THE EUROPEAN UNION AND INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW REFORM

In order to understand the reform of international investment law envisioned by the European Union (EU), the author provides a comprehensive but concise analysis of the EU reform approaches, its constitutional and legal framework, the concepts of the rule of law and legitimacy and the reasons for the reform. In particular, the book exposes tensions between the EU aspiration to enhance the rule of law in international investment law, as a means of legitimising this legal discipline, and the challenges of its reform approaches in practice. The analysis combines substantive and procedural aspects of the EU reform of international investment law in the intra-EU context and EU external relations. This book critically evaluates the EU vision of the rule of law in international law and its contribution to the development of international law in the field of investment.

IVANA DAMJANOVIC is an interdisciplinary researcher and legal scholar. Her research areas include international investment law, EU law and EU external trade and investment relations. She has a PhD from the Australian National University and master's degrees from the University College Dublin and the University of Zagreb. She is a qualified Australian lawyer and since 2016 has taught law at the University of Canberra, where she is a full-time faculty member. From 2004 to 2013 she was a member of the Croatian diplomatic service.

CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND ECONOMIC LAW

Series Editors

Professor Lorand Bartels University of Cambridge Professor Thomas Cottier University of Berne Professor Tomer Broude Hebrew University of Jerusalem Professor Andrea K. Bjorklund McGill University, Montréal

Processes of economic regionalisation and globalisation have intensified over the last decades, accompanied by increases in the regulation of international trade and economics at the levels of international, regional and national laws. At the same time, significant challenges have arisen with respect to economic liberalization, rule-based systems of trade and investment, and their political and social impacts. The subject matter of this series is international economic law, in this contemporary context. Its core is the regulation of international trade, investment, finance and cognate areas such as intellectual property and competition policy. The series publishes books on related regulatory areas, in particular human rights, labour, environment and culture, as well as sustainable development. These areas are horizontally interconnected and vertically linked at the international, regional and national levels. The series also includes works on governance, dealing with the structure and operation of international organisations related to the field of international economic law, and the way they interact with other subjects of international and national law. The series aims to include excellent legal doctrinal treatises, as well as cutting-edge interdisciplinary works that engage law and the social sciences and humanities.

Books in the Series

Capital Controls and International Economic Law Bryan Mercurio The Law and Practice of Global ICT Standardization Olia Kanevskaia Whitaker Between Market Economy and State Capitalism: China's State-Owned Enterprises and the World Trading System Henry S. Gao and Weihuan Zhou New Asian Regionalism in International Economic Law Pasha L. Hsieh

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-009-34539-2 — The European Union and International Investment Law Reform Between Aspirations and Reality Ivana Damjanovic Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

> Essential Interoperability Standards: Interfacing Intellectual Property and Competition in International Economic Law Simon Brinsmead Digital Services in International Trade Law Ines Willemyns Law and Politics on Export Restrictions: WTO and Beyond Chien-Huei Wu Energy in International Trade Law: Concepts, Regulation and Changing Markets Anna-Alexandra Marhold Shareholders' Claims for Reflective Loss in International Investment Law Lukas Vanhonnaeker Transparency in the WTO SPS and TBT Agreements: The Real Jewel in the Crown Marianna B. Karttunen Preferential Services Liberalization: The Case of the European Union and Federal States Johanna Jacobsson Emerging Powers in International Economic Law: Cooperation, Competition and Transformation Sonia E. Rolland and David M. Trubek Commitments and Flexibilities in the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures José Guilherme Moreno Caiado The Return of the Home State to Investor-State Disputes: Bringing Back Diplomatic Protection? Rodrigo Polanco Industrial Policy and the World Trade Organization: Between Legal Constraints and Flexibilities Sherzod Shadikhodjaev The Public International Law of Trade in Legal Services David Collins The Prudential Carve-Out for Financial Services: Rationale and Practice in the GATS and Preferential Trade Agreements Carlo Maria Cantore Preferential Services Liberalization: The Case of the European Union and Federal States Johanna Jacobsson Judicial Acts and Investment Treaty Arbitration Berk Demirkol

