two independent studies on British imperialism and South Asian historiography with Jonathan Schneer and James Heitzman (now deceased) and a separate course on the comparative history of labor, industry, and technology jointly taught by Mike Allen of Georgia Tech, Matt Payne of Emory University, and Michelle Brattain of Georgia State were helpful to the task of broadly defining my doctoral research. To many faculty at Georgia Tech, I owe an intellectual and personal debt, particularly to Mike Allen, Doug Flamming, Gus Giebelhaus, and Carol Moore. The company and friendship of many fellow graduate students, Ashok, Chris, Devorah, Hannes, Haven, Hyungsub, Jahnavi, Jay, Josh, LeeAnn, Leslie, Patrick, Phil, Raul, Suzanne, Tim, and Vin, made life as a graduate student less stressful. Final chapters of the dissertation were written while I had the sole responsibility to look after my son, Yukt, then a toddler. Many sessions of writing were completed with Yukt in my lap.

Many institutions have generously funded this project. At Chemical Heritage Foundation (CHF) in Philadelphia, I was the Glenn E. and Barbara Hodsdon Ullyot Scholar in 2001–2 and returned for an annual sojourn as Edelstein International Student in 2002–3. The Hagley Museum and Library in Wilmington awarded me a research grant followed by a Henry Belin du Pont Dissertation Fellowship in 2003. Numerous Fellows and scholars at CHF and Hagley provided a stimulating environment. I particularly cherished my several discussions with David Brock, John Ceccatti, Arthur Daemmrich, Gerry Fitzgerald, Tom Lassman, Gabriella Petrick, Erik Rau, Leo Slater, and Kathy Steen at CHF and with Roger Horowitz and Phil Scranton at Hagley. In Philadelphia, I also benefited from conversations with Eve Buckley, Henrika Kuklick, Susan Lindee, and Ian Petrie. Georgia Tech awarded a Raymond Riddle Fellowship that supported a research trip to the UK in 2003. The National Science Foundation's dissertation improvement grant in 2004

(NSF - 0350040/2004) helped wind up archival consultations in England for the dissertation. In the United Kingdom, David Edgerton, David Jeremy, and Phillip Sykas provided valuable support. Peter Morris very helpfully responded to my numerous queries each time I asked. I have enjoyed the friendship and benefited from the advice of Sabine Clarke and Rebekah Higgitt in the UK over the years. Ernst Homburg and Harro Maat in the Netherlands supplied key information on dyes and on Dutch colonial research laboratories in Java.

The task of revising the dissertation started during postdoctoral years at Yale University, and many individuals provided critical comments that formed the basis of my effort. Daniel Kevles read the entire dissertation and offered key suggestions that set the parameters for revision. Dan has remained a key inspiration for this book. His mentoring and influence are critical to what I am as an academic. John Krige once again stepped in to provide key suggestions as I started envisioning the structure of the future book. Daniel Headrick read the entire dissertation and provided key comments for revision. At Yale I became closely acquainted with Jim Scott and regularly attended the talks of the Agrarian Studies Colloquium for two years, which have left an indelible mark on this work. Jim Scott himself has inspired a more intense interrogation of the agrarian context of indigo history. I also benefited from attending the History of Science and Medicine colloquium at Yale University. I learned from Bettyann Kevles, Naomi Rogers, and John Warner and cherished friendly discussions with Lloyd Ackert, Eva Ahren, Ziv Eisenberg, Elizabeth Hanson, Julia Irwin, Beth Linker, Brendan Matz, Ole Molvig, and Sage Ross. Radhika Singha, Jayeeta Sharma, and Ravi Vasudevan were at Yale during my postdoctoral tenure, and we got together in many fruitful discussions. A South Asia workshop on textile history at Yale organized by Tirthankar Roy and Douglas Haynes began a new series of communication with colleagues in Indian economic history and the history of consumption. Pedro Machado, Abigail McGowan, and Ian Wendt during the workshop, and hence, have provided useful input. Tirthankar Roy read the entire dissertation and offered suggestions toward revision. Douglas Haynes in particular provided critical feedback for developing the book. Looking back, I can appreciate the enormous influence of Doug's advice at each step of the writing of this book; I owe Doug a huge intellectual debt.

