Index

Action Program
adoption by GATT ministers, 53
first year (1959) decisions, 53
GATT pamphlet review of, 66
Part IV association with, 64
tropical product tariff reduction priority, 68
two fronts of, 53
adjudication machinery of GATT, revival of, 79–82
filing of legal complaints against developing countries, 80–82
by developing countries, 80
by U.S., 79
Tokyo Round negotiations procedural rules, 79–80
Africa
admission to GATT, 40
EC’s preferential tariff rates to, 59, 60, 69, 70, 89–90, 106, 178, 182
Lome Convention and, 177
pre-1939 colonialism, 26
Aircraft Code (Tokyo Round Codes/negotiations), 87, 97n40
Annecy negotiations (1949), 39
Anti-dumping Code/measures
description, 97n38
developing countries attempt to counter, 84
Kennedy Round negotiations and, 83
MFN obligation and, 84
U.S. position on, 6
Anusha Program (of Group of 77, 1979), 95n34
Argentina, 26, 40, 80
Article I, 59, 70, 84, 85, 88
Article VI, 96–97n38
Article VII, 96n157
Article VIII(B), 45
Article VI, 96–97n38
Article XII, 45
Article XIX, 79, 151n3, 153n15
Article XVII(4), 83
Article XVIII
Ceylon and, 41, 43
developing countries and, 102, 149–150
hopeless/frustration of developed countries with, 43
infant-industry protection provisions, 3, 40, 42, 149
procedures, 11
Review Session changes, 42
steps of revisions, 43
strictness of review procedure under, 41
and Tokyo Round codes, 85
trade barriers and, 42
Article XVIII(B), 42
Article XVIII(C) rules, 67
Article XXIII, 96–97n38
developing country legal complaints, 72, 92–93n13
European Community complaint, 57
and 1963 non-reciprocity rule, 66–67
Uruguay complaint, 57, 58–59, 65
Article XXIV, on regional integration, 67
Article XXVIII(bis), 42, 53
Article XXXVII, 64
Article XXXVII(1), 64
Article XXXVII(3), 65
Article XXXVII(4), 65
Asia, 19, 26, 40
Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN), 179
Atlantic Charter (1941), 27
Australasia, 179
Australia, 8, 57

balance of payments measures
agreement issues, 172n13
Article XII and, 43–44
Article XVIII/XVIII(B) and, 11, 43–44
Britain/France and, 34
Committee III removal of restrictions, 54
developed countries and, 7, 149
developing countries and, 7, 43, 72, 85, 164
escape clause exception, 32
lack of problem-solving progress with,
43–44
mercantilism and, 126
Peru/Nicaragua and, 44
restrictions on, 40, 71
reviews of, 41, 44, 72, 85, 102
waivers for surcharges, 67, 147
Belgium, 40
Brazil
complaint against UK, 57
France’s complaint against, 47n12
free trade-based growth, 128
original GATT membership, 39
proposal to Trade and Development
Committee (1965), 71
request for adjudication reform procedures,
65
U.S. reciprocal trade agreement with, 26
Bretton Woods institutions, 19
Burma, 39

Canada
Foreign Investment Review Act, 82
international trading system support, 152n6
nonrestriction on balance-of-payments, 40
surcharges resorted to by, 45
Caribbean
admission to GATT, 40
EC’s preferential tariff rates to, 182
Great Britain’s discrimination in favor of, 81
Lome Convention and, 177
Caribbean Basin Initiative (1983), 107, 179
Central America, 26
Ceylon
and Article XVIII, 41, 43
original GATT membership, 39
surcharge waivers, 49n25
tabling of infant-industry measures under,
43
Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of
States resolution (United Nations), 8,
77, 99
Charter of the International Trade
Organization (ITO), 27
Chile
auto tax enactment, 49n22
complaint against Australia, 57
complaint against the EC, 74n23
original GATT membership, 39
surcharge waivers, 49n25
China
global import status, 173n18
opposition to textile restraints, 163
reciprocity policy and, 165
threats made by, 164
withdrawal from GATT, 39
code of behavior principles (of GATT), 23
Colombia, 26
Committee III, Action Program working
group, 53
trade liberalization proposals, 54
unilateral concessions report, 54
Committee on Legal Aspects of a New
International Economic Order, 101
Costa Rica, 26
Cuba
complaint against the U.S., 58
Netherlands complaints about, 47n12
nonrestriction on balance-of-payments, 40
original GATT membership, 39
U.S. complaints about, 47n12
U.S. reciprocal trade agreement with, 26
Customs Valuation Code (Tokyo Round
Codes), 96n37

