

Data and Evidence in Linguistics

The question of what types of data and evidence can be used is one of the most important topics in linguistics. This book is the first to present comprehensively the methodological problems associated with linguistic data and evidence. Its originality is twofold. First, the authors' approach accounts for a series of unexplained characteristics of linguistic theorising: the uncertainty and diversity of data, the role of evidence in the evaluation of hypotheses, the problem-solving strategies as well as the emergence and resolution of inconsistencies. Second, the findings are obtained by the application of a new model of plausible argumentation which is also of relevance from a general argumentation-theoretical point of view. All concepts and theses are systematically introduced and illustrated by a number of examples from different linguistic theories, and a detailed case-study section shows how the proposed model can be applied to specific linguistic problems.

ANDRÁS KERTÉSZ is Professor of German Linguistics and Director of the Centre for Linguistics and Philosophy at the University of Debrecen. He is also Head of the Research Group for Theoretical Linguistics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

CSILLA RÁKOSI is Senior Research Fellow in the Research Group for Theoretical Linguistics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.





Data and Evidence in Linguistics

A Plausible Argumentation Model

András Kertész and Csilla Rákosi





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107009240

© András Kertész and Csilla Rákosi 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Kertész, András.

Data and evidence in linguistics : a plausible argumentation model / András Kertész, Csilla Rákosi.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00924-0 (hardback)

- 1. Linguistic analysis (Linguistics) 2. Linguistics Research Methodology.
- 3. Corpora (Linguistics) 4. Computational linguistics. I. Rákosi, Csilla. II. Title. P126.K43 2012

410.1 – dc23 2011043831

ISBN 978-1-107-00924-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of figures

	List of tables	viii
	Preface	ix
	List of abbreviations and central concepts	xi
	List of symbols	xiii
1	Introduction	1
Part I	The state of the art	
2	The problem $(P)_I$	7
3	Historical background	9
	3.1 Overview	9
	3.2 The problem of evidence in the philosophy of science	9
	3.3 Debates on empiricalness in theoretical linguistics and the standard	
	view of linguistic data (SVLD)	12
	3.4 Conclusions	16
4	The partial rejection of (SVLD) in the practice of	
	object-scientific research	17
	4.1 Overview	17
	4.2 New tendencies in object-scientific research	17
	4.3 Conclusions	22
5	The partial rejection of (SVLD) in <i>metascientific</i> reflection	23
	5.1 Overview	23
	5.2 The diversity and the combinability of data	23
	5.3 The role of the sources in the reliability of data	25
	5.4 The complexity of linguistic data	26
	5.5 The treatment of the uncertainty of data5.6 The relationship between data and theory	28 31
	5.7 Data and the treatment of inconsistencies	34
	5.8 Linguistic evidence	36
	5.9 Conclusions	39

page viii



vi	Contents	
6	The solution to $(P)_{I}$	41
Part II	The p-model	
7	The problem $(P)_{II}$	47
8	Historical background	49
	8.1 Overview8.2 The liberalisation of the concepts of 'data' and 'evidence' in the	49
	philosophy of science	49
	8.3 Trends in logic and argumentation theory	53
	8.4 Conclusions	55
9	Plausible inferences	56
	9.1 Overview	56
	9.2 The concept of 'inference' and 'deductive inference'	56
	9.3 The notion of 'plausible statement'9.4 The concept of 'demonstrative inference'	63 79
	9.4 The concept of 'demonstrative inference'9.5 The notion of 'plausible inference'	79 85
	9.6 The fallibility of plausible inferences	115
	9.7 The dynamism of plausible inferences	117
	9.8 The p-context-dependence of plausible inferences	121
	9.9 The heuristic function of plausible inferences	127
	9.10 Conclusions	128
10	Plausible argumentation	129
	10.1 Overview	129
	10.2 Informational under- and overdetermination, problems and their	120
	solution 10.2 The notion of 'playsible argumentation'	130
	10.3 The notion of 'plausible argumentation'10.4 The cyclic nature of plausible argumentation	134 136
	10.5 The prismatic nature of plausible argumentation	143
	10.6 Problem-solving strategies	153
	10.7 The effectiveness of plausible argumentation	159
	10.8 Conclusions	160
11	The solution to $(P)_{II}$	162
Part III	Data and evidence	
12	The problem (P) _{III}	167
13	The concept of 'datum' and 'evidence'	169
13	•	
	13.1 Overview 13.2 The concent of 'detum'	169
	13.2 The concept of 'datum'13.3 The concept of 'evidence'	169 178
	13.4 Conclusions	185
14		186
14	The solution to $(P)_{III}$	100



