

## Index

- accusatorial systems *see* adversarial or accusatorial systems
- adversarial or accusatorial systems
  - common law tradition *see* common law tradition
  - development of the safeguarding of individual rights of the accused 20–7
  - development of exclusionary rules of evidence 21
  - impartiality 23
  - party participation in the criminal process 21–7
- differences between common law and civil law systems *see under* inquisitorial or non-adversarial systems
- ensuring defence participation by adversarial procedure 369
- evidence and procedure 12
- flaws in 10, 17–18
- inquisitorial/adversarial dichotomy *see* inquisitorial/adversarial dichotomy
- and rationalist tradition of adjudication evaluating testimony 15–16
- and tradition of individual human rights 13–14
- traditional approach falling short of individual rights norms 17–18
- adversarial trial, right to 86–95
  - examining witnesses 87–8, 101, 104, 139–40
  - not necessary for right to be exercised at trial 88–9, 140
  - not all witnesses need to be examined on defence's request 89–90, 137
  - whether unexamined evidence is sole or decisive evidence 91–5, 101
- international criminal tribunals 136–40
- African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 79, 199
- African Commission 79
- American Convention on Human Rights 79, 199, 218
  - legal representation and advice 291
  - silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 246–7, 252–3, 267–8
- anti-nomian thesis 35, 36, 37, 44
- Australia
  - challenging witness evidence 334–5
  - public interest immunity 317, 321
  - securing evidence 314–15
- Bacon, Francis 34, 44
- Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers* (UN) 277, 290–1
- Beccaria, Cesare 13, 16, 17, 19, 61
- Belgium 66, 84, 303, 311
- Bentham, Jeremy 14, 15, 17, 30, 31, 33, 35–6, 44, 213–14
  - anti-nomian thesis *see* anti-nomian thesis
  - free proof 11, 32, 36, 55, 153
  - right of silence and privilege against self-incrimination 242, 268, 271–2
- best evidence principle 34–6, 45, 55
- bias 229–33
- burden of proof 368–9
  - development of 21
  - directions to juries 39
  - evidence obtained by torture or inhuman/degrading treatment 160
  - failure to produce evidence and altering the burden of proof 370
  - legal and evidential burdens 200–1, 226, 227–8
  - and presumption of innocence 200–1, 204, 210, 216, 221–8, 240
  - reversal of the burden of proof 210, 221–8

- Cambodia, Extraordinary Chambers for 109
- Canada 167–8, 269–70
  - Canadian Charter and fair trial rights 51, 53, 227, 235, 321–2
  - legal professional privilege 295
  - public interest 308–9
  - public interest immunity and national security 321–2
  - securing relevant evidence 315
  - special counsel representing defence
    - interests at disclosure hearings 321
- challenging witness evidence 325–66
  - adequate and proper opportunity to
    - challenge the witness 342–59, 365–6
  - circumstances of hearing: importance of impartial judge and of counsel 345–9
  - obligation to organise witness examination hearing 349–51
  - restrictions on defence's opportunity to challenge witness 351–6
  - significance of procedural environment: principal v preliminary steps 342–5
  - substantive sufficiency of opportunity to challenge witness 356–9
- criticisms of ECtHR's case law 365–6
- defence participation in the examination of witnesses 364–5
- fairness and the right to challenge 363–6
- importance of witness evidence: the sole or decisive test 338–42, 365
  - criticisms of test 341–2
  - determining decisive evidence 340–1
  - need to assess the evidence 339–40
- justifying the right to challenge
  - incriminatory witness evidence 327–34
- methods of regulating witness evidence 325–7
- reasons why witness evidence separately regulated 325
- regulation of right to challenge witness
  - evidence: the human rights perspective 334–62
  - adequate and proper opportunity to challenge the witness 342–59
  - approach of ECtHR 336–8
  - challenging expert witnesses 361–2
  - defence obligations, waiver and forfeiture 359–61, 363–4
  - importance of witness evidence: the sole or decisive test 338–42
- children
  - age of criminal responsibility 105–6
  - approach to the evidence of children 46, 66, 387, 388
  - challenging witness evidence and vulnerable victims/witnesses 352–4, 375–6
- civil law tradition 57–76
  - development of criminal evidence law and movement towards freedom of proof 58–66
  - excluding or prohibiting the use of evidence 72–4
  - freedom of proof and restrictions on doctrine in modern evidence law 69–71
  - impartial search for truth 141
  - importance of nineteenth-century procedural reforms 58, 66–9
  - as a 'moving target' 390–1
  - prosecutors giving product of their inquiries to the court 141
- recent developments in evidence law 74–6
  - changing structure of the investigation phase 75–6
  - judges' ability to deal with expert/scientific evidence 74–5
- witnesses
  - court deciding whether witnesses are called 312–13
  - questioning witnesses 348–9
  - written depositions, dependence on 94, 126
- coercion
  - coercive power of the state and presumption of innocence 205–7
  - compelling witness attendance 350–1
  - in interrogation *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
  - see also* right of silence and the privilege against self-incrimination
- common law tradition 30–56
  - challenges to free proof *see under* free proof
  - common law conceptions of the law of evidence 34–8
    - best evidence principle 34–6, 45, 55
    - broadening of judicial discretion and flexibility 37–8
    - inclusionary principle of probative or relevant evidence unless forbidden 36–8

