## Explaining Political Judgement

What is political judgement? Why do politicians exhibit such contrasting thought styles in making decisions, even when they agree ideologically? What happens when governments with contrasting thought styles have to deal with each other? In this book Perri 6 presents a fresh, rigorous, explanatory theory of judgement, its varieties and its consequences, drawing upon Durkheim and Douglas. He argues that policymakers will understand – and misunderstand – their problems and choices in ways that reproduce their own social organisation. This theory is developed by using the Cuban missile crisis of 1962 as an extended case study, examining the decision-making of the Kennedy, Castro and Khrushchev régimes. Explaining political judgement is the first comprehensive study to show what a neo-Durkheimian institutional approach can offer to political science and to the social sciences generally.

PERRI 6 is Professor of Social Policy in the Graduate School of the College of Business, Law and Social Sciences at Nottingham Trent University. He worked previously at the University of Birmingham, King's College London, the University of Strathclyde and the University of Bath. His recent books include Principles of research design (2012, with C. Bellamy); Paradoxes of modernisation: unintended consequences of public policy reform (2010, ed., with H. Margetts and C. Hood); The institutional dynamics of culture: the new Durkheimians, vols. I and II (2008, ed., with G. Mars); Public emotions (2007, ed., with S. Radstone, C. Squire and A. Treacher); Beyond delivery: policy implementation as sense-making and settlement (2006, with E. Peck); Managing networks of twenty-first century organisations (2006, with N. Goodwin, E. Peck and T. Freeman); and E-governance: styles of political judgment in the information age polity (2004). He currently holds a Leverhulme Trust Major Research Fellowship; this supports his research on unintended and unanticipated consequences of political judgement styles in British government, 1959-74.

# Explaining Political Judgement

Perri 6





Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107009226

© Perri 6 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2011 First paperback edition 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data
6, Perri, 1960–
Explaining political judgement / Perri 6.
p. cm.
ISBN 978-1-107-00922-6 (Hardback)
1. Judgment–Political aspects. 2. Decision making–Political aspects.
3. Judgment–Political aspects–Case studies. 4. Decision making–Political aspects–Case studies. 5. Cuban Missile Crisis, 1962. I. Title.
JA71.A14 2011
320.01'9–dc22
2011010085

ISBN 978-1-107-00922-6 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-48416-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# Contents

Li	st of figures	<i>page</i> vi
Li	ist of tables	vii
A	cknowledgements	viii
Eţ	bigraph	ix
1	On political judgement	1
2	The need for richer explanation	16
3	A Durkheimian theoretical framework	53
4	October 1962, before and after	115
5	The Khrushchev régime	161
6	The Kennedy administration	202
7	The Castro revolutionary régime	245
8	Implications	271
9	Coda	290
Notes on the text		295
References		311
In	dex	339

v

## Figures

Figure 1.1	Types of problem requiring decision	page 8
Figure 3.1	Understandings of institutions	57
Figure 3.2	Forms of social organisation and disorganisation	69
Figure 3.3	Structure of underlying causal mechanism	82
Figure 3.4	A structural typology of elementary forms	
	of network relations	84
Figure 3.5	Hypotheses about the institutional forms	
	of the three governments in October 1962	104

# Tables

Table 3.1	Types of relationship between informal		
	and formal institutions	page 61	
Table 3.2	Institutional styles of ritual and rhetoric	85	
Table 3.3	Styles of issue linkage	99	
Table 6.1	Framing issue linkage in ExComm	232	
Table N3.1	Models of substantive individualistic ordering	301	

### Acknowledgements

After a short illness, Professor Dame Mary Douglas died in the month that I began work on this book. Her influence on its argument is obvious.

An early version of the argument of this book was presented at the ESCP-EAP European School of Management symposium, London, on Cultural theory and management, 5-6 July 2007, in memoriam Mary Douglas. I am grateful to Anthony Evans for commissioning that paper. Paul Richards gave me extremely thoughtful and detailed comments on the manuscript. Tony Bertelli encouraged me to spell out the implications of the argument here for rational choice, and provided me with some very helpful suggestions about the literature. David Welch read a draft of the complete manuscript and provided invaluable comments. Christopher Hood read and commented on some chapters. I am very grateful to Chris Bellamy for her advice and support throughout the course of my work on this project, and for her detailed comments on several drafts of the manuscript. Two anonymous reviewers for Cambridge University Press provided very helpful comments and criticisms. I am very grateful to John Haslam of Cambridge University Press for his patience in steering this book's passage through the editorial process. None of these people bears any responsibility for the book's deficiencies.

Figure 3.2, Figure 3.4, Table 3.2 and preceding paragraphs on pages 74–76 are reproduced with changes of style and presentation with kind permission of Palgrave Macmillan, from, respectively, 6 (2007a), 133, Figure 7.1; 6 *et al.*, 2006, 47, Figure 4.1; Peck and 6, 2006, 96, Figure 4.1; and 6 (2007b), 41–42 (see References).

## Epigraph

#### I

Everybody complains of his memory, but nobody of his judgement. La Rochefoucauld (1958 [1665], 48)

The great danger and risk in all of this is a miscalculation – a mistake in judgment.

John Fitzgerald Kennedy, President of the United States, 1960–63, Tuesday, 23 October 1962, in conversation with Robert Kennedy, Attorney-General; Kenneth O'Donnell, special adviser; and Theodore Sorensen, special counsel, as reported in Kennedy (1968, 65).

You will never know how much bad advice I had. John Fitzgerald Kennedy on the Cuban missile crisis, private conversation with John Kenneth Galbraith.<sup>1</sup>

#### II

[E]vents and results, especially in war, depend for the most part on fortune, which will not conform or subject itself to our reason or foresight ... our opinions and deliberations depend on fortune just as much, and that she involves our reason too in her uncertainties and confusion.

Montaigne, 'On the uncertainty of our judgement' (1958 [1580], 129-130).

We are trying to get ourselves out of this *avantyura* [reckless gamble] and now you are pulling us into another one!

Nikita Sergeevich Khrushchev, Chairman of the Praesidium and First Secretary of the Communist Party of the USSR, to Vasili Kuznetzov, First Deputy Foreign Minister, 22 October 1962 (Zubok and Pleshakov, 1996, 260–261)

#### III

If [the imperialists] actually carry out the brutal act of invading Cuba in violation of international law and morality, that would be the moment to eliminate such danger forever through an act of clear legitimate defence, however harsh and terrible the solution would be, for there is no other.

Fidel Castro, Prime Minister of Cuba, to Nikita Sergeevich Khrushchev, 26 October 1962 (Blight et al., 2002, 509–510)

ix