

## Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> x
<i>List of Tables</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
Introduction	1
1 Predatory Pricing	14
1.1 Introduction	14
1.2 Theories of Predation	16
1.2.1 <i>Predation: The Chicago School and the Search for a Theory</i>	16
1.2.2 <i>Traditional Theories of Predatory Pricing</i>	18
1.2.3 <i>A Theory of Predation Based on Scale Economies and Incumbency Advantage</i>	26
1.3 A Simple Theory of Predation*	45
1.3.1 <i>The Base Model (Supply-side Scale Economies)*</i>	45
1.3.2 <i>Generalisation of the Theory and Extensions*</i>	51
1.4 From Theory to Practice	73
1.4.1 <i>Policy Implications from Theories of Predation: Profit Sacrifice and Recoupment</i>	73
1.4.2 <i>How to Recognise Predation: The Theory of Harm</i>	75
1.4.3 <i>How to Recognise Predation: Tests for Profit Sacrifice</i>	80
1.5 Case-law	91
1.5.1 <i>United States</i>	91
1.5.2 <i>European Union</i>	96
1.6 Cases	98
1.6.1 <i>Napp</i>	99
1.6.2 <i>Sanofi-Aventis</i>	101

1.6.3	<i>Cardiff Bus</i>	102
1.6.4	<i>Telecom Italia</i>	103
1.6.5	<i>Wanadoo</i>	104
1.6.6	<i>Deutsche Post</i>	108
1.6.7	<i>Aberdeen Journals</i>	111
1.6.8	<i>Media24</i>	113
1.6.9	<i>ECS/AKZO</i>	117
1.6.10	<i>American Airlines</i>	119
1.6.11	<i>Standard Oil and American Tobacco</i>	123
2	Price Discrimination and Single-Product Rebates	126
2.1	Introduction	126
2.2	Price Discrimination, Welfare and Efficiencies	127
2.2.1	<i>Forms of Price Discrimination</i>	127
2.2.2	<i>Welfare Effects of Price Discrimination</i>	129
2.3	Price Discrimination as an Exclusionary Device	135
2.3.1	<i>Non-strategic Selective Price Cuts</i>	136
2.3.2	<i>Price Discrimination to Deprive the Rival of the Scale it Needs</i>	139
2.3.3	<i>Other Anti-competitive Concerns of Contracts that Reference Rivals</i>	154
2.3.4	<i>Price Discrimination between Off-net and On-net Users</i>	161
2.4	Price Discrimination when Scale Matters*	163
2.4.1	<i>Innes and Sexton's 'Divide-and-Conquer' Price Discrimination*</i>	163
2.4.2	<i>(Explicit and Implicit) Selective Discounts*</i>	170
2.4.3	<i>Conditional Rebates to Target the Contestable Demand*</i>	182
2.4.4	<i>Discounts Conditional on Exclusivity*</i>	186
2.5	From Theory to Practice	191
2.6	Case-law	197
2.6.1	<i>United States</i>	197
2.6.2	<i>European Union</i>	199
2.7	Cases	207
2.7.1	<i>Intel</i>	207
2.7.2	<i>Tomra</i>	219
2.7.3	<i>Meritor</i>	223
2.7.4	<i>Eisai</i>	228
2.7.5	<i>South African Airways, I and II</i>	231
2.7.6	<i>Compagnie Maritime Belge</i>	235
2.7.7	<i>Michelin II</i>	236

## Contents

vii

3	Exclusive Dealing	239
3.1	Introduction	239
3.2	Anti-competitive ED	241
3.2.1	<i>The Chicago School Critique</i>	241
3.2.2	<i>Upstream Competition</i>	244
3.2.3	<i>Scale Economies and Externalities Among Buyers</i>	245
3.2.4	<i>When Exclusivity can be Breached upon or Renegotiated</i>	262
3.2.5	<i>Competing for Exclusivity</i>	267
3.2.6	<i>Empirical Evidence</i>	270
3.3	Efficiency-enhancing Exclusive Dealing	273
3.3.1	<i>Exclusive Dealing and Protection of Relation-specific Investments</i>	273
3.3.2	<i>Other Efficiency Justifications</i>	277
3.3.3	<i>Empirical Evidence</i>	277
3.4	Economic Models of Exclusive Dealing*	278
3.4.1	<i>The Chicago School Critique*</i>	278
3.4.2	<i>Upstream Competition*</i>	280
3.4.3	<i>Multiple Buyers and Scale Economies*</i>	282
3.4.4	<i>Penalty Fees for Exclusivity Breach*</i>	294
3.4.5	<i>Competing for Exclusivity*</i>	297
3.4.6	<i>ED and Investment Promotion*</i>	302
3.5	From Theory to Practice	312
3.5.1	<i>Differences between Exclusive Contracts and Rebates</i>	312
3.5.2	<i>Theory of Harm and Factors which Facilitate Exclusion</i>	315
3.5.3	<i>Pro-competitive Effects of Exclusive Dealing</i>	320
3.6	Case-law	321
3.6.1	<i>United States</i>	322
3.6.2	<i>European Union</i>	327
3.7	Cases	329
3.7.1	<i>Langnese-Iglo</i>	330
3.7.2	<i>TIM</i>	332
3.7.3	<i>Posten Norge</i>	334
3.7.4	<i>Lorain Journal</i>	337
3.7.5	<i>Dentsply</i>	338
3.7.6	<i>Nielsen</i>	340
3.7.7	<i>Distrigaz</i>	344
3.7.8	<i>Events Ticketing</i>	346

