

Test Tubes for Global Intellectual Property Issues

Small market economies provide a valuable insight into how a country might balance competing interests in global intellectual property. As developed countries that are also net importers of intellectual property, small market economies have similar concerns to some developing countries. This duality of developed and developing country interests has resulted in some innovative ways of calibrating laws so that they both support national economic and social needs and honour international commitments. In this book, Susy Frankel uses examples from the small market economies of Singapore, New Zealand and Israel to address global intellectual property issues. Those issues include approaching treaty interpretation to assist in implementation of obligations, utilisation of flexibilities and effective dispute resolution, the links between trade and innovation, when and how patent and copyright law can be flexible, the importance of trade marks to small businesses, parallel importing and the protection of traditional knowledge.

SUSY FRANKEL is Professor of Law and Director of the New Zealand Centre of International Economic Law at Victoria University of Wellington.



Cambridge Intellectual Property and Information Law

As its economic potential has rapidly expanded, intellectual property has become a subject of front-rank legal importance. Cambridge Intellectual Property and Information Law is a series of monograph studies of major current issues in intellectual property. Each volume contains a mix of international, European, comparative and national law, making this a highly significant series for practitioners, judges and academic researchers in many countries.

Series editors
Lionel Bently
Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property Law, University of
Cambridge
William R. Cornish
Emeritus Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property Law, University of
Cambridge

Advisory editors

François Dessemontet, Professor of Law, University of Lausanne Paul Goldstein, Professor of Law, Stanford University The Rt Hon. Sir Robin Jacob, Hugh Laddie Professor of Intellectual Property, University College, London

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



Test Tubes for Global Intellectual Property Issues

Small Market Economies

Susy Frankel

Victoria University of Wellington





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107013148

© Susy Frankel 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Frankel, Susy, author.

Test tubes for global intellectual property issues : small market economies / Susy Frankel.

pages cm. – (Cambridge intellectual property and information law; 29) ISBN 978-1-107-01314-8 (hardback)

1. Intellectual property – Economic aspects. 2. International trade. I. Title. $K1401.F734 \quad 2015$

346.04'8-dc23

2014048022

ISBN 978-1-107-01314-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For

Claudia and George





Contents

	Foreword by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss Preface List of abbreviations	page ix xiii xv
1.	The unique position of small market economies	1
2.	Trading in intellectual property: the TRIPS Agreement and free trade agreements	19
3.	Interpretation of international intellectual property agreements	43
4.	Intellectual property and the nexus with innovation and cultural policies	68
5.	Flexing patent law	92
6.	Approaches to copyright	117
7.	Trade mark law	143
8.	Why small market economies do and don't parallel impor	t 159
9.	An insight into protecting traditional knowledge and innovation	185
10.	Overview: what the international community can learn from the small market economy experience	207
	Index	214

vii





Foreword

Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss

From both a theoretical and a practical perspective, this book is an important resource. Ever since the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (the TRIPS Agreement) set out the minimum standards of intellectual property protection for members of the World Trade Organization (WTO), considerable attention has focused on the wisdom of moving towards a system that is more deeply harmonised and that mandates the recognition of even stronger rights.

For the most part, the debate centres on questions of technological development. To many, countries that are behind the technology curve gain little from strong protection, even when it is offset by market access for their own products. The products developing countries sell (raw commodities, manufactures) are priced competitively and therefore earn rather scant returns, while the 'knowledge products' developing countries must buy (pharmaceuticals, manufacturing equipment, educational materials) are patented, copyrighted and trade marked – and priced well above marginal cost. International obligations to impose high standards of intellectual property protection can therefore cause considerable injustice, for these rights siphon funds from poor countries to rich ones. At the same time, proponents of raising worldwide standards point to the post-TRIPS success of the BRICs (Brazil, Russia, India and China) and suggest that strong protection will benefit other developing countries as well. Intellectual property laws can create powerful inducements to domestic innovators. Furthermore, they promote technology transfer, help establish local creative industries and motivate governments to prioritise activities that move their countries to the intellectual frontier.

