

More information

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01491-6 - Delta Theory and Psychosocial Systems: The Practice of Influence and Change: $\Delta\alpha\beta$ Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter

DELTA THEORY AND PSYCHOSOCIAL SYSTEMS

Delta Theory establishes the foundation for a true scientific applied psychology, a theory of how human influence induces change in others. Delta Theory is unified and universal, applying to all cultures, historical periods, and goals for change. It integrates concepts and research from psychology, sociology, anthropology, evolution theory, philosophy, psychoneurology, cognitive science, and Cultural-Historical Activity Theory. Yet Delta Theory is clear, economical, and elegant, with a full exposition of tactics for its practices. Rich examples are drawn from professional practices, but also from the creation and operations of criminals, healing ceremonies of indigenous peoples, and cross-species comparisons. This book ultimately seeks to describe how influence works, how it could be improved, and how it can be resisted.

Roland G. Tharp is Emeritus Professor of Psychology at the Universities of Hawaii, Manoa, and California, Santa Cruz. He has also taught at University of Arizona, Stanford University, and the University of Greenland. Tharp is the director of the national Center for Research on Education, Diversity, and Excellence. He has done extensive fieldwork with indigenous people, including Mayan, Polynesian, Navajo, Zuni, and Inuit. Tharp is the author or coauthor of the books *Behavior Modification in the Natural Environment, Rousing Minds to Life, Teaching Transformed*, and *Self-Directed Behavior*. His articles have appeared in such journals as *American Psychologist, American Anthropologist*, and *Psychological Bulletin*, among others. He is the laureate of the Grawemeyer Prize in Education and the Hopwood Award (Major, in Poetry) from the University of Michigan and has held the Frost Fellowship of the Bread Loaf School of English, Middlebury College.



Frontmatter More information



Delta Theory and Psychosocial Systems

THE PRACTICE OF INFLUENCE AND CHANGE

Δαß

Roland G. Tharp

Emeritus Professor Universities of California and Hawaii





Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107014916

© Roland G. Tharp 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Tharp, Roland G., 1930—

Delta theory and psychosocial systems: the practice of influence and change / Roland G. Tharp.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-01491-6 (hardback)

1. Behavior modification. 2. Adjustment (Psychology) 3. Social psychology. I. Title.

BF637.B4T43 2012

153.8'5-dc23 2011025631

ISBN 978-1-107-01491-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my first and primary psychosocial system: Ila and George, Berma and Oswald, Carol and Gail



Frontmatter More information



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

CONTENTS

List of Figures		page xii
Pre	face	xiii
Ack	cnowledgments	xix
	SECTION ONE: THE THEORY OF INFLUENCE AND CHAN	GE
1.	Introduction and Overview	3
	Influence and Change: Intended, Adventitious,	
	Inadvertent, and Incompetent	3
	Delta: An Overview of the Domain	5
	The Scope	7
	Delta Theory (Δαß): A Summary	7
2.	Psychosocial Systems and the Exercise of Influence	10
	Psychosocial Systems	10
	The Organization of Social Relationships	11
	Psychosocial Systems: The Dynamics of Formation and Change	12
	Propinquity	12
	Joint Activity	14
	Intersubjectivity	15
	Affinity	17
	The Phases of Psychosocial Systems: Beta, Alpha, and Delta	20
3.	The Zone of Proximal Development, Activity, and Semiotics	24
	Overview of Social Influence on Development	24
	The Zone of Proximal Development	27



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01491-6 - Delta Theory and Psychosocial Systems: The Practice of

