

THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF THE CAUCASUS

In *The Archaeology of the Caucasus*, Antonio Sagona provides the first comprehensive survey of a key area in the Eurasian land mass, from the earliest settlement to the end of the early Iron Age. Examining the bewildering array of cultural complexes found in the region, he draws on both Soviet and post-Soviet investigations and synthesises the vast quantity of diverse and often fragmented evidence across the region's frontiers. Written in an engaging manner that balances material culture and theory, the volume focuses on the most significant sites and cultural traditions. Sagona also highlights the accomplishments of the Caucasian communities and situates them within the broader setting of their neighbours in Anatolia, Iran, and Russia. Sprinkled with new data, much of it published here for the first time, *The Archaeology of the Caucasus* contains many new photographs, drawings, and plans, a considerable number of which have not been accessible to Western researchers.

Antonio Sagona, who died shortly after completing this volume in 2017, was an archaeologist of the ancient Near East, with expertise in Anatolia and the Caucasus. He wrote a number of books on the subject, including *Ancient Turkey* (2009). An elected Fellow of the Australian Academy of Humanities and of the Society of Antiquaries of London, Tony was editor of *Ancient Near Eastern Studies*, and taught at the University of Melbourne for more than three decades.

CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

SERIES EDITOR

NORMAN YOFFEE, *University of Michigan*

EDITORIAL BOARD

SUSAN ALCOCK, *Brown University*

TOM DILLEHAY, *Vanderbilt University*

STEPHEN SHENNAN, *University College, London*

CARLA SINOPOLI, *University of Michigan*

DAVID WENGROW, *University College London*

TIM PAUKETAT, *University of Illinois*

The Cambridge World Archaeology series is addressed to students and professional archaeologists, and to academics in related disciplines. Most volumes present a survey of the archaeology of a region of the world, providing an up-to-date account of research and integrating recent findings with new concerns of interpretation. While the focus is on a specific region, broader cultural trends are discussed and the implications of regional findings for cross-cultural interpretations considered. The authors also bring anthropological and historical expertise to bear on archaeological problems and show how both new data and changing intellectual trends in archaeology shape inferences about the past. More recently, the series has expanded to include thematic volumes.

RECENT BOOKS IN THE SERIES

D. T. POTTS, *The Archaeology of Elam*

ROBIN CONINGHAM AND RUTH YOUNG, *The Archaeology of South Asia*

CLAUDIA SAGONA, *The Archaeology of Malta*

FRANCES F. BERDAN, *Aztec Archaeology and Ethnohistory*

PETER MAGEE, *The Archaeology of Prehistoric Arabia*

KOJI MIZOGUCHI, *The Archaeology of Japan*

MIKE SMITH, *The Archaeology of Australia's Deserts*

A. BERNARD KNAPP, *The Archaeology of Cyprus*

LI LIU AND XINGCAN CHEN, *The Archaeology of China*

STEPHEN D. HOUSTON AND TAKESHI INOMATA, *The Classic Maya*

PHILIP L. KOHL, *The Making of Bronze Age Eurasia*

LAWRENCE BARHAM AND PETER MITCHELL, *The First Africans*

ROBIN DENNELL, *The Palaeolithic Settlement of Asia*

CHRISTOPHER POOL, *Olmec Archaeology and Early Mesoamerica*

SAMUEL M. WILSON, *The Archaeology of the Caribbean*

RICHARD BRADLEY, *The Prehistory of Britain*

LUDMILA KORYAKOVA AND ANDREJ EPIMAKHOV, *The Urals and Western
Siberia in the Bronze and Iron Ages*

DAVID WENGROW, *The Archaeology of Early Egypt*

PAUL RAINBIRD, *The Archaeology of Micronesia*

PETER M. M. G. AKKERMANS AND GLENN M. SCHWARTZ, *The Archaeology of
Syria*

TIMOTHY INSOLL, *The Archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa*

THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF THE
CAUCASUS

From Earliest Settlements to the Iron Age

ANTONIO SAGONA

University of Melbourne



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107016590

DOI: 10.1017/9781139061254

© Antonio Sagona 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Sagona, Antonio, author.

Title: The archaeology of the Caucasus: from earliest settlements to the Iron Age / Antonio Sagona, University of Melbourne.

Description: New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2018. |

Series: Cambridge world archaeology |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017011182 | ISBN 9781107016590 (hardback)

Subjects: LCSH: Caucasus – Antiquities. | Excavations (Archaeology) – Caucasus.

