SIGNALLING NOUNS IN ENGLISH

Signalling nouns (SNs) are abstract nouns like *fact*, *idea*, *problem*, and *result* which are non-specific in their meaning when considered in isolation and specific in their meaning by reference to their linguistic context. SNs contribute to cohesion and evaluation in discourse. This work offers the first book-length study of the SN phenomenon to treat the functional and discourse features of the category as primary.

Using a balanced corpus of authentic data, the book explores the lexicogrammatical and discourse features of SNs in academic journal articles, textbooks, and lectures across a range of disciplines in the natural and social sciences. The book will be essential reading for researchers and advanced students of semantics, syntax, corpus linguistics, and discourse analysis, in addition to scholars and teachers in the field of English for Academic Purposes.

JOHN FLOWERDEW is a professor in the Department of English at City University of Hong Kong.

RICHARD W. FOREST is an assistant professor in the Department of English Language and Literature at Central Michigan University.

STUDIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

General editor Merja Kytö (Uppsala University)

Editorial Board Bas Aarts (University College London), John Algeo (University of Georgia), Susan Fitzmaurice (University of Sheffield), Christian Mair (University of Freiburg), Charles F. Meyer (University of Massachusetts)

The aim of this series is to provide a framework for original studies of English, both present-day and past. All books are based securely on empirical research, and represent theoretical and descriptive contributions to our knowledge of national and international varieties of English, both written and spoken. The series covers a broad range of topics and approaches, including syntax, phonology, grammar, vocabulary, discourse, pragmatics, and sociolinguistics, and is aimed at an international readership.

Already published in this series:

Thomas Hoffmann: Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-Based Approach Claudia Claridge: Hyperbole in English: A Corpus-Based Study of Exaggeration Päivi Pahta and Andreas H. Jucker (eds.): Communicating Early English Manuscripts Irma Taavitsainen and Päivi Pahta (eds.): Medical Writing in Early Modern English Colette Moore: Quoting Speech in Early English

David Denison, Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero, Chris McCully and Emma Moore (eds.): *Analysing Older English*

Jim Feist: Premodifiers in English: Their Structure and Significance

- Steven Jones, M. Lynne Murphy, Carita Paradis and Caroline Willners: Antonyms in English: Construals, Constructions and Canonicity
- Christiane Meierkord: Interactions across Englishes: Linguistic Choices in Local and International Contact Situations
- Haruko Momma: From Philology to English Studies: Language and Culture in the Nineteenth Century
- Raymond Hickey (ed.): Standards of English: Codified Varieties around the World
- Benedikt Szmrecsanyi: Grammatical Variation in British English Dialects: A Study in Corpus-Based Dialectometry
- Daniel Schreier and Marianne Hundt (eds.): English as a Contact Language

Bas Aarts, Joanne Close, Geoffrey Leech and Sean Wallis (eds.): The Verb Phrase in English: Investigating Recent Language Change with Corpora

Cambridge University Press	
978-1-107-02211-9 - Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Appro	ach
John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest	
Frontmatter	
More information	

Martin Hilpert: Constructional Change in English: Developments in Allomorphy, Word Formation, and Syntax Jakob R. E. Leimgruber: Singapore English: Structure, Variation and Usage Christoph Rühlemann: Narrative in English Conversation Dagmar Deuber: English in the Caribbean: Variation, Style and Standards in Jamaica and Trinidad Jock Onn Wong: English in Singapore: A Cultural Analysis Eva Berlage: Noun Phrase Complexity in English Nicole Dehé: Parentheticals in Spoken English: The Syntax-Prosody Relation Anita Auer, Daniel Schreier and Richard J. Watts: Letter Writing and Language Change Marianne Hundt: Late Modern English Syntax Irma Taavitsainen, Merja Kyto, Claudia Claridge, and Jeremy Smith: Developments in English: Expanding Electronic Evidence Arne Lohmann: English Co-ordinate Constructions: A Processing Perspective on Constituent Order John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest: Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach

