Levels of Personality

A completely revised and updated edition of a much-acclaimed textbook providing a critical introduction to human personality for psychology students. Levels of Personality carefully avoids the traditional ‘catalogue of theories’ approach. Instead it relates theories to each other within a conceptual framework of different levels of behaviour, moving inwards and downwards from ‘surface level’ explanations. Analytical case studies then apply these levels of understanding to areas of special interest such as aggression and sexuality. The author adopts a deep analytical and critical approach and questions whether personality theory and research has really addressed important questions, or produced useful answers. This new edition incorporates two new chapters on personality disorders, and on personality in the workplace, as well as improved pedagogical features including statistics boxes, assessment boxes, relevant websites and key references for each chapter.

Mark Cook is a Lecturer in Psychology at the University of Wales, Swansea.
## Contents

List of Figures \hspace{1cm} page vii  
List of Tables \hspace{1cm} x  
List of Boxes \hspace{1cm} xiii  
Preface to the third edition \hspace{1cm} xv  
Preface to the second edition \hspace{1cm} xvii  
Preface to the first edition \hspace{1cm} xviii  

1 Gideon’s army: the study of individual differences \hspace{1cm} 1  

### Part I The surface

2 A rather dull person: personality as traits and factors \hspace{1cm} 21  
3 Working for the Peace Corps: criticisms of traits and factors \hspace{1cm} 50  

### Part II Below the surface 1: the biological line

4 Brave New World: learning and habit models \hspace{1cm} 83  
5 Eysenck’s demon: biological accounts of personality \hspace{1cm} 107  

### Part III Below the surface 2: the phenomenal line

6 Tumbleweed or boulder? The phenomenal approach to personality \hspace{1cm} 147  
7 I didn’t get where I am today by reading stuff like this: explaining personality by the self-concept \hspace{1cm} 175
List of Figures

1.1 Height plotted against weight, showing a positive correlation of 0.75
1.2 The Muller-Lyer illusion
1.3 The surface of personality and three lines travelling down beneath it
2.1 Mr A's trait of 'communist phobia' (after Allport, 1961)
2.2 Mr B's trait of 'communist phobia' (after Allport, 1961)
2.3 Higher order factors of exvia–invia and anxiety in Cattell's 16 personality factors
3.1 The effect of restricted range on correlation. The link between variables A and B is much less apparent if only the data in the top right area of the distribution are analysed
3.2 Distribution of validity coefficients for 63 'pseudo-samples', each of 68, drawn randomly from a larger sample of 1,455 postal workers. Data from Schmidt et al. (1988)
3.3 Data from Epstein (1979), showing increasing reliability of six measures when averages are calculated for increasingly larger numbers of days
3.4 A genotypic trait of aggression in action
5.1 Eysenck's five levels of description as applied to anxiety and neurosis
5.2 Data from Eysenck's original factor analysis of the histories of 700 military psychiatric casualties, showing two dimensions of hysteria–dysthymia, later renamed extraversion–introversion, and neuroticism
5.3 Operant conditioning reinforced by lights and music, in extraverts and introverts (Weisen, unpublished, cited by Eysenck, 1967)
5.4 Schematic diagram of the brain, showing visceral brain and reticular system, in relation to sensory pathways and cerebral cortex
### List of Figures

5.5 Eysenck's two dimensions, of extraversion and neuroticism, as rotated by Gray (1982) 120

6.1 The life space according to Lewin (1935) 148

6.2 Representation of a child (C) drawn to a chair (S), but unable to turn around to sit on it (Lewin, 1935) 149

6.3 The Rod and Frame Test and a sample Embedded Figures Test (EFT) item (right). In the Rod and Frame Test, the person has to set the rod to true vertical, ignoring the square, which provides a misleading frame of reference. In the EFT, the person is first shown the upper diagram, which is then removed, and the person has to find the upper diagram in the more complex lower diagram 154

6.4 Part of a completed Role Repertory Grid Test (Rep Grid) 156

6.5 Change of frequency of use of four types of constructs between age 7 and age 13. Data from Brierley (unpublished) reported in Bannister and Fransella (1971) 159

6.6 Expert and novice representations of ‘taking a test’ (Cantor and Kihlstrom, 1987) 163

6.7 A Cognitive Affective Unit representation of the operation of aggressive impulses in the hypothetical Mr Savage. ------ indicates an inhibiting element 169

6.8 Example of possible responses in the construal level 'priming manipulation' used by Fujita et al. (2006) 171

7.1 The topography of the human mind according to Freud 176

7.2 Model of four levels of consciousness in the human mind (Parker and Cook, 1991) 184

8.1 Achievement themes in literature, and extent of Greek exports, plotted against data, between 700 BC and 200 BC (McClelland, 1961) 206