While this conversation is interesting and important, lost in it is another dimension of the harmonisation issue: market size. Based on the debate set out above, one might think that the interests of all advanced economies would be in alignment, for any country that innovates at world-class levels can reap supra-competitive returns from the global market. This book dispels that notion. Its careful analysis of patent, copyright, trade

1X



x Foreword

mark and related law in three economies – New Zealand, Singapore and Israel – demonstrates why small market economies do (or should) develop their own approach to the design of intellectual property laws and institutions.

For theoreticians of international intellectual property law, these insights are provocative. Ultimately, the book suggests that true harmonisation is an unreasonable goal and that achieving distributive justice is not merely a transitional problem, solved as soon as all WTO countries catch up. Under this view, the BRICs' success in benefiting from TRIPS derives not only from their greater responsiveness to intellectual property incentives (as proponents of strong protection suggest) or from the fact that they were on the cusp of becoming robustly innovative at the time TRIPS came into force (as the other side claims), but, at least in part, because their markets are potentially as large as those of the United States, the European Union and Japan. As a result, there is enough local demand to spur creative production across a wide spectrum of endeavours. Moreover, there is sufficient domestic capacity to satisfy the bulk of the population's creative needs.

In contrast, advanced small market economies may, because they are relatively affluent, demand a range of sophisticated, high-quality products - blockbuster films, advanced therapeutics, fashionable attire that local industry will never be in a position to fully supply. Because these nations are reliant on foreign sources, their interests in the conditions under which intellectual products can be imported will often reflect the interests of developing countries. But because local demand is often insufficient to support the creative production of which these countries are capable, they are heavily dependent on making sales abroad. Thus, their export interests will largely parallel those of the developed world. Finally, because these countries are already advanced, their interests are unlikely to change significantly over time. The flexibilities in TRIPS are, in short, not a temporary phenomenon that can be phased out in successive negotiations as all markets mature. Rather, they are necessary to do justice to the needs of the varied economies engaged in international trade in creative products.

But this presents a puzzle: if intellectual property rules are harmonised, creators – irrespective of their location – should be acting in response to global, not local, demand. And users – no matter where they live – should be drawing on worldwide supply. Why, then, should the size of national markets matter at all? A partial answer is that full harmonisation has yet to occur. But again, the book suggests that the problem may not be temporary. Local culture and policies determine how potential innovators are educated, the sorts of activities investors will support and the creative



Foreword xi

opportunities that are most evident to intellectual property-intensive industries. Local taste determines the feedback innovators most immediately receive. Furthermore, industries benefit from clustering and small markets can maintain fewer clusters. The sectors that do develop become salient in the economy, but other countries will not always share a strong interest in devising intellectual property rules that promote or support them. The example provided is the traditional knowledge of the Māori, for which New Zealand has developed its own sui generis domestic legislation. While New Zealand has also taken a leading role in promoting international protection, its achievements fall far short of the success large economies have enjoyed in seeing rights recognised in their special knowledge products (the inclusion of geographical indications in TRIPS, which benefits mainly the European Union, is an illustration).

From a practical point of view, the book is even more important. As it notes, small market economies can suffer from inadequate case law and too few specialist adjudicators. But the experiences of New Zealand, Singapore and Israel that are collected here can inform other small market economies of ways to deal both domestically and internationally with the issues arising uniquely within these nations. Thus, the book emphasises the importance of research: of deep knowledge of the country's industrial base, including what endeavours attract foreign investment, which local industries are primed to flourish, where importation is likely the only long-term solution, how national industries fit into emerging global value chains and which intellectual property rights are crucial to local producers. These factors affect such issues as which subject matter to protect and through which intellectual property right to protect it, the appropriate height of the inventive step, the right term of protection, what should count as infringement, the proper rules on parallel importation (international exhaustion) and the benefits of subsidisation. The book describes laws that demonstrate how to handle the disjuncture these countries experience between import and export interests. It also provides a valuable discussion on the compatibility of the suggested approaches with international law.