Earlier titles not listed are also available

SIGNALLING NOUNS IN ENGLISH

A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach

JOHN FLOWERDEW

City University of Hong Kong

RICHARD W. FOREST

Central Michigan University



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107022119

© John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Flowerdew, John, author. Signalling Nouns in English : A Corpus-based Discourse Approach / John Flowerdew, City University of Hong Kong ; Richard W. Forest, Central Michigan University. pages cm – (Studies in English language) ISBN 978-I-107-022II-9 (hardback) I. English language – Noun. 2. English language – Parts of speech. 3. English language – Grammar. 4. Lexical grammar. I. Forest, Richard, author. II. Title. PEI205.F56 2014 425'.54-dc23 2014018778

ISBN 978-1-107-02211-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

	st of table		<i>page</i> xi
	0	rements (John Flowerdew)	XV
Ac	knowledg	rements (Rich Forest)	xvii
I	Introdu	action	I
	I.I	Some basic features of signalling nouns	Ι
	1.2	A discourse perspective on signalling nouns	5
	1.3	Exophoric abstract nouns and signalling nouns	7
	1.4	The place of signalling nouns in research on the vocabulary of English	9
	1.5	Which words and phrases are core members of the class, and which are peripheral?	
	1.6	How big is the category, and is it a bounded or unbounded class?	II
2	Gramm	natical features of signalling nouns	13
	2.1	Grammatical features of signalling nouns	13
	2.2	Noun phrase structure: <i>this/these</i> + SN and other forms	2
		of signalling noun premodification	13
	2.3	Noun phrase: SN + complement clause	14
	2.4	Clause structure: SN + <i>be</i> + nominalisation	17
	2.5	Grammatical patterns not covered in the literature	20
		2.5.1 Marked patterns	20
		2.5.2 Signalling nouns and specifics in apposition	21
		2.5.3 Comparative specifics	21
		2.5.4 Signalling nouns in adjunct groups	21
	2.6	General problems with over-reliance on structural tests of signalling noun status	22
3	Semant	ic features	25
	3.1	Superordinates, abstract nouns, and constant and variable meaning	25
	3.2	Complexity of the signalling noun realisation	27

Cambridge University Press	
978-1-107-02211-9 - Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approa	ich
John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest	
Frontmatter	
More information	

viii	i <i>Contents</i>	
	3.3 Semantic classification of signalling nouns	28
	3.3.1 Semantic categories	29
4	Discourse features	34
	4.1 Signalling nouns and Winter's clause relations	
	and metalanguage nouns	34
	4.2 Logico-semantic relations	36
	4.3 Signalling nouns and logico-semantic relations	43
	4.4 Conclusion	45
5	Criteria for determining what constitutes a signalling no	
	in this study	46
	5.1 General considerations	46
	5.2 A broad criterion for signalling noun membership	48
	5.3 Signalling nouns and repetition	50
	5.4 More specific criteria	55
	5.4.1 Bivalent signalling nouns	55
	5.4.2 Logogenesis 5.4.3 Pre- and post- modifiers	56
	5.4.3 Pre- and post- modifiers 5.5 Prefabricated patterns	57 59
	5.6 Text nouns	59 61
	5.7 Partitives	61
	5.8 Relational process verbs	64
	5.9 Relative clauses	65
6	Corpus, methodology, annotation system, and reporting	<u>y</u>
	of the data	68
	6.1 Corpus description	68
	6.2 Compilation	69
	6.3 Methodology	70
	6.4 Annotation system	73
	6.5 Reporting of data	74
7	Set of examples	76
/	ANAcp – anaphoric, across-clause, proximal (6,090 per million w	ords) 76
	CNAcp – cataphoric, across-clause, proximal (5,363 per million we	
	ANAcd – anaphoric, across-clause, distal (2,848 per million words	
	CNAcd – cataphoric, across-clause, distal (1,961 per million words	
	CNofd - SN + of + deverbal/deadjectival noun (1,441 per million - $CNto - SN + ta + infinitival specifics (1,442 per million words)$	words) 78 78
	CNto – SN + <i>to</i> + infinitival specifics (1,412 per million words) CNth – SN + <i>that</i> content clause specifics (1,100 per million word	,
	CNvth SN + relational process verb + infinitival specifics	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	(826 per million words)	78

