

BIG-TIME SPORTS IN AMERICAN UNIVERSITIES

For almost a century, big-time college sports has been a wildly popular but consistently problematic part of American higher education. The challenges it poses to traditional academic values have been recognized from the start, but they have grown more ominous in recent decades, as cable television has become ubiquitous, commercial opportunities have proliferated, and athletic budgets have ballooned. Drawing on new research findings, this book takes a fresh look at the role of commercial sports in American universities. It shows that, rather than being the inconsequential student activity that universities often imply that it is, big-time sports has become a core function of the universities that engage in it. For this reason, the book takes this function seriously and presents evidence necessary for a constructive perspective on its value. Although big-time sports surely creates worrisome conflicts in values, it also brings with it some surprising positive consequences.

Charles T. Clotfelter is Z. Smith Reynolds Professor of Public Policy and Professor of Economics and Law at Duke University and a research associate in the National Bureau of Economic Research. His research has covered the economics of education, public finance, and state lotteries; tax policy and charitable behavior; and policies related to the nonprofit sector. His previous books on higher education are Buying the Best: Cost Escalation in Elite Higher Education (1996) and (with Ronald Ehrenberg, Malcolm Getz, and John Siegfried) Economic Challenges in Higher Education (1991). His most recent book is After Brown: The Rise and Retreat of School Desegregation (2004), and he is the editor of the volumes American Universities in a Global Market (2010) and (with Michael Rothschild) Studies of Supply and Demand in Higher Education (1993). He is also the author of Federal Tax Policy and Charitable Giving (1985) and (with Philip Cook) Selling Hope: State Lotteries in America (1989). Professor Clotfelter has taught at the University of Maryland and spent one year at the U.S. Treasury's Office of Tax Analysis. At Duke he has been a faculty member in the Institute of Policy Sciences and Public Affairs, now the Sanford School of Public Policy; the economics department; and the law school. He has served as Vice Provost for Academic Policy and Planning, Vice Chancellor, and Vice Provost for Academic Programs.





Big-Time Sports in American Universities

CHARLES T. CLOTFELTER

Duke University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www. cambridge. org Information on this title: www.cambridge. org/9781107004344

© Charles T. Clotfelter 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data
Clotfelter, Charles T.
Big-time sports in American universities / Charles T. Clotfelter.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-107-00434-4 (hardback)

1. College sports – United States. I. Title.
GV351.C56 2011
796.04'30973-dc22 2010050331

ISBN 978-1-107-00434-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For J.H.C., the Varsity, and Grant Field





Contents

List of Figures		page viii
List	of Tables	X
Prej	face	xi
Glo.	ssary of Abbreviations and Terms	xvii
	PART ONE. COMMERCIAL SPORTS AS A UNIVERSITY FU	NCTION
1.	Strange Bedfellows	3
2.	Priorities	23
3.	The Bigness of "Big Time"	43
	PART TWO. THE USES OF BIG-TIME COLLEGE SPOI	RTS
4.	Consumer Good, Mass Obsession	69
5.	Commercial Enterprise	94
6.	Institution Builder	125
7.	Beacon for Campus Culture	152
	PART THREE. RECKONING	
8.	Ends and Means	177
9.	Prospects for Reform	207
App	Appendix	
Notes		249
References		293
Index		303



Figures

2.1	Administrative units mentioned in university mission	
	statements	page 29
3.1	The growth of college football: games and attendance	49
3.2	Revenue from the NCAA men's basketball tournament,	
	1970–2010	56
3.3	Sports and non-sports stories in the New York Times,	
	selected universities, 2007, 1967, and 1927	60
3.4	The drop in articles viewed in JSTOR after Selection	
	Sunday, 78 research libraries	64
4.1	Fans of college football and basketball	78
4.2	Attendance per 1,000 population at NCAA football games,	
	by state, 2009	79
5.1	Average compensation of full professors, presidents,	
	and football coaches, 1985-1986 and 2009-2010, constant	
	2009–2010 dollars, 44 public universities	106
6.1	Guests in presidential boxes, 2008 football season	142
7.1	Nonrevenue athletes per student versus athletic revenue	
	per student, 2009	170
2A.1	Percent Republican, selected groups of employees and	
	stakeholders, 34 universities	226
3A.1	NCAA Division I men's basketball annual attendance	
	and games	228
7A.1	Indicators of social and economic status of incoming	
	freshmen in 2004, 115 public and private universities,	
	by level of athletic competition	242
7A.2	Living arrangements of incoming freshmen in 2004, 115	
	public and private universities, by level of athletic competition	243