Declaration of a New International Economic
Order (United Nations), 8
Denmark, 45
developed countries
balance-of-payments restrictions, 40
criticism of GATT policy towards
developing countries, 121
hopelessness/frustration with Article XVIII,
43
internal decision-making by, 139–144
Japan’s disruption of, 157
Kennedy Round negotiations participation,
68
Index

position on MFN obligation, 60
post-World War II import protections, 128
reciprocity concessions for, 53
refusals in granting reciprocity, 166
tariff negotiating policy failures, 44
and Tokyo Round negotiations, 77
Uruguay’s complaint against, 57
U.S. graduation doctrine and, 188
developing countries actions against Anti-dumping Code, 84
Article XXIII legal complaints adjudication procedure, 72
balance-of-payments restrictions for, 40, 42, 43
claims of legal compliance with formalities, 45
decaying legal discipline towards, 44–45
demands made at Review Session, 41
dispute-settlement procedures used by, 157
Enabling Clause, possible abandonment of, 182
evolution of membership of, 39–40
exceptions from GATT obligations, 102
formation of customs unions/free trade area, 60
granting of reciprocity by, 118
GSTP’s impact on, 104
initial positions, 29–31
insistence on personalized special benefits, 83–84
internal decision-making of, 144–150
Kennedy Round negotiations and, 68, 69
legal claims by, 57–58
legal complaints against, 80–82
legal complaints by, 80
legal policy (1982–1979), 77–78
MFN policy regarding, 119
mini-GATT relating to, 103
New International Economic Order and, 77, 162
non-reciprocity policy and, 148
Part IV commitments, 65
reciprocity credit claimed by, 146
safeguard measures imposed by, 175
surcharge waivers for, 49025
Tokyo Round negotiations and, 77, 86, 87–88, 89
Trade and Development Committee oversight, 66
trade barriers experienced by, 156–157
trade interventions for, 128–134
trade liberalization (1970s), 78–79
UNCTAD threat to, 51
unilateral liberalization demands of, 155
U.S. graduation doctrine and, 86, 88, 146, 191–192, 193
Dillon Round of tariff negotiations (1960), 53
discrimination, 13
appeal to governments, 179
as basis for post-war reconstruction, 29
challenges for developing countries, 177
coalition-building advantage of, 180
complex objectives of, 176
desire for elimination of, 28
economic benefits to developing countries, 182
Enabling Clause and, 182, 189
by the European Community, 81
in favor of developed countries, xii
by Great Britain, in favor of Caribbean countries, 81
legal issues involved with, 135
legitimization of, 177
MFN principle and, 13, 181
negatives of, 116
punitive discrimination by U.S., 108
reverse discrimination, 101
unintended dislocations caused by, 177
U.S. defense of, 85
Dominican Republic, 39, 40
EC. See European Community
Economic Development subcommission (United Nations), 28
economic issues of GATT policy. See also
legal issues/criticism of GATT policy
equality analysis, 124–126
infant-industry doctrine, 126, 127–132
mercantilism doctrine, 126–127
place in legal analysis, 134–135
tax issues, 124
Ecuador, 26
El Salvador, 26
Enabling Clause (Tokyo Round negotiations), 85, 102
and 1971 Protocol, 103
demand of MFN obligation, 174
discrimination and, 182, 189
permanent legal authorizations granted by, 85
Enabling Clause (cont.)
possible abandonment by developing
countries, 182, 188
preferential treatment permitted by, 103, 174
waivers made permanent by, 70
“equal treatment” policy (of U.S., 1930s),
26–27
equality principle, 2011, 101, 105, 124, 125
European Community (EC)
abandonment of reverse trade preferences, 106
complaint against Japan, 57
embargo against Argentina, 80
formation of, 59, 71
GSP implementation, 69–70
import protections of, 128
legal complaint against, 81
1960s market-distorting measures, 75
non-reciprocity rule and, 155
preferential tariff rates given by, 59–60, 69,
70, 89–90, 106, 178, 182
Fifteenth Session of the Contracting Parties
(November, 1959)
agreement to take up “market disruption,”
54 (See also Multi-fiber Arrangement)
Committee III trade liberalization
proposals, 54
Final Act of the General Agreement on Tariffs
and Trade, 23
Foreign Investment Review Act (FIRA), 82
France, 26
complaint against Brazil, 471n2
ITO negotiation differences with U.S., 29
mercantilism doctrine and, 29
tariff preference with colonies/ex-colonies,
34
GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and
Trade). See also individual Articles
equality principle, 2011, 101, 105, 124, 125
international negotiations leading to, 27
major requirements imposed by, 119–120