Influence and Change: $\Delta\alpha\beta$ Roland G. Tharp

Frontmatter
More information

viii Contents

	Influence and the Zone of Proximal Development	28
	Stage I: Where Performance Is Assisted by More	
	Capable Others	30
	Domain Knowledge and Professional Expertise	32
	Stage II: Where the Self Assists Performance	33
	Stage III: Where the Performance Is Developed,	
	Automatized, and Fossilized	34
	Stage IV: Where De-Automatization of Performance	
	Leads to Recursion back through the Zone of Proximal	
	Development	36
4.	The Means of Influence	38
	Influence, Assistance, Regulation	38
	"Scaffolding"	39
	Means of Influence: The List	40
	Task Structuring	43
	Propping/Nudging	44
	Modeling	45
	Contingency Management	48
	Instructing	48
	Questioning	49
	Cognitive Structuring	50
	Feeding back against a Standard	51
5.	The Organization of Activity	54
	The Concept of Activity Settings	55
	Motive and Meaning in Activity Settings	56
6.	Unifying the Study of Culture and Psychology:	
	Philosophical and Scientific Issues	59
	The Game and the Candle	60
	Relationships between Studies of Culture and Psychology:	
	A Brief Retrospect	61
	Anthropological Perspectives	61
	Psychological Perspectives	64
	Foundational Considerations, Philosophical and Scientific,	
	for a Unified Perspective	65
	The Four Levels of Human Development	66



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

	Contents	ix
	Understanding the Cultural through <i>Background</i> Subprimate Asides Background Resumed An Empirical Example Culture and Ecology	69 71 72 74 79
	SECTION TWO: THE PRACTICE OF INFLUENCE AND CHANGE	
7.	Influencing and Change: Delta Theory of Practice	85
	Introduction	85
	The Spread of Obesity	85
	Intended Influence, Intended Change, Subject To	87
8.	A Natural History Museum of Influence	
	and Change: Dioramas	90
	Introduction	90
	Aboriginal Americans vs. the United States of America	90
	Education and the Cherokee Syllabary	91
	Retention and Conversion of Captives	93
	Malign-Purposed Influence	95
	Recruitment and Socialization of Underage Prostitutes	95
	The Creation of Dangerous Violent Criminals	97
	Military Training	101
	Reichstag Death Squadrons	101
	Universalism: Disciplinary and Cross-Disciplinary Culture-Based Deltas	103
	Ho'o'ponopono	103
	Navajo "Sings"	103 104
	A Notable Historical Failure: American School Desegregation	107
	Primary Socialization	108
	Among the Nso	108
	"But I Am Your Mother"	110
9.	Who Influences? The Triadic Model of Influence and Change	111
	Organizing for Influence and Change: Dyadic Deltas	111
	Organizing for Influence and Change: A Triadic Model	
	of Assistance	113



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

x Contents

	Triadic Deltas	113
	Field-Vectored Deltas	114
	Self as Source of Influence in Practice	115
	Influence, Power, and Organizational Structure	117
	Activity Settings: Not Only Who, but What They Do	120
10.	Basic Tactics and Strategy in Designing Influence	123
	Principles and Protocols for Designing Influence and Change	124
	Units of Analysis	125
	Design Protocol	126
	Basic Tactics of Influence and Change	127
	Tactic 1: Agent Influences Subject Directly	128
	Tactic 2: Agent Influences Subject through Mediator(s)	131
	Tactic 3: Agent's Influence Is Directed to Subject's	
	Psychosocial System	131
	Tactic 4: Agent Curtails Subject's Participation in Competing	
	Psychosocial Systems	133
	Tactic 5: Agent Creates or Enlists Additional or Alternate	
	Psychosocial Systems for Subject	133
	Strategies Involving Multiple Tactics	135
	A Strategy Involving Tactics 1, 2, and 3	135
	A Strategy Involving Tactics 1, 4, and 5	136
	A Strategy Involving All Five Tactics: The Delta Classroom	136
11.	Cultural Patterns in the Practice of Influence	139
	Indigenous Formal Activities of Influence	139
	Professional Activities of Influence Practiced with Cultural	
	Accommodations	141
12.	Challenges, Research, and Future Development	149
	Delta Theory and Other Sciences: Relationships and	
	Opportunities	149
	Issues of Causation	149
	The Example of Synchrony	151
	Fads, Failures, and Futures: Prediction and Postdiction	
	by Delta Theory	152
	Traditional Therapies	152
	Predictive Analysis: Tango Therapy	154



Frontmatter
More information

Contents	xi
Exploring the Cognitive and Neural Bases of Influence	
through Joint Activity	157
The Future Development of Delta	160
Appendix: Criteria, Standards, and Guidelines necessary for	
a Unified, Universal Theory	163
General Requirements	163
Requirements Specific to a Unified Theory	163
Desirable Qualities	164
Methodological Implications of a Unified Theory	165
References	167
Indox	101