viii



	Figures	ix
7A.3	Indicators of political and social attitudes of incoming	
	freshmen in 2004, 115 public and private universities,	
	by level of athletic competition	243
7A.4	Weekly time use of undergraduates, eight selective universities	244
7A.5	Undergraduate binge drinking, eight selective universities	244
7A.6	Overall ratings of college experience by undergraduates in	
	eight selective universities and freshmen in public universities	
	with enrollments of more than 10,000	245



Tables

1.1	Top 100 universities by expenditures on athletics, 2009	page 18
3.1	Televised football games, Chicago metropolitan area,	
	first Saturday in October, 1983, 1990, and 2009	54
4.1	TV advertising for the 2009 NCAA men's basketball	
	tournament	88
5.1	The pieces of the athletic department budget, 2008	98
5.2	Revenue profiles for four types of big-time college	
	sports programs	112
1A.1	Top universities in the world, 2008, according	
	to Shanghai Jiao Tong University ranking	223
2A.1	Functions or administrative units mentioned in mission	
	statements, 52 universities with big-time athletic programs	227
3A.1	Big-time college football then and now	229
3A.2	Televised basketball games, Chicago market, first	
	Saturday in February, 1983, 1990, and 2009	233
4A.1	Fans by gender and age	235
4A.2	TV commercials for the 2009 NCAA men's basketball	
	tournament, by product category	236
5A.1	Illustrative benefits for donations to booster organizations	238
5A.2	Total compensation for faculty, presidents, and football	
	coaches, 44 universities, 1985-1986 and 2009-2010	239
6A.1	Changes in academic ranking, 1995–2010	241
7A.1	Characteristics of incoming freshmen in 2004, 115 public	
	and private universities, by level of athletic competition	246
7A.2	Estimated media event and partisan fan effects on number	
	of articles viewed on JSTOR	247
8A.1	Graduation rates, 58 universities with big-time athletic	
	programs	248



Preface

Several hundred of the largest American universities do something not seen in universities anywhere else in the world. They sponsor athletic programs whose revenues, media coverage, and notoriety give them a striking resemblance to professional sports franchises. This fact is as unremarkable to most adults who were raised in this country as it must surely be strange to a first-time visitor from abroad. As an American who grew up following football and basketball as a fan and high school sports editor, I accepted as part of the natural order of things that athletic teams sponsored by universities like Georgia Tech and Penn State would compete in highly publicized games and that people like me might become emotionally invested in the outcomes. Even during my college years, when I had a very brief stint as a sports writer, I found nothing out of the ordinary about either the size of the college sports enterprise or the widespread interest in it.

It was not until I became a faculty member that I began to think there might be anything remarkable about the phenomenon of big-time college sports. As I began a career working alongside scholars at two research universities that also operate prominent commercial sports programs, Maryland and Duke, I was surprised at each place not by the attention that the campus and city newspapers devoted to college sports, but by how many faculty and staff members also took a keen interest in the university's teams. The same faculty colleagues who discussed a recent research paper one day might chat about the basketball team's upcoming game the next. And in the first of several brief tours of duty I spent in Duke's academic administration, I was more than a little surprised to discover how thoroughly the offices of the university's top administrators emptied out on the Friday of the first round of the Atlantic Coast Conference basketball tournament. Nor could I fail to notice the perpetual need to consult the basketball team's schedule before setting up a meeting of any significance.