negotiations leading to (1947), 32
principles of code of behavior, 23
signing of Final Act, 23
trade barrier reductions achieved by, 23–24
GATT, 1948–1957
developing country membership evolution,
39–40
legal claims by developing countries, 57–58
post-review session legal policy, 43–46
pre-review session operations, 40–41
GATT, 1958–1963
bargaining power changes, 51–52
campaign for improved market access,
53–56
enforcing legal obligations of developed
countries, 57–59
exports, new focus on, 52–53
legal claims by developing countries, 57–58
tariff preferences, emergence of demand
for, 59–60
Uruguay’s Article XXIII complaint, 57,
58–59
GATT, 1964–1971
generalized system of preferences, 69–70
Kennedy Round negotiations (1964), 67–69
legal discipline/rise of pragmatism, 71–72
1960s legal discipline, 66–67, 75
Part IV (description), 64–66
GATT, 1972–1979
adjudication machinery revival, 79–82
legal policy of developing countries, 77–78
legal policy of the U.S., 75–77
Tokyo Round codes/framework
agreements, 82–87
evaluation, 89–90
implementation, 87–89
trade liberalization, 78–79
GATT, 1980s
emerging international law of development,
99–103
global system of trade preferences, 103–106
GSP law, 1984, U.S., 106–109
GATT Protocol (1971), Relating to Trade
Negotiations among Developing
Countries, 103
Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)
tariff reductions. See also Enabling
Clause (Tokyo Round negotiations);
preferential/non-reciprocal treatment
for developing countries
articles of waiver, 70
case against policies of, 176–179
developing countries opposition to, 123
limited value of (argument), 18
New International Economic Order
proposal, 30
1970s trade liberalization and, 78–79
UNITAR study report conclusions about,
103
United States and, 69–70, 106–109
Ghana, 39
global system of trade preferences (GSTP), 103–106
Enabling Clause (1979) and, 103
GATT Protocol (1971), 103
Group of 77 negotiations for concluding, 104
impact on developing countries, 104
UNCTAD’s blueprint for, 103–106
adjustment to non-tariff measures, 104–105
impact on developing countries, 104
preservation of tariff advantages, 105–106
"solitary fund" adjustment device, 105
Government Procurement Code (Tokyo Round negotiations), 85, 87, 88, 97
Graduation doctrine, of the U.S., 76, 78
advantages/disadvantages of, 183
description, 118, 170, 173
developed countries relation to, 188
developing countries relation to, 86, 88, 146, 191–192, 193
1970s beginnings of, 24
reciprocity and, 165–169
safeguard measures and, 166
significance of, 165–169
Great Britain
balance of payments measures difficulties, 34
discrimination in favor of Caribbean countries, 81
ITO negotiation differences with U.S., 29
mercantilism doctrine and, 29
tariff protection with colonies/ex-colonies, 34
Greece, 58, 112
Group of 77, 52, 190–191
Anusha Program (1979), 95
development of, for UNCTAD Conference, 61
formation of, 52, 71
negotiations for concluding GSTP, 104
reciprocity and, 193
victories achieved by, 9, 90
GSP. See generalized system of preferences
GSTP. See global system of trade preferences
Guatemala, 26
Haberler Report (October, 1958), 7, 52–53, 70
Haiti, 26, 39, 40
Havana Conference (1948), 32
Honduras, 26
Hong Kong
free trade-based growth, 128, 153
global import position of, 173
obligations of GATT and, 145
Hungary, 40
Iceland, 39
Imperial (Commonwealth) Preference System (UK), 39
Import Licensing Code (Tokyo Round Codes), 96
India
complaint against the U.S., 88
MFN obligation and, 84
original GATT membership, 39
Pakistan’s complaint against, 57
preferential treatment given to, 112
single product quota approval, 40
tariff reduction contribution to UK, 56
and Tokyo Round Codes/negotiations, 88
Indonesia, 39, 47
infant-industry doctrine (justification of trade protection), 126, 127–132
Article XVIII and, 149
comparative advantage theory and, 148
developing countries import protections, 128
forces of misdirection, 130–131
Japan import protections, 128
post-World War II import protections, 128
strengths of case for interventions, 129
United States import protections, 128
institutional economics, 3, 4
institutionalism, 4–5, 20
International Law Commission (UN), 100
International Monetary Fund (IMF)
possible harm to developing countries, 146
requirements on government decision-making, 145
surcharge recommendations for developing countries, 45
International Monetary Fund (IMF) Agreement (1944), 27
Ireland, 39
Israel
balance of payments and, 172
complaint against the UK, 74
GATT membership, 40
U.S. free trade relationship with, 107, 179
Index