When I joined the History Department at Colorado State University, I decided to expand the chronological width of the manuscript. Suzanne Moon invited me to a stimulating workshop at Harvey Mudd College in

xv

xvi

#### Acknowledgments

2007 that afforded me an opportunity to discuss the subject of colony and technology with leading specialists in the field. Michael Adas in particular provided concrete feedback on my work at this point. A series of four Professional Development Fund grants and a Faculty Development Fund grant from the College of Liberal Arts and Department of History of Colorado State University offered financial support to visit archives in Britain and India. The National Science Foundation's (NSF's) Scholar's Award (0824468) in 2009 and a supplementary grant in 2011 provided the most critical support for the final phase of research and writing. The NSF funding allowed me to take a leave of absence from teaching during 2009–10, when I completed much of the writing.

At conferences and in personal meetings, and many times by e-mail, many scholars have contributed a lot to the final shape in which this work finds itself. I mention their names as a small token of gratitude and to highlight how collaborative this project has been: Antoinette Burton, Joyce Chaplin, Prachi Deshpande, David Gilmartin, Richard Grove, Sumit Guha, David Ludden, Sudhir Mahadevan, Clapperton Mavhunga, Dilip Menon, Thomas Metcalf, Projit Mukharji, Abena Dove Osseo-Assare, Ishita Pande, Kavita Philip, Gyan Prakash, Mridu Rai, Peter Robb, Willem van Schendel, Alison Shah, Mrinalini Sinha, K. Sivaramakrishnan, and Anand Yang.

Many have lately spared their valuable time to read my chapters. Michael Fisher, Jacques Pouchepadass, and Sucheta Mazumdar read the entire revised manuscript and pointed out some glaring omissions that saved me a few embarrassments. Douglas Haynes read the entire manuscript in its revised form and offered some comments that shaped my Conclusion. Mark Finlay read three chapters; David Arnold read two chapters; and John Krige, in continuing engagement with my work, once again read all of the chapters in their final form.

My colleagues at Colorado State University provided a stimulating environment and read my work. Mark Fiege, Fredrik Jonsson, and Jared Orsi were part of a reading group where we discussed the crossover themes between environmental history and the history of science. Thaddeus Sunseri very generously read several chapters, and discussions with him over the years have made me rework my arguments. I thank him for his input as a specialist and for his valuable advice on numerous occasions. Doug Yarrington answered multiple queries on the chapter dealing with the Caribbean and Spanish America. Fred Knight read the chapter on early indigo plantations. Jared Orsi read almost the entire manuscript in its final stages and helped me above all in thinking clearly through

some of the dense materials. I thank him for his support and friendship. Ruth Alexander read the manuscript and gave perhaps the most comprehensive written feedback this project ever received. Her comments were important to the final shape of this work as a whole. Doug Yarrington and Diane Margolf as Chairs of the History Department always ensured a positive academic environment conducive to research. They deserve credit for that, because in the end I do believe that the intellectual environment at Colorado State offered me the best possible opportunity to develop this work.

My association with two groups in the final phases of revision of the manuscript in 2011-12 proved critical to its framing. As a Fellow of the Framing the Global group of Indiana University I have gained immensely from the discussions by participants. The interaction with fourteen other colleagues in multiple disciplines in the group has shaped my thinking about the nature of the "global." Invited speakers to the group like Saskia Sassen and Carolyn Nordstrom and interventions of extremely capable Fellows through online discussions influenced my thinking as I was finalizing the structure of the book. Early last year Jon Curry-Machado took the initiative in forming the Indigo Academic Network, an informal association of scholars with interest in the history of indigo. I received specific help from the members of this group. Ghulam Nadri and Alexander Engel deserve special mention. Ghulam shared his M.Phil. dissertation, which I have immensely benefited from, and separately helped clarify matters of detail on the early modern history of indigo. Alexander shared his forthcoming articles and clarified many specific points of note. It is gratifying to note the vast number of active, ongoing works on indigo drawing on multilingual archives and focusing on distinct regions and periods, which is only a reflection of its multifaceted history waiting to be explored. My honest hope is that my own work will pave the way for future works on this subject by the extremely capable fellow historians of this group. The students of a capstone seminar on Global History that I taught in the fall of 2011 at Colorado State deserve special mention. This group of very motivated students helped me think more deeply about the issues of global flows and networks in a crucial period of revision of the manuscript.