> Distributive Justice and World Trade Law: A Political Theory of International Trade Regulation **Oisin Suttle** Freedom of Transit and Access to Gas Pipeline Networks under WTO Law Vitalily Pogoretskyy Reclaiming Development in the World Trading System, 2nd edition Yong-Shik Lee Developing Countries and Preferential Services Trade Charlotte Sieber-Gasser Establishing Judicial Authority in International Economic Law Edited by Joanna Jemielniak, Laura Nielsen and Henrik Palmer Olsen WTO Dispute Settlement and the TRIPS Agreement: Applying Intellectual Property Standards in a Trade Law Framework Matthew Kennedy Trade, Investment, Innovation and their Impact on Access to Medicines: An Asian Perspective Locknie Hsu The WTO and International Investment Law: Converging Systems Jürgen Kurtz The Law, Economics and Politics of International Standardisation, Edited by Panagiotis Delimatsis Export Restrictions on Critical Minerals and Metals: Testing the Adequacy of WTO Disciplines Ilaria Espa Optimal Regulation and the Law of International Trade: The Interface between the Right to Regulate and WTO Law Boris Rigod The Social Foundations of World Trade: Norms, Community, and Constitution Sungjoon Cho Public Participation and Legitimacy in the WTO Yves Bonzon The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO Fernando Piérola General Interests of Host States in International Investment Law Edited by Giorgio Sacerdoti, with Pia Acconci, Mara Valenti and Anna De Luca WTO Disciplines on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures: Balancing Policy Space and Legal Constraints Dominic Coppens

> The Law of Development Cooperation: A Comparative Analysis of the World Bank, the EU and Germany Philipp Dann Liberalizing International Trade after Doha: Multilateral, Plurilateral, Regional, and Unilateral Initiatives David A. Gantz Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members, Edited by Müslüm Yilmaz The Relevant Market in International Economic Law: A Comparative Antitrust and GATT Analysis Christian A. Melischek International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity? Marina Foltea Public Services and International Trade Liberalization: Human Rights and Gender Implications Barnali Choudhury The Law and Politics of WTO Waivers: Stability and Flexibility in Public International Law Isabel Feichtner African Regional Trade Agreements as Legal Regimes James Thuo Gathii Processes and Production Methods (PPMs) in WTO Law: Interfacing Trade and Social Goals Christiane R. Conrad Non-Discrimination in International Trade in Services: 'Likeness' in WTO/ GATS Nicolas F. Diebold The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn The Multilateralization of International Investment Law Stephan W. Schill Trade Policy Flexibility and Enforcement in the WTO: A Law and Economics Analysis Simon A. B. Schropp

THE EUROPEAN UNION AND INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW REFORM

Between Aspirations and Reality

IVANA DAMJANOVIC Lecturer, University of Canberra Visiting Fellow, Australian National University



www.cambridge.org



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009345392

DOI: 10.1017/9781009345422

© Ivana Damjanovic 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 978-1-009-34539-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

List of Figures page xv List of Tables xvi Foreword by xvii ALLAN ROSAS Acknowledgements xix Table of Cases xxi Table of Legislation xxxv List of Abbreviations xl

General Introduction 1

PART I International Investment Regulation

Introduction to Part I: International Investment Regulation 17

1 International Investment Law: History, Rules and Procedures 19

- 1.1 Historical Development of International Investment Law 19
 - 1.1.1 Early Development of International Protection of Foreign Investors 19
 1.1.2 Post-colonial Development in International
 - Investment Law 21
 - 1.1.3 International Investment Law in the Post–Cold War Period: Towards International Investment Regulation 24
 1.1.4 (Re)Politicisation of Investor-State Dispute Settlement? 25
- 1.2 Substantive Rules of International Investment Law 26
 - 1.2.1 Protection against Expropriation 27
 - 1.2.2 Fair and Equitable Treatment 32
 - 1.2.3 Protection against Discrimination 36
 - 1.2.4 Free Transfer of Capital 40

1.3 Procedural Rules of International Investment Law 41

- 1.3.1 Access to ISDS: 'Investment' and 'Investor' 42
- 1.3.2 'Shopping' in Investment Treaty Arbitration 47