ITO Charter
death of, 37–25
developing countries, initial positions, 27–29
developing countries, initial positions, 29–31
first draft of (1945), 27, 28
negotiation results, 31–32
U.S. Congress rejection of (1948), 32
U.S. negotiations/positions, 28–29

Japan
disruption of developed countries by, 157
European Community complaint against, 57
GATT membership (1955), 39
import protections of, 128
1960s market-distorting measures, 75

Kennedy Round negotiations
anti-dumping duties separate agreement, 83
developing countries/UNCTAD
declaration of failure of, 69
“half full-half empty” results of, 68–69
non-reciprocity strategy and, 66–67, 155, 160
post-negotiation UNCTAD evaluation, 90
Tokyo Round similarities to, 78
trade liberalization negotiations, 68
Kock, Karen, 51
Korea, 40, 77, 128

Latin America, 26, 40
Lebanon, 39
“A Legal Critique of the GATT’s Current
Policy” trade policies, 125–129, 133, 134
legal discipline in the 1960s, 66–67, 71–72
legal issues/criticism of GATT policy. See also
economic issues of GATT policy
agreement on a common goal, 118–119
assumptions about behavior of developed
countries, 121
background, 115–117
harmful effects identified by critics, 120
reciprocity issues, 120
steps for improvement of, 188–194
target/reason for, 119–120
legal policy on internal decision-making
developing country model, 139–144
developing country model, 144–150
international legal obligations, 141–142
Lend-Lease Agreement (1942), 27
Luxembourg, 40
Malaysia, 39, 163, 164
Meade, James, 28
Mediterranean countries, 81, 177, 178, 182
mercantilism doctrine, 29
Britain/France and, 29
defined, 5–6, 126
described, 126–127
discrediting of, 126
graduation doctrine and, 118, 168
importance in developed country
liberalization, 19
reciprocity doctrine and, 34, 143–144, 152–153
seductive logic of, 140, 151
strengths/appeal of, 126–127
Mexico, 26, 128
MFN (Most Favored Nation) obligations, 23,
85, 88
all GATT country exemptions from, 119
Anti-dumping Code and, 84
decline of, 175
defined, 2–3, 23
developed countries and, 174
developing countries and, 100, 120, 175
disagreement over wisdom of, 60
Enabling Clause and, 85, 174
enforcement of, 179–183
International Law Commission report on, 100
safeguard measures and, 185
tariff reductions for, 132, 133
Tokyo Codes and, 84, 85, 88
welfare obligation vs., 181
Multi-fiber Arrangement (MFA), 2015, 54,
61–10, 83
Netherlands, 47112
New International Economic Order
(NIEO) resolution (UN). See also
Declaration of a New International
Economic Order
creation of committee for study of, 101
debates on proposals of, 77
developing countries and, 77, 162
elements of, 30
UN call for, 99
UNITAR study related to, 100–101
New Zealand, 8
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Key Events and Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>accession to GATT (1949), 39 import restrictions (1958, 1959), 44 nonrestriction on balance-of-payments, 40 surcharge waivers, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. action vs., 80 U.S. reciprocal trade agreement with, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>non-reciprocity policy. See also reciprocity principle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>criticism of, 116, 155 factors contributing to success of, 10 formal acceptance of (1970s), 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Framework text restatement of, 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kennedy Round negotiations adoption of, 66–67, 155, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>as path of least resistance, 6–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>political effectiveness of, 12, 169–170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>political force issue and, 160–163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>probable impact of, 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>purposes of, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reciprocity policy vs., 56, 154–155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tokyo Round negotiations and, 155, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. Congressional authority granted to President, 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. request for (1984), 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>obligations of GATT, 24–25, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>balance-of-payment restrictions and, 44 consequences of removal of, 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>developed countries and, 121, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>developing countries and, 102, 117, 119, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hong Kong/Singapore and, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>international trade relationships and, 142 respect-for-law force, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>role of, 141–142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organization for Trade Cooperation (OTC), 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Outline of Possible Elements for the Initial Phase of the GSTP Negotiations” (UNCTAD Secretariat), 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>complaint against India, 57 original GATT membership, 39 and Tokyo Codes/negotiations, 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Part IV of the GATT, 64–66 association with Action Program, 64 developing countries use of, 81–82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“shall” vs. “should” language, 64–65 significance of, 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stance on reciprocity, 65 Trade and Development Committee creation, 66 and UNCTAD, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. use of, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>GATT membership (1951), 39 import restrictions (1958, 1959), 44 nonrestriction on balance-of-payments, 40 surcharge waivers, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. reciprocal trade agreement with, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>39, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>40, 17213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pragmatism, rise of, 71–72 preferential/non-reciprocal treatment for developing countries, 10. See also</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) tariff reductions argument about recognition of, 102, 158 case against policies for, 176–179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSP and, 101 individual government choices for/against, 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MFN liberalization and, 133 Verwey’s monograph in UNITAR study on, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Charter (ITO Charter) (1945), 27. See also ITO Charter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol Relating to Trade Negotiations among Developing Countries (1971), 103 reciprocity principle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Action Program stance on, 53 argument in favor of, 116 Article XXVIII (bis) concessions on, 53 developing countries and, 67 difficulties created by lack of, 120 Dillon Round stance on, 53, 54 graduation doctrine and, GSP tariff reductions and, 78 Kennedy Round stance on, 55–56 lack of effectiveness of, 13, 18 non-reciprocity vs., 56, 154–155 Part IV and, 65 political value of, 168–169 probable impact of policy based on, 163–165 proposed exceptions to, 55 Protocol (1971) stance on, 103 Review Session relaxation of, 42 safeguard measures and, 169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