More information

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-01491-6 - Delta Theory and Psychosocial Systems: The Practice of Influence and Change: $\Delta\alpha\beta$ Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter

FIGURES

2.1.	The cycle of social sorting	page 13
3.1.	Progressions through the zone of proximal development	
	and beyond	29
6.1.	The funnel of human development	67
9.1.	Agent influences Subject directly	112
9.2.	The basic triadic model: Agent influences Subject indirectly	
	through Mediator	114
9.3.	A psychosocial system as Mediator	120
10.1.	Agent influences only Subject with little or no shared activity	128
10.2.	Agent directly influences Subject in their shared	
	psychosocial system	130
10.3.	Through activity settings involving Agent and Mediator(s),	
	the Mediator is influenced to use activity settings with Subject	
	to influence Subject toward change	131
10.4.	Agent influences by participation in Subject's existing	
	psychosocial system(s)	132
10.5.	Agent influences Subject by preventing Subject's psychosocial	
	interactions in existing competing psychosocial systems	133
10 6	Agent influences Subject into new activity groups	13./

xii



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

PREFACE

THE AUTODIDACT AND THE COMMUNITY OF SCHOLARS

This book's work was not conducted in isolation but was intensely psychosocial. It could not have been otherwise, as its domain of inquiry is the acquisition of behavioral and attitudinal patterns, the building of the mind, and the building of consciousness itself – which results from intensely social processes, processes that do not cease during life.

However, as a young man, I had a period of exclusively self-directed learning. Like most autodidacts, I no doubt developed some idiosyncrasies. A decade of formal education thereafter would seem sufficient to correct them, nevertheless the author of a theory purporting to unify across such a large domain can hardly deny some measure of peculiarity. The autodidact is subject to a society of authors who do not engage him in dialogue. In formal education, some dialogue is provided, and although never enough, interpretation of facts received and activities endorsed is subject to negotiation, explanation, and socialization. Not so for the autodidact.

Some years ago, two young students in an audience with the revered Buddhist spiritual leader, the Dalai Lama, asked him the following:

STUDENTS: I wonder if you have in your past any people who were important ... teachers ... or a kind of master that you think about now? THE DALAI LAMA: Those Indian pundits! Many centuries back ...

STUDENTS: No, I mean someone who influenced you when you were a child or a younger man, who was a master to you, and you his disciple.

THE DALAI LAMA: Yes ... the great Indian pundits of the past many centuries.

STUDENTS: But no one living? I mean, not an actual person?

THE DALAI LAMA: No. You see, those living persons, they are just carrying the messages of the great Indian pundits ... (Hilgers & Molloy, 1981, p. 195, quoted in Tharp & Gallimore, 1998)

xiii



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

xiv Preface

I do not liken myself to the Dalai Lama – quite the reverse. In his formal monastic education between the ages of six and twenty-three, he would have been immersed in the school's intensely social patterns of interaction, in which interpretation of text and application to life are dramatically disputed in a pattern very close to "debate" in the Western tradition, or to the "drum-dance" contest of ideas among traditional Inuit. In all likelihood, he reached his private dialogue with books and authors in his later life.

As a young autodidact, I made what I could of readings alone under a night-light: broad, sweeping surveys – Fraser's *Golden Bough*, Toynbee's *Study of History*, the many volumes of *The Story of Civilization* and *The Story of Philosophy* by Will and Ariel Durant, Freud, Einstein, a set of books about the biological-medical researchers who pioneered disease theory. I suppose attempting a simulacrum of survey courses was an economical way of understanding how knowledge is organized and of what categories it consisted. However, as I will emphasize further in this work, *more is learned than is taught*. Mentors teach disciplines and craft; but learners absorb them as models. Not that those "pundits" seemed infallible, any more than a reader will find this author so. Yet it still seems to me that building toward a unified theory is what scholars are supposed to do.

Only recently have I attempted such a thing myself. An accumulation of concepts has developed along with a kind of sorting and stacking, so after a long career there seem enough blocks in my shed to attempt some kind of edifice.