- common law tradition (*cont.*)
  - knowledge of facts resting on authority and rules of proof 34
- common law shortcomings and disclosure 307–10
- evidence law adrift? 38–40
- free proof and the common law 30–3
  - exclusion of evidence 32–3, 36
- as a ‘moving target’ 390–1
- questioning witnesses 348–9
- compulsion
  - in interrogation *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
  - and right of silence *see under* silence and the privilege against self-incrimination
- confessions
  - ad hoc tribunals
    - accused not to be compelled to confess guilt 121
    - special provision for confession evidence 123
  - admissibility and burden of proof 204
  - canon law 16
  - challenging confessions obtained during preliminary proceedings 345
  - co-accused confessions
    - admissibility and burden of proof 204
    - retraction and legal professional privilege 293–4
  - de facto ‘interrogation’ of suspects in custody, confessions from 175–9, 255–6
  - de facto ‘questioning’ of suspects not in custody, confessions from 179–81
  - deception and coercion, confessions obtained by 183–4, 187–8, 197, 280, 281
    - confessions in breach of privilege against self-incrimination 281
  - existence of confession supporting uncontested witness evidence 340
  - failure to speak as challenge to authority 272
  - false confessions 53, 216, 276
  - forensic science exposing weaknesses of confession evidence 46–7
  - international instruments 246–8
    - ACHR 246–7, 268
    - ECHR 267–8
    - ICCPR 246, 267–8
    - statutes of ad hoc tribunals 121, 123
  - as a main type of evidence in France and Germany 60–1
  - obtained by torture 60–1, 158, 160–2, 165–6, 242, 247–8
  - fruit of the poisonous tree 191–3
  - inadmissibility of confessions as means of preventing torture 247–8
  - irrelevance of truthfulness of the confession 167–8
  - voluntary nature, admissibility dependent upon 243
    - ACHR 246–7
    - Human Rights Committee 247
    - and privilege against self-incrimination 281, 389
    - relaxation of voluntariness standard in terrorist trials 382–3
    - requirement to prove the confession was voluntary 204, 247
  - wrongful convictions based on 46–7, 53, 216
    - calls for exclusion in US cases 53, 216
    - see also* evidence
- constitutional challenge to free proof 50–5
  - fair trial rights *see* fair trial rights
  - individual rights and the criminal evidence law 51
- Convention against Torture, UN *see under* torture
- convergence debate 3–9
  - debate fixated on whether systems moving in inquisitorial or adversarial direction 6–7
  - unintended effects of transplanting processes 7
  - whether convergence towards a middle position 6–7
- problems of national legal systems 3
- resistance to change among national legal systems 4–6
- cost and expedition *see under* negotiated justice
- Council of Europe 105, 248, 294, 316
  - Recommendation on the Position of the Victim in the Framework of Criminal Law and Procedure 373
- counsel *see* legal representation and advice
- covert surveillance *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
- crimes against humanity
  - international criminal tribunals for 4, 111
  - Nuremberg trials 108–9

- cross-examination 35, 46, 327–8
  - defence obligations, waiver and forfeiture 359–61, 363–4
  - and hearsay evidence 328–34
  - opportunity to cross-examine important 363–4
  - right to cross examine 24, 39, 45, 52–3, 54–5
    - role of counsel and judges 348–9, 352
  - witnesses refuting previously incriminating statements 358–9
  - see also* challenging witness evidence
- De l'Esprit des Lois* (Montesquieu) 17
- deception, coercion, traps, tricks *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
- Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power, UN 373
- defence participation 21–7, 111, 112, 233–7, 285–324
  - active defence participation adding to the pool of evidence 369
  - beyond disclosure: access to evidence outside the possession of the prosecutor 310–16
    - application to the court 312–16
    - defence investigations 310–12
  - challenging witness evidence 347–8
  - common law shortcomings 307–10
  - equality of arms 298–300, 301–3, 306–7, 311–12, 323–4, 347–8, 369
  - legal representation and self-representation 285–9
    - right to self-representation 286–9
    - role of legal advisers 285–7
  - participation in the examination of witnesses 364–5
  - public interest immunity *see* public interest immunity
  - right to effective legal assistance 289–95
    - balancing away the privilege 293–5
    - communication with counsel 289–91
    - early legal assistance 289
    - right to private communication and legal professional privilege 291–2
  - right to full disclosure of evidence 295–307
    - an absolute right? 304
    - case against the accused 295–7
    - scope of right to disclosure: *Jespers* and *Edwards* 297–300
    - uncertainties as to scope 301–4
  - silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 246, 266–7, 273–7, 283–4, 289
    - witnesses' attendance 359
- Delmas-Marty, M. 87–8, 102
- Descartes, René 15, 34
- Diplock Commission 382–3
- disclosure/discovery 118, 389–90
  - access to evidence outside the prosecutor's possession *see under* defence participation
  - assuming greater significance 40
  - common law shortcomings 307–10
  - criminal discovery 370
  - and defence participation *see under* defence participation
  - ECHR 85–6, 101
  - international criminal tribunals 135–6, 144–5
  - right to full disclosure of evidence *see under* defence participation
  - see also* evidence
- DNA testing 46–7, 387, 388
- drug trafficking 3
- England *see* United Kingdom
- Enlightenment and Enlightenment thinkers 13, 15, 16–17, 20, 21, 27–8, 108–47
- entrapment 188–91
- epistemic challenge to free proof 41–5
  - probability theory 41–2, 214–15
  - reasoning processes of juries 42, 43–4
  - whether biases should be regulated by exclusionary rules 44–5
- equality of arms principle *see under* defence participation; European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); evidence in international criminal tribunals
- Eurojust 4–5
- European Arrest Warrant 5
- European Commission of Human Rights 79
  - see* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) 79–83, 132–3
  - challenging witness evidence 326, 327, 329–30, 331–5
  - human rights perspective *see under* challenging witness evidence
  - disclosure 297–300, 301–4
  - fair trial rights 80–3

- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) (*cont.*)
  - applying from moment of charge 96–7
  - discretion of national courts and margin of appreciation 81–2
  - equality of arms 83–6, 98–9, 101, 119, 134
  - member states determining means of compliance 82, 88
  - whether proceedings were ‘as a whole’ fair 82–3
  - whether rights can be exercised during the pre-trial phase 97–8
- incentives for a guilty plea 384–5
- legal representation and advice 99, 100, 103–4, 278–84, 289, 290–1
- as living instrument 83, 102, 105
- presumption of innocence 199, 217–21
- public interest immunity and adversarial argument 319–20
- right to an adversarial trial 86–95, 137
- right of silence 83, 100, 103, 151–2, 176–9, 180, 182–4, 196, 246, 248–9, 250–2, 267–8, 278–83
- unfair evidence *see* fair trials and the use of improperly obtained evidence
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) 14
  - case against the accused 297
  - challenging witness evidence 327, 336–8
    - criticisms of case law 365–6
    - regulation of right to challenge *see under* challenging witness evidence
    - sole or decisive test 338–42, 365
  - disclosure 297–300, 301–4
  - equality of arms principle 83–6, 134
  - ECHR as living instrument 83, 102, 105
  - fair trials
    - evidence *see* fair trials and the use of improperly obtained evidence
    - rights *see under* European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
  - independent and impartial tribunals 229–33
    - challenging witness evidence and importance of impartial judges 345–9, 365–6
  - legal representation and advice 100, 278–83, 289, 290–2
  - participatory rights 233–5
  - presumption of innocence 210, 219–21
  - public hearing requirement not applying to pre-trial decisions 98
  - public interest immunity and adversarial argument 319–20
  - reversal of burden of proof 221–8
  - right to an adversarial trial *see* adversarial trial, right to
  - right to a reasoned judgment 237–8
  - right to self-representation 288
  - silence and privilege against self-incrimination 241–2, 246–73, 278–83
  - terrorism and individual rights 381–2
- European Evidence Warrant 5
- European Union
  - competence in area of criminal justice 4–5
  - evidence and arrest warrants 5
  - mutual recognition principle replacing mutual assistance 5
  - slow progress in criminal justice cooperation 4–5
- Europol 4–5
- evidence 12–13, 27–8
  - across traditions *see* evidence across traditions
  - challenging witness evidence *see* challenging witness evidence
  - civil law tradition *see* civil law tradition
  - common law tradition *see* common law tradition
  - confessions *see* confessions
  - criminal discovery 370
  - derivative 191–3, 259
  - development of exclusionary rules of evidence 21
  - evidentiary defence rights *see* towards a theory of evidentiary defence rights
  - expert *see* expert evidence
  - failure to disclose 370
  - improperly obtained *see* fair trials and the use of improperly obtained evidence
  - informers *see* informer evidence
  - lost evidence 370–1
  - right of silence *see* silence and the privilege against self-incrimination
  - securing crucial evidence 313–16
  - self-incrimination *see* silence and the privilege against self-incrimination
  - third-party rights 315–16
    - see also* disclosure/discovery
- evidence across traditions 3–29
  - beyond the civil and common law traditions 27–9