reciprocity principle (cont.)
U.S. and, 34, 86, 166
workings of, 142–143
redistributive justice in national tax/welfare
laws, 10, 159
Review Session (1954–1955)
amendments of, 41–43
demands of developing countries, 41
described, 82
post-session legal policy, 42–46
pre-session operations, 40–41
The Role of GATT in Relation to Trade and
Development pamphlet (GATT Secretariat), 66–67
and Article XVIII, 67
Romania, 40
S and D provisions. See “Special and Differential Treatment for Developing Countries”
safeguard measures (under GATT Article
XIX), 15313, 15315, 162
developing countries imposition of, 175
graduation doctrine and, 166
MFN obligation and, 185
reciprocity and, 169
Safeguards Code, 79, 185, 189
Singapore, 128, 145, 15311, 17318
Smoot-Hawley tariff (U.S., 1930), 30
South America, 26
Spain, 40, 81
“Special and Differential Treatment for
Developing Countries” (S and D provisions, Tokyo Codes), 86–87
Standards Code (Tokyo Round
Codes/negotiations), 87
Subsidies Code (Tokyo Round negotiations),
85, 88, 96–97198 n138,
Switzerland, 39
Syria, 39
tariff preference demands, 59–60
EC’s argument in favor of, 59–60
formation of EC and, 59
initiative blocked by U.S., 60
tariff preferences debate, 132–134
tax legislation (national and equality concept, 124
tinder box phenomenon, 161, 162
Tokyo Round Codes/negotiations (1973–1979)
on tariffs, 50227, 75, 84, 89–90
adjudication machinery strengthened by,
79–80
Aircraft Code, 87, 97140
Anti-dumping Code, 84, 97138
Customs Valuation Code, 96137
developing countries and, 77, 86, 87–88, 89,
102
Enabling Clause, 85
Government Procurement Code, 85, 87,
88, 97199
implementation, 87–89
Import Licensing Code, 96137
India and, 88
Kennedy Round negotiations and, 78, 83
1970s trade liberalization and, 78–79
non-reciprocity strategy and, 155, 160
Pakistan and, 88
post-negotiation trade diplomacy, 146
proposal for rewriting Article XIX governing
rules, 79
requests of developing countries, 77
S and D provisions, 86–87
Standards Code, 87
Subsidies Code, 85, 88, 96–97198
U.S. agenda for, 77, 84–86, 166, 169
Torquay Round negotiations (1951), 39
Trade Act (1974), 73118
Trade and Development Committee
Brazil/Uruguay’s proposal submission, 71
creation of, 66
GATT 1971 Protocol and, 103
trade barriers
Aircraft Code removal of, 87
argument for higher vs. lower, 34
Article XVIII and, 42, 140, 15315
challenges in removing, 55
conflicting views of economic effects of, 144
developing countries experience with, 129,
131, 156–157
illegal imposition by developed countries,
121
import-competing industries and, 140
for infant-industry protection, 41
non-reciprocity and, 154
non-tariff trade barriers, 85
reciprocity and, 120, 142
reductions achieved by GATT, 8, 23–24, 127
relation of tariffs to, 44
U.S. difficulties in lowering, 27
Trade Expansion Act (1962), 61n14
trade interventions for developing countries.  
