#### The Collaborative Congress

The Collaborative Congress is an in-depth study of how members work together to create policy in a polarized legislature. While the modern Congress is characterized by partisanship and conflict, members frequently look for opportunities to find common ground on substantive policy. This book challenges the conventional narrative of a hopelessly dysfunctional legislature by revealing the widespread use of collaboration for successful policymaking. Drawing on a new dataset of communication between members, social network analysis, and qualitative interviews, chapters demonstrate that nearly every member engages in collaboration across a broad array of issues. The book identifies the strategic and political considerations that influence a member's decision to collaborate and shows that collaborative legislation is more successful at every stage of the policymaking process. This title is part of the Flip it Open Programme and may also be available Open Access. Check our website Cambridge Core for details.

Alison W. Craig is Assistant Professor of Government at the University of Texas. She received the Carl Albert prize for the best dissertation in legislative studies and has testified before Congress on improving civility and collaboration among members. Her research has been funded by the National Science Foundation and published in the *American Journal of Political Science* and *Legislative Studies Quarterly*.

# The Collaborative Congress

Reaching Common Ground in a Polarized House

> ALISON W. CRAIG University of Texas, Austin





Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009338264

DOI: 10.1017/9781009338288

© Alison W. Craig 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 978-1-009-33826-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

For Jan, my brilliant mentor and friend

#### Contents

List of Figures	<i>page</i> ix
List of Tables	XV
Acknowledgments	xvii
I Collaboration in Congress (Yes, It Exists!)	I
2 Social Exchange in Congress	18
3 Identifying Policy Collaboration	44
4 The Breadth and Substance of Collaborative Issues	70
5 The Most (and Least) Collaborative	
Members of Congress	100
6 The Interdependence of Collaborative Relationships	132
7 Legislative Benefits of Collaboration	161
8 The Future of Collaboration	201
Appendix A Interview Notes	215
Appendix B Model Specifications and Fit	219
References	223
Index	

### Figures

1.1	Dear Colleague letter sent in 2009 by Congressmen Bart Gordon (D-TN) and James Sensenbrenner (R-WI) soliciting original cosponsors for the Combat Methamphetamine	5
	Enhancement Act	page 13
2.1	Representatives Christopher Shays (R-CT) and Martin	
	Meehan (D-MA) celebrate House passage of the	
	Shays-Meehan Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002	21
2.2	Facebook post from Congresswoman Abigail Spanberger	
	(D-VA) promoting the Freedom to Invest in Tomorrow's	
	Workforce Act, introduced with Congressman Rob	
	Wittman (R-VA)	33
2.3	Dear Colleague letter sent in 2005 by Congressman	
	John Larson (D-CT) and Congresswoman Rosa DeLauro	
	(D-CT) soliciting signatures on a letter to the House	
	Agriculture and Energy and Commerce Committees	
	regarding commodities futures traders	36
2.4	House floor schedule for the week of February 25, 2019,	
	as distributed by Majority Leader Steny Hoyer (D-MD)	40
3.1	Dear Colleague letter sent in 1919 by Congressmen Scott	
	Ferris (R-OK), C. Bascom Slemp (R-VA), and Delegate	
	J. Kuhio Kalanianaole (R-HI) inviting members to an	
	upcoming lecture	49
3.2	Dear Colleague letter sent in 1940 by Congressman	
	Clare Hoffman (R-MI) denouncing remarks by President	
	Franklin Roosevelt	50
3.3	Dear Colleague letter sent in 1986 by Congressmen	
	Thomas Petri (R-WI) and Paul Henry (R-MI) soliciting	

> List of Figures х cosponsors for the Children's Options for Intensive Compensatory Education Act 52 Dear Colleague letter sent in 2007 by Congressmen 3.4 Barney Frank (D-MA) and Paul Gillmor (R-OR) soliciting cosponsors for the Industrial Bank Holding Company Act 56 Total number of electronic Dear Colleague letters sent by 3.5 all members in each Congress, 1999-2010 59 Number of members who sent electronic Dear Colleague 3.6 letters in each Congress, 1999-2010 60 Distribution of words per Dear Colleague letter in each 3.7 Congress, 1999–2010 60 Percentage of all Dear Colleague letters sent by purpose, 3.8 1999-2010 61 Dear Colleague letter sent in 2008 by Congressman 3.9 Henry Waxman (D-CA) and Congresswoman Lucille Roybal-Allard (D-CA) soliciting cosponsors for the Vaccines for Children Access Act 65 3.10 Policy collaboration network of the 110th Congress, 2007-2008 66 3.11 Number of members who signed individual electronic Dear Colleague letters in each Congress (1999–2010) 67 3.12 Distribution of signer count across all Dear Colleague letters, 1999-2010 68 3.13 Distribution of cosponsor count across all bills introduced in light gray overlaid with the distribution of signer count across all Dear Colleague letters sent in dark gray, 1999-2010 68 Total number of DCLs sent by policy topic, 1999–2010 **4.**I 76 4.2 Proportion of all DCLs sent and bills introduced by policy topic, 1999-2010 77 Dear Colleague letter sent in 2009 by Congressmen Glenn 4.3 Nye (D-VA) and Aaron Schock (R-IL) soliciting signatures on a letter to House leadership regarding health-care reform 80 Proportion of DCLs that are collaborative by policy topic, 4.4 1999-2010 81 Proportion of collaborative DCLs that are bipartisan or 4.5 partisan by policy topic, 1999-2010 83 Coefficients from a multinomial logistic regression 4.6 model estimating the likelihood a letter is collaborative and bipartisan (BP), collaborative and partisan (P), or non-collaborative (NC) by policy topic, 1999-2010 85