A PERSONAL JOURNEY

As an undergraduate at the University of Houston, I had a rat and a Skinner Box. In graduate school at the University of Michigan, I studied field theory, systems theory, social psychology, and anthropology; I used sociological theory in my dissertation. At the Palo Alto Veterans' Administration Hospital, I was further trained in applied behaviorism, community psychiatry, and the social therapies for families and institutions. As a young faculty member of the University of Arizona, in 1961, I designed the first graduate degree program in Community Psychology. Many of the building blocks of my personal intellectual structure came from these fields. I continue to find value in them, and all reverberate in Delta Theory.

Delta Theory's central concepts also include three of Lev Vygotsky's (1978) concepts, which I have expanded and published previously: the zone of proximal development (Tharp & Gallimore, 1969); the developmental domains, particularly his cultural-historical domains (Tharp, 1994);



Frontmatter
More information

Preface xv

and, by asserting the strong case, an extension of the age range of applicability of ZPD-assisted performance principles (e.g., Watson & Tharp, 2006). These expansions are made possible by decades of data accumulated since Vygotsky's death. The Cycle of Social Sorting (Tharp, Estrada, Dalton & Yamauchi, 2000) is an amalgam of Cultural-Historical Activity Theory concepts and some from sociology. Other Delta material has other sources, from cognitive behaviorism to cognitive science to brain studies. A concept vital to Delta is drawn from the philosopher John Searle (see Chapter 6). My method is to accrete domain-specific data and explanations to the structure of Delta concepts and dynamics, and thereby articulate a unified scientific theory explaining influence and change.

A "UNIFIED" THEORY

In Delta Theory, what is being unified? My purpose is to bring together a number of theoretical and research domains *insofar as they treat influence and change*. Thus I draw together explanatory concepts and research findings from (as examples) cognitive science, sociology, anthropology, philosophy, Cultural-Historical Activity Theory, behaviorism, observational learning, evolution theory, criminology, and history. I do not purport or aspire to unify these entire disciplines, but rather to propose a theory of influence and change that unites and interweaves contributions from each. A secondary aspiration is that Delta Theory serve as a demonstration or proof of concept of the feasibility of unifying many small domain theories – not to refute or remove them, but to offer a system of more basic processes to enrich their own theorizing and research.

"Modern physics began with a sweeping unification: In 1687 Isaac Newton showed that the existing jumble of disparate theories describing everything from planetary motion to tides to pendulums were all aspects of a universal law of gravitation. Unification has played a central role in physics ever since.... In addition to predicting new physical effects, a unified theory provides a more aesthetically satisfying picture of how our universe operates" (Lisi & Weatherall, 2010, p. 55–56). I quote these two ambitious theorists in physical science to emphasize that unification is necessary to progress. Likewise in the human sciences: We will unify or stultify. There will be unifiers. There will be unification.

In speaking to colleagues about this aspiration, I meet some sardonic smiles and perhaps will now receive a great deal more. Yet also encouragement: It is not unique to suppose that the task of scientists is to contribute discoveries pertinent to theory or challenging to current theory, thereby



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

xvi Preface

participating in theory building itself. Pecking away at it and sometimes attempting a reach: This is the calling of science and indeed of the intellectual life.

I feel far from my autodidactic youth, having had a professional life of intense collegiality. Virtually all of the concepts used here are the result of work and dialogue with a set of extraordinary collaborators, through whose influence this work has developed. Delta Theory is *synthesis* in another sense, being an integration of concepts that emerged during my long study of various forms of influence and change in the settings of many cultures. Readers of my previous writings, many of them decades prior to my exposure to Vygotskian concepts, will meet again some familiar terms and threads of thought but will perhaps see them anew in this whole-cloth attempt.

A last personal note: In critiquing the professional practice of influence and change, every shortcoming I strive to influence and change I too have committed. Of practitioner errors, I write with the bona fides of frustrated experience, not disdainfully but with empathy.

IS DELTA COMPLETE?

The question may arise: Is Delta a finished theory or a draft of a work in progress? My hope is that Delta will never be "finished," unless or until it is refuted. Absent that, any theory with power will accrete, expand, modify, and gradually incorporate into accepted science, especially a universalist theory for which there is a built-in process of modification. As this book will emphasize, the basic discipline of any universal theory is the Method of Universals, characterized most frequently as William James's "one white crow" test, in which any universal proposition (such as "all crows are black") is upset by a single exception. Thus instances of exceptionality are followed by a modification of the specific proposition. The discipline of the universalist requires vigilance in searching for white crows.