See also infant-industry doctrine
import protections debate, 128–132
trade liberalization, post-war negotiations on
developed countries, initial positions,
27–29
developing countries, initial positions,
29–31
trade liberalization in the 1970s, 78–79. See also
Generalized System of Preferences; Tokyo Round
Codes/negotiations (1973–1979) on
tariffs
trade ministers (of GATT), meetings of
Action Program adoption, 53 (see also
Committee III)
Dillon Round procedure negotiation
decision, 54
duty-free access with no reciprocity
declaration, 55
international trade study recommendations,
52–53 (see also Haberler Report)
unilateral concession declaration, 55
trade protections for developing countries
criticisms of policies, 115
desires for reduction of, 28
escape clause and, 34
harmfulness in the home market, 134
infant-industry rational/justification for, 126,
129, 131
trade barriers and, 176
use of approved policies, 119
trade-policy decision-making factors, 140
Tunisia, 40
Turkey
complaint against the U.S., 58
GATT membership (1951), 39
surcharge waivers, 49n25
U.S. reciprocal trade agreement with, 26
UNCTAD. See United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
unilateral liberalization
developing countries demands for, 155
non-reciprocity and, 160
political forces involved in creating, 161
tinder box phenomenon and, 162
UNITAR study. See United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR)
study
United Arab Republic, 40
United Kingdom (UK). See also Great Britain; Ireland
abandonment of free trade policies (1932),
30
agreements with U.S., 27–28
Brazil’s complaint against, 57
Israel’s complaint against, 74n23
legal complaint against, 81
surcharges resorted to by, 45
tariff reduction contribution by India, 56
United Nations. See also International Law Commission (UN)
Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States resolution, 8, 77, 99
Economic Development subcommission, 28
New International Economic Order
resolution, 8, 77, 99
review of legal principles by, 10
Soviet-proposed global trade organization,
51
United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD, 1964). See also
Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) tariff reductions;
legal discipline in the 1960s
on failure of Kennedy Round negotiations,
69
GSTP blueprint of, 103–106
International Law Commission and, 100
Part IV and, 65
post-Kennedy Round negotiation
evaluation, 90
steps for scheduling (1964), 64
tariff reduction for developing countries
data, 68–69
threat to developing countries, 51
U.S. blocking of tariff preferences initiative, 60
United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD, 1968),
69–70
United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) study, 100–101
United States
action vs. Nicaragua/Poland, 80
agreement with Mexico, 26
agreements with United Kingdom, 27–28
Caribbean Basin Initiative enactment, 107, 179
Index

