addiction discourse. See illness and addiction discourse
alternativity. See mental spaces
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metaphoric structure in, 180
metonymy in, 180, 181
analogy
contrast with simile, 138
vital relations. See blends, vital relations
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source–target asymmetry, 133–7
Aymara, 8. See also spatial semantic systems
gesture in time metaphor system, 178
spatial model of time as relative but static, 174
temporal–spatial metaphor system, 174–6
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Belhare. See metaphor, crosslinguistic variations
Bergen, Benjamin K., 37, 38
Bickel, Balthasar, 166
blends (Blending Theory), 6–7, 9
analogy in, 84
building of, 82–4
compression, 86, 87
temporal compression, 86, 96, 114, 190, 192
decompression in, 86–7
definition of, 76
emergent structure of, 75, 76, 82, 85, 97
generic space, 75
human scale, 63, 94, 97
input spaces, 75
metaphoric, 82
metonymy and, 98
projection, 83, 97
backward, 83, 84
selective, 82, 132
running the blend, 80, 82, 84
types of blends
double-scope blends, 93–4
limited-scope blends, 145
megablend, 94, 118. See also blends (specific blends cited), riding the wave
mirror blends, 91–3
simplex blends, 90
single-scope blends, 87, 90, 148
unpacking of, 94
viewpoint in, 10, 85
vital relations, 84, 85, 94
XYZ Constructions. See constructions (specific constructions cited)
blends (specific blends cited)
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“boat/island” blend, 195
“debate with Kant” blend, 93
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“riding the wave” blend, 94–7
“toxic info” blend, 82, 87
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conventionality vs novelty, 81
human category structure, 11
levels of, 43
metonymy and, 11. See also metonymy, categorial
subordinate level of, 80
Chen, Mel Y., 64, 102
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color metaphors, 167
front/back time metaphors, 172
models of emotions, 166
up/down time metaphors, 172
Cienki, Alan, 178
Cognitive (or Conceptual) Metaphor Theory. See metaphor
complex metaphors. See metaphor
compositional structure, 47
compression. See blends (Blending Theory)
conflation, 25–6
construction grammar, 7
asymmetry in, 133–7
constructional compositionality, 159, 160, 161
figurative meaning in, 216
form–meaning mappings in, 129
metonymy and, 112
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Attributive-Adjective Construction, 128, 135
causation constructions, 202
Caused-Motion Construction, 128, 131, 132, 133, 160
Comparison Construction, 146. See also simile
As X as Y, 143
The X-er the Y-er, 201
X BE like Y, 141
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Predicational, 136, 137, 138
Specificational, 136, 137
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denominal verbs, 112
deverbal nouns, 112, 127, 160
Ditransitive Construction, 130–1, 132, 133, 160
Domain-Adjective Construction, 128, 135
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genitives, 158
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Nominal-Modification Constructions, 151
Predicate–Argument Constructions, 135
Predicative Construction, 140, 142, 145, 151
Predictive Conditional Constructions, 149. See also mental spaces, alternatively
X is the new Y Construction, 154–6, 157, 158, 160
XYZ Construction, 90, 151–3, 154
frame metonymy and, 152
proper names in, 153, 156
Croft, William, 49, 50–3
crosslinguistic variation 162–82. See also Aymara, Chinese, English, French, gesture, metaphor, spatial systemic systems, time metaphors
color categories, 163–4, 165
GRUE, 163
color metaphors, 167
models of emotions, 166
universals. See metaphor: crosslinguistic

Dancygier, Barbara, 21, 130, 149, 150, 154, 156, 157, 194, 201
Descamp, M. Therese, 210
discourse, 9, 10. See also illness and addiction
discourse, literary discourse, political discourse, religious discourse, science discourse
domains. See also frames
concreteness vs abstractness, 43, 62
urnal models of, 166
frames, 18, 19–20
Sullivan, Karen, 18
label choices, 17
priming of source, 171
relationship between source and target, 38, 181
source, 14
target, 14
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gesture in. See gesture
models of emotions, 166
Event Structure Metaphor, 104
Location Event Structure Metaphor, 44–9, 55, 79, 173. See also metaphor (specific
frames cited)
Object Event Structure Metaphor, 48
Fauconnier, Gilles, 5, 6, 7, 11, 48, 90, 118, 130, 173
blending theory, 75, 84
mental spaces theory, 76
figurative meaning
definition of, 4
experimental work and, 218–19
frames and, 220
grammatical structure and, 159, 160, 161
interpretation and schematicity, 214–16
linguistic questions and, 219
literary vs figurative language, 219
multimodal aspects of language use and, 220
nature of, 1
Fillmore, Charles J., 17
frame metonymy
asymmetry and, 113–15, 116
cultural specificity and, 124
definition of, 5
description of, 101–2, 125
grammatical construction and, 160
in discourse, 193
part–whole, 101, 103, 108
abbreviations, 110
active zone, 108
objectification. See objectification
patterns of, 108–10
proper names and, 156, 157
valid cues, 113, 114
frames, 11, 17
basic-level frame, 79
gestalt structure in, 17
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levels of, 18, 51–2
lexical frame, roles in, 17
proper names and, 21, 156. See also proper names
schematicity, levels of, 17, 19, 24, 42, 78, 79
scripts, 18
shared frame structure, 68, 70, 71, 124
structure of, 18, 19
superordinate frame (or schematic frame), 79
viewpoint in, 20
frames (specific frames cited)
Anger, 39
Competition, 67, 68, 69, 70
Eating, 50
Journey, 45, 71
Marriage, 17–18, 19
Progress. See political discourse
Race, 24
Relation, 187
Restaurant, 20, 113
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gesture in. See gesture
up/down time metaphors, 172

