

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

- abortion law and right to life, 428, 434
- absolute rights, 27–32
 - as alternative to proportionality, 493–496
 - jurisprudence of, 29–30
 - nature of, 27–29
 - relativization of, 31
- accessibility requirement for
 - limitations on constitutional rights, 108, 115–116
- Ackermann, Lourens, 43, 438, 458
- Ackner, Lord, 193
- aims and means, determining
 - relationship between, 132
- Alexy, R.
 - on balancing, 6, 11, 364, 378
 - on “by law” limitation requirements, 140
 - on conflicts between constitutional rights, 84, 235–237
 - on deontological concepts and proportionality, 471
 - human rights theory of, 468
 - influence of, 5–6
 - on interpretation and proportionality, 239
 - on limits on limitations, 167
 - logical necessity, on proportionality viewed as, 240
 - on parent and child rights, 52
 - on *prima facie* versus definite nature of constitutional rights, 38–39, 40, 41
 - proportional crime, on constitutional right to commit, 42
 - on public interest considerations, 534
 - on reasonableness, 378
- alternatives to proportionality, 8, 14, 493–527.
 - See also* categorization-based alternatives to proportionality
- absolute rights, 493–496
- dual model, 499–502
- non-categorization based, 493–502
- protection of constitutional right’s
 - core, 496–498
- amendment distinguished from
 - limitation of constitutional rights, 99–101, 155
- American doctrine, 14
- Arai-Takahashi, Y., 418
- Argentina, proportionality in, 201–202
- Aristotle, 29
- Asia, proportionality in, 199
- Australia
 - democracy, constitutional status of, 216
 - distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection in, 24
 - historical development of proportionality in, 195–197
 - implicit constitutional right to political freedom of expression in, 50, 55, 56, 57
 - non-constitutional proportionality in, 146
 - original purpose or intent, consideration of, 60
- authorization chain, 107–110, 430

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

594

INDEX

- balancing (proportionality *stricto sensu*), 11. *See also*
 - interpretive balancing
 - “advancing the purpose” scale, 357–358
- Alexy on, 6, 11
- basic balancing rule, 362–370
- categorization and, 508–509, 512–513
- centrality to concept of proportionality, 7
- as component of proportionality, 3
- constitutional, 75
- constitutional balancing and interpretive balancing distinguished, 347–348
- content of balancing test, 340–342
- critiques of proportionality chiefly aimed at, 481, 521. *See also* critiques of proportionality
- definitional balancing, 546
- equally balanced scales, cases involving, 365–367
- future development and improvements to concept of, 542–547
- future development of, 15
- Hogg on lack of necessity of, 247
- importance of, 345–346, 457
- legislative and judicial discretion regarding, 413–415
- “limiting the right” scale, 358–362
- marginal benefit compared to marginal harm, 350–352
- nature of, 342–343, 348–349
- necessity test differentiated, 344
- positive constitutional rights and, 433–434
- principled balancing, 370, 521, 542–547
- proper relationship between benefit and harm, measuring, 343–344, 349–362
- proportional alternative, considering, 352–356
- reasonableness as, 373, 374–375
- relative rights, scope provisions versus limitation clauses regarding, 36–37
- scope, clarification of, 357
- social importance of, 349–350
- specific balancing rule, 367–369, 544
- structured discretion, as part of, 461
- unique capacities of balancing test, 344–345
- urgency of proper purpose and, 278
- validity of conflicting principles in, 346–348
- Beatty, D. M., 476–480
- Beinish, Dorit, 273, 416
- Belgium, proportionality in, 186–188
- Biblical law, proportionality in, 175
- Blackmun, Harry, 411
- Blackstone, Sir William, 176
- Botha, H., 166, 197, 257, 283, 292, 332
- Brazil, proportionality in, 201–202
- Breyer, Stephen, 206–207, 478
- burden of proof, 12, 435–454
 - burden of persuasion and burden of producing evidence encompassed in, 437
- in comparative law, 439–442
- distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection, importance of, 22
- facts and law, 436–437
- judicial procedure and, 449–454
- justification of limitation of right and, 439–442
- limitation of constitutional right and, 437–442
- necessity test and, 448–449
- on party claiming existence of justification for limitation, 442–446
- on party claiming that limitation is justified, 447–454
- presumption of constitutionality and, 444–446
- for rational connection, 311
- relative rights, scope provisions versus limitation clauses regarding, 37
- valuation of human rights and, 447

- Cameron, Edwin, 325
- Canada
- Australia, migration of concept of proportionality to, 195–197
 - balancing in, 360
 - balancing test in, 342, 343
 - burden of proof in, 439–440
 - democracy, constitutional status of, 215, 217
 - distinction between scope of right and extent of its protection in, 26
 - equal-level sub-constitutional norms, reconciling, 158
 - historical development of proportionality in, 188–190
 - implicit constitutional rights in, 55
 - interpretation and proportionality in, 238
 - Israel influenced by, 210
 - limitation of constitutional rights in, 99, 101, 103, 133
 - limitations clause in, 142, 143, 221
 - necessity in, 322, 329, 337
 - New Zealand, migration of concept of proportionality to, 194–195
 - original purpose or intent, consideration of, 60
 - override clause in, 167–169, 170–171, 173
 - proper purpose in, 246, 258, 269–270, 278, 279–283, 289–291, 516, 529, 531, 532, 533
 - protection of constitutional rights in, 490
 - purposive interpretation in, 47
 - rational connection in, 303
 - rule of law in, 229
 - scope of constitutional rights in, 513
 - South Africa, migration of concept of proportionality to, 197–198
 - statutory limitation in, 112
 - zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within, 409, 410–411
- categorization-based alternatives to proportionality, 502–527
- balancing and, 508–509, 512–513
 - constitutional rights in, 505–507
 - critiques of proportionality and, 521–526
 - intermediate scrutiny and, 511, 512, 515
 - minimal scrutiny and, 511, 512, 515
 - nature of thinking in legal categories, 503–505
 - proper purpose and, 515–517
 - proportionality compared to categorization, 513–521
 - strict scrutiny and, 510–511, 512, 516–521
 - two-stage model and, 507–508
- Central and Eastern Europe, proportionality in, 198–199, 526
- Chaskalson, M., 133, 224
- checks and balances, concept of, 385–387
- Chile, proportionality in, 201–202
- clarity requirement for limitations on constitutional rights, 108, 116–118
- Colombia, proportionality in, 201–202
- common law and proportionality, 118–127, 371
- comparative law
- on burden of proof, 439–442
 - interpretation, 65–69
 - positive constitutional rights in, 423–425
 - proper purpose, general criteria for determining, 257–258
 - proportionality studied according to, 4–5, 7, 16
- concept jurisprudence to interest jurisprudence, historical shift from, 177, 503
- conflicts between constitutional rights, 9, 83–98. *See also* principle-shaped rights, conflicts between
- analytical framework for addressing, 83–86
 - constitutional validity and, 83, 86, 87–89
 - interpretive balancing and, 73–75