- comparative evidence scholarship 9–14
- evidence and proof 11–13
- rationalist tradition of adjudication and tradition of individual rights 13–14
- whether common principles of evidence exist 13
- convergence debate *see* convergence debate
- rationalist tradition and the rights tradition 14–19
- towards shared evidentiary principles 19–27
- evidence in international criminal tribunals 108–47
  - ad hoc tribunals 119–24
  - common law foundations 116–19
  - need for realignment 131–40, 146
    - and jurisprudence of other international rights bodies 132–3
  - right to an adversarial trial 136–40
  - right to equality of arms 133–6
  - problems of legitimacy 110–16
  - evidentiary context 112–15
  - function and purpose of international criminal trials 111–12
  - reaching agreed rules of procedure and evidence 115–16
  - rubbing points between common law and civil law 124–31
    - differences between civil and common law approaches 128–31
  - movement towards managerialism 124–7, 387
  - trials remaining adversarial 127–8
  - towards the future and the International Criminal Court 140–5, 146–7
  - towards an international system of justice 108–10
- Evidence Law Adrift* (Damaška) 40
- evidential traditions in continental European jurisdictions *see* civil law tradition
- evidentiary defence rights 367–91
  - beyond tradition 367–71
    - ensuring defence participation by adversarial procedure 368–9
  - failure to disclose evidence 370
  - lost evidence 370–1
  - positive evidentiary rights 367–8
  - production of evidence/criminal discovery 370
  - remedies for failure to guarantee positive evidentiary rights 369, 370
- cost and expedition *see under* negotiated justice
- legal culture and tradition 387–91
- prospects for evidentiary defence rights 371–2
- state security and terrorism *see under* terrorism
- victims' right and participation *see under* victims
- expert evidence
  - bias 233
  - challenging expert witnesses 361–2
  - and free proof *see* scientific challenge to free proof
  - judges' ability to deal with expert/scientific evidence 74–5
- extradition 371
- fair trial rights 52, 53–6, 108
  - contexts calling for different standards of application within fair trial guarantee 381–2
  - in emergencies 380–1, 382
  - incorporating fair trial standards from point of view of being called to account 277–83
  - international context
    - human rights *see under* international human rights
    - internationalisation of fair trial standards 371–2
  - presumption of innocence and fair trial standards 215–17
- fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence 27, 31, 151–98, 229
- confessions *see* confessions
- criminal proceedings as a continuous process 196–7
- impact of improperly obtained evidence on principles of fairness 195–8
- lack of satisfactory regulatory structure for pre-trial phase 197–8
- deception, coercion, traps and tricks 169–88, 255–6
- de facto 'interrogation' of suspects in custody and coercion/compulsion 175–9
- de facto 'questioning' of suspects not in custody 179–81
- fairness and use of evidence obtained by deception and coercion 181–8

- fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence (*cont.*)
- wiretapping and covert surveillance 171–5, 181–2, 184–8, 190–1
- ECtHR's general approach 151–2, 157–8
- evidence obtained by torture or ill-treatment distinguished 163–9, 194–5
- evidence obtained by torture
- undermining legitimacy of system 162–3, 169, 194–5
- entrapment 188–91
- evidence obtained by torture or inhuman/degrading treatment 60–1, 158–66
- evidence obtained by inhuman or degrading treatment 163–6, 194–5
- evidence obtained by torture 12–13, 20, 53, 54–5, 160–3, 193, 194–5
- fairness and evidence obtained by torture or ill-treatment 166–9
- international instruments relating to torture 159–60, 162–3
- standard and burden of proof 160
- terrorism cases 383–4
- fruit of the poisoned tree 191–3
- theories explaining the exclusion of improperly obtained evidence 153–8
- deterrent to improper conduct 154–5, 193
- guaranteeing reliability of evidence 154, 193
- rights theory/protective principle 155–6, 194
- theory of legitimacy or integrity 156–7, 193, 194–5
- under-regulated pre-trial/investigative process 194–8
- Feuerbach, P. J. A. von 67
- France
- anti-adversarialism 389
- challenging witness evidence 333–4
- Déclaration des Droits de L' Homme et du Citoyen* 17, 199
- defence participation 307, 312
- disclosure 307
- evidence and procedure 59–61, 62, 66, 67, 68, 87–8, 209
- exclusion of evidence 167–8
- French Enlightenment *see* Enlightenment
- French Revolution 18, 20, 58, 67
- impartiality 23
- Napoleonic *Code d'instruction criminelle* 21–2, 62
- Nuremberg trials 116–17
- torture abolished 20
- free proof 11, 21, 27–8, 119, 368–9
- challenges to free proof 40–56
- constitutional challenge *see* constitutional challenge to free proof
- epistemic challenge *see* epistemic challenge to free proof
- reform of exclusionary rules slow 41
- scientific challenge *see* scientific challenge to free proof
- and the common law 30–3
- development of criminal evidence law and movement towards freedom of proof 58–66
- exclusionary rules intruding on 37
- meaning of free proof 30–1
- presumption in favour of 33
- and restrictions on doctrine in modern evidence law 69–71
- Geneva Conventions 119–20, 296–7
- Germany 19, 112–13
- challenging witness evidence 332–3
- evidence and procedure 59–61, 63–5, 66, 68, 70–1, 209
- exclusion of evidence 158, 167–8, 191
- impartiality 23
- Gilbert, Geoffrey 34–5, 36, 55
- globalisation and internationalisation 3, 371–2
- hearsay evidence 33, 71, 118, 128, 138, 139–40, 204
- and cross-examination 328–34
- discretion to admit hearsay in interests of justice 38
- and ECHR 91, 92–5
- hearsay rule 35–6, 37
- ensuring evidentiary sources of a party's case can be tested 39, 54, 328–34
- increasing exceptions to hearsay rule 329–30
- and juries 38
- justification for hearsay rule 329
- witnesses refuting previously incriminating statements 358–9
- Hegel, Georg 44
- Hélié, F. 62