8

9

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02211-9 - Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach
John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest
Frontmatter
More information

Contents	ix
CNvd – SN + relational process verb + deverbal noun/adjective specifics	
(693 per million words)	79
CNAp – SN + appositive (595 per million words)	79
ANvd – SN + relational process verb + deverbal/deadjectival	
(518 per million words)	79
CNofing - SN + of + ing-clause (500 per million words)	79
CNppo – SN + prepositional phrase other than of (451 per million words)	80
CNvto – SN + relational process verb + to clause specifics	
(437 per million words)	80
CNwhR - SN + wh-relative clause specifics (how, where, when, etc.)	
(437 per million words)	80
CNAdj – SN in an adjunct + specifics in the main clause	
(422 per million words)	80
CNco - SN + specifics in comparative construction with, for example, as,	
such as, like (161 per million words)	81
CNvwh – SN + relational process verb + <i>wh</i> clause specifics	
(122 per million words)	81
CNvcl – SN + relational process verb + clausal specifics	-
(116 per million words)	81
CNwh – SN + <i>wh</i> clause (112 per million words)	81
ANAdj – Specifics in the main clause + SN in an adjunct	0
(99 per million words)	82
ANCo – specifics in a comparative construction, for example,	0
with <i>as, such as, like</i> + SN in main clause (95 per million words)	82
CNving – SN + relational process verb + <i>ing</i> -clause (95 per million words)	82
ANAp – appositive + SN (85 per million words)	83
CNofwh - SN + of + wh clause (85 per million words)	83
ANving – <i>ing</i> -clause + SN (75 per million words)	83
CNPPing – SN + prepositional phrase + <i>ing</i> -clause (24 per million words)	83
Anywh – wh specifics + relational process verb + SN (7 per million words)	84
ANvcl – Specifics as clause + relational process verb + SN	0,
(1 per million words)	84
Overview of signalling noun distributions in the corpus	85
8.1 Broad overview	85
8.2 More detailed examination of most frequent signalling	
nouns in the corpus	86
8.3 Signalling nouns by discipline	92
8.4 Characteristic signalling nouns of the natural and social sciences	92 97
8.5 Signalling nouns and academic genres	
Appendix 8.1 Expanded tables	99 104
	104
Overview of semantic categories	115
9.1 Introduction and basic frequencies	115

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02211-9 - Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach
John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest
Frontmatter
More information

х	Contents	
9.2	Question 1: What is the relative frequency of the semantic	
	categories across the corpus?	115
9.3	Question 2: Is the relative frequency of the semantic	
	categories consistent across the major divisions of the natural	
	and social sciences disciplines?	119
9.4	Question 3: Is the relative frequency of the semantic	
	categories consistent across the specific disciplines within	100
	the broad domains of the natural and social sciences?	120
9.5	Question 4: What is the relative frequency of the semantic categories according to genre?	129
9.6	Question 5: Does the relative frequency across genres apply	
	also to the major division between the natural and social sciences?	134
9.7	Question 6: Does the relative frequency across genres apply	
	also across the specific disciplines within these broad domains?	142
9.8	Chapter summary and conclusion	159
10 Overvie	ew of lexicogrammatical and discourse pattern	
frequen	icies	160
10.1	Introduction	160
10.2	Overview of the signalling noun patterns in the corpus, including	
	their overall frequencies	162
10.3	Signalling noun patterns and academic disciplines	167
10.4	Signalling noun patterns and academic genres	172
	Appendix 10.1	177
11 Conclu	sion	181
11.1	Summary	181
11.2	Limitations of the study	186
11.3	Future research	187
11.4	Application to pedagogy	188
Appendix A	1 The overall structure of the corpus	192
Appendix E	3 List of files that make up the corpus	193
	C Lemmatised SNs in descending order according	
11	to normalised frequency	203
Appendix I	Non-lemmatised SNs in descending order according	-
11	to normalised frequency	222
Appendix E	E Lemmatised SNs in alphabetical order	241
	F Non-lemmatised SNs in alphabetical order	260
	G Frequency of SNs in different semantic categories	279
References	1	280
Index		2.84