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

596

INDEX

- conflicts between constitutional rights (*cont.*)
 - interpretive differences distinguished, 83
 - limitation clauses and, 154–155
 - mixed conflicts between principle-shaped and rule-shaped rights, 84, 97–98
 - negative solution to, 94–96
 - new constitutional derivative rule created by, 39
 - proportionality as intrinsic to, 234–238
 - reasonableness as balance between conflicting principles, 374–375
 - rights of others, scope of
 - constitutional rights in relation to, 80–82
 - rule of law, conflicts between rights and, 86
 - rule-shaped rights, conflicts involving, 84, 86–87, 97–98, 157
 - scope issues distinguished, 83, 87–89
 - scope of protection of constitutional rights and, 263–265
 - sub-constitutional level, resolution at, 83–86, 90, 96–97
- consequentialist nature of proportionality, 470
- constitutional aspect of proper purpose, 288–289
- constitutional balancing, 75
- constitutional basis for proportionality in a legal system, 211–213, 246
- constitutional interpretation. *See* interpretation
- constitutional principles, as public interest consideration, 276
- constitutional rights, 8–9. *See also* absolute rights; conflicts between constitutional rights; limitations on constitutional rights; positive constitutional rights; protection of constitutional rights; relative rights
- constitutional rights; relative rights
 - amendment distinguished from limitation, 99–101, 155
 - in categorized thinking, 505–507
 - complementary nature of limitations and, 166
 - democracies, importance in, 161–162, 163, 164–165, 218–220
 - determining scope of, 9, 45–82
 - categorization versus proportionality and, 513–514
 - concept of constitutional text and. *See* constitutional text
 - conflicts between rights distinguished from scope issues, 83, 87–89
 - interpretation of text as means of, 45. *See also* interpretation
 - public interest considerations and, 75–80, 81
 - rights of others and, 80–82
- distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection, 9, 19–44
 - absolute rights and, 27–32
 - in comparative law, 24–26
 - freedom of expression in ECHR and, 21–22
 - importance of, 22–24
 - judicial review and, 26–27
 - prima facie* versus definite nature of rights and, 37–42
 - proportional crime, constitutional right to commit, 42–44
 - relative rights and, 27–29, 32–37
 - two-stage theory of, 19–21
- naming and enumerating, 53
- parent and child rights, 51–53
- prima facie* versus definite nature of, 37–42
- proportionality of limitations applied to. *See* proportionality
- public interest considerations balanced with. *See* public interest considerations

- realization of scope, limitations on, 515–516
- rule of law, inclusion of human rights in, 230–232
- social importance of, 359–361
- state rather than individuals, directed at, 125–127, 253, 263
- theories of human rights, proportionality as vessel for, 467–472
- two-stage theory of, 19–21, 507–508
- waiver of, 106
- constitutional status of democracy, 214–218
- constitutional status of rule of law, 228–230
- constitutional text
 - common law, relationship to, 118
 - explicit, 49–53
 - implicit, 49–51, 53–58
 - as living document, 64–65
 - naming and enumerating rights in, 53
 - non-metaphorical nature of, 48–49
 - original purpose or intent, consideration of, 58–64, 69
 - parent and child rights in, 51–53
 - positive constitutional rights, legal source of, 425–427
 - purposive interpretation and unique nature of, 48
 - subjective versus objective interpretation of, 58–59, 63
- constitutional validity, 83, 86, 87–89, 346–348
- Cooke, Robin, 194
- Cory, Peter, 291
- Costello, D., 191
- counter-formalism, 177
- criminal activity, proportional, constitutional right to commit, 42–44
- critiques of proportionality, 13, 457–458, 481–492
 - balancing central to, 481, 521
 - categorization and, 521–526
 - constitutional rights, insufficient protection of, 488–490, 523–525
 - external criticism, 487–492, 522–526
 - internal criticism, 482–487, 521–522
 - judicial discretion, width of, 487–488, 522–523
 - judicial legitimacy, lack of, 490–492, 525–526
 - rationality, lack of, 484–486
 - standards, lack of, 482–484
- Currie, D. P., 233
- Czech Republic, limitation clauses in, 141
- de minimis* limitations, 103–105
- Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen, limitation of rights in, 162, 255–257
- deference, judicial, 396–399, 417
- definitional balancing, 546
- democracy
 - constitutional rights and public interest considerations, balancing, 220–221, 253–257
 - constitutional status of, 214–218
 - continued existence of state as, as public interest consideration, 267–268
 - formal and substantive aspects of, 218, 221–222, 252
 - importance of human rights and human rights limitations to, 161–162, 163, 164–165, 218–220, 221–222
 - judicial review and, 381–383, 473
 - as legal source of proportionality, 2, 214–226, 472–473
 - limitation clauses as means of balancing aspects of, 221–222
 - proper purpose and, 251–257
 - rule of law as value of, 252
 - tolerance as central to, 274
 - transparency and, 463