х

CONTENTS

- 1.3.3 The Tip of the Iceberg: Arbitration as a Dispute Resolution Method 51
- 1.4 Conclusion 52

2 Mapping the Challenges of ISDS Reform 54

- 2.1 Political, Economic and Legal Tensions 54
 - 2.1.1 Tensions between Ideologies 54
 - 2.1.2 Tensions between Economic Objectives 61
 - 2.1.3 Tensions between Legal Methods and Cultures 66
- 2.2 Tensions between Actors and Their Perspectives 71
- 3 Intersections of Law and Politics: Legitimacy and the Rule of Law 74
 - 3.1 Legitimacy of International Investment Regulation 74
 3.1.1 Output-Based Legitimacy through Politically Acceptable Norms 77
 - 3.1.2 Output-Based Legitimacy through Legally Sound Norms 84
 - 3.2 The Rule of Law in International Investment Regulation 87
 - 3.2.1 Substantive Rule of Law: Neutrality or Normativity?903.2.2 Procedural Rule of Law: Procedural Justice, Openness
 - 3.2.2 Procedural Rule of Law: Procedural Justice, Openness and Transparency 96
 - 3.3 Beyond Legitimacy and the Rule of Law: The *Sui Generis* Character of Arbitration 100

Conclusion to Part I: Towards International Investment Regulation Reforms 105

PART II The Internal Investment System of the EU

Introduction to Part II: The Internal Investment System of the EU 111

- 4 The EU Legal Order, Internal Market and Investment in the EU 113
 - 4.1 The Autonomy of the EU Legal Order within the International Legal Order 113
 - 4.2 Nature of the EU Legal Order and Legal Obligations 115
 - 4.3 The Role of the CJEU 117
 - 4.3.1 Interpretation of EU Law 117
 - 4.3.2 Judicial Review 120
 - 4.3.3 The Relationship with Domestic Courts 123
 - 4.4 Summary of Systemic Differences and Parallels between the EU Legal Order and International Investment Regulation 125

5

CONTENTS

xi

4.5	The I	nternal Market and Investment in the EU 130		
	4.5.1	Free Movement in the EU and Investment 131		
		4.5.1.1 Derogations in the Treaty Law 135		
		4.5.1.2 The Specific Case of Bilateral Taxation 138		
	4.5.2	Private Rights and Investment in the EU 140		
		4.5.2.1 The Right to Property under EU Law 142		
		4.5.2.2 The Principle of Legitimate Expectations under		
		EU Law 145		
	4.5.3	Enforcement and Remedies 147		
4.6	Concl	usion 151		
ʻInt	ernat	ional' Investment in the EU: Intra-EU Investment		
		nts and Dispute Settlement 153		
5.1	-			
5.1	Tensions between Intra-EU IIAs and the Objectives of EU Integration 153			
		Intra-EU BITs and Their Legal Status under EU Law 155		
	5.1.3			
5.2	1			
		nomy of the EU Legal Order 157		
	5.2.1			
		Intra-EU Jurisdiction of Arbitral Tribunals 157		
		5.2.1.1 The Application of Intra-EU IIAs or EU Treaties in		
		Inter Se Relations of Member States 158		
		5.2.1.2 Intra-EU BITs and EU Treaties Dealing with the Same		
		Subject-Matter 161		
		5.2.1.3 Compatibility of Intra-EU IIAs with the EU		
		Legal Order 163		
	5.2.2	The EU Law Perspective: Exclusive Intra-EU Jurisdiction of the		
		EU Courts System 165		
	5.2.3	Interim Conclusion 170		
5.3	5.3 Intra-EU Investment Post-Achmea: Legal and Policy Implicatio			
	173			
	5.3.1	Intra-EU Jurisdiction of Investment Tribunals 173		
	5.3.2	Termination Agreement (TA) and Adjudication of Pending and		
		New Intra-EU Disputes under BITs 176		
	5.3.3	The Future of Intra-EU Arbitration under the ECT 181		