Classification: LCC DK509.S23 2017 | DDC 939/.53–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017011182>

ISBN 978-1-107-01659-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	page viii
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvii
Introduction	I
1 The Land and Its Languages	19
2 Trailblazers: The Palaeolithic and Mesolithic Foundations	34
3 Transition to Settled Life: The Neolithic (6000–5000 BC)	84
4 Far-Flung Networks: The Chalcolithic (5000/4800–3500 BC)	132
5 Encounters beyond the Caucasus: The Kura-Araxes Culture and the Early Bronze Age (3500–2400 BC)	213
6 Dolmens for the Dead: The Western Caucasus in the Bronze Age (3250–1250 BC)	281
7 The Emergence of Elites and a New Social Order (2500–1500 BC)	298
8 From Fortresses to Fragmentation: The Southern Caucasus in the Late Bronze Age through the Iron Age I (1500–800 BC)	378
9 Smiths, Warriors, and Womenfolk: The Koban Culture of the Northern Caucasus (1400–600 BC)	423
10 A World Apart: The Colchian Culture	449
11 The Grand Challenges for the Archaeology of the Caucasus	475
<i>References</i>	479
<i>Index</i>	529

FIGURES

1.1	Map showing the modern republic boundaries of the Caucasus	<i>page</i> 21
1.2	Lake Imera pollen spectra	25
1.3	Lake Aligol pollen spectra	26
1.4	Map showing the various language boundaries in the Caucasus	30
2.1	Map showing the key Lower Palaeolithic sites in the Caucasus	36
2.2	Dmanisi (1) computer-assisted reconstruction of the five fossil Homo skulls from Dmanisi; (2) graphic representation of two contrasting hypotheses on the evolution of Homo; (3) stone tools, mainly flakes and flaked pebbles, from Dmanisi	37
2.3	Lower Palaeolithic stone bifaces and flake tools	42
2.4	Map showing the key Middle and Upper Palaeolithic sites in the Caucasus, and the extent of the glaciers	47
2.5	Mousterian stone tools from the northern and southern Caucasus	48
2.6	Mousterian sites of the north-western Caucasus, showing their overall composition of mammal remains, their elevation above mean sea level, and principal stone artefact types	53
2.7	Stone tools from the Middle-Upper Palaeolithic boundary	58
2.8	Characteristic stone tools of the Upper Palaeolithic and Epi-Palaeolithic levels at Dzudzuana Cave	64
2.9	Map showing the broad Mesolithic stone tool traditions	68
2.10	Mesolithic stone tools and objects	69
2.11	A selection of petroglyphs from Gobustan	73
2.12	A selection of petroglyphs from Dagestan and the Syunik region, Armenia	79
3.1	Map showing the main Neolithic sites	87
3.2	Comparative stone tools from Çayönü, Kmlo-2, and Georgia	89
3.3	Comparative Neolithic architecture	97
3.4	Neolithic architectural plans	98
3.5	Göytepe, plan of the architectural remains	100
3.6	Kamiltepe, the mudbrick platform	106
3.7	Neolithic ditches from the southern Caucasus	107
3.8	Neolithic figurines from the southern Caucasus	109
3.9	Neolithic pottery from the southern Caucasus	111