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

598

INDEX

- denial of constitutional right
 - distinguished from limitation, 101
- deontological concepts and
 - proportionality, 471–472
- Dickson, Brian, 47, 165, 166, 189, 191, 221, 223, 258, 281, 303, 306, 307, 375, 408, 410, 440
- Diplock, Lord, 192, 333
- discretion
 - judicial, 387–388
 - application of law to facts, 394–399
 - balancing and, 414–415
 - critique of proportionality based on width of, 487–488, 522–523
 - decision to legislate and, 400
 - facts, determination of, 388–391
 - law, determination of, 391–394
 - legislative interpretation and, 392–394
 - necessity and, 412–413
 - positive constitutional rights and, 431, 432
 - proper purpose and, 403–405
 - rational connection and, 406
 - at widest and narrowest, 417
 - legislative
 - balancing and, 413–414
 - decision to legislate and, 400, 415–417
 - necessity and, 407–412
 - positive constitutional rights and, 431, 432, 433
 - proper purpose and, 401–403
 - rational connection and, 405–406
 - structured discretion,
 - proportionality as means of, 462
 - at widest and narrowest, 417
 - proportionality and, 384–385
 - structured, 460–467
- Dorner, Dalia, 271–272
- Douglas, William O., 56
- Dworkin, R., 266, 365, 488, 495, 535
- Dyzenhaus, David, 397
- Eastern and Central Europe,
 - proportionality in, 198–199, 526
- Emiliou, N., 231
- ends and means, determining
 - relationship between, 132
- English law. *See* United Kingdom
- Enlightenment, proportionality in, 176, 178
- equality, right to, 115, 294–296
- European Convention for the
 - Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), 183–184
- burden of proof in, 441–442
- Central and Eastern European
 - nations influenced by, 199
- distinction between scope of right and extent of its protection in, 21–22, 25
- as exemplar of European law, 181
- Hong Kong influenced by, 200
- Israel influenced by, 210
- limitation clauses in, 35, 134, 141
- margin of appreciation and, 419
- positive constitutional rights in, 424
- proper purpose in, 261, 262, 531
- scope of constitutional rights in, 514
- torture, absolute prohibition of, 28
- UK Human Rights Act effecting, 193, 442
- European Court of Human Rights
 - on balancing test, 344
 - on clarity requirement for
 - limitations on constitutional rights, 116
 - on common law, 121
 - on concept of proportionality, 183
 - on implied limitation clauses, 135
 - on margin of appreciation, 418, 419
 - on positive constitutional rights, 424
- European Court of Justice
 - development of concept of
 - proportionality by, 184–186
 - on margin of appreciation, 418
- European law, 181
 - Canada, migration of concept of
 - proportionality to, 188–190
 - development and migration of legal principles in, 181–183
 - Ireland, migration of concept of
 - proportionality to, 190–192

- shift from jurisprudence of concepts to jurisprudence of interests in, 503
 - UK, migration of concept of proportionality to, 192–194
 - Western European states, migration of concept of proportionality to, 186–188
- European Union, concept of proportionality in law of, 184–186
- excluded reasons, concept of, 469–470
- executive branch
 - application of proportionality to, 380
 - rational justification, proportionality as means of, 459–460
 - structured discretion, proportionality as means of, 462
- expression, freedom of. *See* freedom of expression
- facts
 - application of law to, 394–399
 - burden of proof and, 436–437
 - historical facts and social facts, 388–389
 - judicial determination of, 388–391
 - polycentric facts, 389–390
 - rational connection and factual uncertainty problem, 308–315
- Fallon, R. H., 520
- feelings, protection of, as public interest consideration, 274–276
- Fleiner, Fritz, 179, 333
- formal and substantive aspects
 - of democracy, 218, 221–222, 252
 - of rule of law, 232–233
- founding purpose or intent, consideration of, 58–64, 69
- France
 - European law, migration of concept of proportionality from, 186–188
 - general principles of law, concept of, 185
 - judicial review in, 526
 - methodological approach to proportionality in, 132 n. 3
 - necessity test in, 541
 - polycentric facts, judicial determination of, 390
 - zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within, 407 n. 114
- Frase, R., 206
- freedom of expression
 - Australia, implicit constitutional rights in, 50, 55, 56, 57, 216
 - in categorized thinking, 506–507, 508
 - conflict of rights involving, 84, 90
 - ECHR, distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection in, 21–22
 - interpretive balancing in, 31
 - interpretive balancing of, 73–75
 - legal basis required for limitation of, 109
 - national security limitations on, 248
 - necessity test and, 329
 - prima facie* versus definite nature of, 40
 - principled balancing and, 543
 - proper purpose and, 248, 290, 296–298, 531
 - public interest considerations and, 75
 - rights of others and, 80
 - in United States, 31, 133, 546
- freedom of occupation
 - balancing test and, 352
 - incidental limitations on, 105
 - necessity test and, 319, 328
- freedom of religion
 - necessity test and, 330, 410
 - Sunday laws, 287, 289, 410
 - in United States, 133
- Fuller, L., 108
- Gardbaum, S., 506–507, 508
- general authorization requirement for limitations, 108, 113–115
- general limitation clauses, 142–143, 145, 260–261