Tables

I—I	Signalling the status of the lexical specifics	page 4
2—I	Prime shell nouns	23
3—I	Taxonomy of semantic categories of SNs	32
5—I	Bivalent SNs	56
5-2	Prefabricated patterns which have SN-like features	59
5-3	Prefabricated patterns as adjuncts and their SN paraphrases	60
6—і	Tags in the corpus	73
6–2	A brief summary explanation of the tags	74
8—і	50 most frequent SNs in the corpus	86
8-2	SNs occurring more than 100 times in the corpus	
	ranked according to standard deviation	90
8-3	SNs by discipline	92
8-4	Standardised type-token ratios of all the words in the corpu	s 94
8-5	The distribution of most frequent SNs in the natural	
	and social sciences	98
8–6	SNs by genre	99
8-7	Frequency of nouns and SNs by genre	100
8-8	SNs by genre	IOI
8–9	Most frequent SNs in the natural sciences	
	(occurring 50 times or more per million words	
	in the sub-corpus)	104
8—іо	Most frequent SNs in the social sciences (occurring	
	80 times or more per million words in the sub-corpus)	105
8–11	Distribution of most frequent SNs in the natural sciences	106
8-12	Distribution of most frequent SNs in the natural sciences	
	as a percentage of SNs	107
8–13	Distribution of most frequent SNs in the social sciences	108
8—14	Distribution of most frequent SNs in the social sciences	
	as a percentage of SNs	109

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02211-9 - Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach
John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest
Frontmatter
More information

xii	List of tables	
8–15	Most frequent SNs in journals (occurring 80 times	
	or more per million words in the sub-corpus)	IIO
8–16	Most frequent SNs in textbooks (occurring 80 times	
	or more per million words in the sub-corpus)	III
8-17	Most frequent SNs in lectures (occurring 60 times	
	or more per million words in the sub-corpus)	III
8–18	Expanded table of SN distribution by genre	113
9—I	Most frequent 30 SNs for each semantic category	116
9-2	Semantic categories in the corpus as a whole	118
9-3	Semantic categories: distribution across the natural	
	and social sciences	119
9-4	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs across the natural	
	and social sciences	120
9-5	Distribution of semantic categories within each	
	natural sciences discipline and in natural sciences overall	121
9–6	Most frequent <i>circumstance</i> SNs in biology	122
9-7	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in engineering	123
9–8	Distribution of semantic categories within each social	
	sciences discipline and in social sciences overall	124
9-9	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in law	125
9–10	Most frequent <i>modal</i> SNs in law	126
9–11	Idea SNs across law, the natural sciences,	
	and social sciences	128
9–12	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in sociology and social	
	sciences overall	129
9–13	Semantic categories by genre	130
9–14	Most frequent circumstance SNs in lectures	131
9–15	Normalised frequencies for the natural and social	
	sciences across genres	135
9–16	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in natural sciences textbooks	136
9–17	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in social sciences textbooks	136
9–18	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in natural sciences textbooks	137
9–19	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in social sciences textbooks	138
9–20	Most frequent <i>fact</i> SNs in natural sciences lectures	
	(total 554)	139
9–21	Most frequent <i>fact</i> SNs in social sciences lectures	
	(total 7,410)	140
9-22	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in natural sciences lectures	140
9-23	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in social sciences lectures	I4I

