

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

INTERNATIONAL
LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 148

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)*Volumes published under the title:***ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS
OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22) | } | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, K.C.,
and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D. |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24) | | |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26) | } | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, C.B.E., LL.D.,
and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D. |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28) | | |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30) | } | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D., F.B.A. |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32) | | |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34) | | |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37) | | |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40) | | |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) | | |
| Vol. 11 (1919-42) | | |
| Vol. 12 (1943-45) | | |
| Vol. 13 (1946) | | |
| Vol. 14 (1947) | | |
| Vol. 15 (1948) | | |
| Vol. 16 (1949) | | |

*Volumes published under the title:***INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS**

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| Vol. 17 (1950) | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,
F.B.A. |
| Vol. 18 (1951) | | |
| Vol. 19 (1952) | | |
| Vol. 20 (1953) | | |
| Vol. 21 (1954) | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,
F.B.A., and E. Lauterpacht |
| Vol. 22 (1955) | | |
| Vol. 23 (1956) | | |
| Vol. 24 (1957) | | |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I) | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C. |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II) | | |
| Vols. 27-68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1-35 <i>and</i> 36-45
Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C. | | |
| Vols. 69-148 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases
and Treaties to Vols. 1-80, Vols. 81-100
<i>and</i> Vols. 1-125
Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, C.B.E., Q.C.,
and Sir Christopher Greenwood, C.M.G., Q.C. | | |

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

*Lauterpacht Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

**VOLUME
148**

Edited by

SIR ELIHU LAUTERPACHT, CBE QC
*Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge
Bencher of Gray's Inn*

SIR CHRISTOPHER GREENWOOD, CMG QC
*Judge of the International Court of Justice
Bencher of Middle Temple*

and

KAREN LEE
*Assistant Editor
Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge
Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148
Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107021792

© Sir Elihu Lauterpacht 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-02179-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 148	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxi
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	744

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

PREFACE

The present volume contains international decisions from the International Court of Justice (*Djibouti v. France*, *Mexico v. USA*), the European Court of Human Rights (*Jorgic v. Germany*, *N v. United Kingdom* and *A v. United Kingdom*) and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (*M/V Louisa*), as well as decisions from the courts of Australia (*Ame*, *Zhang*), Canada (*Baker*), England (*Emin*, *N* and *Kibris*), France (*Logicom*) and Ireland (*Doherty*).

As usual this volume has been the work of many, to all of whom the Editors wish to express their gratitude. Ms Karen Lee, Assistant Editor, wrote the summaries of the decisions of *Jorgic v. Germany*, *N v. United Kingdom* and *A v. United Kingdom*, as well as seeing the volume through the press. Ms Tara Grant summarized *M/V Louisa*, *Baker*, *Emin*, *Kibris* and *Doherty*. Ms Anna Medvinskaia prepared the summaries of *Ame* and *N*, and Dr Chester Brown prepared the summary of *Zhang*. Mr Lucas Gifuni translated the French case, which Dr Markus Gehring supplied. Ms Tara Grant prepared the Tables of Cases and Digest and provided general and secretarial assistance. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG compiled the Table of Treaties and the Index. Mrs Alison Tickner checked the copy and Mrs Margaret Humbert and Ms Leigh Mueller checked the proofs.

In addition, our thanks go to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, Aptara, and their staff.

E. LAUTERPACHT

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

C. J. GREENWOOD

THE PEACE PALACE,
THE HAGUE

November 2011

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or ad hoc arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Union). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Committee on Human Rights. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Albert Jan van den Berg, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