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

600

INDEX

- generous approach to constitutional interpretation, 69–71
- Germany
 - absolute rights in, 27, 31
 - alternatives to proportionality in, 496
 - balancing test in, 343, 360, 369, 546
 - democracy in, 214, 218
 - general authorization requirement for limitations in, 113
 - historical origins of proportionality in. *See under* historical origins and development of proportionality
 - interpretation and proportionality in, 238
 - limitations clauses in, 135–136, 137, 141
 - necessity in, 319
 - original purpose or intent, consideration of, 61
 - parent and child rights in, 52
 - positive constitutional rights in, 423, 428, 434
 - proper purpose in, 15, 267, 276, 278, 515, 516, 529, 531
 - protection of constitutional rights in, 490
 - public interest considerations in, 76
 - rational connection in, 304
 - rule of law in, 226–228, 229, 230, 233
 - statutory limitation in, 112
 - zone of proportionality in, 379
- Gewirth, A., 29
- Golden Rule, 175
- good reputation, right to enjoy, 80, 90
- Greece, proportionality in, 186–188
- Greek philosophy, proportionality in, 175
- Grimm, D., 139, 342, 351
- Habermas, J., 495
- Hazard, G. C., 443
- Hesse, K., 239
- historical origins and development of proportionality, 10, 175–210
 - in Asia, 199
 - in Australia, 195–197
 - in Canada, 188–190
 - in Central and Eastern Europe, 198–199
 - counter-formalism, 177
 - Enlightenment period, 176, 178
 - in European law, 181
 - German law, origins in, 6, 178–181
 - administrative law, 177, 178–179
 - Brazil influenced by, 201–202
 - Central and Eastern Europe influenced by, 198
 - constitutional law, 179–181
 - European law, migration to, 181
 - Israel influenced by, 210
 - shift from jurisprudence of concepts to jurisprudence of interests, 177
 - South Africa influenced by, 197–198
 - Svarež's contribution to proportionality concept, 177–178
 - in international law
 - human rights law, influence of national law on, 202
 - humanitarian law, 204–205
 - national and international human rights law, mutual influence of, 202
 - Universal Declaration of Human Rights and development of proportionality, 203–204
 - in Ireland, 190–192
 - in Israel, 208–210
 - in New Zealand, 194–195
 - pre-modern philosophical origins, 175–176
 - in South Africa, 197–198
 - in South America, 201–202
 - in UK, 192–194
 - in United States, 206–208
 - Western European states, migration of concept of proportionality to, 186–188
- Hogg, P. W., 173, 188, 247–249, 283, 291, 337
- Hong Kong, proportionality in, 199
- Horowitz, Martin, 504

- human dignity, right of, 27, 61, 277, 360, 427, 428
- human rights. *See* constitutional rights
- humanitarian international law, 204–205
- Hungary, proportionality in, 141, 199
- hybrid limitation clauses, 144–145
- Iacobucci, Frank, 291
- incidental limitations, 105–106
- India
 - historical origins and development of proportionality in, 200
 - limitations clauses in, 141
 - positive constitutional rights in, 429
 - proper purpose in, 268
 - rule of law in, 229, 232
 - statutory limitation in, 112
- individualism and proportionality, 470
- infringement and limitation on constitutional rights, 101
- Inter-American human rights law, margin of appreciation in, 418
- interest jurisprudence, historical shift from concept jurisprudence to, 177, 503
- internal modifiers, 153–154
- international law
 - burden of proof in, 441
 - Hong Kong, development of proportionality in, 200
 - humanitarian law, 204–205
 - national and international human rights law, mutual influence of, 202
 - Universal Declaration of Human Rights and development of proportionality in, 203–204
- interpretation, 45–82.
 - See also* interpretive balancing
 - comparative, 65–69
 - concept of constitutional text and. *See* constitutional text
 - conflicts between constitutional rights distinguished from, 83
 - determining scope of constitutional rights by, 45
 - generous approach to, 69–71
 - as legal source of proportionality, 238–240
 - legislative interpretation and judicial discretion, 392–394
 - limitation and right, relationship between interpretation of, 71–72
 - modern meaning, application of, 64–65
 - original purpose or intent, consideration of, 58–64, 69
 - proper purpose, interpretive aspect of, 287–288
 - purposive, 46–48, 58
 - “strict scrutiny” review in U.S., 14, 15, 284, 294, 295, 297
 - subjective versus objective, 58–59, 63
- interpretive balancing, 3
 - conflict of principle-shaped constitutional rights and, 92–93
 - constitutional balancing distinguished, 347–348
 - constitutional interpretation and, 240
 - defined, 147
 - determining scope of constitutional rights and, 72–75
 - in distinguishing scope and extent of protection of constitutional rights, 31
 - between equal-level sub-constitutional norms, 157
 - internal modifiers and, 153–154
- Ireland, proportionality in, 190–192, 216, 343
- Israel
 - balancing test in, 341, 343, 351, 353–355, 358, 359
 - burden of proof in, 438, 448, 452, 453
 - conflicts between rights in, 90–95
 - constitutional basis for proportionality in, 211
 - democracy in, 215, 219, 224–226
 - distinction between scope of right and extent of its protection in, 26

