

Modification

Modifiers and modification have been a major focus of inquiry for as long as the formal study of semantics has existed, and remain at the heart of major theoretical debates in the field. Modification offers comprehensive coverage of a wide range of topics, including vagueness and gradability, comparatives and degree constructions, the lexical semantics of adjectives and adverbs, crosscategorial regularities, and the relation between meaning and syntactic category. Morzycki guides the reader through the varied and sometimes mysterious phenomena surrounding modification and the ideas that have been proposed to account for them. Presenting disparate approaches in a consistent analytical framework, this accessibly written work, which includes an extensive glossary of technical terms, is essential reading for researchers and students of all levels in linguistics, the philosophy of language, and psycholinguistics.

- Uses an accessible, pedagogical style for greater understanding of the empirical phenomena, theoretical proposals, and analytical tools
- Provides detailed, worked-out examples of technical computations
- Includes a glossary of technical terminology

MARCIN MORZYCKI is Associate Professor of Linguistics at Michigan State University.



KEY TOPICS IN SEMANTICS AND PRAGMATICS

'Key Topics in Semantics and Pragmatics' focuses on the main topics of study in semantics and pragmatics today. It consists of accessible yet challenging accounts of the most important issues, concepts and phenomena to consider when examining meaning in language. Some topics have been the subject of semantic and pragmatic study for many years, and are re-examined in this series in light of new developments in the field; others are issues of growing importance that have not so far been given a sustained treatment. Written by leading experts and designed to bridge the gap between textbooks and primary literature, the books in this series can either be used on courses and seminars, or as one-stop, succinct guides to a particular topic for individual students and researchers. Each book includes useful suggestions for further reading, discussion questions, and a helpful glossary.

Already published in the series:

Meaning and Humour by Andrew Goatly

Metaphor by L. David Ritchie

Imperatives by Mark Jary and Mikhail Kissine

Modification by Marcin Morzycki

Forthcoming titles:

The Semantics of Counting by Susan Rothstein
Game-Theoretic Pragmatics by Anton Benz
Pragmatics and the Philosophy of Language by Mitchell Green
Distributivity by George Tsoulas and Eytan Zweig
Implicature by Jacques Moeschler and Sandrine Zufferey
Experimental Pragmatics by Ira Noveck
Irony by Joana Garmendia
Semantics and Pragmatics in Sign Languages by Kathryn Davidson
Propositional Logic by Allen Hazen and Jeffrey Pelletier



Modification

MARCIN MORZYCKI





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107009752

© Marcin Morzycki 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Morzycki, Marcin, author.

Modification / Marcin Morzycki.

pages cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00975-2 (Hardback : alk. paper)

1. Grammar, Comparative and general-Adverbials. 2. Semantics. 3. Semantics (Philosophy)

P284.M67 2016

415-dc23 2015020026

ISBN 978-1-107-00975-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

1

2

Acknowledgments page x

Prel	Preliminaries 1				
1.1	Two problems 1				
1.2	What this book is and isn't 2				
1.3	Background assumptions 3				
	1.3.1 Glossing logical notation 3				
	1.3.2 Theoretical framework 4				
	1.3.3 Notational and typographical conventions 7				
1.4	What, if anything, is modification? 8				
1.5	Roadmap 12				
The	The lexical semantics of adjectives: more than just scales 13				
2.1	Introduction 13				
2.2	How adjectives and nouns combine: a typology 14				
	2.2.1 Intersective interpretations 14				
	2.2.2 Subsective interpretations 16				
	2.2.3 Apparently subsective intersective				
	interpretations 20				
	2.2.4 Ordinary non-subsective adjectives 22				
	2.2.5 Privative adjectives, which may not exist 24				
2.3	51 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	2.3.1 How powerful are adjectives? 26				
	2.3.2 Siegel: the Doublet Theory 30				
	2.3.3 Larson: events inside the nominal extended				
	projection 34				
	2.3.4 The implicit-argument approach 41				
	2.3.5 How much power is too much? Impossible				
	adjectives 42				
2.4	\mathcal{E}				
	2.4.1 A word about adjective classification 44				
	2.4.2 Temporal-ordering adjectives 45				

