

### COSMOLOGY AND THE POLIS

This book further develops Professor Seaford's innovative work on the study of ritual and money in the developing Greek polis. It employs the concept of the chronotope, which refers to the phenomenon whereby the spatial and temporal frameworks explicit or implicit in a text have the same structure, and uncovers various such chronotopes in Homer, the *Homeric Hymn to Demeter*, Presocratic philosophy and, in particular, the tragedies of Aeschylus. Mikhail Bakhtin's pioneering use of the chronotope was in literary analysis. This study by contrast derives the variety of chronotopes manifest in Greek texts from the variety of socially integrative practices in the developing polis notably reciprocity, collective ritual and monetised exchange. In particular, the *Oresteia* of Aeschylus embodies the reassuring absorption of the new and threatening monetised chronotope into the traditional chronotope that arises from collective ritual with its aetiological myth. This argument includes the first ever demonstration of the profound affinities between Aeschylus and the (Presocratic) philosophy of his time

RICHARD SEAFORD is Professor of Ancient Greek at the University of Exeter. His publications range from Homer to the New Testament, and include commentaries on Euripides' *Cyclops* (1984) and Euripides' *Bacchae* (1996), *Reciprocity and Ritual: Homer and Tragedy and the Developing City-State* (1994), *Money and the Early Greek Mind: Homer, Philosophy, Tragedy* (2004) and *Dionysos* (2006). In 2009 he was President of the Classical Association.



# COSMOLOGY AND THE POLIS

The Social Construction of Space and Time in the Tragedies of Aeschylus

RICHARD SEAFORD







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

> www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107009271

> > © Richard Seaford 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

> First published 2012 First paperback edition 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data Seaford, Richard.

Cosmology and the polis: the social construction of space and time in the tragedies of Aeschylus / Richard Seaford.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00927-1

1. Aeschylus – Criticism and interpretation. 2. Cosmology in literature. 3. Space and time in literature. 4. Social interaction in literature. 5. Money in literature. 6. Ritual in literature. 7. Greek drama (Tragedy) – History and criticism. 8. Philosophy, Ancient. 1. Title.

> PA3829.S37 2012 882'.01 - dc23 2011041583

978-1-107-00927-1 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-47072-9 Paperback ISBN

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> For my daughter Artemis ἡεῖά τ' ἀριγνώτη πέλεται



### Contents

List of maps	page x
Preface List of abbreviations	xı xii
List of woortenitoris	AII
Introduction (A) Chronotopes and cosmology; (B) Chronotopes and history; (C) Previous treatments; (D) Summary	I
PART I THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF SPACE, TIME AND COSMOLOGY	
<ul><li>I Homer: the reciprocal chronotope (A) Homeric space;</li><li>(B) Homeric time; (C) Social integration</li></ul>	13
2 Demeter Hymn: the aetiological chronotope (A) The space of ritual; (B) The emergence of the polis; (c) Shared space; (D) The outsider creates the community; (E) The aetiological chronotope; (F) The Iakchos procession; (G) Centre and periphery; (H) Conclusion	24
From reciprocity to money (A) Polis, cosmos and money; (B) Monetised geography; (C) Sixth-century Attica	52
PART II DIONYSIAC FESTIVALS	
Royal household and public festival (A) The procession to the theatre; (B) Agora and hearth; (C) Athenian Prutaneion; (D) The advent of Dionysos; (E) Political sex; (F) Royal house and public cult; (G) The demolition of the royal house; (H) Conclusion: the genesis of tragedy in ambivalent make-believe	75



viii	Contents	
5	Aetiological chronotope and dramatic mimesis (A) Dionysia and dithyramb; (B) Thebes as aetiological elsewhere; (C) Conclusion	96
6	Monetisation and tragedy (A) The formalisation of the Dionysiac performance; (B) The combining of ritual genres; (C) Conclusion: competing chronotopes	106
	T III CONFRONTATIONAL AND AETIOLOGICAL SPACE	
7	Telos and the unlimitedness of money (A) Telos; (B) Ritual and the unlimitedness of money	125
8	Suppliants (A) Confrontational space; (B) The deferral of telos; (C) Endogamy; (D) Why is the marriage resisted?	137
9	Seven against Thebes (A) Confrontational space; (B) The deferral and completion of telos; (C) Possession; (D) Cosmology	158
Ю	Confrontational space in <i>Oresteia</i> (A) <i>Agamemnon</i> ; (B) <i>Choephoroi</i> ; (C) <i>Eumenides</i>	178
II	The unlimited in <i>Oresteia</i> (A) <i>Agamemnon</i> : the deferral of ritual <i>telos</i> ; (B) <i>Telos</i> and the unlimited; (C) Revenge and the accumulation of wealth	190
12	Persians (A) The setting; (B) The return of Dareios; (C) The return of Xerxes; (D) Processional endings; (E) Wealth	206
PAR	T IV THE UNITY OF OPPOSITES	
13	Form-parallelism and the unity of opposites (A) Form-parallelism; (B) The unity of opposites; (C) The scales of Zeus	225
14	Aeschylus and Herakleitos (A) The unity of opposites and the unlimited; (B) Metaphor and cosmology;	240