- 5.3.4 Enforcement of Intra-EU Arbitral Awards 184
- 5.3.5 Formerly Intra-EU IIAs with the UK 190
- 5.3.6 The Rule of Law and the Future of Investment in the EU 191
- 5.4 Conclusion 193

xii

CONTENTS

6	EU Institutional Fra	mework: Legal Limitations to
	Policy Efficiency	195

- 6.1 Power to Decide Investment Issues in the EU: A Question of Competence 195
 - 6.1.1 The Scope of EU Foreign Investment Competence 197
 - The Nature of EU Foreign Investment Competence 6.1.2 199

6.2 EU Policymaking in International Investment: The Choice of a Legal Basis 207

- 6.2.1 Conclusion of 'EU-Only' Agreements 210 213
- Conclusion of 'Mixed' Agreements 6.2.2
- 6.3 EU Treaty-Making in International Investment: **Policy Implications** 215
- Conclusion 6.4 219

Conclusion to Part II: The Rule of (EU) Law in Intra-EU **Investment Relations** 222

> PART III The External Investment System of the EU

- Introduction to Part III: The External Investment System of the EU 227
 - Political Context of the EU International 7 **Investment Reform** 229
 - Values, Objectives and Principles of the EU as Defining Elements of Its 7.1 International Identity 229
 - EU Trade and Investment Strategy for a Globalised World 7.2 231
 - 7.2.1 From Multilateralism to Bilateralism and Pragmatic-Lateralism in Trade Policy 231
 - 7.2.2 Post-Lisbon Generation of EU Trade and Investment Agreements 235
 - The Making of the EU International Investment Policy 237 7.2.3
 - 7.2.4 From Bilateralism to Multilateralism and Pragmatic-Lateralism in International Investment Policy 242
 - 7.3 Conclusion 250

EU Standards in International Investment Treaties 8 252

- Member States' Extra-EU BITs 252 8.1
 - Pre-Lisbon Member States' Extra-EU BITs 8.1.1 253
 - Substantive Compatibility of Extra-EU BITs with 8.1.1.1 Treaty Law 255
 - 8.1.1.2 Procedural Compatibility of Extra-EU BITs with Treaty Law 258
 - 8.1.2 Post-Lisbon Member States' Extra-EU BITs 266

		CONTENTS Xiii			
	8.2	EU Foreign Investment Provisions in Post-Lisbon IIAs2688.2.1The Scope and Standards of Protection2688.2.1.1'Investment' and 'Investor'2698.2.1.2Expropriation2748.2.1.3Fair and Equitable Treatment2788.2.1.4Non-discrimination283			
		8.2.2 The Right to Regulate in the EU 285 8.2.2.1 Validity of EU Measures Protected 287 8.2.2.2 Public Interest Protected in the EU 288 8.2.2.3 The Right to Regulate and Extra-EU BITs 294			
	0.2	8.2.3 Dispute Settlement and Procedural Provisions 295			
	8.3	3.3 The Energy Charter Treaty as an Extra-EU IIA of the EU and the Member States 300			
		8.3.1 Jurisdiction of the CJEU to Interpret the ECT 301			
		8.3.2 The Compatibility of the ECT with EU Law and the ECT Reform 304			
	8.4	How Coherent Is EU External Investment Framework? 309			
	8.5	Conclusion 312			
9	The	e Investment Court System: Integrating the Rule of			
	(EU) Law 314				
	9.1	The Nature of the ICS3149.1.1Political Background Does (Not) Matter3159.1.2Judicial Albeit Hybrid3169.1.3Outside the EU Judicial System319			
	9.2	Autonomy of the CJEU to Interpret EU Law3199.2.1Interpretation versus Examination of Law3209.2.2Domestic versus International Law3229.2.3The Respondent before the ICS Tribunals3289.2.4Interim Conclusion329			
	9.3	The Compatibility of the ICS with the Rule of Law3319.3.1Equality before the Law in the EU3329.3.2Independence of the ICS Tribunals3349.3.2.1The External Dimension of Independence3359.3.2.2The Internal Dimension of Independence3399.3.3Access to Justice343			
	9.4	Conclusion 346			
10	Inv	e EU and UNCITRAL: A Multilateral estment Court 349			