vi		Contents
		2.4.3 Classificatory/relational adjectives 48
		2.4.4 The trouble with stone lions 53
		2.4.5 The attributive-with-infinitive construction 55
		2.4.6 Adnominal degree modifiers 57
	2.5	Adjectives where they have no right to be: adverbial
		readings 59
		2.5.1 A scope puzzle 59
		2.5.2 Frequency adjectives: the facts 60
		2.5.3 The adverbial reading of frequency adjectives 63
		2.5.4 The internal reading of frequency adjectives 67
		2.5.5 Average Americans and parasitic scope 68
		2.5.6 Sameness and difference 74
		2.5.7 Other adverbial readings and the bigger
		picture 77
	2.6	Adjective position and syntactic issues 79
		2.6.1 Attributive vs. predicative, prenominal vs.
		postnominal 79
		2.6.2 Indirect modification 81
		2.6.3 Stage-level/individual-level contrasts 82
		2.6.4 A focus position? 84
	2.7	What is it to be an adjective? 85
	2.8	Questions for further reflection and discussion 86
	2.9	Suggestions for further reading 87
3	Vagı	ieness, degrees, and gradable predicates 88
	3.1	Introduction 88
	3.2	Vagueness 89
		3.2.1 Identifying vagueness 89
		3.2.2 Vagueness vs. ambiguity 91
		3.2.3 Vagueness vs. imprecision 93
		3.2.4 Some foundational questions 96
	3.3	Theories of vagueness and gradability: a false start 97
		3.3.1 Three approaches 97
		3.3.2 Fuzzy logic 98
	3.4	The inherent vagueness approach 100
		3.4.1 Extension gaps 100
		3.4.2 Precisification and supertruth 102
		3.4.3 Comparatives 104
		3.4.4 Degree words 107
		3.4.5 Degree functions and comparatives revisited 108
	3.5	The degree-based approach 109
		3.5.1 Degrees 109



Contents vi	i
 3.5.2 Gradable predicates 112 3.5.3 Borderline cases and context-dependence 115 3.5.4 The tautology and contradiction issue 117 3.5.5 Comparatives 118 3.5.6 Degree words 119 3.5.7 Varieties of degrees 119 	
3.6 Degree or not degree? That is the question 121 3.7 Scales and the lexical semantics of adjectives 124	
 3.7.1 Antonyms 124 3.7.2 Open and closed scales 131 3.7.3 Dimensional and non-dimensional adjectives 138 3.7.4 Extreme adjectives 140 3.7.5 Gradable modal adjectives 144 3.7.6 On scales and categories 145 	
3.8 Questions for further reflection and discussion 147	
3.9 Suggestions for further reading 148	
4 Comparatives and their kin 149	
4.1 Introduction 149	
4.2 The syntax and semantics of the extended AP 150 4.2.1 Getting terminology out of the way 150 4.2.2 The unpronounced in comparative clauses 150 4.2.3 First steps 152 4.2.4 The big DegP view 157 4.2.5 The small DegP view 159 4.2.6 Scope and degree operators 163 4.2.7 The Russell ambiguity 168 4.2.8 Quantification and comparative clauses 169	
4.3 Other degree constructions 171 4.3.1 Differential comparatives and measure phrases 171 4.3.2 Equatives 173 4.3.3 Superlatives 174 4.3.4 Sufficiency and excess 176 4.3.5 Degree exclamatives and degree questions 177 4.3.6 Metalinguistic comparatives 178 4.3.7 Comparison of deviation 180 4.3.8 Indirect comparison 181	
4.4 Neutralization and positive-entailingness 183	
4.5 The crosslinguistic picture 186 4.5.1 Measure phrases 186	



viii		Contents
		4.5.2 Comparison strategies 187
		4.5.3 How much degree is there in your degree
		constructions? 188
	4.6	Questions for further reflection and discussion 191
	4.7	Suggestions for further reading 191
5	Adve	erbs 193
	5.1	Introduction 193
	5.2	Classifying adverbials 194
	5.3	The compositional puzzle 197
		5.3.1 Modifiers of propositions? 197
		5.3.2 Subject-oriented adverbs and the
		predicate-modifier approach 199
		5.3.3 Problems for the intersective approach 201
		5.3.4 Davidsonian events: the intersective approach
		redeemed 204
	5.4	Manner and subject orientation 208
		5.4.1 Augmentation and passive-sensitivity 208
		5.4.2 The Neo-Davidsonian strategy and thematic
		roles 212
		5.4.3 Comparison classes and related tools 215
		5.4.4 The bottom-up analytical strategy 221 5.4.5 Topic-orientation 223
		5.4.6 Is there such a thing as a manner? 226
	5.5	Speaker-oriented adverbials 228
	0.0	5.5.1 Speech-act adverbials 228
		5.5.2 Evaluative adverbs 233
		5.5.3 Modal adverbs 235
		5.5.4 Polarity 236
	5.6	Locative adverbials 237
		5.6.1 Types and positions of locative adverbials 237
		5.6.2 Vector Space Semantics 238
	5.7	Adverbs as modifiers of adjectives 240
	5.8	Phenomena we will mostly set aside 243
		5.8.1 Temporal adverbials 243
		5.8.2 Adverbs of quantification 245
	5.9	Adverb order revisited 246
		Questions for further reflection and discussion 248
		Suggestions for further reading 249
6		scategorial concerns 250
	6.1	Introduction 250
	6.2	Amounts and cardinality scales 251



