Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use Thomas J. Miceli Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

THE ECONOMIC THEORY OF EMINENT DOMAIN

This book surveys the contributions that economic theory has made to the often contentious debate over the government's use of its power of eminent domain, as prescribed by the Fifth Amendment. It addresses such questions as: When should the government be allowed to take private property without the owner's consent? Does it depend on how the land will be used? And what amount of compensation, if any, is the landowner entitled to receive? The recent case of *Kelo v. New London* (2005) revitalized the debate, but it was only the latest skirmish in the ongoing struggle between advocates of strong governmental powers to acquire private property in the public interest and private property rights advocates. Written for a general audience, the book advances a coherent theory that views eminent domain within the context of the government's proper role in an economic system whose primary objective is to achieve efficient land use.

Thomas J. Miceli is Professor of Economics at the University of Connecticut in Storrs, where he has taught since 1987. He is a recognized scholar in the fields of law and economics and urban economics, and he has published widely in such journals as *The Journal of Legal Studies, The Journal of Law and Economics*, and *The Rand Journal of Economics. The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain* is his fifth book. Professor Miceli received his PhD from Brown University in 1988.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use Thomas J. Miceli Frontmatter More information

The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain

Private Property, Public Use

THOMAS J. MICELI

University of Connecticut



978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use
9/8-1-10/-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Emment Domain. Theate Toperty, Tubic Ose
Thomas J. Miceli
Frontmatter
More information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521182973

© Thomas J. Miceli 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Miceli, Thomas J. The economic theory of eminent domain: private property, public use / Thomas J. Miceli. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-18297-3 (pbk.) 1. Eminent domain – United States. 2. Eminent domain – Economic aspects. I. Title. KF5599.M45 2011 343.73'0252–dc22 2010054309

ISBN 978-1-107-00525-9 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-18297-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use Thomas J. Miceli Frontmatter More information

> To Ana Maria, whom I can never fully compensate

Cambridge University Press	
78-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use	ŗ
Thomas J. Miceli	
rontmatter	
Aore information	

Contents

List of Tables and Figures	page x
Preface	xi
1. Introduction: A Framework for Analysis	1
1.1. The Takings Clause	3
1.2. Theoretical Preliminaries: Externalities	
and the Coase Theorem	4
1.3. The Role of Transaction Costs	8
1.4. Enforcement Rules: The Choice between	
Property Rules and Liability Rules	9
1.5. The General Transaction Structure	11
1.6. Overview of Takings Law	14
1.7. Plan of the Book	16
2. Public Use	21
2.1. Case Law on Public Use	22
2.2. The Means-Ends Distinction	24
2.3. The Free-Rider Problem	24
2.4. The Holdout Problem	27
2.5. The Relationship between Free-Riders and Holdouts:	
Toward a General Framework for Public Use	32
2.6. Bilateral Monopoly	34
2.7. Other Examples of Private Takings	35
2.8. The Mill Acts and Partition Statutes	38
2.9. Urban Renewal and Takings	40
2.10. Urban Sprawl and Takings	43
2.11. Arguments against the Private Use of Eminent Domair	n 46

Cambridge University Press	
978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, P	ublic Use
Thomas J. Miceli	
Frontmatter	
More information	

viii	Contents	
	2.12. Historical Patterns of the Delegation of Eminent	
	Domain to Private Enterprises in the United States 2.13. Statistical Analysis of State Use of Private Takings	48
	and the Political Response Thereto	51
3.	Just Compensation	56
	3.1. Just Compensation Equals Fair Market Value	57
	3.2. Schemes for Revealing Sellers' True Valuations	62
	3.3. Estimation of Actual Compensation Paid in Eminent	
	Domain Cases	66
	3.4. Eminent Domain as a Subsidy to Developers	68
	3.5. Exit as a Source of Government Discipline	71
	3.6. Market Value Compensation and Tax-Motivated Takings	72
	3.7. Compensation as Insurance	75
	3.8. Demoralization Costs: The Costs of Not Paying	
	Compensation	77
	3.9. Capitalization and Compensation	80
	3.10. Grandfathering versus Compensation	83
	3.11. Conclusion: Public Use and Just Compensation Are Two Sides of the Same Coin	0.2
	Are Two Sides of the Same Coin	83
4.	Land Use Incentives	85
	4.1. Moral Hazard and the No-Compensation Result	86
	4.2. Efficiency of the Taking Decision	89
	4.3. Constitutional Choice Models	96
	4.4. Market Value Compensation and the Epstein-Fischel	
	Conjecture	101
	4.5. The Timing of Development	103
	4.6. A Digression on Unity in Torts, Contracts, and Takings	105
	4.7. Insurance and Incentives	108
	4.8. Michelman's Standard Revisited	110
	4.9. A Proviso on Informational Requirements	112
5.	Regulatory Takings	113
	5.1. Legal Tests for Compensation	114
	5.2. Other Tests for Compensation	120
	5.3. An Economic Theory of Regulatory Takings:	
	The Efficient Threshold Rule	123
	5.4. Implementation of the Threshold Rule	127
	5.5. Implications of the Efficient Threshold Rule	
	for Regulatory Takings Law	128

Cambridge University Press	
978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Pu	blic Use
Thomas J. Miceli	
Frontmatter	
More information	

	Contents	ix
	5.6. Epstein's View of Takings Law	130
	5.7. Did Keystone Overrule Pennsylvania Coal?	132
	5.8. An Alternative Version of the Efficient Threshold Rule	133
	5.9. In-Kind Compensation: Neighborhood Externalities	
	Revisited	136
	5.10. The Essential Nexus and Proportionality Requirements:	
	Nollan and Dolan	139
	5.11. Some Applications of the Theory	141
6.	Conclusion: What Does Economic Theory	
	Teach Us about Eminent Domain?	151
App	Dendix	159
List	t of Cases	187
Ref	erences	189
Ind	ex	197