xii Preface

Meanwhile, my administrative service stimulated my own interest in doing research on the economics of higher education. Yet my reading of scholarly research in this area revealed a strange disconnect. Despite what I saw as abundant evidence of the larger-than-life presence of big-time college sports, serious academic research about universities rarely deals with the subject at all. For almost 20 years I was the convener of a working group on higher education at the National Bureau of Economic Research. In the 30 meetings of that group that occurred over this period, scholars presented 176 research papers on topics ranging from financial aid, rising costs, and preferential admissions to faculty retirement, doctoral training, and sponsored research. But only one paper during this entire period had to do with big-time college sports. References to athletics are similarly missing from most official mission statements crafted by universities. Solely on the basis of what is written by those who lead or study these institutions, one could easily conclude that college athletics is little more than a minor extracurricular activity, something that could be added or dropped with no real impact on the university's real work.

Yet my own observations contradicted this official view, suggesting instead that big-time college sports plays quite a big role in the everyday life of universities and the communities and states around them. Indeed, in some regions of the country, and for some Americans across the country, following a favorite college team is a life's passion. The depth of this passion and the bizarre forms it can sometimes take set the commercial sports enterprise apart from all the other activities that universities routinely pursue. Tailgating rituals, painted faces, and screaming fans are part of American higher education as surely as physics labs and seminars on Milton. And this activity is nothing if not prominent, with some college teams playing virtually every one of its games in front of television cameras. In all its colorful manifestations, this enterprise has become by far the most visible feature of many American universities. It was the disparity between this reality and the virtual silence on the subject from scholars and institutions themselves that first motivated me to write this book.

I became increasingly curious not only about the prominent role played by commercial college sports, but also about its remarkable staying power. Despite a steady cavalcade of news stories detailing unsavory aspects of big-time college sports, otherwise reputable and rational universities have continued to operate their programs, striving for athletic success at the same time they pursue excellence in research, teaching, and public service. In the academic spirit of studying "ever-present but overlooked" aspects of everyday life, I decided to employ my skills as a social scientist to ask



Preface xiii

the same elementary question about big-time college sports that might be posed by a first-time visitor to this country. In fact, I came to find out that this was precisely the same question posed in a major national study published in 1929. If this basic question was being raised eight decades ago, one might think, surely by now we would know the answer. Indeed, if you put this question to the president or a trustee of one of the universities with a big-time sports program, you will get answers. The reasons they are apt to give for competing in big-time athletics might include the life lessons that athletes glean through competition, the donations generated from loyal alumni, the boost in student applications that comes from winning championships, or the school spirit that is created by intercollegiate competition. If you were to ask fans or others outside of universities, you might hear that a main reason for operating these kinds of athletic programs is the money they bring in. But my own experience inside universities made me suspect there was more to it than any of these ready explanations would suggest.

This is a book chiefly about higher education, not sports. In it, I address two questions about universities that operate big-time sports programs. First, why do they do it? What explains the survival and apparent vigor of highly visible and commercialized university-sponsored athletic enterprises? And second, what are the consequences for the universities that operate these enterprises? I believe answering the first question will not only help to temper our expectations regarding the possibility of reform, but also serve as a prism for gaining a better understanding of the ultimate purpose of universities. Answering the second question will be a necessary part of any full consideration of the future of American higher education, particularly as it relates to America's declining global rank in educational attainment and the returns we should expect from our enormous investment in public and private universities.