Contents
 6.2.1 Quantity adjectives and number words 251 6.2.2 Amount comparatives 255 6.3 Gradability and non-adjectival predicates 257 6.3.1 Verbal gradability 257 6.3.2 Naminal and debility 260
6.3.2 Nominal gradability 260
6.4 Hedging and reinforcing across categories 262
6.5 Nonrestrictive modifiers 267
6.6 Predicates of personal taste 271
6.7 Questions for further reflection and discussion 273
6.8 Suggestions for further reading 274
7 Taking stock 276
7.1 Back to the beginning 276
7.2 Where to from here? 279
Glossary 284
References 298
Index 330



Acknowledgments

A few years ago, I ran into a Polish expression: *nie mój cyrk, nie moje małpy* ('not my circus, not my monkeys'). It means 'ain't my problem'. Of course, with any published piece of work, it's **always** your monkey. You're responsible, no matter how big a mess it has made or how incompletely it discussed measure phrases in Chapter 6. And the thing about a book is that it's a ridiculously huge monkey, so there's no telling what calamities it might have caused that will remain unnoticed until the monkey is in print. For that reason, I am indebted to the people below, who have helped keep it from running amok entirely.

I received particularly extensive and detailed line-by-line comments about the entire book from Alan Bale and Tom Ernst, Alexis Wellwood and Martin Schäfer likewise heroically tackled the full manuscript. I benefited a great deal from all of their efforts. Many others provided helpful feedback on various smaller scales. Among them were (alphabetically) Claudia Maienborn, Dan Lassiter, Galit Sassoon, Jason Merchant, Jessica Rett, Joost Zwarts, Luca Sbordone, Muffy Siegel, Pasha Koval, Peter Klecha, Rajesh Bhatt, Ryan Bochnak, Sebastian Löbner, Taehoon Kim, and Vladislav Poritski. I'm grateful to them all. Their comments shaped how I thought about a number of things, influenced the presentation, set me straight about where I erred, and saved me some embarrassment. Many parts of the book would have taken a very different form or omitted important elements had it not been for conversations over the years with Angelika Kratzer, Barbara Partee, Chris Kennedy, Lisa Matthewson, and Roger Schwarzschild among others. The whole enterprise would have seemed less worthwhile had it not been for the encouragement of a surprising number of people who I won't attempt to name individually, but I'm grateful to them all.

Among my principal influences in shaping this book have been current and former students at Michigan State University. They shaped my thinking on many issues and provided theoretical insights, empirical observations, pointers to literature, and new ways of looking at things. And of course they provided a proving ground for modes of



Acknowledgments xi

explanation and pedagogical strategies. These include especially the semanticists – Adam Gobeski, Ai Matsui Kubota, Ai Taniguchi, Alex Clarke, Chris O'Brien, Curt Anderson, David Bogojevich, E. Matthew Husband, Gabriel Roisenberg Rodrigues, Karl DeVries, Kay Ann Schlang, Olga Eremina, Peter Klecha, and Taehoon Kim – and others working in syntax, semantics, and acquisition, including Adam Liter, Adina Williams, Greg Johnson, Hannah Forsythe, Joe Jalbert, Kyle Grove, Marisa Boston, Ni La Lê, and Phil Pellino. More generally, our local syntax–semantics community has provided an entertaining and intellectually fulfilling environment, and writing this would have been far more painful without them. My faculty colleagues, particularly Alan Munn and Cristina Schmitt, warrant special mention in this regard.

Helen Barton at Cambridge University Press was a pleasure to work with, and far more tolerant of me than I had any right to expect. In a book with pedagogical aspirations, it doesn't seem inappropriate to acknowledge the influence of my own teachers, including Angelika Kratzer, Barbara Partee, Kyle Johnson, Lisa Matthewson, Sandy Chung, Jim McCloskey, Donka Farkas, and Bill Ladusaw among others. It was a 1999 seminar of Lisa's on modification that most oriented me in that direction.

Finally, none of this would have been possible without the unfailing support, encouragement, feedback, and advice of Anne-Michelle Tessier and my dog, Hildy. Hildy's advice and feedback largely focused on the importance and pressing urgency of belly rubs. I regret that I was unable to incorporate that more fully into the text. It nevertheless bears directly on keeping one's priorities in order. Anne-Michelle's assistance was more intellectually wide-ranging and involved less shedding. I couldn't hope to adequately express my debt to either of them.