Cambridge University Press	
78-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use	,
Thomas J. Miceli	
Frontmatter	
More information	

Tables and Figures

Tables

1.1.	Coase's farmer-rancher example	page 6
1.2.	The general transaction structure	12
2.1.	Returns for the gardening example	25
2.2.	Returns for the sellers' entry game	30
2.3.	Returns for the sellers' entry game with the possibility	
	of forced sales in period two	31
2.4.	General framework for determining the appropriate	
	scope for government intervention in the market	33
2.5.	Returns to property owners from the various investment strategies	41
2.6.	Regression analysis of the determinants of filed	
	private takings by state, 1998–2002	53
4.1.	Data for the land development example	87
5.1.	Data for the regulation example	125
A2.1.	Payoff matrix for the sellers' entry game	164
A2.2.	Payoff matrix for the sellers' entry game when the	
	buyer has eminent domain power in period two	166
	Figures	
2.1.	The monocentric city model	44
2.2.	Relationship between average lot size and distance	
	from the city center	45
2.3.	Marginal benefit (<i>MB</i>) and marginal cost (<i>MC</i>)	
	of a government-sponsored project	50
3.1.	Illustration of subjective value in a demand-supply diagram	58
3.2.	Overacquisition of land under market-value compensation	68
A1.1.	The utility possibility frontier and the definition	
	of Pareto efficiency	160

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use Thomas J. Miceli Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Preface

The Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution gives the government the power to take private property for public use as long as it pays the owner just compensation. Though never popular, the government's exercise of this power for the purpose of constructing highways, hospitals, or other truly public projects is generally unquestioned. The recent case of *Kelo v. New London* (2005), however, pushed the limits of what constitutes an acceptable public use. In that case, the city sought to use eminent domain to acquire several private residences and small businesses in order to clear the way for a redevelopment project whose primary beneficiary was a large pharmaceutical company. In a 5–4 decision, the U.S. Supreme Court nevertheless upheld the city's right to take the property based on the enhanced tax revenues and new jobs that the project promised. The public outcry against this decision, and its apparent expansion of the government's power over private property, was loud and immediate, and was soon followed by political efforts in many states to curb the perceived abuse of eminent domain.

The *Kelo* case and its aftermath, however, was only the latest skirmish in the ongoing debate about the limits of eminent domain, pitting proponents of strong governmental powers to acquire or regulate property in the public interest on one side against private property rights advocates on the other. The legal terrain is well trod, yet there continues to be a lack of consensus on certain key issues, owing in part to their unavoidable political dimension. The goal of this book is to ask whether economic theory can help provide workable answers that transcend political affiliations. That eminent domain has an economic dimension is undeniable, considering that at its basis it is concerned with the transfer of land or other property interests from one user to another. An economic approach to takings focuses on whether, or under what conditions, a forced transfer (for that is what eminent domain

Cambridge University Press		
978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, F	Public	Use
Thomas J. Miceli		
Frontmatter		
More information		

xii

Preface

allows) is preferred to voluntary (or market) exchange as a means of achieving the maximum value of the property in question.

In adopting this perspective, I do not wish to suggest that economic efficiency is the only criterion by which eminent domain should be evaluated, nor do I wish to minimize the political or philosophical dimensions of the debate over this contentious subject. Rather, I hope to illustrate the power of economic theory to provide both a positive (descriptive) and normative (prescriptive) approach to this issue, thereby clarifying the nature of the legal and political debate, if not entirely resolving it.

Economic analysis of eminent domain has a long history, but research in this area has accelerated in the past quarter century as economists have brought increasingly sophisticated tools to bear on the subject. This book attempts to synthesize that research, but it is more than just a literature review. Rather, it seeks to advance a coherent perspective that embeds eminent domain within a larger economic theory of exchange that draws on insights gained from the wider field of law and economics, which in recent decades has had an increasing influence on the study of nearly all areas of law.

The book is aimed at a broad audience that includes legal scholars, economists, and general readers with an interest in how economics can inform legal debates. To accommodate readers with such diverse backgrounds, I have written the main text in an entirely non-technical way, with limited use of numerical examples to illustrate some of the more formal aspects of the various economic models. For economists interested in technical details, an appendix contains formal proofs of the key results that reflect the state-of-the-art of research in this area. (The appendix reproduces some material that previously appeared in a substantially shorter and more technical survey of the economics of eminent domain; see Miceli and Segerson 2007a.)

From a personal perspective, this book is the culmination of nearly twenty years of my own research on the economics of eminent domain. I was first exposed to the topic as an undergraduate in a law and economics course taught by Richard Adelstein, and my interest was revived by the publication of the seminal article by Blume, Rubinfeld, and Shapiro in 1984 while I was a graduate student. I therefore naturally turned to the subject as a new assistant professor in search of a research agenda, and I have worked on it more or less steadily ever since. Much of my work in this area has been done in collaboration with Kathy Segerson, whose insights are reflected throughout this book, as are the comments of Perry Shapiro and several anonymous reviewers who read and provided valuable feedback on the

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-00525-9 - The Economic Theory of Eminent Domain: Private Property, Public Use
Thomas J. Miceli
Frontmatter
More information

Preface

xiii

entire manuscript. I also want to thank Scott Parris, who expressed enthusiasm for the project at its early stages and shepherded it through the review and publication process. As usual, my greatest thanks are to Ana, Tommy, and Nick, whose continual support is of incalculable value.

> West Hartford, CT Summer 2010