8.2 A picture similar to those used in the Thematic Apperception Test 208

8.3 Characteristic doodles of people with high and low levels of need for achievement (Atkinson, 1958) 210

8.4 Fear and mating ergs measured daily for 28 days in one person (adapted from Kline and Grindley, 1974) 220

8.5 Part of a dynamic lattice, showing attitude subsidiation, sentiment structure and ergic goals (Cattell, 1965) 224

9.1 Four quadrants of distress/health defined by EPQ neuroticism and clinician’s rating from Early Memory Test (data from Shedler et al. (1993)) 244
9.2 The percentages of boys and girls of various age levels, who remove the animal’s tail in story completion (Friedman, 1952) 251
10.1 The aggression machine. The participant is led to believe that operating the switches causes an electric shock of the stated voltage to be delivered to the victim 268
10.2 Summary of stability correlations for aggression, corrected for attenuation, plotted against age at time of first assessment (vertical axis), and interval between assessments (horizontal axis) 271
10.3 Model of the relationship between anger, self-control and aggression 273
11.1 Frequency of registered homosexual men born each year from 1932 to 1953, expressed as frequency per 10 of all males born (data from Dorner et al., 1980) 288
11.2 Proportion of male and female 20-year-olds falling into each of seven categories of homosexual–heterosexual orientation (data from Kinsey et al., 1948, 1953) 288
11.3 Path diagram, showing paths from parents, through gender non-conformity and childhood and adolescent sexual feelings and behaviour, to adult homosexuality. Adapted and greatly simplified from Bell et al. (1981) 296
11.4 Path diagram, showing paths from heredity, feminisation, through gender non-conformity and childhood and adolescent sexual feelings and behaviour, to adult homosexuality. Adapted, extended and greatly simplified from Bell et al. (1981) 306
11.5 Changes in luteinising hormone in response to a single injection of Premarin (Gladue et al., 1984) 307
12.1 Correlation between pessimistic explanatory style in early life, and illness at various ages from 30 to 60 (data from Peterson et al., 1988) 323
12.2 The concept of stress buffering by, for example, instrumentality 325
12.3 Resiliency and ego control as two independent dimensions, dividing people into four quadrants, with sample Q Set items describing 4-year-olds in each quadrant (data from Block and Block, 1980) 330
14.1 Links between four generic assessment centre exercises, and the five factors of personality, according to experts. √ indicates experts rated that exercise likely to reflect that personality factor, data from Lievens et al. (2006) 390
List of Tables

1. Five categories of qualitatively different information, used in personality research  
   page 4

2. Fictitious correlations between six measures of behaviour across 500 students  
   31

3. Correlations between seven sets of tests of honesty in children, obtained by Hartshorne and May (1928), factor-analysed by Burton (1963)  
   32

4. The 12 personality factors obtained by Cattell (1946) from trait-rating data, with later different names. Subsequently, factors D, J and K were dropped and seven new ones added, including Q1 to Q4  
   34

5. Four of Cattell’s personality factors, measured by ratings and by PQ (Becker, 1960). The coefficients in bold are for the same trait, assessed by different methods  
   35

6. The Big Five personality factors  
   38

7. Two analyses of Hartshorne and May’s honesty data, by Burton (1963)  
   44

8. Correlations between punctuality at six types of event (Dudycha, 1936)  
   54

9. Correlations between social class, intelligence and personality, and three key outcomes (Roberts et al., 2007)  
   61

    70

11. Four general classes of situation, with examples, from Saucier et al. (2007)  
    77

12. Five levels of personality description and interpretation  
    118

13. Five temperament types in 3-year-olds in the Dunedin cohort (data from Caspi et al., 2003)  
    124

14. Differences in adult personality for five groups defined by childhood temperament (data from Caspi et al., 2003)  
    125

15. Summary of three comparisons of identical twins reared together and apart, also two comparisons of identical and
fraternal twins reared together. UK data from Shields (1962); Swedish data from Pedersen et al. (1988); US data from Tellegen et al. (1988), using the Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Comparison of adopted child with adopting parent and biological parent, in the Colorado Adoption Project (data from Plomin et al. (1998))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of researches on heritability of five personality factors (data from Johnson et al. (2008))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Extracts from Classification System for Personal Constructs, from Feixas et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Seven types of construct, and the frequency of their use (adapted from Donahue, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Predicted links between type of self generated by PWP, and score on NEO PQ (data from Raggatt (2006))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Distribution of types of self-concept defining responses on the Twenty Statements Test, also correlation with self and other form NEO PQ (data from McCrae and Costa (1988))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Four types of self-efficacy in adolescents (Caprara et al., 2003b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Murray’s (1938) list of human needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Construct and predictive validation of need for affect scale (data from Maio and Esses (2001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Classification of human motives by universality (Klineberg, 1940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Maslow’s hierarchy of needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Defence mechanisms listed by the American Psychiatric Association, organised by three levels of maturity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>Some items from the Violence Risk Assessment Guide (DSM criteria are described in Chapter 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Sexual orientation of 7,906 US and Canadian college students (data from Ellis et al. (2005))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>Sexual orientation in the UK, in the National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles. Data from Wellings et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>Results of MZ/DZ twin researches in USA and Australia. The strict criterion in the Australian data is a Kinsey score of 2 or more. The lenient criterion is a Kinsey score of 1 or more. Data from Bailey et al. (2000) and Kendler et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>Examples of behaviour of ‘fighters’ and ‘non-fighters’, from Egbert et al. (1953)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Tables

