EDMUND BURKE AND THE ART
OF RHETORIC

Edmund Burke ranks among the most accomplished orators ever to debate in the British Parliament. But often his eloquence has been seen to compromise his achievements as a political thinker. In the first full-length account of Burke’s rhetoric, Paddy Bullard argues that Burke’s ideas about civil society – and, particularly, about the process of political deliberation – are, for better or worse, shaped by the expressiveness of his language. Above all, Burke’s eloquence is designed to express ethos or character. This rhetorical imperative is itself informed by Burke’s argument that the competency of every political system can be judged by the ethical knowledge that the governors have both of the people that they govern, and of themselves. Bullard finds the intellectual roots of Burke’s ‘rhetoric of character’ in early modern moral and aesthetic philosophy. He traces its development through Burke’s parliamentary career to its culmination in his masterpiece, Reflections on the Revolution in France.
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The late Christopher Lloyd, gardening correspondent for The Guardian and castellan of Great Dixter, maintained that the point of growing one’s own vegetables – especially the sort of root crops with which Edmund Burke experimented at his farm near Beaconsfield – is to bring them on slowly in relatively uncultivated soil. This makes them far more delicious than the super-fertilized, shop-bought alternative. Edmund Burke and the Art of Rhetoric has been a long time growing, and hopefully its flavour is the better for it. But the analogy is a bad one, because the book could hardly have been planted in richer or sweeter soil. The President and fellows of St John’s College, Oxford elected me to a Lamb and Flag Senior Scholarship in 1997, providing a home in which to complete the doctoral thesis from which this book germinated. Isabel Rivers and Nicholas Phillipson were scrupulous D.Phil. examiners. They have continued to offer me much-valued advice and encouragement, and to write me references, for which I cannot thank them enough. While writing the book I held posts at three Oxford colleges. My chief friend and colleague at each must stand in for many others in these acknowledgements: Ros Ballaster at Mansfield; Tom Keymer while he was at St Anne’s; and Bart van Es at St Catz. I am particularly indebted to the Master and fellows of St Catherine’s for electing me to the Rank Junior Research Fellowship in 2005, and for extending their wonderful generosity with a Research Associateship in 2008–9. It was at St Catz that this book took root and ripened. At the University of Kent, Jennie Batchelor, Bernhard Klein, Donna Landry and many other kind new colleagues have welcomed me warmly, ahead of harvest home.

David Womersley was the most patient and husbandmanlike of doctoral supervisors. His friendship and scholarly example, from which I continued to benefit at St Catherine’s, lie behind all that is good in this book. I am deeply indebted to Jim McLaverty, David’s fellow principal investigator at the AHRC Jonathan Swift Archive, for his generous
support while I was a research fellow on that project, and since that time too. It is my constant study to imitate Jim’s tact – intellectual and personal – and to give him fewer occasions for using it in its latter form. Among my other Swiftian colleagues, Adam Rounce and Daniel Cook have been great friends and co-conspirators.

The ‘Restoration to Reform’ seminar at Oxford University has been my scholarly seedbed for the last decade, and it was a privilege to act as co-convenor with Abigail Williams and Rebecca Bullard in 2006–9. Among the many friends I have learned from and argued with there, I would particularly like to thank Marilyn Butler, Luisa Calé, Liam Condon, Faramerz Dabhoiwal, Robert DeMaria, Rosemary Dixon, Markman Ellis, Stuart Gillespie, Kathryn Gleadle, Mina Gorji, Felicity James, Roger Lonsdale, Kate Loveman, Patrick Mackie, Jon Mee, Claude Rawson, Michael F. Suarez SJ, Kathryn Sutherland, Alexis Tadić, Kate Tunstall, Marcus Walsh, Sean Walsh and Susan Wyman. The international community of scholars working on Burke has been very supportive. I have benefited especially from Fred Lock’s unrivalled knowledge of the subject. I first became interested in Edmund Burke as an undergraduate when I read the chapter on Hazlitt and Burke in David Bromwich’s Hazlitt: The Mind of a Critic, so I am particularly grateful for his correspondence on matters Burkean. In June 2007 Fred, David and many other eminent Burke scholars presented their work at a conference I organized in Oxford, ‘Edmund Burke and the Business of Affection’. I am grateful to the English and History Faculties at Oxford University for their generous support of that event. At this and other conferences I have gained many insights from talking to Eric Baker, Michael Brown, J.C. D. Clark, Claire Connolly, Ian Crowe, Frans de Bruyn, Michael Funk Deckard, Sean Patrick Donlan, David Dwan, Luke Gibbons, Ryan Hanley, Ian Harris, James Harris, Tony Howe, Jeremy Jennings, Robert Jones, Karen O’Brien, Joseph L. Pappin, Seamus Perry, Jennifer Pitts, Matthew Scott, Brian Young and many others.

Six colleagues took time out of their busy schedules to read parts of the manuscript: this book has been improved immeasurably by the expertise of Richard Bourke, Rebecca Bullard, Daniel Carey, Sean Connolly, Rhodri Lewis and Christopher Reid. I cannot thank them enough for their help. I must also thank my two anonymous readers at Cambridge University Press for their meticulous and generous treatment of the original manuscript. Working with Linda Bree, my exemplary editor at Cambridge University Press, has been a privilege, not least because this book shares her protection (and therefore has a sort of vicarious
Acknowledgements

association) with so much that is excellent in recent eighteenth-century studies. The vigilant solicitude of Maartje Scheltens, who saw the book through the press, and of Damian Love, who copy-edited the manuscript, has improved the finished product immeasurably.

Clement Bullard and Louis Bullard concentrated my mind on the task at hand, while putting it all into perspective. Without the unstinting generosity of Anne and Frank Rees I doubt that the book would ever have reached completion. Diana Bullard and Elizabeth Bullard have been a constant source of love and support. This book is dedicated to Rebecca, with devoted love.
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corr.</strong></td>
<td><em>The Correspondence of Edmund Burke</em>, ed. Thomas W. Copeland, 10 vols. (Cambridge University Press, 1958–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OED</strong></td>
<td><em>Oxford English Dictionary</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>