4	Tying, Bundling and Bundled Rebates	350
4.1	Introduction	350
4.2	Efficiency and Price Discrimination Rationales	353
4.2.1	<i>Efficiency Rationales for Tying and Bundling</i>	353
4.2.2	<i>Tying and Bundling as Price Discrimination Devices</i>	358
4.3	Anti-competitive Tying, Bundling and Bundled Rebates	363
4.3.1	<i>The Chicago School Critique to the Leverage Theory</i>	364
4.3.2	<i>Imperfect Rent Extraction</i>	367
4.3.3	<i>Bundling as a Commitment to Aggressive Pricing</i>	375
4.3.4	<i>Bundling where Entry is Possible in All Markets</i>	384
4.3.5	<i>Anti-competitive Bundled Rebates</i>	389
4.3.6	<i>Tying and After-markets</i>	390
4.4	Economic Models of Bundling and Tying*	399
4.4.1	<i>Profitability of Bundling with Elastic Demand Functions*</i>	399
4.4.2	<i>Carlton and Waldman (2012)*: Imperfect Rent Extraction from Future Investments</i>	402
4.4.3	<i>Carlton and Waldman (2002)*: The Model with Fixed Entry Costs</i>	406
4.4.4	<i>Carlton and Waldman (2002)*: The Model with Network externalities</i>	412
4.4.5	<i>Tying in After-markets with Limited Commitment*</i>	419
4.5	From Theory to Practice	424
4.5.1	<i>Some General Principles</i>	424
4.5.2	<i>Tying and Bundling Theories of Harm</i>	425
4.5.3	<i>Bundled Rebates Theory of Harm</i>	429
4.6	Case-law	432
4.6.1	<i>United States</i>	432
4.6.2	<i>European Union</i>	443
4.7	Cases	450
4.7.1	<i>Microsoft Internet Explorer – US</i>	450
4.7.2	<i>Microsoft Windows Media Player – EU</i>	453
4.7.3	<i>Rio Tinto Alcan</i>	456
4.7.4	<i>IDEXX</i>	459
5	Vertical Foreclosure	465
5.1	Introduction	465
5.2	The Economics of Vertical Foreclosure	470
5.2.1	<i>Ownership of an ‘Essential Facility’</i>	471

<i>Contents</i>		ix
5.2.2	<i>The Chicago School Critique</i>	473
5.2.3	<i>Imperfect Rent Extraction</i>	475
5.2.4	<i>A Dynamic Theory of Vertical Foreclosure</i>	486
5.3	Formal Models of Vertical Foreclosure*	490
5.3.1	<i>The Chicago School Result*</i>	490
5.3.2	<i>Regulation of the Wholesale Prices*</i>	496
5.3.3	<i>Foreclosure because of Opportunistic Behaviour*</i>	508
5.3.4	<i>Uncertainty and Risk Aversion*</i>	513
5.3.5	<i>Upstream Competition and the Raising Rivals' Costs Argument*</i>	519
5.3.6	<i>A Dynamic Model of Vertical Foreclosure to Protect or Transfer Monopoly Power*</i>	526
5.4	From Theory to Practice	546
5.4.1	<i>The Need to Preserve the Incentives to Invest and to Innovate</i>	546
5.4.2	<i>Policy Implications</i>	548
5.4.3	<i>Summary: Analysing Vertical Foreclosure Cases in Practice</i>	560
5.5	Case-law	564
5.5.1	<i>United States</i>	564
5.5.2	<i>European Union</i>	571
5.6	Cases	580
5.6.1	<i>Microsoft (EU – Interoperability)</i>	581
5.6.2	<i>Genzyme</i>	584
5.6.3	<i>BT/THUS/Gamma</i>	586
5.6.4	<i>Deutsche Telekom</i>	589
5.6.5	<i>Telefónica</i>	591
5.6.6	<i>Long-term Capacity Bookings (RWE, GDF, E.ON)</i>	596
5.6.7	<i>ENI</i>	600
5.6.8	<i>Google (comparison shopping)</i>	603
	<i>References</i>	611
	<i>Cases</i>	626
	<i>Index</i>	632