12.2 Summary of correlates of high and low ego-resiliency and high and low intelligence men and women (Block and Kremen, 1996) 333
13.1 Ten personality disorders, in three clusters 340
13.2 The 12 personality disorder factors from SWAP, with illustrative items 344
13.3 Meta-analysis of FFM profiles (omitting openness) for 10 PDs (data from Saulsman and Page, 2004) 347
13.4 Loadings of the 17 factors of Dimensional Assessment of Personality Pathology on the FFM (data from Table 6, Markon et al. (2005)) 350
13.5 Prediction of problems in three areas, by DSM diagnosis and NEO 352
13.6 Symptoms of psychopathic personality, according to Neumann and Hare (2008) 359
14.1 Some occupational differences reported for the Eysenck PQ 367
14.2 Relationship of person organisation fit to four outcomes. Data from Kristof-Brown et al. (2005) 369
14.3 Personality and the psychological contract. Data from Raja et al. (2004) 371
14.4 Meta-analysis of the Big Five and job performance. Data from Barrick et al. (2001) 373
14.5 Personality and specialised work performance 375
14.6 Meta-analysis of links between team personality and team performance (correlation corrected for reliability of both PQ and performance measure, data from Bell (2007)) 376
14.7 Meta-analyses of FFM and ‘attitude’ aspects of work performance. Correlations corrected for outcome reliability and restricted range, except organisation citizenship, which are raw correlations 380
14.8 Summary of meta-analyses of corrected correlations between FFM PQs, HTs, and misbehaviour in the workplace 381
14.9 Some questions of the type found in HTs 382
14.10 Three forced choice PQ formats 386
14.11 Four sample items from personality tests 388
14.12 Personality and career success, data from Ng et al. (2005) and Judge et al. (1999) 392
14.13 Summary of four long-term follow-up studies of precursors to unemployment 393
## List of Boxes

### Statistics Boxes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Mean and standard deviation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>d statistic</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Statistical significance</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Incremental validity</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Error of measurement</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Internal reliability</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Multiple correlation</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>True validity and operational validity</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Assessment Boxes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Face validity</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Measuring personality 1. 'Real life behaviour'</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Measuring personality 2. Trait ratings</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Measuring personality 3. Personality questionnaires (PQs)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>What do answers to PQs mean?</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Can less intelligent people complete PQs?</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Measuring personality 4. Q Sort technique</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Do PQs suffer from the same systematic distortion as trait ratings?</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Disability and PQs</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>PQs and the danger of circularity</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Single-item tests</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Reliability of TAT/PSE</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Telling people about their test scores</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Answers to PQs as symptoms</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Boxes

13.2 Ceiling effects with PQs 352
14.1 Normative data and standard scores 372
14.2 Nationally representative samples 377
14.3 Social desirability scales 384
14.4 Convergent and discriminant validity 389
Preface to the third edition

This new edition includes two new chapters, on personality disorders (Chapter 13), and personality in the workplace (Chapter 14). All the other chapters have been revised and updated. The amount of updating gives an interesting commentary on the development of personality research during the last 20 to 30 years. The chapter on psychoanalysis needed the least updating, because research on empirical verification of Freudian theories of personality seems to have largely dried up. Personal construct psychology is still active, but seems to generate therapy and guidance rather than research. The chapters on trait and factor approaches have needed extensive alteration, because criticisms and reformulations continue to proliferate.

On a personal level, I have continued to be active in the applied areas, using personality tests to select staff for a range of employers. I have also been involved in the publication and development of personality tests, including the California Psychological Inventory (formerly, but not presently) and Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (ongoing).

The Statistics Boxes in the text explain various statistical terms and points. These are intended to explain to the reader what, e.g., variance is, and why it is important in personality. The boxes are not intended as formal definitions of statistical concepts. Nor do they give any information about numerical aspects, except in very general terms to enable the reader to see what is being done. Readers who are familiar with psychology's statistics will probably find they can ignore the boxes.

The Assessment Boxes in the text cover a mixture of basic technicalities, which again some readers will probably know already, and some more idiosyncratic or speculative thoughts.