Figures

ix

3.10	Neolithic pottery and ground stone tools from the southern Caucasus	113
3.11	Neolithic painted wares from the southern Caucasus	115
3.12	Neolithic flake stone tools from the southern Caucasus	118
3.13	Neolithic flake stone tools and prismatic core from Aratashen	119
3.14	A selection of Neolithic bone tools from the southern Caucasus	121
3.15	A selection of Neolithic bone tools	122
3.16	Map showing the main obsidian sources	127
4.1	Map showing the cultural boundaries of the Maikop, Chaff-Faced Ware, and the Sioni traditions. The dolmen area shows its relationship with Maikop, even though many dolmens were constructed after Maikop	134
4.2	Map showing the key sites of the Maikop, Sioni, and Chaff-Faced traditions	135
4.3	Pre-Maikop phase, Nal'chik, Burial 86 and Meshoko	139
4.4	Galiugai I and its perforated clay hearth hobs; and a cylinder seal from Krasnovardeiskoe	140
4.5	Maikop barrows showing different structural features and graves	156
4.6	Klady Barrow 11, including Grave 25	157
4.7	Klady, various graves from Barrow 11	158
4.8	Klady Barrow 28, Grave 1, showing painted stones	160
4.9	Klady Barrow 39, Tomb 2, showing top and side views	161
4.10	Klady Barrow 30, Tomb 1, showing top and side views	162
4.11	Maikop princely barrow plan and artefacts	163
4.12	Maikop jewellery	165
4.13	Maikop princely barrow artefacts	167
4.14	Maikop princely barrow artefacts	168
4.15	Maikop metalwork: daggers	174
4.16	Maikop metal axes, adzes and chisels	175
4.17	Maikop bronze spearheads	177
4.18	Maikop cauldrons	178
4.19	Main forms of Maikop ceramics	180
4.20	Chaff-Faced Ware from various sites	186
4.21	Chaff-Faced Ware	188
4.22	Berikldeebi radiocarbon readings and 'temple' and Ovçular Tepe Chantier 12	192
4.23	Leilatepe buildings	195
4.24	Select objects from the southern Caucasus Chalcolithic	197
4.25	Select objects from the southern Caucasus Chalcolithic	198
4.26	Soyuq Bulaq, Barrow 1	201
4.27	Soyuq Bulaq, Barrows 3 and 1	202
4.28	A selection of Sioni ceramics and stone tools	205
4.29	Sioni radiocarbon dates	206
5.1	Map showing the full extent of the Kura-Araxes complex	214
5.2	Map showing the main Early Bronze Age sites in the southern Caucasus	217
5.3	Kvatskhelebi, plan and houses	232

5.4	Chobareti, Structure 4 and clay model of a house from Kvatskhelebi Level C	234
5.5	Chobareti radiocarbon dates	240
5.6	Kura-Araxes burial types	245
5.7	Kura-Araxes hearths	251
5.8	Kura-Araxes human figurines	253
5.9	Kura-Araxes early ceramics	255
5.10	Kura-Araxes ceramics, a selection of forms of the northern tradition	258
5.11	Kura-Araxes ceramics, a selection of forms of the central tradition	259
5.12	Kura-Araxes ceramics, a selection of forms of the southern tradition	262
5.13	Sakdrisi plan and tools, and Kura-Araxes bronze tools include axes, awls and daggers	264
6.1	Map showing the distribution of dolmens in the western Caucasus	283
6.2	Zhane dolmen 2	285
6.3	Klady Barrow 11, Tomb 54	286
6.4	Reconstruction of Dzhubga dolmen and incised decoration	287
6.5	Possible sequence of the development of dolmens, and Psynako Dolmen 1	288
6.6	Various dolmen plans	292
6.7	Dolmen material culture	294
7.1	Map showing the key sites of the Middle Bronze Age	300
7.2	Radiocarbon readings from Martkopi, Bedeni, Tetri Tskaro, and Berikldeebi	302
7.3	Late Early Bronze Age – Middle Bronze Age jewellery	307
7.4	Late Early Bronze Age – Middle Bronze Age weapons and tools	308
7.5	Martkopi Barrow 6, Martkopi Barrow 4, Magharo barrow, and Trialeti Barrow 10	310
7.6	Early Trialeti and Martkopi pottery	312
7.7	Ananauri Barrow 3	315
7.8	Bedeni Barrow 5 and assorted artefacts	317
7.9	Bedeni artefacts	319
7.10	Berikldeebi, plan of site showing key cultural levels III–V and their features	321
7.11	Berikldeebi: Bedeni houses	322
7.12	Bedeni pottery	327
7.13	Bedeni pottery	328
7.14	Zhinvali Sanctuary pottery and feature, and pottery from Ananauri Barrow 3	330
7.15	Tsaghvli, Tomb 94, threshing board	332
7.16	Trialeti kurgans and ritual roads	337
7.17	(1) Chachkar Barrow 2 and Atsquri barrow select provisions	341
7.18	Jinisi, Trialeti settlement	343
7.19	Trialeti silver goblet, imagery rolled out; bronze footed cauldron and detail of handle	345

