This book further develops Professor Seaford’s innovative work on the study of ritual and money in the developing Greek polis. It employs the concept of the chronotope, which refers to the phenomenon whereby the spatial and temporal frameworks explicit or implicit in a text have the same structure, and uncovers various such chronotopes in Homer, the *Homeric Hymn to Demeter*, Presocratic philosophy and, in particular, the tragedies of Aeschylus. Mikhail Bakhtin’s pioneering use of the chronotope was in literary analysis. This study by contrast derives the variety of chronotopes manifest in Greek texts from the variety of socially integrative practices in the developing polis – notably reciprocity, collective ritual and monetised exchange. In particular, the *Oresteia* of Aeschylus embody the reassuring absorption of the new and threatening monetised chronotope into the traditional chronotope that arises from collective ritual with its aetiological myth. This argument includes the first ever demonstration of the profound affinities between Aeschylus and the (Presocratic) philosophy of his time.

Cosmology and the Polis: The Social Construction of Space and Time in the Tragedies of Aeschylus
Richard Seaford
Frontmatter

More information
COSMOLOGY AND THE POLIS

The Social Construction of Space and Time in the Tragedies of Aeschylus

RICHARD SEAFORED
For my daughter Artemis

ῥεῖά τ´ ἀριγνώτη πέλεται
# Contents

*List of maps*  
*Preface*  
*List of abbreviations*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction (a) Chronotopes and cosmology; (b) Chronotopes and history; (c) Previous treatments; (d) Summary</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PART I</strong> THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF SPACE, TIME AND COSMOLOGY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Homer: the reciprocal chronotope (a) Homeric space; (b) Homeric time; (c) Social integration</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 <em>Demeter Hymn</em>: the aetiological chronotope (a) The space of ritual; (b) The emergence of the polis; (c) Shared space; (d) The outsider creates the community; (e) The aetiological chronotope; (f) The Iakchos procession; (g) Centre and periphery; (h) Conclusion</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 From reciprocity to money (a) Polis, cosmos and money; (b) Monetised geography; (c) Sixth-century Attica</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PART II</strong> DIONYSIAC FESTIVALS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Royal household and public festival (a) The procession to the theatre; (b) Agora and hearth; (c) Athenian Prutaneion; (d) The advent of Dionysos; (e) Political sex; (f) Royal house and public cult; (g) The demolition of the royal house; (h) Conclusion: the genesis of tragedy in ambivalent make-believe</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contents

5 Aetiological chronotope and dramatic mimesis
   (a) Dionysia and dithyramb; (b) Thebes as aetiological elsewhere; (c) Conclusion 96

6 Monetisation and tragedy
   (a) The formalisation of the Dionysiac performance; (b) The combining of ritual genres; (c) Conclusion: competing chronotopes 106

Part III Confrontational and aetiological space in Aeschylus

7 Telos and the unlimitedness of money
   (a) Telos; (b) Ritual and the unlimitedness of money 125

8 Suppliants
   (a) Confrontational space; (b) The deferral of telos; (c) Endogamy; (d) Why is the marriage resisted? 137

9 Seven against Thebes
   (a) Confrontational space; (b) The deferral and completion of telos; (c) Possession;
   (d) Cosmology 158

10 Confrontational space in Oresteia
    (a) Agamemnon; (b) Choephoroi; (c) Eumenides 178

11 The unlimited in Oresteia
    (a) Agamemnon: the deferral of ritual telos; (b) Telos and the unlimited; (c) Revenge and the accumulation of wealth 190

12 Persians
    (a) The setting; (b) The return of Dareios; (c) The return of Xerxes; (d) Processional endings; (e) Wealth 206

Part IV The unity of opposites

13 Form-parallelism and the unity of opposites
    (a) Form-parallelism; (b) The unity of opposites; (c) The scales of Zeus 225

14 Aeschylus and Herakleitos
    (a) The unity of opposites and the unlimited; (b) Metaphor and cosmology;
    (c) Monetisation and abstraction 240
# Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>From the unity of opposites to their differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Aetiological and monetised chronotopes; (b) The opposites coalesce; (c) The opposites differentiated; (d) Democracy and eschatological community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PART V</strong></td>
<td><strong>COSMOLOGY OF THE INTEGRATED POLIS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Metaphysics and the polis in Pythagoreanism (a) Various ways of being ‘Pythagorean’; (b) The Pythagorean triad; (c) Pythagoreanism versus Herakleitos; (d) Economic and political conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pythagoreanism in Aeschylus (a) The mediation of Pythagorean opposites in <em>Oresteia</em>; (b) The Pythagorean triad in <em>Oresteia</em>; (c) Pythagoreanism in the Danaid trilogy; (d) Zeus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Household, cosmos and polis (a) <em>Demeter Hymn</em> and Danaid trilogy; (b) Endogamy and polis; (c) Chronotopic variation according to location; (d) Aeschylean Thebes (e) Sophoklean Thebes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appendix:** was there a skênê for all the extant plays of Aeschylus? 337

**Bibliography** 340

**General index** 355

**Index of principal passages** 361
Map

1 The Acropolis and the Agora in the classical period page 81
Preface

The book will give an initial impression of wide-ranging complexity. Indeed, it is not a survey. Aeschylus himself does not arrive until Chapter 8, and those interested in the relation of Aeschylus to Presocratic philosophy may even prefer to start with Chapter 14. Those who begin at the beginning and persevere will, I hope, be rewarded by the realisation that the book forms a single coherent argument.

They may also, I hope, receive the impression that the inventors of democracy still have, despite the primitive limitations of their form of it, much to teach us. We can for instance learn that the unlimited accumulation of individual wealth is incompatible with the democratic polis, or that the democratic polis depends on communal space. In our era of growing atomisation, Aeschylean drama expresses the idea of the common good with an aesthetic power well beyond what we are capable of producing. Ancient Greek culture is what I call a culture of limit, whereas consumer capitalism is a culture of the unlimited, by which our society and planet are being destroyed.

This is my third book about the radical transformation of Greek society and culture in the archaic and classical periods. Reciprocity and Ritual (1994) centred around the role of ritual in the development of the polis. Money and the Early Greek Mind (2004) related elements of the ‘Greek miracle’ (the genesis of philosophy and tragedy) to the fact that the Greek polis was the first pervasively monetised society in history. A central theme of Cosmology and the Polis is the interaction of ritual and money in the only Athenian literary texts that survive from the first half-century of Athenian democracy, the tragedies of Aeschylus.

My heartfelt thanks go to the Leverhulme Trust and to the Arts and Humanities Research Council for providing me with the time to write this book. I am grateful also for comments on it to David Wiles and John Wilkins, to Paul Curtis for checking the references, and to Cambridge University Press for their courteous efficiency.
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Plays cited without author are by Aeschylus. The presocratic philosophers are cited in DK. Tragic fragments are cited in TGrF. The lyric poets are cited in PMG, except for Sappho and Alkaios, who are cited in the edition of E.-M. Voigt (Sappho et Alcaeus, Amsterdam, 1971). Comic fragments are cited in the edition of R. Kassel and C. Austin (Poetae Comici Graeci, Berlin, 1983–).

Help in understanding abbreviations of ancient authors and works is to be found in the Greek-English Lexicon edited by Liddell and Scott, and of periodicals in L’Année philologique.
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