Currently, the ethics infrastructure – from medical and scientific training to the scrutiny of ethics committees – focuses on trying to reform informed consent to do a job which it is simply not capable of doing. Consent, or choice, is not an effective ethical tool in public ethics and is particularly problematic in the governance of genetics. Heather Widdows suggests using alternative and additional ethical tools, and argues that if individuals are to flourish it is necessary to recognise and respect communal and public goods as well as individual goods. To do this she suggests a two-step process – the ‘ethical toolbox’. First the harms and goods of the particular situation are assessed and then appropriate practices are put in place to protect goods and prevent harms. This debate speaks to core concerns of contemporary public ethics and suggests a means to identify and prioritise public and common goods.
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Preface

A brief word about the background of this book is required. Addressing the challenge that genetics presents to traditional medical and bioethical frameworks has been an obsession of mine since my first academic position as a post-doc on a European project which focused on the ethics of genetics, reproduction and research ethics.¹ I went on later to run my own project on property in tangible and intangible information in an age where there is profit in previously unprofitable “waste” products of human tissue.² The collaborations with project partners and project contributions have been particularly valuable in negotiating the complex topic of genetic governance, especially in terms of engagement with lawyers and scientists. Genetic governance requires input from many disciplines if the issues are to be understood and navigated. In 2007 I became a member of the Ethics and Governance Council (EGC) of UK Biobank, and undoubtedly my most important contribution in practical terms is the work I have done with fellow council members in advising on practice and policy for this new endeavour. This work has informed the many publications I have written on this topic. Importantly, the views I express here are personal. They are not the views of UK Biobank or of the EGC, but my own. And, while my understanding has clearly been informed by my work on the EGC, nothing in this book comes from any special knowledge: all discussion about UK Biobank comes from publicly available material. To date, I have written eleven papers and chapters on the ownership and governance of genetic information and have also edited a collection already published in this series on The Governance of Genetic Information (Cordell, Widdows et al. 2011; Widdows 2007; 2009a; 2009b; 2011a; 2011b; 2012; Widdows and Bullock 2011; Widdows and Cordell 2010;...

¹ TEMPE Project (Teaching Ethics: Materials for Practitioner Education), an EC funded project which ran 2000–2002. The project final report can be found at: http://ec.europa.eu/research/biosociety/pdf/qlq6_1999_00353_finalreport.pdf
2011a; 2011b; Widdows and Mullen 2009). My latest work has been focused on the more specific topic of the ethics of biobanking and I have recently collaborated in a project where I ran a theme on the ethics of biobanking. This culminated in the production of a Special Issue of Health Care Analysis, edited with Sean Cordell, on this topic (Widdows and Cordell 2011b). This book brings together and develops themes which are embryonic in many of these papers, for instance, concerns about property and the body, as well as worries about false pictures of the self in the bioethical framework (concerns which connect to my wider work on virtue theory). However, the book is far more than a collection of the insights in these earlier papers. It is a new and extensive argument. It argues that the genetic self is the connected self and, accordingly, that much of current ethics is wholly ineffective. It proposes ways forward and argues that the recognition and protection of public and common goods is essential for any effective ethics and governance.
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