Figures

xi

7.20	Karashamb silver goblet, imagery rolled out	346
7.21	Trialeti Barrow 8, gold necklace with agate centrepiece, and Lori Berd objects	350
7.22	Trialeti-Vanadzor pottery from Lori Berd, Armenia	353
7.23	Ceremonial standards (1–3) Brili; (4–7) Faksau	356
7.24	Wagons and carts	360
7.25	Map showing the broad distributions of material culture: (A) the Trialeti-Vanadzor horizon; (B) the Karmirberd (Tazakend), Sevan-Uzerlik and Kizyl Vank horizons	366
7.26	Karmirberd (Tazakend) pottery, Sevan-Uzerlik pottery; Kizyl Vank pottery	368
7.27	North Caucasian culture, schematic plans of tomb types	374
8.1	Map showing the Late Bronze Age–Iron Age I traditions	381
8.2	Fortresses, Abuli, Tsaghkahovit, and Shaori	384
8.3	Artik, Tomb 422, Mitannian cylinder seal, typical catacomb tomb	389
8.4	Aparan II cromlech, Tombs 2, 4, and 5	390
8.5	Tsitelgori Barrow 1 and some of its assemblage	392
8.6	Assorted artefacts	394
8.7	Bronze weapons	396
8.8	Bronze jewellery and ceremonial items	397
8.9	Tli Tomb 76, incised bronze belt	399
8.10	Decorated belt from Tli, detail of bronze belt from Samtavro	400
8.11	Vehicle standards	402
8.12	Development of south Caucasian horse bits	404
8.13	Late Bronze Age ceramics from Armenia	405
8.14	Late Bronze Age ceramics from Armenia and Georgia	406
8.15	Iron Age ceramics from Armenia and Georgia	407
8.16	Iron Age ceramics from Armenia and Georgia	408
8.17	Pottery from Udabno and Tqisbolo Gora	411
8.18	Pottery from Udabno	412
8.19	Gamdlistskaro, bronze plate showing a scene with sheep, humans and a canopied structure	415
9.1	Stone architecture: (1, 2) settlement with symmetric plan, Kabardinka 2; (3) settlement of Pokunsyrt 11; (4) linear settlement of Pokunsyrt 10	428
9.2	Koban Tomb 12	433
9.3	Tli artefacts	437
9.4	Koban jewellery	443
10.1	Pichori metallurgical artefacts and wooden ards	455
10.2	Anaklia, Level II, showing the well preserved wooden structures and platforms	457
10.3	Proto Colchian ceramics	462
10.4	Principal types of ancient Colchian drinking beakers 800–600 BC; no. 9 is from Samtavro	464
10.5	Chorokhi metallurgical finds	472

PREFACE

The primary aim of this book is to serve as a conspectus on the ancient Caucasus up to the end of the early Iron Age (ca. 800 BC). In some cases, I have extended beyond this chronological limit to round off the narrative, but whenever possible I have refrained from venturing too far into the second half of the first millennium BC. This study does not set out to be a comprehensive overview of every period, but offers a springboard to those who have had difficulty in accessing the rich heritage of the Caucasus. For those who have made the inevitable discovery that the region has much to add to our understanding of both the ancient Near East and the Eurasian steppes, it may serve as a means to delve further still. The Caucasus has always been viewed as a region of significance by researchers working in its surrounding lands. Yet for a variety of reasons, this rugged area remains bewildering for many researchers – a dizzying array of cultures that form an ill-fitting and patchy mosaic. Consequently, I have focused on what I regard as the most significant sites and cultural traditions, and I have tried to situate the accomplishments of the Caucasian communities within the broader setting of their neighbours in Anatolia, Iran, and Russia. Sprinkled throughout the book are some new data, published here for the first time.

Much of the information contained in this book derives from the staggering number of investigations carried out during the Soviet period, reflected to a certain extent by the voluminous amount of literature that resulted.¹ That flow of information has not abated in recent times, with each year producing a bountiful harvest of publications. During the Cold War, we must remember, Soviet researchers worked under considerable duress. Their academic isolation from their Western counterparts prompted some to refer to the archaeological potential of the Soviet Union in general, and Russia in particular, as the ‘Great

¹ See, for example, the ten volumes (so far) of *Sovetskaia Arkheologicheskaia Literatura: Bibliografiia Ukazatel'*, and *Zadnieprovskiaia 2003* on the pre-revolution publications, which divide bibliographical references into regional listings.

Unknown'.² Even now, a quarter of a century after perestroika, most Western researchers still have only a vague understanding of the considerable work carried out by Soviet archaeologists. Language barriers, results published in small print runs not widely held in Western libraries, and vast quantities of material culture (mostly still unpublished in detail) render the information gathered during the Soviet period difficult to access and digest.