- Hobbes, Thomas 13, 17, 19
- human rights
- challenging witness evidence *see under*
    - challenging witness evidence
  - international human rights *see* international human rights
- Human Rights Committee, UN 14
- challenging witness evidence 347
  - disclosure 297–8
  - evidence 81–2, 167
  - fair trial rights 79, 98
    - fair trial guarantees not able to be suspended in emergencies 380–1
  - legal representation and advice 288, 290
  - presumption of innocence 218–19, 221
  - right of silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 247–8, 250, 252–3, 263–4, 277
  - witnesses 88, 234
- Hume, David 13, 15
- impartiality
- and bias 229–33
  - challenging witness evidence and
    - importance of impartial judges 345–9, 365–6
  - safeguarding of individual rights of the accused 23
- individual rights 16–17, 19, 20–7
- and the criminal evidence law 51
  - development of the safeguarding of
    - individual rights of the accused 20–7
  - fair trial rights and individual rights
    - in adversarial systems *see under* adversarial or accusatorial systems
    - in inquisitorial systems *see under* inquisitorial or non-adversarial systems
    - in the UK 52, 53–4, 236–7
    - in the US 51, 53–4
  - and instrumental objectives of the criminal process 19
  - state security and terrorism
    - need to balance defence of democracy and individual rights 381–2
    - risks of individuals' rights being violated 380–1
    - tradition of 13–14, 51–2
  - see also* international human rights
  - inductive generalisation 15–16, 41, 43–4
- informer evidence
- convictions based on informer evidence
    - shown to be false 46–7, 53
  - entrapment 180
  - incitement 189
  - withholding evidence relating to informers 304–6
    - crime investigation inhibited by
      - disclosure of informers' names 203, 304
    - disclosure necessary to prove innocence 305–6
- inhuman/degrading treatment *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
- inner conviction 69–70, 74, 368–9
- inquisitorial/adversarial dichotomy debate as to merits of different systems 10–11
- effect on evidence scholarship 9–10
  - systems classified into adversarial or inquisitorial 5–9
    - dichotomy increasingly unhelpful in describing actual justice systems 8–9
- inquisitorial or non-adversarial systems
- agreement between prosecution and defence 9
  - civil law tradition *see* civil law tradition
  - defence investigations 311
  - development of the safeguarding of
    - individual rights of the accused 20–7
  - impartiality 23
  - party participation in the criminal process 21–7
  - reasoned judgments for decisions 21
- differences between common law and civil law systems 9, 11
- disclosure requirements 307–10
  - in international criminal tribunals 127–31
  - legal professional privilege 294
  - preliminary investigations and disclosure 298–300
  - right to self-representation 287–8
- evidential traditions *see* evidential traditions
- in continental European jurisdictions
- flaws in 10, 17–18
- free proof *see* free proof
- inquisitorial/adversarial dichotomy *see* inquisitorial/adversarial dichotomy
- judicial investigations 311–12



- inquisitorial or non-adversarial systems (*cont.*)
  - rationalist tradition of adjudication
    - exposing deficiencies of inquisitorial system 16
    - and tradition of individual rights 13–14
  - right of silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 245–6
  - Roman canon procedure 16, 20, 58, 59
  - traditional approach falling short of individual rights norms 17–18
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights 79, 252–3
  - emergencies 380–1
  - fair trial guarantees not able to be suspended in emergencies 380–1
  - victims' participation 379–80
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) 79, 83, 132
  - challenging witness evidence 326, 334
  - fair trial rights 96, 97, 100, 120, 137, 234, 296
    - non-derogable 380–1
  - legal representation and advice 286–7, 290
  - presumption of innocence 199, 217–21
  - right of silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 246–8, 252–3, 263–4, 267–8, 277–8
- International Criminal Court (ICC) 4, 110, 140–5, 146–7
  - judicial activism 387
  - principle of judicial scrutiny 317–18
  - rules of evidence and procedure 110, 140–5, 263–4, 278
    - and human rights 371
    - witness proofing 141–2
  - victims in proceedings 374–5, 378–9
- International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia 109–10, 119–20, 124–5, 126, 146
  - problems in pursuing cases 113–15
  - right to an adversarial trial 136–40
  - right to equality of arms 133–6
  - right of silence and privilege against self-incrimination 241–2
  - rules of evidence and procedure 110, 120–4, 125–6, 130, 131–2, 277–8
    - securing legitimate forensic evidence 314–15
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda 109, 119–20, 126
  - right to an adversarial trial 136–40
  - right to equality of arms 133–6
  - right to a reasoned judgment 239
- right of silence and privilege against self-incrimination 241–2
- rules of evidence and procedure 110, 122, 125, 277–8
- international criminal tribunals
  - evidence in *see* evidence in international criminal tribunals
  - fair trial standards 371–2
  - legal professional privilege 294
  - taking circumstances of states into account 382
  - victims *see under* victims
  - witnesses *see under* witnesses
- international human rights 77–107
  - derogation from human rights 380–1
  - evolution of evidentiary human rights norms 79–95
    - equality of arms principle 83–6
    - right to an adversarial trial *see* adversarial trial, right to
    - right to a fair trial 79–83, 97–8
  - exclusion of evidence obtained in breach of human rights 31
  - fair trial rights and regulating criminal proceedings 77–9
  - and presumption of innocence 217–21
  - process of proof and regulation of the investigation/pre-trial phase 95–101
    - defence rights and importance of procedural environment 97–9
    - potential for pre-trial activities to impinge on defence rights 99–101
  - right to cross-examine accepted in human rights treaties 24
  - right to self-representation 288
  - and terrorism 382
  - towards convergence or realignment? 101–6
    - informed involvement 103–4
    - need for change in legal culture 104–5
    - non-compulsion of defendants in proof process 103
    - opportunity to challenge evidence 104
    - reasoned judgment required 104
  - tradition of individual rights 13–14, 51–2, 77
- International Law Commission 110, 121
- international terrorism *see* terrorism
- interrogation of suspects *see under* fair trials
  - and use of improperly obtained evidence

