Noncommunicable diseases, associated with risk factors such as tobacco consumption, poor diet, and alcohol use, represent a growing health burden around the world. The seriousness of noncommunicable diseases is reflected in the adoption of international instruments such as the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control; the WHO Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity, and Health; and the WHO Global Strategy to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol. In line with these instruments, states are beginning to use measures such as taxes, restrictions on marketing, product regulation, and labeling measures for public health purposes. This book examines the extent to which the law of the World Trade Organization restricts domestic implementation of these types of measures. The relationship between international health instruments and the WTO Agreement is examined, as are the WTO-covered agreements themselves.
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This study sketches out the implications of trade law for domestic attempts to address noncommunicable disease. Much remains to be written about this subject. Nonetheless, I hope that this work fills some gaps in the literature and raises questions for further research.

Although this study lies at the intersection of trade law and public health, it is a legal study. The difficulty associated with differing research methodologies meant that a choice had to be made in favor of one discipline or another. The choice to cast this work as a legal study has the advantage of permitting a deeper legal analysis of some issues, but the disadvantage of being less accessible to members of the public health community who do not have legal training. There is some inevitable trade-off in this approach, and my hope is that this study will help public health lawyers and trade lawyers bridge gaps between their fields.

This study also straddles the fields of international trade law, global/international health law, and public international law. There is a very real chance that the study will leave trade lawyers wanting a more detailed analysis of trade law and public health lawyers wanting more detailed analysis of international law in a health context. Unfortunately, this is an inevitable consequence of a monograph that does not seek to cover the field. The intention of this study is not to end all debates about application of trade law to noncommunicable disease. My more modest hope is that this work will clarify key legal issues and give some shape to debates about the issues discussed herein.

This book has roots in a number of institutions and countries. I began research on the implications of WTO law for tobacco control while I was a Research Assistant at the VicHealth Centre for Tobacco Control, The Cancer Council Victoria in Melbourne. With support provided by an Australian Postgraduate Award, I later undertook a PhD on the same subject at Monash University, also in Melbourne. I spent a significant part of my candidature
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conducting research and living in Bangkok. I was later offered the opportunity to expand my doctoral thesis into this study through a Postdoctoral Fellowship at the O’Neill Institute for National and Global Health Law at Georgetown University in Washington, DC. In association with this role, I was privileged to have appointments as a Research Assistant Professor in the Department of International Health, School of Nursing and Health Studies, and as an Adjunct Professor at the Georgetown University Law Center.

Over the past few years, I have also been privileged to provide legal and policy advice to organizations working on public health issues at the international level. The analytical focus of this book reflects that experience. Whereas trade lawyers may take different views concerning which legal issues are significant, this book is focused on the types of issues that arise for discussion in the context of public health lawmaking at the domestic and international levels.

I am particularly indebted to three people. Jeff Waincymer supervised my doctoral studies. Jonathan Liberman introduced me to the issues and provided support and comments throughout the process. Jeff Collman then showed enough faith in me to facilitate completion of this book at Georgetown.

A number of other people have read or commented on parts of this work at various stages, including Jane Bradley, Tomer Broude, Darren Lim, Tania Voon, Sanjula Weerasinghe, and anonymous reviewers. Tania Voon was also kind enough to read and comment on a late draft of this book in May 2010. I am also grateful to participants at conferences hosted by the Asian Centre for WTO & International Health Law and Policy, National Taiwan University, and the international economic law interest group of the American Society of International Law, as well as to participants at workshops hosted by the Institute for International Economic Law and the O’Neill Institute for National and Global Health Law, both at Georgetown University Law Center. As always, all errors remain my own.

A number of other people at Georgetown also deserve acknowledgment. Bernhard Liese and Larry Gostin have been very supportive during my time here. Lindsay Wiley was also particularly supportive and provided the initial push for me to teach a course on International Trade and Health, which has shaped some of the views in this book.

On a personal note, I would like to thank my partner, Sanjula Weerasinghe, for her invaluable support throughout the process.

Washington, DC
September 2010
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