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

602

INDEX

- Israel (*cont.*)
- historical origins and development of proportionality in, 208–210
 - interpretation and proportionality in, 238
 - interpretive balancing in, 73–75
 - judicial review, 473
 - legality principle in, 110
 - limitation of constitutional rights in, 101, 103, 104, 133
 - limitations clause in, 143, 148, 222
 - necessity in, 318–319, 321, 332, 336, 337
 - overinclusiveness of means in, 515–517
 - override clause in, 168, 171, 172–173
 - positive constitutional rights in, 426, 427
 - principled balancing and, 545–547
 - private autonomy, as constitutional right in, 42
 - proper purpose in, 246, 258–259, 267, 271–272, 273, 274, 278, 288, 530
 - protection of constitutional rights in, 524
 - public interest considerations in, 77
 - rational connection in, 308, 311, 313
 - reasonableness in, 208
 - rule of law in, 230, 232
 - scope of constitutional rights in, 513
 - statutory limitation in, 111, 112
 - zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within, 402, 403, 407, 408, 414
- Italy, proportionality in, 141, 186–188
- James, F., 443
- Japanese-Americans interned during WWII, 14, 516
- Jewish law, proportionality in, 175
- judiciary, judges, and proportionality. *See* zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within
- Just War doctrine, 176
- Kant, Immanuel, 469, 471
- Kelman, M., 499
- Khanna, Hans Raj, 232
- Kommers, D., 61
- Korematsu* case, reexamination of, 14, 516
- Kriegler, Johann, 122
- Kumm, M., 468–471, 475–476, 485, 492
- La Forest, Gérard, 322, 410
- Lamer, Antonio, 60, 329, 445
- Latin America, proportionality in, 201–202
- law
- burden of proof and, 436–437
 - facts, application to, 394–399
 - judicial determination of, 391–394
- least reasonably limiting means requirement, 408–412
- legal sources of positive constitutional rights, 425–427
- legal sources of proportionality, 10, 211–241
- conflicts between legal principles, proportionality as intrinsic to, 234–238
 - constitutional basis for
 - proportionality in a legal system, importance of establishing, 211–213
 - democracy, 2, 214–226, 472–473
 - interpretation, 238–240
 - logical necessity, proportionality viewed as, 240–241
 - rule of law, 3, 226–234
- legality principle, 9, 107–110, 430
- legislators and proportionality. *See* zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within
- legitimacy distinguished from legality, 245
- less restrictive means requirement. *See* necessity
- Leubsdorf, J., 443
- lex posteriori derogat priori*, 157, 348
- lex specialis derogat generali*, 157, 348
- lex superior derogat legi inferiori*, 149
- liberalism and proportionality, 177, 468–472

- life, right to, 428, 434, 497
- limitation clauses
 - common law and, 121–127
 - conflicts between constitutional rights and, 154–155
 - constitutional validity in conflict of rights cases, 93
 - democracy, balancing formal and substantive aspects of, 221–222
 - explicit purposes in specific limitation clauses, 261
 - general, 142–143, 145, 260–261
 - hybrid, 144–145
 - implied or silent, 134–141
 - importance of, 164–165
 - overrides and, 167–174
 - preferred regime in, 145–146
 - proportionality as basis for, 222–226, 233
 - protective nature of, 165–166
 - relationship between right and limitation, shaping, 164
 - relative rights, as part of, 35
 - rule of law, balancing aspects of, 232–233
 - specific, 141, 145, 261
 - specific purposes in general limitation clauses, 260–261
 - types of, 133–134
- limitations on constitutional rights, 9, 99–106. *See also* means of limitation
 - accessibility requirement, 108, 115–116
 - amendment distinguished, 99–101, 155
 - authorization chain for, 107–110, 430
 - balancing test and “limiting the right” scale, 358–362
 - burden of proof and, 437–442
 - “by law” requirement, 139–141, 430
 - clarity requirement, 108, 116–118
 - common law and, 118–127
 - complementary nature of rights and, 166
 - by constitutional norms, 151–152
 - de minimis* limitations, 103–105
 - defined, 102
 - democracies, importance in, 161–162, 163, 164–165, 221–222
 - denial of right distinguished, 101
 - general authorization requirement, 108, 113–115
 - hierarchical relationship between limited right and limiting law, 148–152
 - incidental limitations, 105–106
 - infringement and, 101
 - internal modifiers distinguished, 153–154
 - interpretation of limitation and right, relationship between, 9
 - legality principle regarding, 9, 107–110, 430
 - limited nature of, 166–167
 - methods of limitation, 133–134
 - normative validity of, 108, 146
 - proportionality in.
 - See* proportionality
 - as public interest considerations, 162–163
 - rights of others, limitations allowing for, 161
 - statutory limitations, 110–118
 - by sub-constitutional laws. *See* sub-constitutional norms
- MacCormick, N., 374
- Madala, Tholie, 325
- Magna Carta, 176
- margin of appreciation, 418–421
- Marshall, Thurgood, 387
- McIntyre, William Rogers, 282
- McLachlin, Beverley, 291, 409
- means of limitation
 - aims and means, determining relationship between, 132
 - arbitrary or unfair means, 307
 - choice of, 305–307
 - hypothetical alternative means
 - equally advancing law’s purpose, 323–326
 - hypothetical alternative means
 - limiting constitutional right to lesser extent, 326–331
 - legislative choice of, 405

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

604

INDEX

- means of limitation (*cont.*)
 - narrowly tailored to fulfill law's purpose, 333–337
 - overinclusiveness of, 335–337, 517–520
 - rational connection of means of limiting law to proper purpose. *See* rational connection
- Mexico, proportionality in, 201–202
- Meyerson, D., 501
- migration or transplantation of laws, proportionality as manifestation of, 457
- mother and child rights, 51–53
- narrow tailoring of means to fulfill law's purpose, 333–337
- national security. *See also* terrorism
 - freedom of expression, limitations on, 248
 - as public interest consideration, 268
- natural law, proportionality in, 177
- necessity, 10, 317–339
 - balancing test differentiated, 344
 - burden of proof and, 448–449
 - complete restriction versus individual examination of, 328–331
 - as component of proportionality, 3
 - content of necessity test, 317–319
 - elements of necessity test, 323–331
 - future development and improvements to necessity test, 540–542
 - hypothetical alternative means
 - equally advancing law's purpose, 323–326
 - hypothetical alternative means
 - limiting constitutional right to lesser extent, 326–331
 - importance of necessity test, 337–339
 - least reasonably limiting means requirement, 408–412
 - as legal source of proportionality, 240–241
 - legislative and judicial discretion regarding, 407–413
 - narrow tailoring of means to fulfill law's purpose, 333–337
 - nature of necessity test, 320–323
 - overinclusiveness of means, 335–337
 - Pareto efficiency, as expression of, 320
 - positive constitutional rights and, 433
 - proper purpose's level of abstraction and, 331–333
 - of rational connection test, 315–316
 - structured discretion, as part of, 461
 - test of time and, 331
 - as threshold test, 541
- negative solution to conflict of rights, 94–96
- Netherlands, no judicial review of constitutional issues in, 149 n. 79
- New Zealand
 - Australian law influenced by, 197
 - balancing in, 360
 - burden of proof in, 440
 - distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection in, 24
 - equal-level sub-constitutional norms, reconciling, 157–159
 - historical development of proportionality in, 194–195
 - public interest considerations in, 77
 - reasonableness in, 377
- normative validity of limitations, 108, 146
- Nozick, R., 266, 495
- objective versus subjective. *See* subjective versus objective
- obscene materials, restrictions on, 290, 419, 546
- occupation, freedom of. *See* freedom of occupation
- O'Regan, Kate, 291, 325
- original purpose or intent, consideration of, 58–64, 69
- overinclusiveness of means, 335–337, 517–520
- override clauses, 167–174