xi

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the “Report” in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text in non-English cases indicate the pagination of the original report.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004. Volume 145 contains Consolidated Tables of Cases for volumes 126-145.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits) 351</p> <p>Ame Case, <i>Re</i> Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, <i>ex parte</i> Ame 503</p> <p>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America), Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 (Provisional Measures) 141, 147</p> <p>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America), Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 (Judgment) 141, 187</p> <p>Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 594</p> <p>Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France) (Judgment) 1</p> <p>Djibouti v. France (Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters) (Judgment) 1</p> <p>Doherty and Doherty v. South Dublin County Council and Others (No 2) 632</p> <p>Emin v. Yeldag (Attorney-General and Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs intervening) 663</p> <p>Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235</p> <p>Kıbrıs Türk Hava Yolları and CTA Holidays Case 683</p> <p>Logicom Case 629</p> <p><i>Louisa, M/V</i> (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Kingdom of Spain) (Provisional Measures) 459</p> | <p>Mexico v. United States of America (Avena and Other Mexican Nationals, Request for Interpretation of Judgment of 31 March 2004) 141</p> <p>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, <i>ex parte</i> Ame, <i>Re</i> 503</p> <p>N v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (Terrence Higgins Trust intervening) 273</p> <p>N v. United Kingdom (Application No 26565/05) (Merits) 315</p> <p>R (Kıbrıs Türk Hava Yolları and CTA Holidays Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Transport (Republic of Cyprus, interested party) 683</p> <p>Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning <i>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America)</i> (Mexico v. United States of America) (Provisional Measures) 141</p> <p>Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning <i>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America)</i> (Mexico v. United States of America) (Judgment) 141</p> <p>Société Logicom v. Société CTT Marketing Limited and Société Ducros Transports 629</p> <p>Zhang v. Jiang Zemin and Others 555, 593 (note)</p> |
|---|--|

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND
TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND
COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<p style="text-align: center;"><i>European Court of Human Rights</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2007</p> <p>Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2008</p> <p>N v. United Kingdom (Application No 26565/05) (Merits) 315</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2009</p> <p>A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits) 351</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>International Court of Justice</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2008</p> <p>Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France) (Judgment) 1</p>	<p>Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning <i>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America)</i> (Mexico v. United States of America) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) 141</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2009</p> <p>Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning <i>Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America)</i> (Mexico v. United States of America) (Judgment) 141</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2010</p> <p><i>M/V Louisa</i> (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Kingdom of Spain) (Order on Request for Provisional Measures) 459</p>
---	---

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Australia</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2005</p> <p><i>Re Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, ex parte Ame</i> 503</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2010</p> <p>Zhang v. Jiang Zemin and Others 555, 593 (note)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Canada</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1999</p> <p>Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 594</p>
---	---

<i>France</i>	
2008	2005
Société Logicom v. Société CTT Marketing Limited and Société Ducros Transports 629	N v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (Terrence Higgins Trust intervening) 273
<i>Ireland</i>	2009
2007	Regina (on the application of Kibris Türk Hava Yollari and CTA Holidays Limited) v. Secretary of State for Transport (Republic of Cyprus, interested party) 683, 687
Doherty and Doherty v. South Dublin County Council and Others (No 2) 632	
<i>United Kingdom, England</i>	2010
2001	Regina (on the application of Kibris Türk Hava Yollari and CTA Holidays Limited) v. Secretary of State for Transport (Republic of Cyprus, interested party) 683, 715
Emin v. Yeldag (Attorney-General and Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs intervening) 663	

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics.
 For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. ix.)

Air	<i>International Tribunals</i>
Aliens	<i>Jurisdiction</i>
Arbitration	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Canals	<i>Nationality</i>
Claims	<i>Recognition</i>
Comity	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Conciliation	Reprisals and Countermeasures
Consular Relations	Rivers
<i>Damages</i>	Sea
Diplomatic Relations	Sources of International Law
Economics, Trade and Finance	Space
Environment	<i>State Immunity</i>
Expropriation	State Responsibility
Extradition	State Succession
Governments	<i>States</i>
<i>Human Rights</i>	Territory
<i>International Court of Justice</i>	<i>Terrorism</i>
<i>International Criminal Law</i>	<i>Treaties</i>
International Organizations	War and Armed Conflict