- Italy 7
- challenging witness evidence 334–5, 347–8
  - defence participation 311
  - difficulties in introducing strong defence
    - norms 387, 388
  - evidence and procedure 61, 88
- Jackson, Justice Robert 112, 117, 119
- juries 18, 387, 388
- bias 231–2
  - cognitive psychology questioning how juries
    - reason 42–3
  - in continental systems 72
  - decline in use of 40, 51, 55
  - enabling greater use of exclusionary rules
    - and directions 21
  - evaluation of evidence 15–16, 31
    - ability of juries to process evidence 38, 39
    - adverse inferences from failure to adduce evidence 370
    - directions as to evidence 31, 39, 261–2
    - directions as to standard and burden of proof 39, 214
    - silence of defendants 261–2, 264, 272
  - excluding evidence from juries 32, 39–40, 41–2
  - lack of reasoned judgement 104, 261–2
  - reasoning prejudice and moral prejudice 42
  - right to a jury 52–3
- Kant, Immanuel 13, 24, 44
- Kries, A. von 64
- legal culture and tradition 387–91
- legal professional privilege 291–2, 305
- balancing away the privilege 293–5
- legal representation and advice 118
- challenging witness evidence and
    - importance of right to counsel 345–9
  - confidentiality between lawyer and client
    - public interest immunity and fettering principle of confidentiality 321–3
    - reasons for maintaining confidentiality 322
  - defence obligations, waiver and forfeiture 359–61, 363–4
  - and defence participation *see* defence participation
  - development of representation by counsel 22–3
  - and ECHR 99, 100, 103–4, 278–84, 289, 290–1
  - importance of counsel in defence
    - participation 26–7
  - incentives for a guilty plea and role of counsel 384–5
  - in low-economic base countries 386–7
  - questioning role 348–9, 352
  - right to effective legal assistance *see under* defence participation
  - right of silence and representation during interrogations 277–84
  - self-representation
    - in international criminal tribunals 130, 286–7, 288–9
    - see also under* defence participation
  - special counsel representing defence
    - interests at disclosure hearings 320–1
- Leibniz, Gottfried 34
- Locke, John 13, 15–16, 17, 34, 44, 211
- Mill, J. S. 44
- miscarriages of justice *see* wrongful convictions and miscarriages of justice
- Mittermaier, C. A. 62, 67
- Montesquieu, M. de 13, 15, 17, 61, 215
- negotiated justice 28–9
- cost and expedition 384–7
    - abbreviated simplified trials 385–6
    - aim to reduce costs 384
    - defences in countries with low-economic bases 386–7
    - diversion out of criminal justice system 384
  - greater judicial activism 386–7
    - incentives for a guilty plea and compatibility with ECHR 384–5
  - negotiated settlements 41
  - plea bargaining 41, 130–1, 384–5
- Netherlands 167–8
- defence participation 307, 312
  - evidence 74, 75–6, 87
- Newton, Isaac 15
- non-adversarial systems *see* inquisitorial or non-adversarial systems
- Nuremberg trials 108–9, 112–13, 116–19, 120, 121, 122, 123, 241–2, 391
- On Crimes and Punishments* (Beccaria) 16
- organised crime 3, 28