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

605

- Palmer, Geoffrey, 194
- parent and child rights, 51–53
- Peru, proportionality in, 201–202
- Poland, proportionality in, 141, 199, 260
- political theory
- liberalism and proportionality, 177, 468–472
 - neutrality of proportionality regarding, 460
 - theories of human rights, proportionality as vessel for, 467–472
- popular sovereignty, as democratic value, 252
- Porat, I., 499–500
- Portugal, proportionality in, 141, 186–188, 201–202, 228, 496
- positive constitutional rights, 12, 422–430
- balancing and, 433–434
 - in comparative law, 423–425
 - legal source of, 425–427
 - nature of, 422–423
 - necessity and, 433
 - objective nature of values and, 427
 - positive constitutional aspect and, 427–429
 - proper purpose and, 430–432
 - rational connection and, 432
 - relative nature of, 429
- positivism, legal, 177
- Powell, Lewis F., 302
- praktische Konkordanz*, doctrine of, 369, 546
- presumption of constitutionality and burden of proof, 444–446
- presumption of legality of executive action, 124
- principle, concept of, 234–238
- principle-shaped rights, conflicts
- between, 83–86, 87–97
 - effectuating legislation, conflict between, 96
 - effectuating legislation, conflicting rights without, 94–96
 - equal-level sub-constitutional norms, 157
 - interpretive balancing, 92–93
 - legislative realization of, 90
 - limitation clause, constitutional validity of, 93
 - proportionality as intrinsic to, 234–238
 - realization of rights, effect on, 89–92
 - scope and validity of rights not affected by conflict, 87–89
- principled balancing, 370, 521, 542–547
- privacy rights, 56, 80, 84, 90–95, 427
- private autonomy, as constitutional right, 42–43
- Proccacia, Ayala, 275
- proper purpose, 10, 245–302
- abstraction, level of, 331–333
 - balancing test and “advancing the purpose” scale, 357–358
 - categorization-based alternatives to proportionality and, 515–517
 - in comparative law, 257–258
 - as component of proportionality, 3, 245–249
 - components of, 251
 - constitutional aspect of, 288–289
 - constitutional foundation of, 246
 - democratic requirements and values, 251–257
 - explicit purposes in specific limitation clauses, 261
 - future development and improvements to, 529–539
 - future development of, 15
 - general criteria for determining, 255–257
 - hierarchy of rights regarding purpose’s importance, 531–533
- Hogg on, 247–249
- identification of, 285–302
 - implicit purposes, 261–262
 - interpretive aspect of, 287–288
 - legislative and judicial discretion regarding, 401–405
 - multiple purposes, 331–333
 - nature of, 245
 - necessity and, 331–333
 - positive constitutional rights and, 430–432

- proper purpose (*cont.*)
 - protection of constitutional rights and, 253, 262–265, 533–539
 - public interest considerations, 253–257, 265–277
 - rational connection of means of limiting law to. *See* rational connection
 - scope of, 249–251
 - specified purposes in general
 - limitation clauses, 260–261
 - structured discretion, as part of, 461
 - of sub-constitutional limiting law, 285–286
 - subjective or objective test for, 286–298
 - as threshold requirement for proportionality, 246–249, 530–531
 - urgency of, 277–285
- proportional crime, constitutional right to commit, 42–44
- proportionality, 1–16, 131–174, 457–480
 - aims and means, determining relationship between, 132
 - alternatives to, 8, 14, 493–527. *See also* alternatives to proportionality
 - appropriate considerations in proper context, allowing for, 463–465
 - burden of proof and, 12, 435–454. *See also* burden of proof
 - in common law, 123, 127
 - in comparative law, 4–5, 7, 16
 - components of, 3, 10–13, 131. *See also* balancing; necessity; proper purpose; rational connection
 - conflicts of rights and, 9, 83–98. *See also* conflicts between constitutional rights
 - critiques of, 13, 457–458, 481–492. *See also* critiques of proportionality
 - defined, 2–3, 102–103
 - dialogue between legislature and judiciary, allowing, 465–467
 - different uses of term, 146
 - formal role of, 146–161
 - future development and improvements to, 528–529
 - historical background, 10, 175–210. *See also* historical origins and development of proportionality
 - human rights theories, as vessel for, 467–472
 - importance of, 164–165
 - internal modifiers and, 153–154
 - in interpretation, 3, 147
 - legal sources of, 10, 211–241. *See also* legal sources of proportionality
 - liberalism and, 177, 468–472
 - limitation clauses, as basis for, 222–226, 233
 - methodological approach to, 7, 8, 132–133
 - migration or transplantation of laws, as manifestation of, 457
 - nature of, 131–146
 - non-constitutional, 146
 - of normative validity, 146
 - political theory and. *See* political theory
 - positive constitutional rights and, 12, 422–430. *See also* positive constitutional rights
 - as protective of constitutional rights, 4
 - public interest considerations and, 76–80
 - rational justification, as means of, 458–460
 - reasonableness and, 11, 371–378. *See also* reasonableness and proportionality
 - social repercussions of, 132, 463–465
 - stricto sensu*. *See* balancing
 - structured discretion, need for, 460–467
 - substantive role of, 161–167
 - transparency and, 462–463
 - zone of, 12, 379–421. *See also* zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within
- protection of constitutional rights