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 148

	<i>Page</i>
Damages	
Compensation — Whether monetary compensation appropriate — Court having wide discretion — Factors to be taken into account — Public emergency threatening life of nation — Obligations on United Kingdom Government — Costs and expenses — Default interest — Article 41 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)	
<i>A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits)</i>	351
Human Rights	
Discrimination — Equality of treatment for different communities — Rights of Irish travellers under Irish law and European Convention on Human Rights — Housing Acts 1966-2004 — European Convention on Human Rights Act 2003 — Accommodation — Extent of statutory duty to provide caravan accommodation to members of traveller community — Homelessness — Whether housing authority has a statutory duty to provide better equipped caravan — Whether offer of housing accommodation rather than caravan accommodation constitutes unequal treatment — Ireland, High Court	
<i>Doherty and Doherty v. South Dublin County Council and Others (No 2)</i>	632
Freedom from torture — Status of prohibition of torture as <i>jus cogens</i> — Whether limiting entitlement to immunity of foreign State — The law of Australia — Australia, New South Wales Court of Appeal	
<i>Zhang v. Jiang Zemin and Others</i>	555
No punishment without law guarantee — Admissibility of applicant's complaint — Whether acts committed by applicant constituting criminal offence under German or international law at time of commission — Whether German courts' wide interpretation of crime of genocide consistent with essence of offence — Whether reasonably foreseeable by applicant — Article 220a of Criminal	

Human Rights (*cont.*)

Code — Whether intent to destroy group in physical or biological sense necessary — German courts' finding that applicant's guilt of particular gravity — Whether Germany violating Article 7 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section)

Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235

Prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment — Admissibility of applicants' complaints — Prohibition in absolute terms — Fundamental value of democratic society — Derogation only permissible when public emergency threatening life of nation — Whether treatment attaining minimum level of severity necessary — Whether applicants' situation comparable to irreducible life sentence — Whether detention under Part 4 of Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001 breaching applicants' rights — Whether United Kingdom violating Article 3 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits) 351

Prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment — Applicant HIV positive and suffering from AIDS-defining illnesses — Whether applicant's return to Uganda would prevent her from accessing necessary medical treatment — Whether subsequent suffering and early death amounting to inhuman and degrading treatment — Whether very exceptional circumstances and compelling humanitarian considerations — Whether removal of applicant to Uganda would result in United Kingdom violating Article 3 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — The law of England — England, House of Lords

N v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (Terrence Higgins Trust intervening) 273

Prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment — Applicant HIV positive and suffering from AIDS-defining illnesses — Whether applicant's return to Uganda would prevent her from accessing necessary medical treatment — Whether subsequent suffering and early death amounting to inhuman and degrading treatment — Whether very exceptional circumstances and compelling humanitarian considerations — Whether removal of applicant to Uganda would result in United Kingdom violating Article 3 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxi

N v. United Kingdom (Application No 26565/05) (Merits) 315

Right to a fair trial — Admissibility of applicant's complaint — Assessment of evidence and its relevance — Appropriateness of calling witnesses — Application of Article 244 of Criminal Code by German courts — Refusal to call certain witnesses, inspect purported crime scene or have topographical map drawn up — Whether violation of full equality of arms principle — Whether rendering proceedings against applicant unfair as a whole — Whether German courts acting arbitrarily — courts' finding that applicant's guilt of particular gravity — Whether Germany violating Article 6(1) and (3)(d) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section)

Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235

Right to a fair trial — Right to liberty and security — Admissibility of applicant's complaint — Whether applicant's case heard by tribunal established by law under Article 6(1) of Convention — Whether German courts having reasonable grounds for establishing jurisdiction to try applicant for genocide — Articles 6(1) and 220a of Criminal Code — Whether public international law rule prohibiting applicant's conviction for genocide by German courts — Whether applicant lawfully detained after conviction by a competent court under Article 5(1) of Convention — Whether Germany violating Article 6(1) or 5(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section)

Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235

Right to an effective remedy — Admissibility of applicants' complaints — Whether United Kingdom denying applicants effective remedy for Article 3 complaints — Whether applicants using available civil and administrative law remedies to complain about detention conditions — Whether guarantee of remedy allowing challenge to primary legislation before national authority on ground of being contrary to Convention — Whether United Kingdom violating Article 13 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits) 351

Right to enforceable right to compensation — Admissibility of applicants' complaints — Whether violations found giving rise

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxii

DIGEST OF CASES

Human Rights (*cont.*)

to enforceable claim for compensation before national courts — Whether United Kingdom violating Article 5(5) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits)

351

Right to family life and right to private life — European Convention on Human Rights 1950, Articles 14 and 8 — Interpretation — Duty of State authorities to take account of traditions and sensitivities of nomadic peoples — Irish travellers — Rights protection — Whether States have an obligation to actively intervene to protect Article 8 rights — Intervention via social and welfare provision — Limited nature of positive obligation to intervene — Limited nature of State resources — Distribution of State resources a matter for political determination — The law of Ireland — Ireland, High Court

Doherty and Doherty v. South Dublin County Council and Others (No 2)

632

Right to have lawfulness of detention decided by a court — Admissibility of applicants' complaints — Whether procedure before domestic courts to challenge applicants' detention complying with requirements of Article 5(4) of Convention — Court's role — Whether proceedings fair as whole — Evidence withheld on public interest grounds — Balancing right to procedural fairness against important public interests — Certification proceedings before SIAC — Open and closed evidence — Lack of full disclosure in national security cases — Role of special advocate to counterbalance procedural unfairness — Whether applicants in position to challenge effectively allegations against them — Whether United Kingdom violating Article 5(4) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits)

351

Right to liberty and security — Admissibility of applicants' complaints — Whether applicants' detention unlawful — Whether applicants' detention falling within exception to right to liberty in Article 5(1)(f) of Convention — Whether deportation or extradition proceedings in progress — Whether United Kingdom validly derogating from its obligations under Article 5(1) — Whether public emergency threatening life of nation — Whether

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxiii

derogation measures strictly required by exigencies of situation — Proportionality — Whether measures discriminating between nationals and non-nationals — National authorities having wide margin of appreciation — Role of Court — Whether United Kingdom violating Article 5(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits) 351

International Court of Justice

Jurisdiction — Finality of judgments — Request for interpretation — Statute of the Court, Article 60 — Rules of Court, Articles 98-100 — Dispute as to the meaning or scope of a judgment — Differences between French and English texts of Article 60 — Dispute must concern question decided with binding force in the judgment — *Avena* Judgment regarding application of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, 1963, Article 36 — Requirement that the United States take all measures necessary to ensure review and reconsideration of convictions and sentences of certain Mexican nationals — Whether dispute between Mexico and the United States regarding meaning and scope of obligation — Article 60 not a means for securing compliance with undisputed obligation — International Court of Justice

Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America) (Mexico v. United States of America) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) (Judgment) 141

Jurisdiction — *Forum prorogatum* — Rules of Court, Article 38(5) — Application of principle — Whether consent required to be given in particular form — Consent limited to claims formulated in Application — Events mentioned in the Application — Related events occurring after Application filed — International Court of Justice

Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France) (Judgment) 1

Procedure — Application — Rules of Court, Article 38(1) and (2) — Requirement that Application specify precise nature of claim, together with a succinct statement of the facts and grounds on which the claim is based — Whether particular form required — Application to be read as a whole — International Court of Justice

International Court of Justice (*cont.*)

Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters
(Djibouti v. France) (Judgment) 1

Provisional measures of protection — Nature and purpose of provisional measures — Requirement that rights to be protected are rights which might be adjudged to belong to one of the Parties in judgment on the merits — Request for provisional measures in the context of proceedings under Article 60 of the Statute of the Court — International Court of Justice

Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America) (Mexico v. United States of America) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) (Judgment) 141

