

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-01109-0 - The Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

Carrie McDougall

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	page xix
<i>Preface</i>	xxi
<i>The Crime of Aggression Amendments</i>	xxiii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xxxii
1. The crime of aggression under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: an introduction	1
A crime of aggression at last	1
The early history of the crime of aggression	2
The lead-up to Rome	6
The Rome Conference	9
The Special Working Group on the Crime of Aggression	13
The Review Conference of the Rome Statute	24
The approach of this book	31
2. Criminalising aggression	34
Misplaced misgivings	34
A prohibition of at least certain forms of inter-State armed violence	34
Desirability versus possibility	39
Beyond an end to impunity: the significance of the crime	49
International politics and the crime of aggression	49
The crime of aggression and the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	54
Conflict over aggression?	56
A chilling effect	59
Conclusion	60

3.	An act of aggression: by any other name	62
	The distinction between acts and crimes	62
	Resolution 3314: a poor precedent	62
	New nomenclature: inter-State armed violence under the UN Charter	63
	The 3314 Definition: a compromised text	70
	Acts of aggression and the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	82
	Security Council practice	83
	General Assembly practice	87
	Decisions of the International Court of Justice	89
	Conclusion: the definition of an act of aggression for the purposes of the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	95
	Article 8bis (2)	96
	Picking and choosing	97
	Open or closed	103
	In and out	105
	Non-State actors	106
	Cyber operations	110
	Understanding the Understandings	113
	The status of the Understandings	113
	Understanding an ‘act of aggression’	119
	Conclusion: an act of aggression under Article 8bis (2)	122
4.	The elevation of acts of aggression to the State act element of the crime of aggression	124
	An act of aggression that by its character, gravity and scale constitutes a manifest violation of the Charter of the United Nations	124
	<i>Nullum crimen sine lege</i> and the prohibition of analogy	130
	The most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole	132
	Inconsistency with customary international law	137
	Making the ICC an arbiter of the grey areas in the <i>jus ad bellum</i>	155
	Article 31: grounds for excluding criminal responsibility	163
	Conclusion	164
5.	The individual conduct elements of the crime	167
	Effectively exercising control over or directing the political or military action of a State	168
	The ‘leadership’ requirement	168
	The relevance of Article 28	183

The perpetrator's contribution	185
The mental elements	189
Article 32: mistake of fact or mistake of law	196
The relevance of Article 33	197
Modes of participation	198
Third State officials	199
Can you attempt to commit a crime of aggression?	200
Conclusion	202
6. The Court's jurisdiction over the crime of aggression	205
A regime consistent with international law	206
Does the UN Charter give the Security Council a prerogative to identify acts of aggression?	208
The Council's pre-emptive right to identify acts of aggression	209
The Council's right to identify acts of aggression is a primary one	213
Omitting a role for the Council would raise a conflict under Article 103	214
The Council's prerogative does not extend to international criminal law	214
Other entities have the ability to identify acts of aggression	220
Article 103 is no barrier to independent ICC identification of acts of aggression	229
Policy arguments against a role for the Council in State referrals or <i>proprio motu</i> investigations	230
Conclusion	234
The jurisdictional regime of the ICC	234
Entry into force: the slow burn issue	237
The dilemma: Article 121(4) or Article 121(5)?	237
The second sentence of Article 121(5)	243
The negative interpretation and non-States Parties	247
The exclusions under Article 15 <i>bis</i>	249
The Article 5(2) reference	251
The Article 12 reference	252
The rationale of the opt-out declaration	253
A comparison with Article 15 <i>bis</i> (5)	256
The absence of an understanding	257
Over whom can the Court exercise jurisdiction?	258
The applicability of Article 12(3)	263
Future States Parties	264

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-01109-0 - The Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

Carrie McDougall

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xviii CONTENTS

The when and what of Article 15 <i>bis</i> (4) declarations	265
Security Council determinations	268
What amounts to a Security Council determination?	268
Does a 'negative determination' have any effect?	270
The Pre-Trial Division filter	271
What is the relationship between Article 15 <i>bis</i> and Article 15?	273
Article 16	274
Security Council referrals	276
Conclusion	279
7. 2017 and beyond	281
Activating the Court's jurisdiction	281
The requirements	283
The prospects of an active jurisdiction	286
Prosecuting a crime of aggression before the ICC	289
Judicial elections and staffing the Court	289
Victims of crimes of aggression	292
Cooperation in the investigation and prosecution of crimes of aggression	301
Domestic prosecutions	311
Domestic prosecutions under the aggression amendments	311
Bases of domestic jurisdiction	315
Foreign State immunities	320
Other potential barriers to domestic prosecutions of crimes of aggression	324
Conclusion	326
Review of the amendments	327
Conclusion: a crime in name only?	332
<i>References</i>	336
<i>Index</i>	374