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

607

- core of right, 496–498
- explicit protections, 253–257, 262–265
- implicit protections, 262–263
- limitations as protective, 165–166
- as proper purpose, 253, 262–265, 533–539
- proportionality as protective, 4
- scope of, 263–265
- sufficiency critique, 488–490, 523–525
- public interest considerations
 - constitutional principles as, 276
 - democracies balancing
 - constitutional rights with, 220–221, 253
 - democracy, continued existence of
 - state as, 267–268
 - feelings, protection of, 274–276
 - liberalism and proportionality, 468–472
 - limitations as, 162–163
 - national security as, 268
 - nature of, 265–267
 - proper purpose and, 253–257, 265–277
 - public order as, 269–273
 - rule of law and balance of
 - constitutional rights with, 232
 - scope of constitutional rights and, 75–80, 81
 - tolerance as, 273
- public law principles and state's burden
 - to produce evidence, 451
- public order, as public interest
 - consideration, 269–273
- purposive interpretation, 46–48, 58
- rational connection, 10, 303–316
 - arbitrary or unfair means, 307
 - burden of proof regarding, 311
 - as component of proportionality, 3
 - content of, 303–304
 - “designed to achieve proper purpose” requirement, 306
 - determining existence of, 310–312
 - extreme approaches to, 309–310
 - factual uncertainty, problem of, 308–315
 - future development and
 - improvements to, 539–540
 - future development of, 15
 - legislative and judicial discretion
 - regarding, 405–406
 - means chosen, 305–307
 - nature of, 303–307
 - necessity of, 315–316
 - positive constitutional rights and, 432
 - structured discretion, as part of, 461
 - test of time and, 312–315
 - as threshold test, 315
- Rational Human Right Paradigm (RHRP), 475
- rational justification, 458–460, 484–486
- Rawls, John, 108, 469, 536
- Raz, J., 499
- realism in Continental European law, 177
- reasonableness and proportionality, 11, 371–378
 - balancing, reasonableness as, 373, 374–375
 - common law countries' recognition
 - of, 192, 208, 371
 - components of reasonableness, 373–374
 - definition of reasonableness, 373, 375
 - least reasonably limiting mean
 - requirement, 408–412
 - relationship between, 375–378
- Rehnquist, William, 293
- relative rights, 32–37
 - defined, 27–29, 32
 - importance of distinction between
 - provision types, 35–37
 - limitation clauses, 35
 - positive constitutional rights as, 429
 - scope provisions, 33–34
 - types of provisions leading to, 32–33
- religion, freedom of. *See* freedom of religion
- rights. *See* constitutional rights, and specific rights and freedoms, e.g. freedom of expression
- Rivers, Julian, 5, 344, 384, 408, 534

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

608

INDEX

- Roman law, proportionality in, 176
- Romania, proportionality in, 212
- rule of law
 - authorization chain as formal aspect of, 108
 - “by law” limitations requirements, 139
 - conflicts between constitutional rights and, 86
 - constitutional rights and public interest considerations, balancing, 221
 - constitutional status of, 228–230
 - as democratic value, 252
 - formal and substantive aspects of, 232–233
 - German theories regarding, 226–228
 - human rights included in, 230–232
 - as legal source of proportionality, 3, 226–234
 - limitation clauses as means of balancing aspects of, 232–233
 - limitation clauses, proportionality as basis for, 233
- rule-shaped rights, conflicts involving, 84, 86–87, 97–98, 157

- Sachs, Albie, 258, 291, 325, 450
- Sadurski, W., 374, 463
- Scalia, Antonin, 64
- Schlink, B., 502
- scope of constitutional rights. *See* constitutional rights
- Segal, Z., 208
- separation of powers, 384–399, 417–418
- Shamgar, Meir, 259, 448
- silence, legislative, 94–96
- silent or implied limitation clauses, 134–141
- slavery, prohibition of, 27
- Slovenia, proportionality in, 199
- South Africa
 - alternatives to proportionality in, 498
 - Australian law influenced by, 197
 - balancing in, 360
 - balancing test in, 343
 - burden of proof in, 438, 441, 450
 - common law and limitation clause in, 122, 127
 - conflicts between rights in, 89 n. 25
 - democracy in, 215, 217, 218
 - distinction between scope of right and extent of its protection in, 26
 - general authorization requirement for limitations in, 113
 - historical development of proportionality in, 197–198
 - international human rights law influencing, 203
 - limitation of constitutional rights in, 133, 151–152
 - limitations clause in, 142, 143, 144, 222
 - necessity in, 319, 325, 330–331, 335
 - positive constitutional rights in, 423, 425, 432
 - proper purpose in, 246, 255, 257, 265, 270, 278, 283–284, 291–292, 529, 532
 - public interest considerations in, 77
 - rational connection in, 303–304
 - relative rights in, 33
 - rule of law in, 229, 230
 - scope of constitutional rights in, 513
 - zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within, 409
- South America, proportionality in, 201–202
- South Korea, proportionality in, 200
- sovereignty of the people, as democratic value, 252
- Spain
 - alternatives to proportionality in, 496
- European law, migration of concept of proportionality from, 186–188
- international human rights law influencing, 203
- interpretation and proportionality in, 238

