

Capitalism, Corporations and the Social Contract

A Critique of Stakeholder Theory

In whose interests should a corporation be run? Over the last thirty years the field of ‘stakeholder theory’ has proposed a distinctive answer: a corporation should be run in the interests of all its primary stakeholders – including employees, customers, suppliers and financiers – without contradicting the ethical principles on which capitalism stands. This book offers a critique of this central claim. It argues that by applying the political concept of a ‘social contract’ to the corporation, stakeholder theory in fact undermines the principles on which a market economy is based. The argument builds upon an extensive review of the stakeholder literature and an analysis of its philosophical foundations, particularly concerning the social contract tradition of John Rawls and his predecessors. The book concludes by offering a qualified version of Milton Friedman’s shareholder theory as a more justifiable account of the purpose of a corporation.

SAMUEL F. MANSELL is Lecturer in Business Ethics at the University of St Andrews. Samuel’s research interests lie in the application of political and moral philosophy to the field of business ethics, with particular reference to the work of Aristotle, Aquinas, Hobbes and Kant. He is currently researching the roles of distributive justice and beneficence in the modern corporation.

Business, Value Creation and Society

Series editors

R. Edward Freeman, *University of Virginia*

Jeremy Moon, *Nottingham University*

Mette Morsing, *Copenhagen Business School*

The purpose of this innovative series is to examine, from an international standpoint, the interaction of business and capitalism with society. In the twenty-first century it is more important than ever that business and capitalism come to be seen as social institutions that have a great impact on the welfare of human society around the world. Issues such as globalization, environmentalism, information technology, the triumph of liberalism, corporate governance and business ethics all have the potential to have major effects on our current models of the corporation and the methods by which value is created, distributed and sustained among all stakeholders – customers, suppliers, employees, communities and financiers.

Published titles:

Fort *Business, Integrity, and Peace*

Gomez and Korine *Entrepreneurs and Democracy*

Crane, Matten, and Moon *Corporations and Citizenship*

Painter-Morland *Business Ethics as Practice*

Yaziji and Doh *NGOs and Corporations*

Rivera *Business and Public Policy*

Sachs and Rühli *Stakeholders Matter*

Forthcoming titles:

Maak and Pless *Responsible Leadership*

Hemingway *Corporate Social Entrepreneurship*

Hartman *Virtue in Business*

Capitalism, Corporations and the Social Contract

A Critique of Stakeholder Theory

SAMUEL F. MANSELL



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107015524

© Samuel F. Mansell 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Mansell, Samuel F.

Capitalism, corporations and the social contract : a critique of stakeholder theory / Samuel F. Mansell.

pages cm. – (Business, value creation, and society)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-01552-4

1. Social responsibility of business. 2. Social contract. 3. Capitalism – Moral and ethical aspects. I. Title.

HD60.M36465 2013

174 – dc23 2012032863

ISBN 978-1-107-01552-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>page</i> vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
1 Introduction	1
Competing perspectives on corporate responsibility	3
Contrasting stakeholder and shareholder theories	8
Stakeholder theory and corporate social responsibility in a market economy	12
A critique of stakeholder theory: the methodology	16
A critique of stakeholder theory: the structure	21
2 An introduction to stakeholder theory	24
The etymology of ‘stake’ and ‘stakeholder’	25
Stakeholder theory in organisations	29
Ethics and strategy	33
Normative arguments for stakeholder theory	39
Conclusion	52
3 The philosophy of stakeholder theory	54
Social contract theory	56
John Rawls and social contract theory	68
Fairness and distributive justice	76
Conclusion	79
4 The corporation as a private association in a market economy	81
An analysis of the concept of trade	82
The ethical principles of a market economy	86
The corporate objective in a market economy	94
Conclusion	100

vi	<i>Contents</i>
5	The corporation as a sovereign power in a market economy 101
	Stakeholder theory and the corporation as a commercial entity 102
	Stakeholder theory and the corporation as a ‘social contract’ 111
	Conclusion 122
6	Shareholder theory and its limitations 125
	The separation of ownership and control 127
	The consequentialist critique of stakeholder theory 132
	The deontological defence of shareholder theory 140
	The limits of contractual duties 145
	Ethical discretion in company law 151
	Conclusion 154
7	Conclusion 156
	<i>References</i> 168
	<i>Index</i> 177

Foreword

A new story about business and capitalism has emerged in the last thirty years. The bare bones of this story are that every business has a set of stakeholders: groups and individuals who can affect or be affected by the purpose and operations of the business. For a business to be successful it must pay attention to these stakeholders, and ultimately it must create value for them, though sometimes value is in fact destroyed. Also, the new narrative embraces the view that human beings are complex creatures who sometimes act in their self-interest and sometimes act in regard to others. The new story eschews imputing a singular motivation to all human activity in business. Capitalism itself is essentially a system of social cooperation whereby we create and trade value together. No one of us could accomplish alone what our businesses and their stakeholders are able to create together.

This story has developed as a counterpoint to the standard modern-day narrative of capitalism as a system whose only concern is the generation of profits for owners or shareholders, and as a system that is based on greed, money and self-interest. All too often in today's post-financial-crisis world, we see more and more evidence of the power of this dominant narrative.