	List of tables	xiii
9–24	Frequencies for the natural sciences disciplines	
· ·	for the journal genre	I44
9-25	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in ecology	145
9–26	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in engineering journal articles	145
9-27	Frequency by discipline for the textbook genre in the natural	.,
, ,	sciences	146
9–28	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in engineering textbooks	147
9-29	Frequencies for the natural sciences disciplines	
	for the lecture genre	148
9–30	Most frequent <i>act</i> SNs in chemistry lectures	149
9–31	Most frequent <i>locution</i> SNs in engineering lectures	149
9-32	Frequencies for the social sciences disciplines	
	for the journal genre	151
9-33	Frequencies for the social sciences disciplines	
	for the textbook chapter genre	152
9-34	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in law textbook chapters	153
9-35	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in social sciences textbooks	
	excluding law	153
9–36	Most frequent <i>modal</i> fact SNs in law textbook chapters	154
9-37	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in sociology textbook chapters	155
9–38	Frequencies for the social sciences disciplines	
	for the lectures genre	156
9-39	Most frequent <i>fact</i> SNs in business lectures	157
9–40	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in business lectures	158
9–41	Most frequent <i>idea</i> SNs in social sciences lectures excluding	
	business	158
IO—I	SN tags in the corpus	161
10-2	Content-clause relations	165
10–3	Comparison of syntactic patterns in Schmid (2000)	
	and this study	166
10–4	SNs by discipline	169
10-5	The distribution of tags in the natural and social sciences	169
10–6	Patterns with high percentage of SNs in one	
	disciplinary division	171
10-7	SNs by genre	172
10—8	Tags by genre	173
10–9	Specification patterns with distributions that diverge	
	from overall SN distributions	174
10–10	SN tags in the natural sciences	177
IO—II	SN tags in the social sciences	179

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02211-9 - Signalling Nouns in English: A Corpus-Based Discourse Approach
John Flowerdew and Richard W. Forest
Frontmatter
More information

xiv	List of tables	
* *	List of files that make up the corpus	193
Appendix C	Lemmatised SNs in descending order according	
	to normalised frequency	203
Appendix D	Non-lemmatised SNs in descending order according	
	to normalised frequency	222
Appendix E	Lemmatised SNs in alphabetical order	241
Appendix F	Non-lemmatised SNs in alphabetical order	260
Appendix G	Frequency of SNs in different semantic categories	279

Acknowledgements (John Flowerdew)

I have been studying signalling nouns for a very long time. In fact, my first presentation on the topic was at the 24th Annual TESOL convention in Baltimore, USA in 1994. The title of that presentation was Rhetorical/ organizational Lexis in English for Academic Purposes. The term *signalling noun* was coined in this talk. This partly explains why I use the term *signalling noun* in preference to other terms found in the literature, such as *type 3 vocabulary, carrier noun, anaphoric noun, lexical label,* and *shell noun*. All of these terms refer to various aspects of the same phenomenon, and this is another reason for using my own term; a *signalling noun* has very much in common with all of these other terms but it also has particular specific features which are not shared by all of these other terms.

It is only now that, with the collaboration of Richard W. Forest, I have felt ready to present a book-length study on the *signalling noun* phenomenon. So this book represents the result of many years of thinking about and investigating the *signalling noun* phenomenon. During these years many people have helped my thinking and have helped me in practical ways.

The first person to make me interested in corpus linguistics was the sadly departed John Sinclair, a man who can be considered a doyen of corpus linguistics. John visited the Middle-Eastern University where I was working, Sultan Qaboos University, Sultanate of Oman, in the mid 1980s and introduced me and my colleagues to corpus linguistics. Following this visit, a very talented technician there, David Poulton, designed a concordancer for our use (concordancers were not generally available at the time) and I started to do concordancing work with the academic discourse that students at Sultan Qaboos University were having to grapple with. It was during this time that, with the use of the concordancer and word frequency function, I realised the importance of what I later termed *signalling nouns* in academic discourse.

Another important figure in my thinking on *signalling nouns* was Michael Hoey. Mike's work on lexical patterning in discourse is well known and his

xvi

Acknowledgements (John Flowerdew)

discourse approach to lexis was influential in my thinking. I think I also probably 'borrowed' the term *signalling* (perhaps subconsciously) from an early monograph of his, *Signalling in Discourse* published by the English Language Studies Unit at the University of Birmingham, although Mike's view of signalling is broader in its application than to just nouns.