The phenomenon I focus on is not college athletics in general, but merely the most famous manifestation, "big-time" college sports. I define big-time sports as the highly commercialized and widely followed competition in football and basketball that is undertaken by several hundred American universities. Featuring sizable revenues generated by ticket sales and television, this boils down to football in the NCAA's Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS, formerly Division I-A) and basketball in Division I. I devote little attention to the remaining sports or the less competitive levels of college competition because, although their effects are certainly worthy of attention, they generate neither the revenue nor the outsized problems that the revenue sports do. Nor do I deal with such important issues as gender equity or the general effects of students' participation in athletics.



xiv Preface

In assessing the consequences for universities of operating commercial sports enterprises, I present all the relevant factual material I could locate or generate. I draw on previous studies by others and on my own new research using a variety of sources of data. For example, to illustrate the prominence and reach of big-time college sports, I examined and counted newspaper articles about major American universities. For those with commercial sports programs, articles about athletics vastly outnumbered those about any other aspects of these institutions. In the book, I also compare the number of Google hits for university presidents and their football and basketball coaches. I document the breathtaking growth in TV coverage for the two major college sports, to the point that the average basketball team in one of the major conferences now appears on television 27 times a season. Thanks to larger stadiums and longer seasons, attendance at games has grown as well, rising over the past three decades at an average rate of 14% a decade in football and 20% in basketball, compared with just 10% a decade for the U.S. population. I also document the growth in spending on athletics, caused in part by a spectacular escalation in coaches' salaries. For example, the average pay for head football coaches at 18 universities with big-time programs, expressed in constant 2009 dollars, increased from \$377,000 in 1981 to more than \$2.4 million in 2009.2

Drawing on detailed information of different kinds, I examine the business of running a big-time athletic department. I show that, despite the growth in TV revenues, the top programs rely heavily on the strong demand for tickets to games, as well as the willingness of affluent boosters to make tax-deductible gifts to secure the best seats and special perks. An annual donation of several thousand dollars a year can easily be required to obtain the privilege of buying season tickets for some of the most popular college football and basketball teams. At the comparatively impoverished end of the big-time college sports pecking order are universities with shorter histories, smaller crowds, and less expensive coaches. They must rely on mandatory student fees and institutional subsidies to pay the bills, in some cases using those sources to cover 70% or more of the athletic budget. For the universities whose teams seldom contend for championships but are lucky enough to be members of established conferences, the sports enterprise is sustained thanks to revenue shared by more successful conference members. Much of this shared revenue comes by way of television and advertising. I show that televised college games are saturated with advertising. Not only are commercials shown during time-outs, pitches for consumer products appear throughout game broadcasts as well.



Preface xv

I also collected new evidence on the connection between big-time sports enterprises and the academic work of the universities that house them. I utilize computerized records from digital archives used by students and other researchers to show how the pace of academic work responds to the schedule of games in the NCAA's annual basketball tournament, and specifically how work is affected at a university whose team wins or loses in the tournament. Students who enroll in universities with big-time sports programs are more affluent and politically conservative than those who go to other universities. And once they are there, they tend to spend less time studying and more time in organized activities, and they are more likely to engage in binge drinking. To see if big-time sports hurts a university's overall quality, I examine changes over time in indicators of quality that are used in *U.S. News* rankings.

The staying power of big-time college sports, and the fact that universities continue to come forward to start new programs of their own or move to a more competitive level, whetted my curiosity about how athletics might be used to bolster the political and financial support that universities constantly seek. To gain insight into this use of college sports, I present new evidence on the political affiliations of athletic boosters compared with other university stakeholders. I also make use of detailed information I gathered through open-records requests to various public universities for the lists of guests invited to sit in presidential boxes at football games, to see what they imply about the institutional uses of big-time football.

I do not neglect the much-debated topics of values and reform. Some of the most serious costs associated with big-time college sports arise when the values of commercial sports come into conflict with time-honored values of universities. Although these costs cannot be quantified, they are an indispensable part of any university's full accounting of the pros and cons of running a commercial sports enterprise. I find, however, that there are some surprising positive entries as well in this value assessment. The book concludes by noting the prospects for reform without delving into the many detailed proposals that have been put forward. Although there is every reason to be skeptical about these prospects, I note several intriguing possibilities, including a change in federal tax policy and a proposal to spin off college teams as separate entities.