United States (cont.)
- complaints about Cuba, 47n12
- complaints about import competition, 168
- Cuba’s complaint against, 58
- discrimination defense by, 85
- graduation doctrine position of, 118, 166, 169
- Greece’s complaint against, 58
- GSP support by, 69–70
- import protections of, 128
- Israel’s free trade relationship with, 107, 179
- ITO Charter negotiations/positions, 28–29
- legal policy towards GATT law
  - 1960s factors, 75
  - filing of legal complaints, 79, 81
  - 1980s discriminatory use of preferences, 107
  - 1984 GSP scheme creation legislation, 106–109
- non-reciprocity authority granted to
  - President, 155
- nonrestriction on balance-of-payments, 40
- reciprocal trade agreements (1939–42), 26
- reciprocity principle and, 54, 86, 166
- rejection of ITO Charter (1948), 32
- rejection of OTC charter, 41
- Smoot-Hawley tariff, 30
- surcharges resorted to by, 45
- tariff preferences initiative blocked by, 60
- Tokyo Round negotiations agenda, 77, 84–86, 166, 169
- trade liberalization focus (1964), 68
- Turkey’s complaints against, 58
- weaknesses in GATT-ITO negotiations, 33–35

Uruguay
- accession to GATT (1949), 39
- Article XXIII complaint filed by, 57, 58–59, 65
- proposal to Trade and Development Committee (1965), 71
- request for adjudication reform procedures, 65
- surcharge waivers, 49n25
- U.S. reciprocal trade agreement with, 26

Venezuela, 26
- Verwey, Professor Wil D. See United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) study
- waivers of surcharges for developing countries, 49n25
- welfare obligation, 2, 6
  - analysis of future impact of, 158
  - attempts at defining, 159–160
  - as basis for preferential treatment, 176
  - defined, 155
  - demands of developing countries, 24
  - formal endorsements given to, 159
  - influence on political balance, 162
  - limits of, 10, 162, 188
  - MFN principle vs., 181
  - moral force/rightness of, 160–161
  - redistributive justice and, 10
  - use of discrimination and, 176

Yugoslavia, 40