Although the upsurge of collaborative projects in recent years has ensured that the antiquity of the Caucasus is no longer a *great* unknown, it nevertheless remains out of reach for many archaeologists. Given this history of research, I thought it best to convey the archaeology of the Caucasus through a double helix. First, I present a synthesis of the complicated material remains, focusing on the main cultural sequences that are divided chronologically. While I have avoided presenting a purely descriptive account (I do not adhere to the notion of positivism), I do believe that close study of empirical evidence is necessary to interpret social behaviour. Then, secondly, I have assigned each chapter themes relevant to the period, which seek to explain aspects of cultural change within a broadly social approach.

Readers who feel a deeper interest in the subject for its own sake, or those who are stimulated by these pages to probe the topic further, will find directional points in the footnotes (a resource for which a knowledge of languages other than English is often demanded for full understanding). The references contain both additional accessible works and also studies often found only in the research libraries of the Caucasus, Moscow, or Saint Petersburg. Even a quick survey of Caucasian archaeology will highlight that the field, especially before the demise of the Iron Curtain, is characterised by studies that focus on regional matters and are self-referential in approach. As much as possible, I have attempted to transcend modern borders and regional academic traditions in the belief that, only then, will a coherent and holistic picture of the ancient Caucasus emerge. Now that the book is written, I am chiefly conscious of its omissions. The limited focus some issues receive was inevitable if the double purposes were to be achieved – producing a single book of not too an alarming size and, at the same time, giving it unity and readability. In many instances, though, the evidence is simply not there. Even so, I hope that both beginners and grizzled researchers will find some value in the words that follow.

This book has taken three decades or four years depending on what you count. My first visit to the Caucasus was by rail, in the early 1980s, when I crossed the former Turco-Soviet border with lashings of temerity that young postgraduate students often have. At the frontier town of Kars, I got on board a train pulled by a vintage Turkish locomotive (a German steam engine, if I recall), which chugged and belched its way to the border. Shortly after, I was 'abandoned' in no-man's land on the bridge overlooking

² Struve 1955: 12; Klejn 2012: 3–12.

Preface

xv

the Arpaçay River. Waiting in a train carriage that had been uncoupled from the locomotive was a surreal experience. My wife, Claudia, the only other passenger in the carriage, and I looked expectantly through the foggy windows for several hours, wondering whether we had been forgotten. Then, in the late afternoon, as the winter clouds rolled in, we were attached to a Soviet-built engine and whisked to Leninakan (now Gyumri), and thence to Tbilisi. My first impression of the Caucasus was the inside of that train – sleeping berths with neatly folded moist sheets (it was winter), the sound of clinking glasses and laughter coming from the dining car, and the heady smell of unfiltered cigarettes that mingled with the pungent scent of salted fish. Merriment and camaraderie still mark my experiences in the Caucasus, a region for which I have a deep affection. This book is dedicated to the peoples of the Caucasus.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would be lacking courtesy if I did not expressly say what should be obvious to many readers – namely, that this book could not have been written without the assistance of many colleagues, especially my friends who work or live in the Caucasus. They know the archaeology of their lands far better than I ever will. A complete list of those whose help I have drawn upon in one way or another while writing this book would be a long one. To all of them I express my gratitude. Certain names call for special attention.

The Georgian National Museum, Tbilisi, has been my base in the Caucasus for many years, and my colleagues who work there have facilitated my research at every level. I am grateful to David Lordkipanidze, Director of the museum, for his support and encouragement over the years. Mindia Jalabadze and Erekli Koridze, curators at the museum, gave me free access on many occasions to photograph core exhibition material that was held in storage during the museum's recent refurbishment. To Yuri Piotrovskii, I am very much indebted not only for the hospitality he extended to me at a conference held recently at Saint Petersburg (October 2015), but for taking the time from his busy schedule to show a group of participants some of the Maikop holdings in the stores of the museum.

My grateful thanks are due to Claudia Sagona, Abby Robinson, Simon Connor, and Giorgi Bedianashvili, who found the time to read the text in manuscript form, either in whole or in part, and to Giulio Palumbi, Mitchell Rothman, Geoffrey Summers, and Catherine Marro who indulged me in many extended discussions on matters Caucasian. To them I owe a number of corrections, much helpful advice, many additional references, and in several places a salutary change of emphasis, or the necessary qualification of an unduly dogmatic statement. I have also had the advantage throughout of the criticism and advice of Claudia Sagona and Abby Robinson, and the work owes more than I can well express to their clear sense of form and their immediate unfavourable reaction to obscurity or clumsiness of expression.