Gaby, Alice, 177
Gentner, Dedre, 138, 202
Gentner, Donald R., 202
gesture, 8, 174, 177, 178–9, 182, 215, 220
crosslinguistic variation
Aymara. See Aymara
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French, 178
visual-gestural languages, 179–81. See also
American Sign Language
iconicity, 179
metaphoric aspects of time in, 180
Gibbs, Raymond W., 35, 36, 38, 65
Goldberg, Adele E., 7, 128, 129, 130, 133
Grady, Joseph, 25, 54, 65

iconicity. See gesture
idioms. See metaphor, conventional vs creative
ilness and addiction discourse
Boundary schema in, 184, 185, 188, 217
citizenship metaphor in, 184, 185
combat metaphors in, 184, 186
Hitchens, Christopher, 185, 186
irony in. See irony
Relationship frame in, 187
Sontag, Susan, 184–5
viewpoint in, 185, 187–8
image metaphors. See metaphor
image schema
definition of, 22, 23
frames and, 24

image schemas (specific schemas cited)
Barrier, 23, 27
Boundary, 184, 185, 217
Container, 23, 47, 85, 195
Directed Motion along a Path, 44
Force, 23, 44
Holding an Object, 44
Path, 23, 24
Up/Down, 23

inheritance, 53, 57, 62, 67, 68, 70, 71
Invariance Principle, 48, 74
aspectual structure, 74, 80
causal structure, 74, 80
scalar structure, 74
irony
in illness and addiction discourse, 185–7, 212
viewpoint in, 186
Israel, Michael, 186

Jasmin, Kyle, 178
Johnson, Christopher, 25, 26, 65
Johnson, Mark, 2, 14, 22, 24, 26, 27, 41, 49, 54, 66
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40, 41, 46, 49, 54, 59, 66, 74, 166, 170, 196

Langacker, Ronald, 108, 124, 129, 131, 134
Le Guen, Olivier, 177
Levinson, Stephen, 164, 165, 168
literary discourse, 196, 212
blends in, 201
Dickinson, Emily, 199–200
Frost, Robert, 200
iconicity in, 197
maximalism, 197, 198
Shakespeare, 198
metonymy in
Grahame, Kenneth, 194
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minimalism, 197, 198, 199
Williams, William Carlos, 197