Several libraries and archives across continents have helped me with acquiring relevant materials for my research. The Inter Library Loan department of Morgan Library at CSU has been indispensable to my work. Maggie Cummings, Cristi MacWaters, and Theresa Spangler have gone beyond the call of duty to procure books and other research

xvii

CAMBRIDGE

xviii

#### Acknowledgments

materials for me over the past six years. The Morgan Library's regional consortium for borrowing books has been a blessing whenever required material was not available locally. Naomi Lederer in the reference section of the library has been exceptional in terms of meeting my numerous requests for books. I would like to thank the staff of the libraries at Georgia Tech, the Hagley Museum, Yale University, Chemical Heritage Foundation, Philadelphia University, South Asia in Special Collections, University of Chicago, and the staff of the National Agricultural Library in the United States. The staff at the National Archives of India in New Delhi and Bihar State Archives in Patna, where I completed a major part of the work on this project, deserve special mention. The Asian and African Studies staff at the British Library has my highest praise for the diligence and efficiency with which they have supported my work during numerous research trips to the United Kingdom. I have also benefited from the help afforded by the staff at numerous other centers in the UK: Imperial College; Science Museum; Royal Society; Public Record Office, London; University of Leeds; Manchester Archives and Local Studies; and Gloucestershire Archives.

Over the years, this work has been presented at numerous meetings and at universities. I thank the audiences at the meetings of the American Historical Association, Association for Asian Studies, South Asia Conference at Madison and Berkeley, History of Science Society, and the Society for the History of Technology particularly. I also presented parts of this work at Clemson University, University of Delhi, University of Maryland, Johns Hopkins University, and Yale University, and I benefited from the comments of participants. The three referees for Cambridge University Press offered detailed feedback toward reshaping arguments and including fuller documentation in some portions. The Conclusion of the book was specifically shaped by feedback from the referees. Raphael Ruiz and Jason Chambers, graduate students in the Anthropology Department at CSU, helped me with scans of figures and maps. I thank them all. Eric Crahan and Lewis Bateman at Cambridge University Press deserve special mention for their critical suggestions in the final round of editing. Abby Zorbaugh at Cambridge ensured that the entire project moved forward through the Press in a timely manner.

Family and friends have provided utmost support to me during the writing of this book, and I continue to look to them for motivation. I always sought inspiration from my parents, Kanti Sinha and (the late) Akhileshwar Prasad Sinha; from my five elder sisters, Mira Sinha, Bina Shahi, Nita Sharma, Namrata Thakur, and Arti Sinha; and from my elder

brother, Prabhat Kumar. My brothers-in-law have stood by me. One of them, N. K. P. Sinha, is a retired professor of modern Indian history himself. My in-laws, Nirmala Sinha and Dineshwar Prasad Sinha, most graciously hosted me during my early research at the Bihar State Archives in Patna. I thank them both for their warmth and affection over the years. As part of a very large family, we often share laughs with a slew of nephews and nieces and now their children. My wife, Vidushi's, grit and determination to keep us going through nine years (and counting) of living in separate cities must rank among the strongest examples of personal support to an academic husband. This book could not have been written without Vidushi's patience and commitment and I truly owe this book to Vidushi. It is her affection that keeps me going. Through difficult times, our son Yukt's passion for excellence and our toddler daughter Manasi's laughter provided example and pleasant support. Vidushi supports by sharing all my goals. And Yukt and Manasi together always remind me what is beautiful and important in life and ensure that I do not lose perspective. Thanks to Skype, we are still able to live together as a family. My everlasting friendship with Divyesh, Shantenu, and Sumit is an example of a bond that has survived the fact that we often lived on three separate continents. The last few months of copyediting and proofreading were marked by deep personal loss and grief. I lost my sister-in-law, and then my father passed away. My father's death was the third major loss in the family after the death of my fourth brother-in-law two years ago. All these losses bore heavily on me. In some ways they made me think of the sense of loss that my historical actors experienced as they witnessed an era of agricultural indigo pass them by. It is a matter of coincidence that this book touches on the history of the Imperial Institute at Pusa. The latter developed into modern Rajendra Agricultural University, which my father, Prof. A. P. Sinha, headed as Vice Chancellor. As a token of appreciation for his leadership in academia and family, I dedicate this book to him. He almost lived long enough to hold this book in his hands.

xix