	List of Figures	xi
4.7	Relative risk ratios from multinomial logistic regression model in Table 4.1 predicting the likelihood a DCL is	0.0
4.8	collaborative Percentage of House bills and resolutions introduced that are collaborative (left) and bipartisan (right) by bill type,	88
4.9	2001–2010 Percentage of collaborative bills and resolutions by bill	90
4.10	type, 2001–2010 Predicted probability that a bill is collaborative or	92
4.11	bipartisan by bill type Predicted probability that a bill is collaborative by	95
4.12	dimensionality, conditional on bill type Predicted probability that a bill is bipartisan by	96
5.1	dimensionality, conditional on bill type Distribution of the number of collaborative partners	96
5.2	(degree centrality) for each member by Congress, 1999–2010 Distribution of the number of collaborative partners	105
5.3	(degree centrality) for each member by party, 1999–2010 Ego network for Congressman Gregg Harper (R-MS) in	107
5.4	the 111th Congress (2009–2010) Distribution of the number of bipartisan collaborative	121
5.5	partners for each member by Congress, 1999–2010 Ego network for Congressman Michael McCaul (R-TX) in	126
5.6	the 111th Congress (2009–2010) Coefficients from temporal network autocorrelation	128
	models in Tables 5.2 and 5.3 predicting a member's number of collaborative partners	130
6.1	Dear Colleague letter sent in 2009 by Congressman Jim McDermott (D-WA) soliciting cosponsors for the Sensible	
6.2	Estate Tax Act Dear Colleague letter sent in 2009 by Congressmen Jim McDermott (D-WA) and Frank Wolf (R-VA) soliciting	135
6.3	cosponsors for the Conflict Minerals Trade Act Twitter exchange between Congressmen Kurt Schrader (D-OR) and Reid Ribble (R-WI) celebrating the	136
	advancement of the Biennial Budgeting and Enhanced	
6.4	Oversight Act of 2014 Policy collaboration networks for the 107th through 111th	140
-	Congresses (2001–2010)	148
6.5 6.6	Proportion of ties by partisanship by Congress, 2001–2010 Triadic closure and structural imbalance	150 152
6.6	Iriadic closure and structural imbalance	152

> List of Figures xii Coefficients from temporal exponential random graph 6.7 model (TERGM) in Table 6.2 estimating the determinants of tie formation in the policy collaboration networks 158 Dear Colleague letter sent in 2007 by Congressmen John 7.I Dingell (D-MI), Ed Whitfield (R-KY), and George Miller (D-CA) soliciting original cosponsors for the Protecting Children's Health in Schools Act 165 Average number of cosponsors across all collaborative and 7.2 noncollaborative legislation, 2001-2010 172 7.3 Average number of cosponsors across all substantive legislation, divided into unpromoted, noncollaborative, partisan collaborative, and bipartisan collaborative bills, 2001-2010 173 Average number of cosponsors across all substantive 7.4 legislation by legislative progress, 2001–2010 174 Predicted number of cosponsors for noncollaborative 7.5 versus collaborative legislation 177 7.6 Percentage of substantive legislation reported out of committee, divided into unpromoted, noncollaborative, partisan collaborative, and bipartisan collaborative bills, 2001-2010 179 7.7 Predicted probability of a committee report for noncollaborative versus collaborative legislation 183 Predicted probability of a committee report for 7.8 noncollaborative versus collaborative legislation for significant legislation and committee chairs 184 Percentage of substantive legislation passing the House, 7.9 divided into noncollaborative, partisan collaborative, and bipartisan collaborative bills, 2001-2010 186 7.10 Predicted probability of House passage for noncollaborative versus collaborative legislation 189 7.11 Predicted probability of House passage for noncollaborative versus collaborative legislation reported by committee 190 7.12 Dear Colleague letter sent in 2003 by Congressmen Max Burns (R-GA) and John Boehner (R-OH) soliciting original cosponsors for the Child Medication Safety Act 191 7.13 Senator Saxby Chambliss (R-GA), Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA), Representative Devin Nunes (R-CA), Jason Hubbard, and Representative Jim Costa (D-CA) address the media during a press conference to introduce the Hubbard Act of 2008 193