As "stakeholder theory" (as this new narrative has come to be called) has developed, there have been a number of variations on it, each with somewhat different assumptions, framings, and claims. The time is ripe for a more critical analysis of these theories.

Sam Mansell has produced a fine critical analysis of stakeholder theory. He is both a skeptical and a sympathetic critic, a difficult road to follow, yet the results are a fine example of how to give the best possible interpretation of a position before one begins to criticize it. More importantly, he does not examine the claims of stakeholder theory only from the standpoint of the standard narrative, where they are bound to come up short, and in error. Rather, he wonders whether

stakeholder theory goes far enough both as a way to understand business practice and as an analysis of how business practice and theory need to change.

As one of many authors of this new narrative about business, I cannot pretend to be an uninterested bystander. Much of this book is critical of positions that I have held and continue to advocate. However, Mansell's critique has the potential to make stakeholder theory better and stronger. And the scholarly life demands that we pay sharp attention to our critics, to advance our knowledge in general and business practice in particular.

My hope is that this book will be one of many more to come that will help up develop a better version of stakeholder theory and continue to make business and capitalism a system of value creation and trade that is truly fit for human beings.

R. Edward Freeman
The Darden School, University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Virginia

Acknowledgements

The process of researching and writing this book has depended to a great extent on the continuing inspiration and support of a number of people. I am deeply grateful to all who have given their time and encouragement since the ideas of this book began to take shape more than six years ago. However, there are certain individuals to whom I owe a particular debt.

First, my thanks go to the supervisors of the PhD on which this book is based. Steffen Böhm provided me with the initial encouragement to do a PhD, and then at crucial stages of the process his guidance provided a direction to my work that it could easily have lost. Through the example of his own writing and extensive annotations on mine, Harro Höpfl has been an exemplar for the highest standards of scholarship. I am particularly grateful for his active support during the three years it has taken to bring the book to publication after the PhD had been completed. I would also like to thank David Weir and Bob Wearing, the examiners of the original thesis, both for their astute examination and for their encouragement to develop and publish the research.

Next I must thank my PhD colleagues at the University of Essex for their support during the years over which the majority of this research was conducted. A piece of writing on this scale throws up many personal and intellectual challenges, and in facing them the friendship and good character of my closest peers has meant a lot. My gratitude goes to Sumohon Matilal, Przemyslaw Piatkowski, Lorena Ruiz Garcia, Achilleas Karayiannis, Tom Vine and Michal Izak. I would like to acknowledge a number of past and present colleagues at Essex Business School and the University of St Andrews for creating a stimulating environment for teaching and research. In particular, my thanks go to John Desmond, Nick Butler, Philip Roscoe, Rob Gray, Jan Bebbington, Heather Höpfl, Chris Land, Hardy Thomas, Marjana Johansson

and Lee Parker, who all offered valuable insight and support at various stages of the book's completion.

My thanks also go to my colleagues at the Centre for Philosophy and Political Economy at the University of Leicester. The opportunity to become an outside member of this unique research community and to present my work annually at their *Symposium* has greatly enriched my experience of writing the book. I'd like to acknowledge Armin Beverungen, Eleni Karamali, Jeroen Veldman and in particular Stephen Dunne, whom it has been fantastic to work with in some exciting areas of research.

At Cambridge University Press, I am especially grateful to Paula Parish for giving my project the benefit of the doubt when its prospects were undecided, and then for steering it through every stage of the publication process with consummate professionalism. My thanks go to the series editor, Ed Freeman, for his crucial support of the project and his timely and constructive advice in revising the text. Two anonymous reviewers and the series advisers – Luc van Liedekerke, Jeremy Moon and Mette Morsing – all took the time to offer detailed feedback on the entire book, for which I am very grateful. Furthermore, I would like to thank William Stoddard for his meticulous work in copy-editing the manuscript.

I also acknowledge Edward Elgar for their permission to reproduce part of my chapter 'Business Ethics and the Question of Objectivity: The Concept of Moral Progress in a Dialectical Framework', in Muhr, S., Sørensen, B. and Vallentin, S. (eds.) *Ethics and Organizational Practice: Questioning the Moral Foundations of Management* (2010).

Beyond academia, I owe a lot to the close friends I am fortunate to have had from before I started this project, who never failed to give me support and (most importantly) perspective during the most difficult times of writing. My sincerest thanks to Paras Mehta, Alan Rattenbury, Yang Hu, Stojan Kitanov, Ben Outram and Jono Beasley. I would also like to thank Kevin Stowe, Leon van Oudheusden and Antonio Gil Asenjo, who showed me that the activity of a philosopher is a vocation worth having.

My continuing gratitude goes to my family, whose love and support have been crucial in making this book possible in the first place. My heartfelt thanks to my parents Rick and Nerys Mansell, to my brothers

Acknowledgements

xi

Ben and James Mansell, to Miriam Chilton, and to my grandfather Norman Hughes. Above all, my principal thanks are for my fiancée, Edzia Carvalho. Her unwavering love and support have been the cornerstone of everything I have achieved since our first conversation, back in 2009, on the very day I submitted this thesis.