- participatory rights *see* defence participation
- Plato 15
- plea bargaining *see under* negotiated justice
- prejudice
- avoiding prejudice *see under* presumption of innocence
  - prejudicial evidence 239–40
    - exclusion of bad character evidence 33, 44, 53, 204
    - and juries 38, 229
    - probative value of evidence outweighed 38
  - presumption of innocence 23, 25, 52, 53, 199–240, 270–1
    - avoiding prejudice 228–39
      - independent and impartial tribunal 229–33
      - participatory rights 233–7
      - right to a reasoned judgment 237–9
    - in emergencies 380–1
    - and legal professional privilege 295
    - meaning of presumption of innocence 200–11
      - presumption as an evidentiary protection 200–4
      - substantive innocence 208–11
      - treating defendants as innocent 205–7
  - presumption of innocence and fair trial standards 215–17
  - presumption of innocence and the rationalist tradition 211–15
  - reversal of the burden of proof 210, 221–8
  - scope of the presumption of innocence under human rights law 217–21
- privacy, right to 170–1, 267–8, 269–70
- challenging witness evidence and private life of perceived victim 351
  - evidence and third-party rights 315–16
  - giving up privacy 103
  - invasion of privacy and excluding evidence 27, 53, 169, 181–2, 194
  - lawyer/client relationship 292
  - protecting privacy 66
    - protecting victims' rights to privacy 373, 377–8
  - right to private communication and legal professional privilege 291–2
- privilege against self-incrimination *see* silence and the privilege against self-incrimination
- probability theory *see under* epistemic challenge to free proof
- proportionality, principle of 19
- evidence and witnesses 19, 376, 377–8
  - national courts 226–8, 240
  - restrictions on confidential lawyer/client relationship 292
  - reversing burden of proof 224–5
  - right of silence 257, 274
- public interest 256–8, 305–7, 308–9
- see also* legal professional privilege
- public interest immunity 316–23
- adversarial argument 319–23
    - special counsel representing interests of the defence 320–1
  - special disclosure judges 320
  - principle of judicial scrutiny 317–19
- questioning suspects *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
- rationalist tradition 13–14, 20, 22–3, 66
- core tenets of the tradition 15–16
  - exclusion of prejudicial evidence 33
  - inductive generalisations *see* inductive generalisations
  - and presumption of innocence 211–15
  - and rights tradition 14–19
  - theories of probability 41–2
  - victims and witnesses 375
- reasoned judgments 21, 104, 237–9, 261–2
- reasoning and cognitive processes *see* epistemic challenge to free proof
- Recommendation on the Position of the Victim in the Framework of Criminal Law and Procedure (Council of Europe) 373
- rights
- African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 79, 199
  - American Convention on Human Rights *see* American Convention on Human Rights
  - Bill of Rights, US 17
  - ECHR *see* European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
  - evidentiary *see* evidentiary defence rights
  - fair trial rights *see* fair trial rights
  - human rights *see* international human rights
  - ICCPR *see* International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

- individual rights *see* individual rights
- participatory rights *see* defence participation
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, UN 80, 81, 120
- victims' rights *see under* victims
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques 14, 17
- Rwanda *see* International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
- Schwarze, F. O. 65
- scientific challenge to free proof 45–50
  - common law courts and expert evidence 48–50
  - disagreements among scientists 47
  - DNA testing 46–7, 387, 388
  - new forms of psychological evidence 46
  - non-scientists handling scientific evidence 47–50
- Security Council, UN 109–10, 120, 126
- self-incrimination, privilege against *see* silence and the privilege against self-incrimination
- Sierra Leone, Special Court for 109
- silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 241–84, 389
  - compensating for lack of representation in low-economic countries 386
  - compulsion/coercion 246–8, 252–6, 257, 258–61, 265–7, 269–70, 272–3, 274–5
  - confessions *see* confessions
  - exception to rights against self-incrimination and right of silence 256–66
  - inferences from silence 260–6, 370
  - other factors 258–60
  - public interest 256–8
  - historical and transnational importance of right to silence 241–6
  - incorporating fair trial standards from viewpoint of being called to account 277–83
  - representation during interrogations 277–84
  - privilege against self-incrimination 19, 27, 52, 53, 119, 226
  - rationale of the privilege and the right of silence 266–73
  - right of silence 25, 118, 207
    - nature of right to silence/privilege against self-incrimination 248–9, 283
    - right of silence as necessary condition for active defence participation 273–7, 283–4
    - scope of the privilege in international law 246–56
      - charged with a criminal offence 250–1
      - compulsion 252–3
      - defiance of the will of the suspect 253–6 *Funke v France* 248–9
      - incrimination 251–2
      - international instruments 246–8
      - witnesses remaining silent 357–8
- social contract 17, 19, 27–8, 52
  - and international criminal justice 114
  - protecting the individual by requiring strong proof of breach 20, 23, 25, 203
  - social contract vision of participation 26–7
  - and terrorism 380
  - use of illegally obtained evidence breaching contract 27
- South Africa 227
  - defence representation 386–7
- Spinoza, Baruch 34
- standards of proof 12, 53, 70, 207
  - before coercive action is taken 206
  - directions to juries 39, 214
  - evidence obtained by torture or inhuman/degrading treatment 160
  - historically 21, 211–12
  - and presumption of innocence 201–2, 203–4, 213–14, 216–17
- Switzerland 8–9, 68, 75–6, 303
  - challenging witness evidence 347, 356–7
  - exclusion of evidence 167–8, 191
- terrorism 316–17
  - approaches to resolving 3–4, 107
  - and Guantánamo Bay hearings 53–4, 158, 296–7
  - state security and terrorism 380–4
    - accountability of security services 383–4
    - evidence obtained unlawfully 383–4
    - need to balance defence of democracy and individual rights 381–2
    - prosecuting terrorist cases 382–3
    - risks of individuals' rights being violated 380–1
    - states' response to terrorism 380
- Thayer, J. B. 32, 36–8, 39, 202
- Tokyo trials 112–13, 122, 241–2