The websites listed do not generally include test publishers, nor do they include any of the numerous test-your-own-personality sites, which are easy to find, but should be used with caution.
Preface to the third edition

I would like to thank Karen Howard for her help with the Figures, the Psychology Department for their support and help, the University Library and Inter Library Loans for their invaluable assistance, and Cambridge University Press for giving me the opportunity to write this third edition.
Preface to the second edition

The ten years since I started writing the first edition of this book have seen a great increase in interest in psychological testing in Britain. At the beginning of the 1980s only a few employers in Britain used personality tests: since then their use has spread from the private sector into the National Health Service and local government. Only the education sector still selects, or tries to select, its staff by inefficient, unscientific methods. Since 1987 I have been a Director of Oxford Psychologists Press Ltd, who distribute and publish tests of personality and intelligence, including the California Psychological Inventory, which readers will find mentioned in Chapters 2, 3, 10 and 11.

The second edition incorporates two new Chapters (Chapters 12 and 13), the first on alcoholism and the other on psychological resilience. All of the other chapters have been updated and rewritten. The amount of updating needed for each chapter gives an interesting commentary on developments in personality research since 1982. The chapter on psychoanalysis needed the least updating, because research on empirical verification of Freudian theories of personality has largely dried up. The chapter on learning-based approaches (Chapter 4) didn’t need much change either. Chapter 5 on biological approaches, by contrast, needed quite a lot of revision. So did the chapters on aggression and sexual variation (Chapters 10 and 11); views on sexual variation have taken a sharply biological turn in the last ten years. The chapters on traits and factors (Chapters 2 and 3) have been extensively altered, partly because criticisms and reformulation of the trait approach continue to pour forth from personality theorists, partly because personnel psychologists have made some real advances. Research on numerous, very various approaches to the self (Chapter 7) has also proliferated. Personal construct theory, by contrast (Chapter 6), seems to be going out of fashion.

I’d like to thank Cassell for giving me the chance to publish a second edition of this book, and my wife, once more, for her patience while I have been occupied writing it.
Preface to the first edition

I have been intending to write this book for as long as I have been teaching Individual Psychology. I have never really been satisfied with any of the texts I have used, so the logical thing is to write my own. The sources of my dissatisfaction with the texts I have used are various, but the two main shortcomings are adherence to the ‘theory of the month’ approach, and being transatlantic. Some texts also tend to be rather low level and condescending to the reader.

The ‘theory of the month’ approach – or week if the lecturer likes to move fast – is probably inspired by Hall and Lindzey’s (1957) *Theories of Personality*. This is an excellent and useful work, but as a reference book, not a text. Too many subsequent textbooks have followed its format: a chapter on Freud, a chapter on Jung, a chapter on Sheldon, a chapter on Dollard and Miller, a chapter on Rogers, a chapter on Skinner (who may be an anti-personality theorist, but generates some superbly memorable jargon), a chapter on Allport, perhaps a chapter on Cattell, rarely or never a mention of Eysenck.

There are several problems with this approach. Some theories cover several aspects of personality: Allport discusses traits, habits, motives and the self, while Cattell’s account covers all these, and psychodynamics, biological aspects and factor analysis as well. A ‘mixed’ account is surely better discussed in sections – traits, motives, the self – rather than presented in a great wodge just because one person wrote it all. Some theories are obsolete, long abandoned as dead ends, such as Sheldon’s theory of physique and personality. Some theories included in many texts are not scientific theories at all; it is difficult enough extracting testable propositions from Freudian theory, but not worth even trying with some of his followers. But the major limitation of the ‘theory of the month’ approach is its failure to integrate, to say what type of account each theory is trying to give, and how it relates to the others. Chapter 1 tries to present a structure around which seven types of theory can be organised, and uses the
analogy of three railway lines, running underground from the surface of
behaviour to three termini.

Most personality texts come from across the Atlantic. There is of course
nothing wrong with transatlantic origin per se: the vastly greater number of
psychology students in North America means economies of scale, which means
large, well-produced books at very reasonable prices. However North American
authors often seem oblivious of non-American theorists and researchers. The
most glaring example of this is Hans Eysenck, whose existence is entirely ignored
in some texts, and whose contribution is not really done justice in others. I do not
intend this as a flag waving ‘buy British’ book; I have mentioned British theory
and research wherever it seems relevant, not for the sake of it. But in doing so, I
seem to have mentioned it rather more than transatlantic texts usually do.

When I started writing this book, I was an ‘academic’ psychologist, engaged in
research and teaching. Hence I was critical of most personality theory and
research, for personality is one of the ‘soft’ areas of psychology. Since then I have
become at least partly an applied psychologist, engaged in counselling, selection
and assessment work, actually using the concepts and measures of personality
that I’d previously only found fault with. Academic psychologists will probably
think the effect is to have made me uncritical of woolly thinking and poor
measures. It may have, but there is something to be said for having actually used
some of the measures one writes about, in the real world.