International Criminal Law

Genocide — Definition — Interpretation — Article II of Genocide Convention, 1948 — Article 220a of German Criminal Code — German courts interpreting crime of genocide widely — Whether interpretation having basis in German or public international law — Whether consistent with essence of offence — Whether risk of charge and conviction of genocide reasonably foreseeable by applicant at material time — Whether necessary to intend to destroy group in physical or biological sense — German courts' wider interpretation supported by scholars and United Nations General Assembly Resolution 47/121 — Subsequent case-law of national and international courts, notably International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and International Court of Justice, supporting narrower interpretation — Relevance for applicant — European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section)

Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235

Mutual criminal assistance — Letters rogatory — Requirement to execute letter rogatory or give valid reasons for not doing so — Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters, 1986, between Djibouti and France — Witness summonses addressed to head of State and senior officials by foreign court — International Court of Justice

Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters
(Djibouti v. France) (Judgment) 1

International Tribunals

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea — Provisional measures — Criteria for indication of provisional measures — Jurisdiction — Risk of irreparable harm — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, Article 290(1) — Conditions for prescription of provisional measures — Jurisdiction and Admissibility — Existence and nature of dispute between parties — Exchange of views requirement under Article 283 of the Convention — Whether contact between parties amounting to exchange of views within meaning of Convention — Whether dispute concerning interpretation or application of Convention — Threshold of evidence required to found jurisdiction — Whether Tribunal having *prima facie* Jurisdiction to consider request for provisional measures — Reasons for provisional measures — Protection of marine environment — Nature and extent of harm — Whether real or imminent threat to environment or rights of parties — International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

M/V Louisa (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Kingdom of Spain) (Order on Request for Provisional Measures)

459

Jurisdiction

Universal — Article 6(1) of German Criminal Code codifying public international law principle of universal jurisdiction — Article 220a of Criminal Code — German courts trying applicant on charges of genocide committed outside Germany — Whether complying with international law provisions applicable in Germany — Interpretation of Article VI of Genocide Convention — Whether German courts having reasonable grounds for establishing jurisdiction — European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section)

Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits)

235

Nationality

Creation of new State — Independence of former territory — Attribution of nationality on independence — Whether persons born in territory losing nationality of former sovereign — Dual nationality — Rights of residence — Persons born in Papua — Whether entitled to Australian citizenship on independence of Papua New Guinea — Australia, High Court

Re Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, ex parte Ame

503

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxvi

DIGEST OF CASES

Recognition

States — Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (“TRNC”) — Lack of recognition by United Kingdom — Effects of non-recognition — Civil aviation — Scheduled and charter flights — Applications for operating permits for flights between UK and TRNC — Refusal of permits — Chicago Convention on International Civil Aviation 1944 — Obligations of State parties — Duty of UK government to respect rights of Republic of Cyprus under Chicago Convention — Implied recognition — Denial of permits avoiding indirect affirmation of TRNC’s sovereignty over territory of northern Cyprus — Exceptions to general principle of non-recognition — Ability to recognize validity of acts by TRNC regulating day-to-day activities and private rights of individuals — Whether civil aviation falling within exceptions — Whether grant of operating permits amounting to breach of obligations under international or domestic law — England, High Court, Queen’s Bench Division and Court of Appeal

Regina (on the application of Kibris Türk Hava Yollari and CTA Holidays Limited) v. Secretary of State for Transport (Republic of Cyprus, interested party)

683

States — Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (“TRNC”) — Lack of recognition by United Kingdom Government — Effects of non-recognition — Family law — Recognition of foreign divorce — Whether English court entitled to recognize decree of divorce issued in TRNC — Recognition of acts of unrecognized States concerning private rights — Status of the TRNC under the Family Law Act 1986 — Establishment of the Republic of Cyprus — Whether Cyprus exercising effective control over whole island — *De facto* authority in northern part of island — The TRNC as a defined territory — Whether Republic of Cyprus comprising one country with two territories operating different systems of law — The law of England — England, High Court, Family Division

Emin v. Yeldag (Attorney-General and Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs intervening)

663

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law

Genocide Convention, 1948, Articles I, II and VI — German Criminal Code, Articles 6(1) and 220a incorporating Genocide Convention into German law — Interpretation of Article VI