narrative prose, 201
Lutz, Catherine, 166

mappings
analogical, 141
composition of, 196
definition of, 14
domains and, 13
elaboration, 196
extension, 196
frames and, 69. See also metaphor, families,
inheritance
open and restricted, 140. See also similes,
narrow and broad scope
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<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>schematicity, levels of, 43, 46, 49–53, 71, 188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source-of-Target metaphors. See political discourse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synesthetic metaphor, 60, 61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>back/front time metaphors, 172</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
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<tr>
<td>ACRESCENCE IS SWALLOWING, 53, 65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFFECTION IS WARMTH, 25, 27, 37, 38, 65</td>
<td></td>
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<td>ANGER IS A DANGEROUS WILD BEAST, 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGER/AN ANGRY PERSON IS A WILD BEAST, 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARGUMENT IS COMBAT, 15, 16, 66, 67, 144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARGUMENT IS WAR, 14, 15, 16, 66, 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTHORITY IS UP, 59, 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAD IS DOWN, 189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEERFULNESS IS AMBIENT LIGHT LEVEL, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATION IS OBJECT EXCHANGE, 15, 16, 69, 84, 132, 180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPETITION IS A RACE, 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduit Metaphor. See COMMUNICATION IS OBJECT EXCHANGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATIONS ARE PEOPLE, 62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPENDENCE IS PHYSICAL SUPPORT, 33, 54, 58, 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFFICULTIES ARE OBSTACLES, 55, 59, 199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass ceiling metaphor, 58, 69, 70, 71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD IS UP, 22, 25, 47, 172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAPPINESS IS LIGHT OF BRIGHTNESS, 167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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HAPPY IS UP, 37, 38, 47
HAPPY IS UP, SAD IS DOWN, 37, 166
HEALTHY IS UP, 47
HOLY IS UP, 166
IDEAS ARE FOOD, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 132, 195
IDEAS ARE OBJECTS, 15, 50, 69, 132
IDEAS ARE EDIBLE OBJECTS, 50, 51
INTELLECTUAL ASSIMILATION IS DIGESTION, 52, 69
INTELLIGENCE IS A LIGHT SOURCE, 20
INTELLIGENCE IS LIGHT EMISSION, 137
INTIMACY IS CLOSEDNESS, 181
KNOWING IS HEARING, 166
KNOWING IS SEEING, 4, 11, 19, 26, 27, 35, 36, 59, 65, 70, 71, 125, 141, 146, 166, 168, 175, 189
KNOWLEDGE IS VISION, 28, 31, 34
LESS IS DOWN, 22, 79, 165
LIFE CHOICES ARE CROSSROADS, 200
LIFE IS A CYCLE OF LIGHT AND HEAT, 198
LIFE IS A MOVING SHADOW, 198
LIFE IS A PLAYER, 198
LIFE IS A STORY, 198, 199
Location Event Structure Metaphor
ACTION IS MOTION, 46, 213
ACTIONS ARE SELF-PROPELLED MOVEMENTS, 44
CAUSATION IS FORCED MOVEMENT, 44, 202
CAUSED CHANGE IS CAUSED MOTION, 128
CAUSES ARE FORCES, 44
CHANGE IS MOTION, 47, 57, 79, 128, 133, 202
DIFFICULTIES ARE IMPEDIMENTS TO MOTION, 44, 46
INABILITY TO ACT IS INABILITY TO MOVE, 46
LONG-TERM PURPOSEFUL ACTIVITIES ARE JOURNEYS, 46
MANNER OF ACTION IS MANNER OF MOTION, 46
MEANS ARE PATHS, 44, 46
PROGRESS IS FORWARD MOTION, 44
PURPOSES ARE DESTINATIONS, 40, 55, 104, 199, 213
PURPOSIVE ACTION IS GOAL-DIRECTED MOTION, 44, 45, 46, 71, 79, 128
STATES ARE BOUNDED REGIONS OR CONTAINERS, 47

