In recent years, the Uzbekistan government has been criticized for its brutal suppression of its Muslim population. This book, which is based on the author’s intimate acquaintance with the region and several years of ethnographic research, is about how Muslims in this part of the world negotiate their religious practices despite the restraints of a stifling authoritarian regime. Fascinatingly, the book also shows how the restrictive atmosphere has actually helped shape the moral context of people’s lives, and how understandings of what it means to be a Muslim emerge creatively out of lived experience.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illustration</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A women’s <em>mavlud</em> in Pakhtabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Musicians at a wedding in Pakhtabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Osh</em> being prepared in a large <em>qozon</em> at a feast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A <em>mahalla</em> mosque in the village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The end of Friday prayers at Kho’ja Abdu Darun mosque in Samarkand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A <em>khatmi qur’on</em> in Pakhtabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The Imom al-Bukhoriy mosque and mausoleum complex near Samarkand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A registered mosque in the old section of Samarkand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The chief imam of Samarkand province delivering a sermon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A <em>bibiseshanba</em> held to give thanks for a husband’s return from successful employment in South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Baking bread in a <em>tandir</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The <em>aqiqa to’y</em>, with the <em>qori</em> seated in an alcove at the centre back of the picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>The Teacher’s drawing of his vision of God during one of his spiritual journeys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>A self-professed <em>bakhshi</em> in Samarkand using fire and candles in a healing ritual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Women mourning at a fortieth-day funeral commemoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>A diviner who works with spirits helping a client with family problems in a village near Pakhtabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>One of Gulnorahon’s apprentices treating a fallen heart in Pakhtabad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Note on Transliteration

Throughout this book, all transliterations from Uzbek follow the standard literary form. They do not reflect any dialectal particularities of the Andijan or Samarkand regions. All transliterations are Uzbek unless otherwise indicated, for example by (Ar.) for Arabic or (Rus.) for Russian. The exception is the word *shari‘a*, a transliteration from Arabic. This appears repeatedly in the text, and the (Ar.) notation is omitted.