	Contents	ix	
15	From the unity of opposites to their differentiation (A) Aetiological and monetised chronotopes; (B) The opposites coalesce; (C) The opposites differentiated; (D) Democracy and eschatological community	258	
PAl	RT V COSMOLOGY OF THE INTEGRATED POLIS		
16	Metaphysics and the polis in Pythagoreanism (A) Various ways of being 'Pythagorean'; (B) The Pythagorean triad; (C) Pythagoreanism versus Herakleitos; (D) Economic and political conflict	281	
17	Pythagoreanism in Aeschylus (A) The mediation of Pythagorean opposites in <i>Oresteia</i> ; (B) The Pythagorean triad in <i>Oresteia</i> ; (C) Pythagoreanism in the Danaid trilogy; (D) Zeus	293	
18	Household, cosmos and polis (A) <i>Demeter Hymn</i> and Danaid trilogy; (B) Endogamy and polis; (C) Chronotopic variation according to location; (D) Aeschylean Thebes (E) Sophoklean Thebes	316	
	pendix: was there a skēnē for all the extant plays of Aeschylus? bliography	337 340	
	neral index	355	
Ina	Index of principal passages		



# Мар

1 The Acropolis and the Agora in the classical period

page 81



## Preface

The book will give an initial impression of wide-ranging complexity. Indeed, it is not a *survey*. Aeschylus himself does not arrive until Chapter 8, and those interested in the relation of Aeschylus to Presocratic philosophy may even prefer to start with Chapter 14. Those who begin at the beginning and persevere will, I hope, be rewarded by the realisation that the book forms a single coherent argument.

They may also, I hope, receive the impression that the inventors of democracy still have, despite the primitive limitations of their form of it, much to teach us. We can for instance learn that the unlimited accumulation of individual wealth is incompatible with the democratic polis, or that the democratic polis depends on communal space. In our era of growing atomisation, Aeschylean drama expresses the idea of the common good with an aesthetic power well beyond what we are capable of producing. Ancient Greek culture is what I call a culture of limit, whereas consumer capitalism is a culture of the unlimited, by which our society and planet are being destroyed.

This is my third book about the radical transformation of Greek society and culture in the archaic and classical periods. *Reciprocity and Ritual* (1994) centred around the role of ritual in the development of the polis. *Money and the Early Greek Mind* (2004) related elements of the 'Greek miracle' (the genesis of philosophy and tragedy) to the fact that the Greek polis was the first pervasively monetised society in history. A central theme of *Cosmology and the Polis* is the interaction of ritual and money in the only Athenian literary texts that survive from the first half-century of Athenian democracy, the tragedies of Aeschylus.

My heartfelt thanks go to the Leverhulme Trust and to the Arts and Humanities Research Council for providing me with the time to write this book. I am grateful also for comments on it to David Wiles and John Wilkins, to Paul Curtis for checking the references, and to Cambridge University Press for their courteous efficiency.



### Abbreviations

Plays cited without author are by Aeschylus. The presocratic philosophers are cited in DK. Tragic fragments are cited in *TGrF*. The lyric poets are cited in *PMG*, except for Sappho and Alkaios, who are cited in the edition of E.-M. Voigt (*Sappho et Alcaeus*, Amsterdam, 1971). Comic fragments are cited in the edition of R. Kassel and C. Austin (*Poetae Comici Graeci*, Berlin, 1983–).

Help in understanding abbreviations of ancient authors and works is to be found in the *Greek-English Lexicon* edited by Liddell and Scott, and of periodicals in *L'Année philologique*.

All dates are BC unless specified as AD.

$ARV^2$	Beazley, J. D. (1963) Attic Red-Figure Vase-Painters (2nd
	edn). Oxford University Press.
Ath. pol.	Constitution of the Athenians, attributed to Aristotle.
DK	Diels, H. and Kranz, W. (eds.) (1951) Die Fragmente der
	Vorsokratiker (6th edn). Berlin.
FJW	Friis Johansen, H. and Whittle, E. W. (1980) Aeschylus: The
	Suppliants. Aarhus University Press.
FGrH	Jacoby, F. (ed.) (1923–58) Die Fragmente der griechischer
	Historiker. Berlin and Leiden.
GIJ	Graf, F. and Iles Johnston, S. (2007) Ritual Texts for the
	Afterlife. London: Routledge.
IG	Inscriptiones Graecae.
KRS	Kirk, G. S., Raven, D. and Schofield, M. (1983). The
	Presocratric Philosophers (2nd edn). Cambridge University
	Press.
LIMC	Lexicon Iconographicum Mythologiae Classicae (1981–99).
	Zurich: Artemis.
LSCG	Sokolowski, F. (ed.) (1969) Lois sacrées des cités grecques.
	Paris: de Boccard.



# List of abbreviations xiii LSJ Liddell, H. G., Scott, R. and Jones, H. S. A Greek–English Lexicon (9th edn). Oxford University Press. PMG Page, D. L. (ed.) (1962) Poetae Melici Graeci. Oxford University Press. RE Pauly, A., Wissowa, G. and Kroll, W. (eds.) (1893–) Real-Encyclopädie der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft. Stuttgart and Munich. TGrF Snell, B., Kannicht, R. and Radt, S. (eds.) (1971–2004) Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta. Göttingen.