	Contents	vii
Part IV	Application of the p-model: a case study	
15	5 The problem $(P)_{IV}$	
16	A case study: a reconstruction of Gentner & Wolff (1997) 16.1 Overview 16.2 Argumentation cycle 1: three model types of metaphor processing 16.3 Argumentation cycle 2: application of the Contrastive Strategy 16.4 Intermezzo: on the cyclic nature of psycholinguistic experiments 16.5 Argumentation cycle 3: change from a Contrastive to a Combinative Strategy 16.6 Argumentation cycle 4: return to the Contrastive Strategy 16.7 Conclusions	192 193 201 212 215 225 230
17	7 The solution to $(P)_{IV}$	
Part V	The answers to the open questions $\label{eq:poly} \text{The problem } (P)_V$	237
19	The answers to (OQ1)–(OQ7) 19.1 Overview 19.2 Ad (OQ1): the diversity and the combinability of data 19.3 Ad (OQ2): the role of the sources in the reliability of data 19.4 Ad (OQ3): the complexity of linguistic data 19.5 Ad (OQ4): the treatment of the uncertainty of data 19.6 Ad (OQ5): the relationship between data and theory 19.7 Ad (OQ6): data and the treatment of inconsistencies 19.8 Ad (OQ7): linguistic evidence 19.9 Conclusions	238 238 238 240 242 243 245 247 249 251
20	The solution to $(P)_V$	254
21	Summary: the solution to the Main Problem (MP)	256
	Notes References Index	263 284 293



List of figures and table

Figures

1	Domain of the possible values of the conclusion's plausibility	
	on the basis of (29)–(31)	page 104
2	The structure of the argumentation cycle	137
3	Parallel structures analysis	152
4	The process of plausible argumentation	161
5	Starting p-context: comprehensive p-context of research into	
	the psycholinguistics of metaphor interpretation	195
6	Cycle 1: extension of the starting p-context by the data listed in	
	(55)–(59)	200
7	The structure of the experimental process	213
8	Cycle 3: the p-context after conducting Subcycle 1	217
9	Cycle 3: setting up the p-context at the start of Subcycle 2	220
10	Cycle 3: the p-context after conducting Subcycle 2	223
11	Cycle 3: the p-context after conducting Subcycle 3	225
12	The final p-context	229
	Table	
1	Means and standard deviations in Experiment 4	221

viii



Preface

This book is the latest product of a long-term metalinguistic research programme whose earlier stages have been hallmarked by a series of monographs (e.g. Kertész 1991, 1993, 2004a, b; Rákosi 2005). The idea that linguistic theorising is built on plausible argumentation was put forward in Kertész (1993) and was integrated with other components of the programme in Kertész (2004a). The elaboration of the p-model of plausible argumentation, around which the present book centres, is the accomplishment of the task set out in Part V of Kertész (2004a); thus, it is the direct continuation of this line of the programme.

The implementation of the plan was prepared at two levels in parallel, which have been conjoined in the present book. On the one hand, we elaborated different aspects of the p-model with the help of detailed case studies (Kertész & Rákosi 2005a, b, 2006, 2009a, b). On the other hand, on the basis of thorough and multifaceted analyses of the current literature on linguistic data and evidence, we arrived at conclusions that – besides the findings of Kertész (1993) and (2004a) – clearly motivate the application of the p-model of plausible argumentation to linguistic theorising (Kertész & Rákosi 2008a, b, c, 2009c).

The present book is a research monograph written primarily for linguists. However, it also raises problems of current research in argumentation theory and the philosophy of science. Therefore, as a secondary target group, it also addresses philosophers of science and argumentation theorists. Moreover, since it includes a series of examples which are intended to be simple and illuminating, and which illustrate the methodology of linguistic problem solving, it can also be used as a textbook recommended for students in these fields.