List of Figures	xiii
7.14 Percentage of substantive legislation enacted, divided i noncollaborative, partisan collaborative, and bipartisa	
collaborative bills, 2001–2010	195
7.15 Predicted probability of enactment for noncollaborati	ve
versus collaborative legislation	198
B.I Goodness-of-fit plots for the temporal exponential ran	dom
graph model from Table 6.2	222

## Tables

4.1	The likelihood of collaboration as a function of	
	dimensionality and agenda space, 1999–2010	page 87
4.2	Number of House bills and resolutions introduced in each	
	Congress classified by substance, 2001–2010	90
4.3	The likelihood a bill is collaborative or	
	bipartisan as a function of bill substance and the	
	dimensionality of the policy area, 2001–2010	94
5.1	Most collaborative members (measured as the highest	
	number of unique relationships) in the US House of	
	Representatives, 1999–2010	106
5.2	Number of collaborative relationships as a function of a	
	member's incentives and opportunities, 2001–2010	123
5.3	Number of bipartisan and partisan	
	collaborative relationships as a function of a	
	member's incentives and opportunities, 2001–2010	127
6.1	Descriptive statistics for the policy collaboration networks,	,
	2001-2010	149
6.2	0 1 7	
	collaboration networks as a function of strategic	
	considerations, personal relationships, and shared policy	
	goals, 2001–2010	155
7 <b>.</b> 1	Number of cosponsors on a bill as a function of	
	collaboration, 2001–2010	176
7.2	Probability a bill is reported out of committee as a function	l
	of collaboration, 2001–2010	181
7.3	Probability a bill passes the House as a function of	
	collaboration, 2001–2010	187

> xvi List of Tables
> 7.4 Probability a bill is enacted as a function of collaboration, 2001–2010
> A.1 Summary statistics for members whose staff was in the interview pool (left) and all members of the 114th Congress (right)
> A.2 Initial questions for interviews with congressional staff
> B.1 Likelihood of collaboration as a function of issue area, 1999–2010
> 220

#### Acknowledgments

I am deeply grateful to the many people who provided support and assistance during the writing of this book. I am fortunate to work with a fantastic group of colleagues at the University of Texas who have been incredibly supportive throughout this process. In particular, I owe a debt of gratitude to Sean Theriault, who has been a consistent source of feedback and encouragement. Between providing a sounding board for all of my ideas and concerns and pushing me to "send it out already," this book possibly would not exist if I did not have the support of such a wonderful colleague and friend. I am also grateful to Bryan Jones, Chris Wlezien, Derek Epp, Bat Sparrow, Bethany Albertson, and Dan Brinks for their advice on the manuscript and publishing process.

This project began while I was a graduate student at the Ohio State University, where I had the privilege of working with Jan Box-Steffensmeier, my brilliant and endlessly patient mentor. I was fortunate enough to meet Jan while deciding if I wanted to go to graduate school, and it was our "30-minute meeting" turned into a two-hour conversation on Congress that inspired me to become an academic. Since that meeting, Jan has been a constant source of support who is always available for feedback and advice (even if I do not take her up on that nearly as often as I should). I am also grateful to Skyler Cranmer, Mike Neblo, and Herb Weisberg for continually pushing me to think bigger and deeper.

The list of people who have provided helpful comments and suggestions for this book would likely fill an entire page, but a few people were exceptionally generous with their time and attention. I am grateful to Alan Wiseman, Tracy Sulkin, Justin Kirkland, Jennifer Victor, Wendy Schiller, Annelise Russell, Zac McGee, and Mark Hand for reading and

xvii

xviii

#### Acknowledgments

providing detailed feedback on multiple chapters of this manuscript. I am also thankful for the help of my editor, Rachel Blaifeder, and the team at Cambridge University Press for turning this book into a reality.

I am indebted to my colleagues from my past life as a congressional staffer who indirectly inspired this project. I started researching congressional networks because I could not stop thinking about how meaningful relationships were in the day-to-day work of members and staff on Capitol Hill. "Can we get someone to work with us?" was one of the first questions on nearly every policy proposal. I credit Darlene Hooley, Kurt Schrader, Joan Mooney, Anne Marie Feeney, and Mark Dedrick for teaching me the ins and outs of Capitol Hill and everything I know about the legislative process. I am also grateful to the many congressional staff members who were willing to take the time to sit down with me and talk about collaboration in their offices.

I thank the National Science Foundation, Hewlett Foundation, American University, Dirksen Center, Ohio State University, and the University of Texas at Austin for their generous financial support of this project. I would also like to thank the Carl Albert Center, the Library of Congress, and the National Archives for providing access to archival documents.

Finally, I am grateful to my cheerleaders, who provided endless support and encouragement along the way: Greg, Bri, Kati, Latham, and of course, my parents.