

## Contents

<i>Series editors' preface</i>	<i>page</i> <b>xiii</b>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvi
<i>Table of treaties</i>	xix
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xx
<i>Table of Council Decisions</i>	xxi
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
I. The rise – and relevance – of new governance in the European Union	1
II. What does this book have to add? Two waves of 'new governance in the European Union'	4
III. The need for a third wave	12
IV. Methodology and structure	16
<b>1. The origins of an Open Method of Coordination</b>	<b>24</b>
1. Introduction	24
2. The social deficit as original sin? The two axes of Europe's 'Economic Constitution'	27
2.1 The subordination thesis	27
2.2 'Embedded' liberalism	29
3. Whither the economic constitution?	32
3.1 Multi-level governance and the erosion of the vertical axis	32
3.2 The proceduralisation of European law	34
3.3 The internal market programme and the erosion of the horizontal axis	37

## viii CONTENTS

4. 'New governance' and the birth of the OMC	42
4.1 The social deficit	42
4.2 The OMC as an example of 'new governance'	44
4.3 The continuity thesis	45
4.4 The ambiguous nature of 'Social Europe' in the OMC	47
5. Reflexivity or colonisation? Two readings of open coordination under a revised Lisbon strategy	51
5.1 The OMC as paper tiger	51
5.2 The OMC as Trojan horse	53
5.3 Laval, Viking and the possibility of 'reflexive governance'	57
6. Conclusion: towards a new 'economic constitution'?	67
<b>2. Relating governance and law</b>	<b>69</b>
1. Introduction	69
2. Theorising governance in law	72
2.1 Outside theories: governance as external to law	72
2.2 Between complementarity and agonism: what do outside theories mean?	77
3. The problem with outside approaches	83
3.1 The integration of governance and law	84
3.2 The congruence of governance and law	87
4. Towards an inside theory?	92
4.1 Remodelling the European 'rule of law'	92
4.2 'Dynamic accountability' and its limits	96
5. Conclusion	101
<b>3. Governance as proceduralisation</b>	<b>103</b>
1. Introduction	103
2. Governance as proceduralisation	105
2.1 Paradigms of law	105
2.2 The idea of proceduralisation	109
2.3 Proceduralisation in the EU	114
2.4 Problematising the procedural paradigm	118
3. Governance and experimentalism	121
3.1 Directly-deliberative polyarchy and the pragmatist challenge	121

3.2 The 'experimentalist architecture' of governance in the European Union	128
3.3 Experimentalism and the rule of law	132
(i) The problem of power	132
(ii) The problem of hierarchy	134
(iii) The problem of stability	135
4. Governance as reflexive law	138
4.1 The use of reflexive law	138
4.2 Reflexive law in the EU	144
4.3 Some problems with reflexive law	149
5. Common problems, common solutions and common tensions	153
5.1 Common problems – the ambivalence of 'materialisation' in modern law	153
5.2 Common solutions – five common features of the 'procedural turn'	155
(i) Law as cognitive	156
(ii) Law as adaptive	156
(iii) Law as participative	157
(iv) Law as reflexive	157
(v) Law as procedural	158
5.3 Common tensions – reintroducing hierarchy? The politics of governance and the politics of law	159
<b>4. Assessing the procedural paradigm: the case of the OMC SPIS</b>	<b>164</b>
1. Introduction	164
1.1 Methodology	166
1.2 The Europeanisation of social inclusion: the structure and evolution of the 'OMC SPIS'	169
TESTING FIVE FEATURES OF A PROCEDURAL APPROACH TO THE OMC	175
2. Law as cognitive	175
2.1 The 'paradigm' of social inclusion in the EU	175
2.2 Setting the agenda	179
2.3 Cognitive gaps	180
2.4 Destabilising knowledge?	182
3. Law as adaptive	184
3.1 The challenge of diversity	184
3.2 Flexibility v. participation?	187
3.3 Is there such a thing as a 'dialectical' OMC?	188

X CONTENTS

4. Law as participative	190
4.1 Participation as structural entry	192
4.2 Who is participating?	196
4.3 Excluded groups: the local level	199
4.4 Excluded groups: parliament	203
4.5 Deliberative polyarchy and executive governance	207
5. Law as reflexive	209
5.1 Varieties of integration: encouraging functional and territorial reflexivity	209
5.2 The integration of states – the use and limits of peer review	211
5.3 Functional integration – the ‘colonisation’ thesis revisited	215
6. Law as procedural	222
6.1 Law as procedure	222
6.2 The implementation gap	225
6.3 The politicisation of procedure	227
7. Assessing the procedural paradigm – proceduralism and the politics of governance	231
<b>5. Constitutionalising new governance</b>	<b>235</b>
1. Introduction – what does it mean to ‘constitutionalise’ new governance?	235
2. Juridification and the Convention for the future of Europe	238
3. Solidarity and the use of fundamental rights	244
3.1 Social rights under the Charter	244
3.2 The paradoxes of Charterisation	247
4. The good Court	254
4.1 Expanding participation rights	257
4.2 Decision-making – improving the information basis	259
4.3 Decision-making – the duty to give reasons	263
4.4 Facilitating transparency through access to documents	264
5. Governing in law’s shadow?	266
5.1 Standing and access to justice	268
5.2 Standing and political participation	272
6. Politicisation and ‘republican’ constitutionalism	277
7. Reintegrating parliaments: governance under the shadow of politics	280

	CONTENTS	xi
8. An ombudsman for new governance: life beyond legality		292
9. Societal constitutionalism and social impact assessment: encouraging functional reflexivity		300
10. Conclusion		307
<b>Epilogue: the future of the Open Method of Coordination</b>		<b>311</b>
<i>Annexes</i>		
<i>Annex 1 Questions for the respondents</i>		317
<i>Annex 2 List of non-governmental respondents</i>		321
<i>Annex 3 History and development of the OMC SPSI (1997–2010)</i>		322
<i>Annex 4 The new ‘streamlined’ OMC SPSI (2008–10)</i>		326
<i>Bibliography</i>		328
<i>Index</i>		345