- limitations clauses in, 141
- rule of law in, 228
- South America, migration of concept
 - of proportionality to, 201–202
- specific balancing rule, 367–369, 544
- specific limitation clauses, 141, 145, 261
- specified purposes in general
 - limitation clauses, 260–261
- speech, freedom of. *See* freedom of expression
- stare decisis*, 157
- state rather than individuals,
 - constitutional rights directed at, 125–127, 253, 263
- state's burden of producing evidence, 449–454
- state's continued existence as
 - democracy, as public interest consideration, 267–268
- statutory limitations on constitutional rights, 110–118
- stealing, proportional, constitutional right to commit, 42–44
- strict scrutiny review in U.S., 14, 15, 284, 294, 295, 297, 510–511, 512, 516–521, 541
- stricto sensu* proportionality. *See* balancing
- structured discretion, 460–467
- sub-constitutional norms
 - equal-level norm, limitation by, 157–161
 - hierarchical relationship between limited right and limiting law, 150–152
 - limitations on constitutional rights by, 147–148, 150–152
 - lower sub-constitutional norm, limitation by, 155–157
 - proper purpose of, 285–286
- subjective versus objective
 - constitutional text, interpretation of, 58–59, 63
 - necessity test, “limitation to a lesser extent” element of, 327–328
 - positive constitutional rights,
 - objective nature of values as legal source of, 427
 - proper purpose test, 286–298
 - substantive and formal aspects
 - of democracy, 218, 221–222, 252
 - of rule of law, 232–233
- Sullivan, E., 206
- Sunday laws, 287, 289, 410
- Svarez, Carl Gottlieb, 177–178
- Switzerland, proportionality in, 57, 143, 186–188, 212, 366, 496
- terrorism
 - innocent civilians, counter-terrorist actions leading to harm to, 28
 - protection of constitutional rights and, 524
 - torture, prohibition of, 29, 30
- test of time, 312–315, 331
- theft, proportional, constitutional right to commit, 42–44
- St. Thomas Aquinas, 176
- Thomas, Clarence, 293
- tolerance, as public interest
 - consideration, 273
- torture, prohibition of, 28, 29, 30
- transparency, 462–463
- transplantation or migration of
 - laws, proportionality as manifestation of, 457
- Tribe, L. H., 54, 55, 229, 299
- “Trolley Problem,” 29
- Turkey, proportionality in, 143, 186–188, 212, 496
- two-stage theory of constitutional rights, 19–21, 507–508
- uncertainty, factual, and rational
 - connection, 308–315
- United Kingdom
 - balancing test in, 343, 348
 - burden of proof in, 442
 - common law and constitution in, 120, 122
 - distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection in, 24
 - ECHR, Human Rights Act effecting, 193, 442
 - equal-level sub-constitutional norms, reconciling, 157

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-00858-8 - Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and their Limitations

Aharon Barak

Index

[More information](#)

610

INDEX

- United Kingdom (*cont.*)
 - historical development of
 - proportionality in, 192–194
 - margin of appreciation in, 419
 - non-constitutional proportionality in, 146
 - protection of constitutional rights in, 524
 - reasonableness in, 192, 373, 375
- United Nations Human Rights Commission, on margin of appreciation, 418
- United States
 - American doctrine compared to proportionality, 14
 - balancing in, 360
 - Bills of Attainder, constitutional prohibition on, 114
 - categorization approach in. *See* categorization-based alternatives to proportionality
 - comparative constitutional law in, 69
 - concept of proportionality in, 206–208
 - freedom of expression in, 31, 133, 546
 - implicit constitutional right to privacy in, 56
 - implied limitations clause in, 137–139
 - intermediate scrutiny in, 511, 512, 515, 533
 - Japanese-Americans interned during WWII in, 14, 516
 - judicial discretion in, 488, 523
 - judicial review, 473
 - legislative interpretation and judicial discretion in, 392–394
 - minimal scrutiny in, 511, 512, 515
 - original purpose or intent, consideration of, 61, 69
 - positive constitutional rights
 - concept rejected in, 424, 426
 - principled balancing and, 545–547
 - proper purpose in, 284–285, 292, 530, 532
 - protection of constitutional rights in, 489, 490, 524
 - rule of law in, 229
 - statutory limitation in, 112
 - strict scrutiny in, 14, 15, 284, 294, 295, 297, 510–511, 512, 516–521, 541
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
 - distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection in, 24
 - general limitations clause in, 142, 143
 - historical development of
 - proportionality in international law and, 203–204
 - proper purpose in, 260, 262
 - urgency of proper purpose, 277–285
- vagueness, unconstitutional, 117
- validity, constitutional, 83, 86, 87–89, 346–348
- waiver of constitutional rights, 106
- Waldron, J., 495
- war on terror. *See* terrorism
- Webber, G. C. N., 489, 493–496, 502
- Wigmore, J., 443
- Wilson, Bertha, 103, 104, 269–270, 282, 310
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 54
- Woolman, S., 106, 166, 197, 257, 283, 292, 332
- Yacoob, Zak, 325
- Zamir, I., 104, 209–210, 272–274, 360, 363, 452, 453
- zone of proportionality, legislators and judges operating within, 12. *See also* discretion
 - absolute rights, jurisprudence of, 29–30
 - application of proportionality to branches of government, 379–381
 - balancing test and, 413–415
 - checks and balances, concept of, 385–387

common law and limitation of rights, 118–127	judicial review, 381–383, 394–399, 473–480, 502
conflict of rights	margin of appreciation and, 418–421
conflict between effectuating legislation resulting from, 96	means of limitation, legislative choice of, 405
legislative silence regarding, 94–96	nature of, 415–417
resolved at legislative level, 90	necessity and, 407–413
decision to legislate, 400	proper purpose and, 401–405
dialogue between legislature and judiciary, proportionality allowing, 465–467	public interest considerations and, 79–80
distinguishing scope of right and extent of its protection, importance of, 22, 26–27	rational connection and, 405–406
judicial deference, 396–399, 417	rational justification, proportionality as means of, 459–460
judicial intervention, 396	separation of powers, 384–399, 417–418
judicial legitimacy, critique of proportionality based on lack of, 490–492, 525–526	statutory limitations, 110–118
judicial procedure and burden of proof, 449–454	“strict scrutiny” review in U.S., 14, 15, 284, 294, 295, 297
	structured discretion, proportionality as means of, 462, 465–467
	transparency, importance of, 462