A third influential figure, although I have never had the opportunity to meet him in person, is Hans-Jörg Schmid, author of *Abstract Nouns as Conceptual Shells: From Corpus to Cognition*. This book has been very influential in my thinking on *signalling nouns* and is much cited in the present volume. Of course, I am also indebted to the work of Eugene Winter, Gill Francis, Ros Ivanic, and others on this same topic. A fourth person I should acknowledge is Michael Halliday, who was kind enough to spend time with me discussing my work on *signalling nouns*, in particular the semantic categories, the final version of which I alone am responsible for.

A final influential person in my thinking on *signalling nouns* is Richard W. Forest, my co-author. Rich was my Ph.D. student in Hong Kong (he worked on a corpus approach to critical discourse analysis) and after he finished his Ph.D. he kindly agreed to help me with this project, which was becoming too big for just me to handle. Rich brought great knowledge and expertise in corpus linguistics and functional grammar to bear on the project, which has greatly enriched the final outcome.

With regard to this particular volume, I would like to thank Susan Fitzmaurice, series co-editor at Cambridge, whom I first approached about the book and who gave the proposal strong support. I would also like to thank the series editor, Merja Kytö, for giving her approval to the proposal and checking the manuscript very carefully, as well as Helen Barton, commissioning editor at Cambridge and her assistant Helena Dowson. Thanks are also due to the anonymous reviewer of the proposal, who gave invaluable feedback.

On a more practical level, I would like to acknowledge the important contribution of various research assistants who have helped me over the years. They are Meilin Chen, Antonio Domingo, Jennifer Eagleton, Delian Gaskell, and Josephine Lo. Finally, I would like to thank Hilary Nesi of the University of Coventry (previously University of Warwick), who, before it was publicly available, kindly gave me permission to use data from the BAWE corpus of academic lectures as part of the corpus for the present study.

The research leading to the findings presented in this book were partially funded by two Hong Kong Research Grants Committee Competitive Earmarked Research Grant awards: CityU 1215/00H and CityU 1312 U/03H.

Acknowledgements (Rich Forest)

It takes a long time to write a book, as many readers of the volume will already be aware, and the number of debts accrued, both personal and intellectual, are many. My greatest debt is to my co-author, John Flowerdew, for inviting me to join him on the project when I was completing my Ph.D. studies with him some years ago. Since then, we have worked on this book together in both Hong Kong and Leeds, and separately with me working from my position at Central Michigan University and him in his at City University of Hong Kong. I am indebted to him both for his confidence in me on the project and for his insights into the nature of the *signalling noun* phenomenon. His observations regarding the importance of analysing *signalling nouns* from the perspective of discourse are integral to the approach taken by this volume, and it is richer for it. Moreover, his personal support during work on the project, both as a colleague and friend, has been invaluable.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Peter Fries and William Spruiell, both of Central Michigan University, for their perceptive questions and comments in our discussions of the signalling noun phenomenon. While working on the project, I have had more than one occasion to discuss aspects of the phenomenon with them. Their questions have often led me to rethink aspects of the study, to the benefit of the project.

I would also like to reiterate John's acknowledgement of Susan Fitzmaurice, series co-editor at Cambridge and Merja Kytö, the series editor for their approval of the proposal and for Merja's careful reading of the manuscript, as well as Helen Barton, commissioning editor at Cambridge and her assistant Helena Dowson. Finally, the feedback of the anonymous reviewer of the original proposal was valuable and is appreciated.

My work on this project was supported by a number of institutions, all of whom merit thanks. In the earliest days of my work on this project in 2008, the School of Education at the University of Leeds provided a research position that was essential to my start on the project, including early work on the corpus and its initial theoretical underpinnings. In 2010, the

xvii

xviii

Acknowledgements (Rich Forest)

Department of English at City University of Hong Kong provided me with a Visiting Young Scholar position to continue work on the project, enabling me to spend much of the summer working closely with John tagging the corpus and further developing the theoretical foundations of the study. In the intervening years, funding to support further travel and research on the book has been provided by Central Michigan University's Department of English Language and Literature, College of Humanities and Social and Behavioral Sciences, and Office of Research and Sponsored programs. The support of these institutions has been essential to my ability to contribute to this project.