Helmholtz and the Modern Listener

The musical writings of scientist Hermann von Helmholtz (1821–94) have long been considered epoch-making in the histories of both science and aesthetics. Widely regarded as having promised an authoritative scientific foundation for harmonic practice, Helmholtz can also be read as posing a series of persistent challenges to our understanding of the musical listener. Helmholtz was at the forefront of sweeping changes in discourse about human perception. His interrogation of the physiology of hearing threw notions of the self-possessed listener into doubt and conjured a sense of vulnerability to mechanistic forces and fragmentary experience. Yet this new image of the listener was simultaneously caught up in wider projects of discipline, education, and liberal reform. Reading Helmholtz in conjunction with a range of his intellectual sources and heirs, from Goethe to Max Weber to George Bernard Shaw, Steege explores the significance of Helmholtz’s listener as an emblem of a broader cultural modernity.
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Figure 1 Siren like that used by Baron Charles Cagniard de la Tour. From Helmholtz, *Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen als physiologische Grundlage für die Theorie der Musik*, 4th edn. (Braunschweig: Vieweg und Söhn, 1877), p. 23.  [page 47]
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Figure 3 Glass bottle with pig skin membrane; an early model of the “Helmholtz resonator.” From Helmholtz, *Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen*, p. 71.  [61]

Figure 4 A typical spherical resonator. From Helmholtz, *Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen*, p. 73.  [62]

Figure 5 Part of the middle section of the cochlea. From Helmholtz, *Tonempfindungen*, p. 230.  [65]

Figure 6 Instruments for hearing. Harvard Psychology Department, 1893. From Hugo Münsterberg, *Psychological Laboratory of Harvard University* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1893), Plate 4, after p. 8.  [99]

Figure 7 Exercises in aural attention to be executed with a physharmonica. From Mach, *Einleitung in die Helmholtz'sche Musiktheorie, populär für Musiker dargestellt* (1866), p. 23.  [106]

Figure 8 Tonal affinity in the second degree.  [132]

Figure 9 Helmholtz’s universal background scale.  [153]

Figure 10 Transcription of spoken phrases, exemplifying background scale. From Helmholtz, *Tonempfindungen*, p. 392.  [153]

Figure 11 Helmholtz’s vowel synthesizer. From Helmholtz, *Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen*, p. 631.  [182]

Figure 12 Helmholtz’s vowel synthesizer. From Franz Joseph Pisko, *Die neueren Apparate der Akustik. Für Freunde der
List of illustrations
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**Figure 17** Side view of the oral cavity pronouncing the vowel U. From Max Müller, *Lectures on the Science of Language*, 2nd series (London: Longman, Green, Longman, Roberts & Green, 1864), p. 119.  [194]


**Figure 19** Exercises for learning the “mental effects” of tones of the major tonic triad, in Curwen’s Sol-fa notation. From Curwen, *The Standard Course*, p. 4.  [205]
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1821 Hermann von Helmholtz born in Potsdam (Prussia)
1843 Works as a military surgeon for Potsdam regiment of the Prussian army
1845 Joins newly founded Physikalische Gesellschaft zu Berlin (Physical Society of Berlin), a group of young reform-minded scientists, many studying with physiologist Johannes Müller
1847 Ueber die Erhaltung der Kraft (On the Conservation of Force), an account of the mechanical equivalent of heat, part of the first law of thermodynamics, helping to establish the conceptual basis of modern experimental physiology
1849 Appointed Professor of Physiology, University of Königsberg (Prussia)
1853 Moritz Hauptmann, Die Natur der Harmonie und der Metrik (The Nature of Harmony and Meter)
1856 Appointed Professor of Physiology and Anatomy, University of Bonn (Prussia). “Ueber Combinationstöne” (“On Combination Tones”), Handbuch der physiologischen Optik (Handbook of Physiological Optics), vol. I, the model for Helmholtz’s later, parallel work in physiological acoustics
1857 “Ueber die physiologischen Ursachen der musikalischen Harmonie” (“On the Physiological Causes of Harmony in Music”), an early statement of views on the relation between acoustics and music, written for a popular audience
1858 “Ueber die Vocale” (“On Vowels”)
1859 Appointed Professor of Physiology, University of Heidelberg (Baden). “Ueber die Klangfarbe der Vocale” (“On the Timbre of Vowels”)
1860 “Ueber Klangfarben” (“On Timbres”)
1861 “Ueber musikalische Temperatur” (“On Musical Temperament”)
1862 “Über die arabisch-persische Tonleiter” (“On Arabic-Persian Scales”)

Chronology

1863 Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen als physiologische Grundlage für die Theorie der Musik
1864 Meets Alexander J. Ellis during a visit to London
1866 Arthur von Oettingen, Harmoniesystem in dualer Entwicklung (System of Harmony, Developed Dualistically), questions the privileging of the major triad in Helmholtz and suggests an alternative, in which the tonic overtones are balanced by analogous “phonic” undertones generating the minor triad
1868 Handbuch der physiologischen Optik, vol. III
1870 Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen, 3rd (revised) edition
1871 Unification of German nation with Berlin as capital. Appointed Professor of Physics, University of Berlin
1875 On the Sensations of Tone as a Basis for the Theory of Music, translated by Alexander J. Ellis from Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen, 3rd edition
1877 Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen, 4th (revised) edition
1880 (circa) Socializes with Richard and Cosima Wagner in Berlin salons
1882 Hugo Riemann, “Die Natur der Harmonik” (“The Nature of Harmony”), places the development of physical and physiological acoustics in historical perspective, to be superseded by psychological perspectives on harmony
1883 Carl Stumpf, Tonpsychologie, vol. I
1887 Appointed president of newly founded Physikalisch-Technische Reichsanstalt (Imperial Physico-Technical Institute), Berlin
1890 Carl Stumpf, Tonpsychologie, vol. II, proposes a psychological theory of consonance as “fusion” (Verschmelzung) in distinction from Helmholtz’s physiological theory of consonance as the absence of beats
1894 Dies in Berlin