Negotiators should also pay heed to the lessons of this book. Increasingly, international intellectual property law making is becoming regional and plurilateral, with several small market economies included. (New Zealand and Singapore, for example, participate in the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement and the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement, both of which are intended to raise the level of intellectual property protection.) In these venues, the representatives of small market economies can suffer from the 'elephant bumping' illusion: at a bargaining table with elephants, they assume that they too are elephants, and that



xii Foreword

their countries will benefit from the rules proposed by the representatives of much larger economies. The book demonstrates why small market negotiators must be careful. And also why their participation is of global importance: not only do small market economies share interests with developing countries, but, to some extent, they also act as stand-ins for interests (such as user interests) that are overshadowed in the political economies of nations with larger markets.

Now that Susy Frankel has demonstrated that there is a limit to harmonisation, it behoves the international intellectual property community to find an approach to the global economy that is capable of greater nuance. Possibilities include tiers of protection, menus of choices and flexibilities, user rights, supplementing the floors of protection in minimum standard regimes with ceilings. Procedural reforms – international agreements on conflicts of law, jurisdiction, case consolidation and recognition of judgments – should also be on the table, for they would make the worldwide distribution of intellectual products and the global enforcement of intellectual property rights more efficient, while leaving ample space for cultural diversity and market differentials.



Preface

Since I began teaching and researching international intellectual property law, I have observed that frequently large players in the global debate about the scope and details of international intellectual property show little concern for promoting the interests of others around the globe. At the same time, they seek to extract maximum intellectual property related gains from those others. Perhaps this is simply a luxury resulting from being a powerful nation or a part of a relatively significant economic zone. Much intellectual property is created and innovated in the large countries of the developed world and for that we have to thank the creators and innovators of Europe and North America. The large countries of the developing world are, however, now significant players in intellectual property and have in many ways posed serious challenges to the developed world's approach to intellectual property. Developed and developing countries are of course many and varied. Small market economies are different again. They are dependent on global connectedness and they seek to trade with all. They are conscious of international differences and strive to retain their unique culture and identity. Such countries do not have the power to bring about global change or the luxury to 'go it alone'. From an intellectual property perspective, they are often like test tubes, that is, places for experimentation and legal innovation in how to reconcile the competing interests of globalisation and national autonomy inwith their law making. The experiments in these test tubes may sometimes be designed legal innovations and at other times less well planned. This book presents some experiences from these test-tube experiences.

There have been several stages in this project and there are many people to thank. I am extremely thankful to the New Zealand Law Foundation for funding much of the research. Research assistance has spanned several years and included students, past and present, of Victoria University of Wellington, Jessica Lai, Anne O'Driscoll, Danielle Thorne, Thomas McKenzie, Sereana Perry, and especially Rachel Collins. Thanks to Jessica Lai for more recent proofing assistance and comments.

xiii



xiv Preface

Academic colleagues from around the world have generously commented on aspects of this project at various stages of its development; for that thanks in particular to Graeme Dinwoodie, Peter Drahos, Jane Ginsburg, Wee-Loon Ng Loy, Niva Elkin Koren, Ruth Okediji and Peter Yu. Special thanks to Daniel Gervais for comments on many chapters and discussions about the issues raised therein. Finally, I am especially grateful to Rochelle Dreyfuss for reading and commenting on this book and for her constant encouragement and support.



Abbreviations

ABS access and benefit sharing

CBD Convention on Biological Diversity of 1992

EPA Economic Partnership Agreement EWCA Court of Appeal of England & Wales

EU European Union

FDI foreign direct investment FTA free trade agreement

GATS General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade

GI geographical indication IP intellectual property

IPONZ Intellectual Property Office of New Zealand

NZ New Zealand

SCM Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures

TCE traditional cultural expression

TRIPS Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual

Property Rights

WCT WIPO Copyright Treaty

WIPO World Intellectual Property Office

WIPO-IGC WIPO Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual

Property and Genetic Resources

WPPT WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty

WTO World Trade Organization