Accordingly, the chapters have been organised in a way which facilitates different readings. The main text should be read by all target groups. The subsections entitled 'Motivation and background' may be skipped by linguists (but consulted if they are interested in the details of the theoretical considerations behind the concepts) and should be read by philosophers of science and argumentation theorists. The examples may be skipped by the latter, but should be read by linguists. Nevertheless, all readers are advised to go through the detailed case study in Part IV which exemplifies how our approach works in practice.

ix



x Preface

Work on the present book was supported by the Research Group for Theoretical Linguistics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at the Universities of Debrecen, Pécs and Szeged. It was also supported by the projects TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-09/1/KONV-2010-0007 and OTKA K 77823. We are very grateful to two anonymous referees for their insightful comments, constructive criticism and useful suggestions. We are also indebted to George Seel for improving our English. Our special thanks are due to Helen Barton at Cambridge University Press for her constant encouragement and help without which the book could not have been published. Finally, we thank the publishers for permission to include revised versions of the following articles in the present book: A. Kertész & Cs. Rákosi, 'Daten und Evidenz in linguistischen Theorien: ein Forschungsüberblick', in A. Kertész & Cs. Rákosi (eds.), New Approaches to Linguistic Evidence. Pilot Studies/Neue Ansätze zu linguistischer Evidenz. Pilotstudien (Frankfurt am Main: Lang, 2008), 21-60; A. Kertész & Cs. Rákosi, 'On current approaches to linguistic data and evidence', Sprachtheorie und germanistische Linguistik 19(2) (2009), 127–72; Cs. Rákosi, 'Metatheoretical reconstruction of psycholinguistic experiments 1', Sprachtheorie und germanistische Linguistik 20(1) (2011), 55-93; Cs. Rákosi, 'Metatheoretical reconstruction of psycholinguistic experiments 2', Sprachtheorie und germanistische Linguistik 20(2) (2011), 159-87.

> András Kertész Csilla Rákosi



Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-00924-0 - Data and Evidence in Linguistics: A Plausible Argumentation Model András Kertész and Csilla Rákosi Frontmatter More information

Abbreviations and central concepts

(CP)	The central problem of the debate on linguistic	
	data and evidence page of first of	occurrence 1
(MP)	The main problem of the book	2
(E)	The common intuitive core of the different approach	hes
	to evidence within the standard view of the analytic	al
	philosophy of science	10
(SVAPS)	Basic tenets of the standard view of the analytical	
	philosophy of science	11
(SVLD)	The standard view of linguistic data	13
(2)	Inference	59
(3)	Primary/Secondary relevant characteristic	61
(4)	Deductive inference	61
(5)	Suitable source	64
(6)	Certainly true/Plausible/Implausible/Certainly false	
	statements on the basis of a source	65
(7)	Direct/Indirect source	67
(8), (9)	Certainly true/Plausible/Implausible/Certainly false	
	statements on the basis of a direct/indirect source	67, 69
(10)	The plausibility value of a statement on the basis of	•
	a source	70
(11)	The plausibility value of a statement on the basis of	a
	set of sources	76
(12)	Certainly true/Plausible/Implausible/Certainly false	
	statements on the basis of a set of sources	77
(13)	Demonstrative inference	82
(27)	Plausible inference	99
(36)	P-context	122
(38)	P-inconsistency	130
(39)	P-incompleteness	130
(40)	The solution to a p-problem	132
(41)	The resolution of a p-problem	133

хi



xii	List of abbreviations and central concepts	
(42)	Plausible argumentation	134
(43)	Argumentation cycle	138
(47)	Datum	169
(49)	Weak evidence	178
(50)	Relative evidence	180
(51)	Strong evidence	181



Symbols

$\sim p$	the negation of statement p
$ p _S$	the plausibility value of statement p on the basis of source
	S
$0 < p _S < 1$	statement p is plausible on the basis of source S
$0 \le p _S < 1$	statement p is of neutral plausibility or plausible on the
	basis of source S
$0 < p _S \le 1$	statement <i>p</i> is plausible or true with certainty on the basis
	of source S
$0 \le p _S \le 1$	statement p has a plausibility value on the basis of source
	S
p & q	the conjunction of statements p and q
$p \rightarrow p'$	transformation of premise p into statement p'
[<i>p</i>]	statement <i>p</i> is a latent background assumption
$\min \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$	the minimum (lowest value) of a_1, \ldots, a_n
$\max \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$	the maximum (highest value) of a_1, \ldots, a_n
$\sqrt[n]{a_1 \cdot \ldots a_n}$	geometric mean of a_1, \ldots, a_n
$\underline{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}$	arithmetic mean of a_1, \ldots, a_n
n	

xiii