Because the research for this book required collecting and analyzing many different kinds of data over the course of several years, I relied on the able assistance of a large number of bright, energetic, and well-trained students, most of whom were students at Duke. They included Rene Alarcon,



xvi Preface

Janeil Belle, Laura Brookhiser, Saidi Chen, Celeste Clipp, Ryan Fleenor, Alexis Kirk, Sam Lim, Robert Malme, Ryan Miller, Sara Pilzer, Holly Presley, James Riddlesperger, Jaime Rooke, Cullen Sinclair, Kevin Wang, Garth Weintraub, and Lila Zhao. I received invaluable assistance in gaining access to data sets and other information from a number of people: Mark Alesia, Anthony Broh, Molly Brownfield, Maureen Devlin, Joline Ezzell, Anne Fletcher, Tara Hofher, Deborah Jakubs, Han Kim, Amy Perko, Jill Riepenhoff, Amy Taylor, and Mark Thomas. I obtained restricted-use data from UCLA's Higher Education Research Institute, the National Survey of Student Engagement, the Consortium for Financing Higher Education, and JSTOR. I also used data collected and made available by *USA Today* and the *Indianapolis Star*. Financial support was provided by a grant from the Spencer Foundation.

For their comments, criticisms, suggestions, and helpful discussions, I am grateful to the following people: John Aldrich, Norm Arkans, John Blackburn, Doug Breckel, Christine Brennan, Keith Brodie, Ford Burkhart, John Burness, Hodding Carter, John Colombo, Paul Courant, Michael Cragg, John Drescher, James Duderstadt, William Friday, Dan Fulks, Malcolm Getz, Michael Gillespie, Craufurd Goodwin, Deirdre Gordon, Kristin Goss, Christoph Gutentag, Paul Haagen, James Hearn, Sherman James, Jim Johnson, Nan Keohane, Peter Lange, Keith Lawrence, Todd Mesibov, Carol Meyers, Robert Mosteller, B. J. Naedele, Roger Noll, Scott Parris, David Pervin, Joseph Quinn, Peter Rossky, Richard Schmalbeck, Michael Schoenfeld, James Shulman, John Siegfried, Orin Starn, Welch Suggs, Tim Sullivan, Bob Sweeney, Douglas Toma, Tallman Trask, Sue Wasiolek, Clifton Wharton, Bonna and Richard Whitten-Stovall, Steve Wieberg, Scott Yakola, and Andrew Zimbalist. For his wise words about one sport and for the phrase "ever-present but overlooked," which he used in a faculty newsletter, I acknowledge my late Duke colleague Irving Alexander. Finally, for her innumerable suggestions and unfailing encouragement, I thank my wife and expert editor, Theresa Newman.



Glossary of Abbreviations and Terms

AAU Amateur Athletic Union
ACC Atlantic Coast Conference
ACE American Council on Education
BCS Bowl Championship Series

Division I NCAA division incorporating about 300 universities that

play basketball at the most competitive level

Division I-A term for Football Bowl Subdivision before 2006, the most

competitive NCAA subdivision

Division III the least competitive NCAA division; no athletic

scholarships are offered

FBS Football Bowl Subdivision, the NCAA subdivision

adopted in 2006 incorporating about 120 universities that play football at the most competitive level, previously

called Division I-A

IPEDS Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System; data

collected by the U.S. Department of Education, National

Center for Education Statistics (NCES)

JSTOR Web-based digital archive of academic journals

LSU Louisiana State University
Pac-10 Pacific 10 Conference

NCAA National Collegiate Athletic Association

NC State North Carolina State University

NSSE National Survey of Student Engagement

SEC Southeastern Conference SMU Southern Methodist University SUNY State University of New York

Title IX provision of the Education Amendments of 1972 requiring

gender equity in college sports

xvii



xviii Glossary of Abbreviations and Terms

UBIT Unrelated Business Income Tax

UC University of California

UCLA University of California, Los Angeles

UNC University of North Carolina
USC University of Southern California

UT Most commonly, University of Texas; alternatively,

University of Tennessee

UW University of Washington; alternatively, University of

Wisconsin