¹ My career has been in many specific domains of influence and change: research and practice in marriage and family counseling; group and individual psychotherapy (office based and home based); formal education from preschool to graduate school; community psychology; and coaching (athletic, personal, and professional development). This has brought regular collaboration with nursing, psychiatry, occupational therapy, public health, rehabilitation, group homes both open and closed, mental hospitals, and community mental health centers. I have also had the common human experiences of influencing children, in my case as the parent of five. Working for a unified therapy for these domains was less a choice than being driven to solve the problems of Babel.



Roland G. Tharp Frontmatter More information

Preface xvii

Thus any universal theory is only provisionally complete; it is ever open to necessities for greater precision until the community of scientists is satisfied that some propositions require no further searching and are declared accepted science.

DELTA?

I have appropriated the word *delta* from calculus, Δ denoting a change, as the result of a specifiable relationship between one variable and others it affects. The name's obvious appeal is as the metaphor for change, but its mathematical association also invokes standards of disconfirmability and the potential for quantification of relationships among concepts as variables. I choose to fly the flag of Δ not as a claim of territory occupied, but as an idealistic symbol. Throughout the work assembled here into Delta Theory, I have taken care only to use concepts potentially quantifiable and their relationships disconfirmable. If any should prove not to be so, they must be refined or replaced.

Efforts through quantitative research to test theoretical propositions are expensive in resources and effort. The warrants for such work lie in the plausibility of the theoretical ideas, plausibility sufficient to justify prudent investment. I have attempted to test the Delta assertions against plausibility at every stage of the theory's construction. Using the one white crow test, I have not found exceptions. A further test is to continually ask at each stage of theory development: Among the interdependencies of the central concepts, is the weave tight enough to hold complex phenomena without spillage? When it is not, the strands must be further tightened.

Of course, plausibility is a criterion requiring judgment, and that judgment will now be the reader's to make.

A NOTE ON "NATURAL" AND "NATURE"

Forty years ago, in *Behavior Modification in the Natural Environment* (Tharp & Wetzel, 1969) our use of the word *natural* was much remarked, in the tone of "What's more natural about school and home than the laboratory?" Nevertheless, I retain the idea of natural, because the strongest evidence for the validity of Delta Theory is its correspondence with successful human practices of influence as they occur naturally (as opposed to professionally), but also in a more foundational sense. The theoretical processes of Delta Theory are natural because they occur *by and through the nature* of *Homo*



Frontmatter
More information

xviii Preface

sapiens. Thus grounding in science – physical, biological, and social – is essential to my criteria for a valid theory of human behavior. Successful influence processes are successful *because* they operate through natural processes – those that are phylogenetically laid down, historically conditioned, and socially influenced toward development.



Frontmatter
More information

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Ronald Gallimore and Clifford O'Donnell insisted that I attempt this unification. I am indebted to Elias Ali, Justin Wiley, and Michael Tharp for their assistance in clarifying the Delta metaphor. For critical reading of the manuscript and suggestions, I thank Bert van Oers, Ronald Gallimore, Lisa Tsoi Hoshmand, Stephanie Stoll Dalton, Karl Kristian Paartoq Olsen, Robert Rueda, and Ann Bayer. Julie Tharp gave patient and tireless bibliographic assistance and introduced me to the work of Temple Grandin. My seminar students Marianna F. Valdez and Susan Mrazek contributed important ideas to the breadth of Delta Theory. Natalie Crespo talked with me for hours, enriching my understanding of her original and invaluable research, so important to the exposition in Chapter 8. For their early interest and sponsorship ten years ago, I thank Montserrat Castelló Badia and her colleagues at Ramon Llull University, Barcelona; and César Coll, University of Barcelona. For decades of shared activity and dialogue, producing many of the concepts in this book, I have had the great good fortune of intersubjectivity and friendship with Ronald Gallimore, Clifford O'Donnell, Ralph Wetzel, David Watson, and Karl Kristian Paartoq Olsen.