The illustrations have been planned as an integral part of the book, and Claudia is also to be credited for the formatting of the figures, and the re-drawing or adaptation of many published early illustrations. In some cases, the illustrations are her creations. To further emphasise the visual aspects, I took many of the photographs myself and I also drew a number of objects first hand.

I would also like to acknowledge the assistance provided by Giorgi Bedianashvili and Tina Kobakhidze, former librarians at the Otar Lordkipanidze Centre for Archaeological Studies, Tbilisi, in tracking down studies in relatively obscure publications that seldom reach Western libraries. In this regard, too, the Inter-Library Loan staff at the University of Melbourne library played an invaluable role in locating other publications and filling in many gaps. To Richard Serle, in Collection Management, at the University of Melbourne Library, I am especially thankful for the speed with which he was able to acquire for our library many publications, both hot off the press and long since out of print. Chandra Jayasuriya created the maps in this book. I am deeply grateful for her exactitude and patience.

The following colleagues (and many more), listed in alphabetical order, have provided me with information and assistance, or allowed me to reproduce the photographs from their publications, projects, or institutions. To them I express my sincere gratitude:

Mikheil Abramishvili, Tufan Akhundov, Karim Alizadeh, Shahmardan Amirov, Gregory Areshian, Makoto Arimura, Nourida Ateshi, Pavel Avetisyan, Ruben Badalyan, Veli Bakhshalyiev, Katrin Bastert-Lamprichs, Giorgi Bedianashvili, Arsen Bobokhyan, Christine Chataigner, Simon Connor, Hamid Fahimi, Irina Gambashidze, Boris Gasparyan, Nikoloz Gobejishvili, Rafi Greenberg, Ferhad Guliyev, Svend Hansen, Barbara Helwing, Mia Hutson, Mark Iserlis, Mehmet Işikli, Mindia Jalabadze, Leri Jibladze, Christian Jeunesse, Kakha Kakhiani, Marine Kapanadze, Giorgi Kavtaradze, Sergi Korenevskii, Stephan Kroll, Catherine Kuzucuoğlu, Eliso Kvavadze, Ian Lindsay, Catherine Longford, Christine Lorre, Bertille Lyonnet, Zurab Makharadze, Catherine Marro, Amihai Mazar, Medea Menabde, Tengiz Meshveliani, Guram Mirtskhulava, Rauf Munchaev, Necef Museibli, Goderdzi Narimanishvili, Lela Nederidze, Yoshi Nishiaki, Cliff Ogelby, Marcel Otte, Giulio Palumbi, Rezo Papuaishvili, Sarit Paz, Yuri Piotrovskii, Modwene Poulmarc'h, Marina Puturizde, Sabine Reinhold, Alexei Rezepkin, Mitchell Rothman, Elena Rova, Karen Rubinson, Hakob Simonyan, Adam Smith, Thomas Stöllner, Viktor Trifonov, Niko Tushabramishvili, and Toby Wilkinson.

In recent years, I have had the privilege to be the field director of a wonderful collaborative project, the Georgian–Australian Investigations in Archaeology (GAIA). To all the team members I express my sincere gratitude for their

Acknowledgements

xix

professionalism and camaraderie, and for their willingness to share their knowledge. To my many students, undergraduate and graduate, I am thankful for their countless questions, which have made me probe deeper into the complexities of the ancient Caucasus that I thought I knew so well. My 'grey cells' have not had a chance to rest thanks to their inquiring minds. I also wish to express my gratitude to the anonymous readers of the manuscript, whose very useful suggestions have made this a better book. It does not of course follow that all the people who have helped me would agree with all that remains, and the errors, which I fear may be many in a book of this scope, are all mine. It is a pleasure to record my appreciation to Norman Yoffee for encouraging me to write this volume for the Cambridge World Archaeology series. When he broached the idea I seized the opportunity with relish, and now I only hope that I have managed to pull it off. Finally, to Cambridge University Press and its dedicated staff, Beatrice Rehl and Katherine Tengco Barbaro, and to Vincent Rajan and Andrea Wright, who provided editorial assistance, I express my thanks for their guidance, understanding, and patience.