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxvii

of Genocide Convention by German courts — Whether reasonable — Statutory provisions and case-law of other Contracting States to Convention — Statute and case-law of International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia — European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section)

Jorgic v. Germany (Application No 74613/01) (Merits) 235

Treaties — Effect of treaty on interpretation of statute — Administrative law — Effect of treaty on exercise of discretionary powers — Immigration — Deportation of parent with Canadian-born dependent children — Status of ratified but unincorporated treaties in Canadian law — Convention on the Rights of the Child — Effect on municipal law — Whether Convention created legitimate expectation that best interests of Canadian child a primary consideration — Object and purpose of international and domestic legislation safeguarding rights and interests of children — Whether immigration officers having to take best interests of Canadian children as a primary consideration — The law of Canada — Canada, Supreme Court

Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 594

State Immunity

Jurisdictional immunity — Alleged violations of human rights — Torture — Claim in respect of torture allegedly committed outside the forum State — Whether defendants entitled to immunity when accused of violating a *jus cogens* rule — Officials — Whether immunity of State applicable to officials sued in respect of official acts — Foreign States Immunities Act — Requirement that court consider entitlement to immunity *proprio motu* — Australia, New South Wales Court of Appeal

Zhang v. Jiang Zemin and Others 555

Jurisdictional immunity — Head of State — Senior officials of State — Personal immunity of Head of State — Duty to accord respect to Head of State — Whether issuance of witness summons requesting his testimony a violation of immunity — Whether senior officials entitled to benefit from State immunity — International Court of Justice

Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France) (Judgment) 1

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxviii

DIGEST OF CASES

States

Federal States — Responsibility of State for acts of state and federal authorities — Capacity to speak for the State in international relations — Whether belonging exclusively to the federal executive — United States of America — Imposition of death penalty by state courts — *Avena* judgment of International Court of Justice — Implementation — International Court of Justice

Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in the case concerning Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America) (Mexico v. United States of America) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) (Judgment) 141

Independence — Creation of new State — Nationality of persons born in new State — Sovereignty over territory including power to relinquish that territory — Independence of Papua New Guinea from Australia — The law of Australia — Australia, High Court

Re Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, ex parte Ame 503

Terrorism

Aliens — Discrimination — Indefinite detention of suspected foreign international terrorists — National security — Part 4 of Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001 — Whether international terrorism creating public emergency threatening life of nation — Whether derogation measures strictly required by exigencies of situation — Threat of terrorist attack posed by nationals and non-nationals — Proportionality — Whether derogation measures discriminating unjustifiably between nationals and non-nationals — Whether United Kingdom validly derogating from its obligations under Article 5(1) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

A and Others v. United Kingdom (Application No 3455/05) (Merits) 351

Treaties

Application — Territory subject to sovereignty of State but possessing own legal system — Hong Kong — Application of treaties to Hong Kong by the People's Republic of China — Vienna Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods, 1980 — The law of France — France, Court of Cassation (First Civil Chamber)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxix

Société Logicom v. Société CTT Marketing Limited and Société Ducros Transports 629

Interpretation — Chicago Convention on International Civil Aviation 1944 — Sovereignty — Meaning of “sovereignty” under Chicago Convention — Whether “territorial sovereignty” requiring effective control over entire territory — Principle of effectiveness — Performance of treaty rights and obligations — Impossibility of performance — Conditions for suspension of operation of treaty — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Article 61(1) — Whether rights under treaty suspended — Whether entitlement to exercise rights under treaty suspended — Whether loss of effective control over territory resulting in suspension of treaty rights and obligations in respect of territory — The law of England — England, High Court, Queen’s Bench Division and Court of Appeal

Regina (on the application of Kibris Türk Hava Yollari and CTA Holidays Limited) v. Secretary of State for Transport (Republic of Cyprus, interested party) 683

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02179-2 - International Law Reports: Volume 148

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Sir Christopher Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)