- 10.1 A History of Failed Multilateralism in International Investment Law, or Not? 349
- 10.2 The ISDS Concerns and the UNCITRAL Process 351
- 10.3 The MIC and the Main Reform Options 355

xiv

CONTENTS

10.4 MIC between a Unilateral Approach and a Multilateral Forum 359
10.4.1 MIC and the Procedural Rule of Law 360
10.4.2 MIC and Substantive Law 362
10.4.3 MIC and Legitimacy 364
10.4.4 MIC and Fragmentation 367

Conclusion to Part III: EU External Investment Regulatory Framework between International and EU Law 369

General Conclusion: The EU Reform – Between Aspirations and Reality 372

Bibliography 379 *Index* 404

FIGURES

- 3.1 The relationship between legitimacy and the rule of law in international investment regulation *page* 78
- 3.2 Challenges and reforms of international investment regulation 104
- 5.1 The relationship between intra-EU BITs and the EU legal order 173
- 6.1 Legitimacy of EU trade and investment policy 219
- 10.1 The EU proposal for a Multilateral Investment Court in perspective with other core reform proposals in UNCITRAL 358
- 10.2 The Multilateral Investment Court in the framework of legitimacy and the rule of law in the EU context 365

TABLES

- 2.1 Comparison of preambles extracted from IIAs page 64
- 3.1 International investment regulation in the framework of legitimacy and the rule of law 101
- 4.1 Comparisons between EU law and international investment law 126
- 4.2 Comparison between freedom of establishment and free movement of capital in EU law 138
- 4.3 Investment protections under international investment law and EU law 149
- 5.1 The effects of the Termination Agreement on intra-EU arbitration under intra-EU BITs 179
- 6.1 Overview of EU competences 202
- 6.2 EU competence for trade and investment in modern comprehensive FTAs 205
- 6.3 Types of EU trade and investment agreements and corresponding legal bases 216
- 8.1 Coherence of EU external investment regulatory framework 311
- 9.1 Comparison of investment dispute settlement according to Achmea and Opinion 1/17 325
- 9.2 Comparison between investment proceedings before the CETA Tribunals and the CJEU 327
- 9.3 Comparison of the rule-of-law standards in CETA and in the EU 343

FOREWORD

International investment law in the form of bilateral investment treaties (BITs) witnessed a boost in the 1960s. What gradually emerged was a web of bilateral commitments involving so-called investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS). A multilateral framework for the settlement of such investment disputes was created above all with the establishment of the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) in 1966.

This development, of course, was enhanced by the decolonisation process, with a considerable number of new States emerging in Africa in particular. The developed world, fearing nationalisations and other measures affecting its investments in the developing countries, sought better guarantees in the form of obligatory and binding ISDS. Many of the developing countries on the other hand were suspicious of investment protection mechanisms that could undermine their ability to control their own natural and other resources.

Thus, the international investment protection system was already in these early days characterised by political discord. Yet, BITs continued to be concluded and the number of arbitral awards continued to rise. Some further multilateral contexts and treaties emerged, such as the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (which cover ISDS as well) initially of 1976 and the Energy Charter Treaty (which includes rules on investment protection), signed in 1994.

The international investment protection system has become even more multifaceted with the increased involvement of the European Union (EU) in the conclusion of bilateral agreements with third countries providing for State-to-State arbitration as well as ISDS. As the Lisbon Treaty of 2007 provides for an exclusive Union competence in the field of foreign direct investment and a competence shared with its Member States in the field of so-called portfolio investment, the Union is in the process of partly overtaking the role traditionally held by its Member States in the creation and application of international

xvii

xviii

FOREWORD

investment rules. The European Court of Justice (ECJ) has been called upon to rule on various aspects of international investment law and the Court has established a clear distinction between internal (the relations between the Member States) and external investment dispute settlement, only the latter being covered by the international ISDS rules.

Alongside these developments, public opinion, this time mainly in the developed world, and in Europe in particular, has expressed concerns about ISDS, fearing that arbitration procedures result in a bias in favour of protection of foreign investment, at the expense of societal values such as health and the environment and without due regard to procedural fairness. The EU has tried to take these concerns into account by, inter alia, advocating the establishment of investment courts providing for substantive, institutional and procedural guarantees that exceed those offered by ad hoc arbitration.