STATES ARE LOCATIONS, 44, 46, 47, 57, 79, 133
LOVE IS A SHARED JOURNEY, 57, 58
MIND IS A CONTAINER, 85
MIND IS BODY FAMILY, 27, 50, 51, 86, 167
Moral Accounting Metaphor, 66
MORE IS UP, 22, 26, 30, 39, 56, 65, 70, 79, 141, 165, 166, 168, 181
NATIONS ARE PEOPLE, 66
Object Event Structure Metaphor
BEING IN A SITUATION IS HAVING AN OBJECT, 146
PEOPLE ARE COMPUTERS VS COMPUTERS ARE PEOPLE, 30
APPEARENTLY ERRATIC ASPECTS OF COMPUTER BEHAVIOR ARE EMOTIONAL MOOD-BASED ASPECTS OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR, 30
HUMAN COGNITIVE PROCESSING IS COMPUTER INFORMATION PROCESSING, 30
PERSISTENCE IS REMAINING ERECT, VI, 54, 58, 70
POWER IS UP/STATUS IS UP, 166
PROMOTION IS UPWARDS MOTION, 59
PURPOSIVE ACTION IS DIRECTED MOTION, 199
RATIONAL ARGUMENT IS COMBAT BETWEEN INTENTIONAL AGENTS, 16
RELATIONSHIPS ARE PHYSICAL TIES OR LINKS, 8
RELIGIOUS METAPHORS
ESSENTIAL IS CENTRAL, 211
GOD AS SHEPHERD, 209
GOD IS A FATHER, 210, 216
GOOD IS UP, 210
POWER IS UP, 210, 211
ROMANTIC-RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT IS KITE FLYING, 8
SADNESS IS DARKNESS, 167
SCIENCE METAPHORS
ELECTRICAL CURRENT IS FLOWING WATER, 202
ELECTRICAL CURRENT IS MOVING CROWDS OF OBJECTS, 202
THE ATOM IS A SOLAR SYSTEM, 71
SECRET IS CONCEALMENT, 65, 70
SELF IS A CONTAINER, 29
SIGNIFICANCE IS WEIGHT, 37
SPORTS ARE WAR, 67, 69
STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT OF AN IDEA IS STAGE OF PREPARATION OF FOOD, 50
STATUS IS UP, 173
THE APPEAL OF AN IDEA IS THE APPEAL OF FOOD, 50
THE INTELLECTUAL VALUE OF AN IDEA IS THE NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF FOOD, 50
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<td>EARLIER EVENTS ARE IN FRONT OF LATER EVENTS, 169</td>
<td>TITLE FOR BOOK, 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EARLIER TIMES ARE HIGHER, 179</td>
<td>Moder, Carol, 140, 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EARLIER TIMES ARE HIGHER, LATER TIMES ARE LOWER, 172</td>
<td>Moore, Kevin E., 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGO IS MOVING TOWARDS FUTURE EVENTS, 61</td>
<td>Morgan, Pamela S., 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE EVENTS ARE MOVING TOWARDS EGO, 38, 61</td>
<td>Müller, Cornelia, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE IS BEHIND, 172</td>
<td>Núñez, Rafael E., 8, 169, 172, 174, 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE IS IN FRONT OF EGO, 169, 178</td>
<td>objectification, 64, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving-Ego, 170, 171, 179</td>
<td>metaphoric vs metonymic, 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving-Time, 170, 171, 172, 173</td>
<td>sexual body part metonymic frames, 102–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOW IS EGO’S LOCATION, 169, 178</td>
<td>personification, 62–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAST IS BEHIND EGO, 169, 178</td>
<td>political discourse compression in. See blends (Blending Theory)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAST IS IN FRONT OF EGO, 174</td>
<td>frame evocation in, 191, 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME IS A WRITTEN RECORD, 198</td>
<td>frames in, 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME IS RELATIVE MOTION IN SPACE, 62</td>
<td>Kennedy, John F., 190–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME PERIODS ARE BOUNDED REGIONS, 79</td>
<td>King, Martin Luther, 188–90, 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDERSTANDING IS GRASPING, 11, 27</td>
<td>Obama, Barack, 191–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIMPORTANT IS SMALL, 36</td>
<td>Progress frame, 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAR IS A SPORT, 67</td>
<td>Source-of-Target metaphors in, 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAR IS ARGUMENT, 66, 69</td>
<td>polysemy, 106, 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metonymy</td>
<td>definition of, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>active zone, 124</td>
<td>primary metaphors. See metaphor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blends and, 114, 117, 126</td>
<td>primary scenes, 25, 103, 165, 169, 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>categorical metonymy, 101, 108, 115–17, 123, 125</td>
<td>proper names, 156–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definition of, 100</td>
<td>in political discourse, 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frame correlation, 103–4, 105, 123, 125</td>
<td>indefinite articles and, 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frame metonymy. See frame metonymy in discourse, 195, 212</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linguistic-form, 110–13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abbreviations, See frame-metonymy part–whole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acronyms, 110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if or when clauses, 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indirect speech acts, 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linguistic markers, 114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhymes, 111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metaphors and, 103–6, 125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nature of, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectification. See objectification part–whole. See frame metonymy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polysemy and categorical metonymy, 106–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schematicity, levels of, 104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synecdoche vs, 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viewpoint and, 10, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
science discourse (cont.)
metaphors
 theory-constitutive vs pedagogical, 205–6
 models of electricity, 202–3
 source domains in, 206–8
 scripts. See frames
 Semino, Elena, 205, 206
 simile
 asymmetric construction in, 141
 broad-scope, 139, 145, 147
 in jokes, 147
 categorization of, 139–40
 characterization of, 138–42, 161
 limited-scope blend as 145. See also blends
 narrow-scope, 139, 142, 143, 147
 negation and, 149
 spatial semantic systems. See also crosslinguistic
 variation
 Absolute spatial languages, 164
 Guugu Yimithirr, 164
 Kuuk Thaayorre, 164, 177
 Yucatec Maya, 177
 Yupno, 176
 Absolute spatial systems, 164, 168
 Absolute spatial terms, 164
 cardinal-direction systems, 164, 165, 176
 landscape-features systems, 164
 Relative spatial languages
 Aymara. See Aymara
 English, 164
 Relative spatial systems, 164, 168
 Relative spatial terms, 164
 Steinhart, Eric, 205
 Sullivan, Karen, 18, 19, 20, 53, 128, 133–4,
 135–7, 197, 198
 Sweetser, Eve, 8, 27, 65, 111, 130, 149, 150, 153,
 167, 169, 172, 174, 194, 197, 198, 210
 synesthesia, 60
 Taub, Sarah F., 66, 179
 Tobin, Vera, 186
 Turner, Mark, 6, 7, 11, 48, 59, 90, 118, 153, 173,
 196
 blending theory, 75, 84
 viewpoint, 10
 experiential viewpoint, 216
 genitives and, 158
 in discourse, 187–8
 frames and, 20
 in discourse, 185, 212
 in figurative patterns, 216
 in metaphor, 46
 irony and, 186–7
 mappings and, 39–41
 metonymy and, 10
 multiple perspective construal, 188
 vital relations. See blends
 Yu, Ning, 167, 172