- torture 16, 18
  - abolition 61
  - Convention against Torture, UN 159–60, 163, 167, 247–8
  - evidence obtained by *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
  - silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 247–8, 250, 267–8
- United Kingdom (UK)
  - adversarial system *see* adversarial or accusatorial systems
  - burden of proof 227–8
  - case against the accused 297
  - challenging witness evidence 327, 331, 334–5, 341–2
  - development of representation by counsel 22–3
  - Diplock Commission 382–3
  - disclosure 85–6
  - exclusion of evidence 158–9, 167–8, 191
  - expert witnesses 48
  - fair trial rights and individual rights 52, 53–4, 236–7
  - hearsay rule 329–30
  - miscarriages of justice 47
  - Nuremberg trials 116–18
  - prejudicial evidence 38
  - right of silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 241–3
  - special counsel representing defence
    - interests at disclosure hearings 321
  - witnesses historically 16
- United Nations (UN) 110, 120
  - Convention against Torture *see under* torture
  - Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power 373
  - Security Council *see* Security Council, UN
- United States (US) 122, 126
  - anti-inquisitorial tradition 389
  - Bill of Rights 17
  - challenging witness evidence 330–1, 334–5, 342–3, 345, 346, 347
  - exclusion of evidence 38, 154–5, 158, 170–1, 182, 185, 188, 191, 235–6
    - confession evidence in death penalty cases 53, 216
  - expert witnesses and scientific evidence 48–50
  - fair trial rights and individual rights 51, 53–4
  - Guantánamo Bay hearings 53–4, 158, 296–7
  - hearsay/testimonial evidence 330–1, 334
  - Nuremberg trials 116–18
  - public interest 308–9
  - public interest immunity and national security 321
  - right to privacy 170–1
  - right to self-representation 288
  - right of silence and the privilege against self-incrimination 244, 245–6, 268
  - wrongful convictions 53, 216
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, UN 80, 81, 120
- victims 104
  - balancing interests of victims and defence 352–4, 363, 375–6, 377–8
  - challenging witness evidence
    - respect for private life of perceived victim 351, 373
  - rights of victim and of defence 352–4, 363
  - victims' rights and participation 372–80
    - emergence of victims' rights 372–3
    - participation in international tribunals 111, 112, 374
    - protecting victims in international criminal tribunals 373–4
    - purposes of victim participation 379–80
    - rights including more than being witnesses 374
    - victims in the ICC 374–5, 378–9
    - vulnerable witnesses 46, 352–4, 373, 375–6
- Voltaire 15, 61
- Von Kries, J. 23
- Wächter, C. G. von 66–7
- waiver and forfeiture 359–61, 363–4
- Walther, F. 65
- war crimes 28
  - international criminal tribunals for 4, 111
- Wigmore, J. H. 37, 327–8
- wiretapping *see under* fair trials and use of improperly obtained evidence
- witnesses
  - anonymity 87, 91, 131–2, 351–2, 355–6, 376–7

balancing interests of witnesses and defence 351, 363, 375–6, 377–8	inability to secure attendance 134
challenging witness evidence <i>see</i> challenging witness evidence	insufficient merely to provide adequate procedural setting 359
children <i>see under</i> children	obligation to organise witness examination hearing 349–51
cross-examination requirement 35	silence of witnesses 357–8
evaluating testimony based on their evidence 15–16	vulnerable witnesses 46, 352–4, 373, 375–6
experts	witness proofing 141–2
bias 233	wrongful convictions and miscarriages of justice 25, 47, 53
challenging expert witnesses 361–2	avoiding 204, 206, 213–14, 216, 271–2
and free proof <i>see</i> scientific challenge to free proof	avoiding prejudice 235
fear of reprisals 354–5	disclosure 305–6, 309
incompetent 34	and legal professional privilege 295
oaths 35, 350–1	presumption of innocence 203, 205, 239–40
protecting witnesses in international criminal tribunals 373–4	non-disclosure of evidence in terrorism cases 383–4
refuting previously incriminating statements 358–9	
and right to an adversarial trial <i>see under</i> adversarial trial, right to	Yugoslavia, Former <i>see</i> International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia
securing attendance 312–13, 314	
coercive measures 350–1	Zachariäe, H. A. 68