There is no shortage of literature on international investment law. Much of it focusses on specific topics rather than a holistic analysis. The present book by Ivana Damjanovic adds to the existing literature above all by providing a well-written and interesting discussion on the overall role of the EU in the development of investment law, taking into account both the internal and external dimensions and focussing on investment law reform, with a view to strengthening the rule of law. It can be highly recommended both to those who wish to improve their knowledge of international investment law and to experts in the field who seek to deepen their understanding of the reform process as driven by the EU in particular.

Allan Rosas

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book evolved from my doctoral research, which I successfully completed at the Australian National University (ANU) in November 2020. It has been updated in view of new developments in this fast-moving field and takes account of the state of law and events up to 31 December 2022. In many ways, this book is my journey of becoming an academic. The ideas expressed here are the result of many research trips, discussions, conferences, seminars, lectures and debates across four continents: Europe, Asia, Australia and North America. They have also developed thanks to my fascination with different legal systems and perspectives, which stems from my legal education in Europe and Australia, and my diplomatic experience. During this journey, I have met many inspiring scholars and practitioners whose perspectives have fostered my interest in the relationship between international investment and EU law. Therefore, I should really thank all of them.

My warmest gratitude goes to Professor Murray Raff, who was my doctoral research mentor and has continued to be a wise and kindhearted source of advice and insight, both academically and personally. I am also indebted to Professor Nicolas de Sadeleer, whose comments, reviews and advice have helped me to understand the intricacies of EU law. I have benefited tremendously from our seminars in Brussels and cooperation through joint research projects.

Conversations with many professionals and scholars have informed my approach and improved my understanding of the field. I thank officials in the EU and Member States' institutions in Brussels, including my former fellow diplomats, as well as the Client Earth team, all of whom generously provided opportunities to share their policy insights with me. I am grateful to the EU Delegation in Canberra for the opportunity to work with their trade team, which, albeit short, provided many insights into the internal workings of the EU and its trade policy. I thank the reviewers of this work, whose constructive suggestions have helped me to improve it. I am particularly grateful to Professor Allan Rosas and

xix

XX

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Professor Martti Koskenniemi for their endorsements. I also thank Professor Nicolas Angelet for his support and our many conversations in Brussels. All omissions and mistakes in this work remain mine.

I am thankful to my colleagues at the ANU's Centre for European Studies, which has been my intellectual 'home' in Canberra during my PhD research. In particular, I would like to thank Professor Jacqueline Lo, Dr Annmarie Elijah, Anne McNaughton, Dr Ottavio Quirico and Dr Kasia Williams. My doctoral thesis benefited from a scholarship from the Australian government. I am grateful to the ANU for financial support for my various research trips. The update, research, production and promotion activities for this book have been supported by the EU Erasmus+ 620168-EPP-1-2020-1-AU-EPPJMO-PROJECT 'EU Climate Change Agenda and External Trade and Investment' and the University of Canberra. I also thank my two Heads of Canberra Law School, Professor Alison Gerard and Professor Benedict Sheehy, and other colleagues at the Law School of the University of Canberra for their support and for accommodating my obligations in a way that has assisted me to see first my PhD and then this book through to its completion.

The editorial team at Cambridge University Press has provided valuable support in the preparation of the manuscript and at various stages of the book's production.

I am grateful to my many friends in Canberra and Brussels who have provided moral support, food and welcome distractions over the years. Most of all, I am grateful to my parents and my sister Ana, who remain my bastion of support, regardless of distance between the continents and my too many missed calls during this project. I dedicate this book to my father Filip and mother Danica, whose remarkable professional journeys have inspired my own development and instilled my spark for law.

Pieter van der Borcht's allegory on the difficulty of governing, which I discovered at the Prints in the Age of Bruegel exhibition at Bozar in Brussels in February 2019, could be interpreted as depicting the dynamics between the two legal regimes that I have aimed to explain in this book. It is somewhere between divergent interests and legal considerations of the EU's reform (the aspiration, symbolised by the fantasy animal) and the established practice of arbitration (the reality, symbolised by the secular and ecclesiastical princes in the background) that the international investment regime will evolve into its future shape.