

Index

- adoption process
 - actors, 16
 - compatibility and legitimacy, 27, 144
 - continuation beyond initial enactment, 43
 - effect on implementation, 16
 - engagement of judiciary, 183, 189
 - India, 53, 148
 - India and Pakistan. Comparison, 42
 - institutions, 15–16, 187
 - Pakistan, 46
 - Pakistan. Impact, 119
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 16
- Afghanistan, 61
 - Afghanistan Competition Act 2011, 61
 - competition enforcement strategy, 61, 209
 - Constitution of Afghanistan 2004, 76
 - draft Afghan Act, *See* Afghanistan Competition Act 2011
 - India in adoption process, 76
 - political turmoil, 76, 210
 - US Department of Commerce, 207
 - WTO, 76
- anti-monopoly legislation
 - constitutional scheme in Pakistan, 32
 - India constitutional scheme, 31
 - Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (Control and Prevention) Ordinance 1970, *See* Pakistan Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (Control and Prevention) Ordinance 1970
 - Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act 1969, *See* India, Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act 1969
 - scheme in the Pakistani Constitution, 33
 - UK Monopolies and Restrictive Practices (Inquiry and Control) Act 1948, 32
 - Asian Development Bank (ADB), 74
- Bangladesh, 59
 - adoption strategy, 78
 - anti-monopoly regime, 69
 - Bangladesh Competition Act 2012, 59
 - competition advocacy, 213
 - competition authority, 60, 212
 - competition enforcement, 199–200, 205–6
 - competition enforcement strategy, 60
 - DFID Report, 70–71
 - e-commerce policy framework, 232
 - equitable competition, 70–71
 - India in adoption process, 70, 72, 79
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 63, 69–78
 - World Bank assistance, 71
 - WTO, 68–69
- Bhutan, 61
 - commercial laws, 65
 - competition enforcement, 200, 207
 - Competition Policy 2015, 62
 - Competition Policy 2020, 62
 - Consumer Protection Act 2012, 62, 77
 - e-commerce policy framework, 232
 - Gross National Happiness (GNH), 75
 - India relationship, 76–77
 - India role in adoption, 77
 - National Competition Council, 62
 - Office of Consumer Protection, role of, 62
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 76
 - bottom-up, participatory and inclusive institutions, 16

- bottom-up, participatory, and inclusive institutions
 - Bangladesh, India, Maldives, Sri Lanka, 191
 - Bangladesh, India, Sri Lanka, 81
 - Bangladesh, Maldives, Sri Lanka, 214
 - compatibility and legitimacy, 26
 - economic growth, 237
 - legitimacy, 25
 - role in India, 53, 112, 143, 185, 194
 - role in Pakistan, 194, 214
 - theory, 14, 81
- CCI. *See* Competition Commission of India
- CCI orders
 - abuse of dominance, 100
 - anti-competitive agreements, 100
 - bid-rigging, 122–24
 - challenged before general courts, 100, 106
 - complaints v. *suo motu* notices, 102–3
 - leniency, 131
 - presumption of AAEC, 139
 - relevant turnover, 146
 - reliance on EU and US materials, 130
 - reliance on materials, 99, 103, 137
 - sanctions, 99, 104
 - sectoral distribution, 100, 110
 - source of initiation, 99
 - vertical agreements, 131–35
- CCP. *See* Competition Commission of Pakistan
- CCP orders
 - abuse of dominance, 100
 - anti-competitive agreements, 100
 - bid-rigging, 122, 125, 129
 - cartels, 156
 - challenged before general courts, 100, 106, 156
 - complaints v. *suo motu* notices, 102–3
 - impact of challenges before courts, 179
 - reliance on EU and US materials, 138–39
 - reliance on materials, 99, 103, 137
 - sanctions, 87, 99
 - sectoral distribution, 100, 110
 - source of initiation, 99
 - vertical agreements, 135–37
- coercion
 - Bangladesh, 78
 - Competition Ordinance 2007, 43
 - contractualisation, 10
 - definition, 9
 - impact, 49, 51, 137, 140, 193–94, 215
 - link with legitimacy, 214
 - Maldives, 80
 - Nepal, 78
 - Pakistan, 46, 112, 144, 150, 189, 214, 219
 - penal strategies, 147
 - Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Maldives, 207
- comparative law, xxix
- compatibility, 21
 - defining ‘context’, 3
 - domestic and international legitimacy, 12–13
 - legal transplants, 2, 7
 - role of actors, 7
 - significance of context, 4–5
- compatibility
 - adoption process, 17
 - generating compatibility, 1–2, 27
 - Law and Development movement, 1
 - meaning, 21
- compatibility and legitimacy, 240
 - connections between, 26
 - dynamic or static, 26
 - impact on implementation, 27, 97, 166
 - interaction between adopted and pre-existing legal systems, 183
 - penal strategies, 165
 - qualitative and relative concepts, 27
 - South Asian Six, 80, 201–2, 207
 - success in implementation, 194
 - virtuous cycle, 189
- competition advocacy, 240
 - India, 147, 196–97
 - Nepal, 213
 - Pakistan, 50, 159, 197
 - Raghavan Committee, 47
 - role in implementation, 240
 - Sri Lanka, 213
- competition authority
 - Afghanistan, 201
 - Bangladesh, 199
 - Bhutan, 200
 - India, *See* Competition Commission of India
 - Maldives, 209
 - Nepal, 199
 - Pakistan, *See* Competition Commission of Pakistan
 - Sri Lanka, 57, 199, 202, 212
 - structure, mandate and composition, 86
- Competition Commission of India
 - cartels, 120
 - commencement of operations, 85, 187
 - composition, 88
 - decision making process, 90–91
 - digital platforms, 234–35
 - e-commerce study, 235
 - established, 84
 - interactions with general courts, 97, 178
 - interpretive strategy, 110, 137–39
 - mandate, 87

- penal strategy, 145
- penalties realised, 152–53, 166
- performance, 86, 96, 98, 100
- sanctioning strategy, 106, 110
- structure, 86
- structure, mandate, composition, 85–86
- types of orders, 89
- Competition Commission of Pakistan
 - cartels, 120, 125
 - composition, 88–89, 194
 - deceptive marketing practices, 87
 - decision making process, 90–91
 - digital platforms, 223–36
 - established and operationalised, 85
 - interactions with general courts, 96–97, 178
 - interpretive strategy, 110, 137–38, 140
 - mandate, 87
 - penal strategy, 145
 - penalties realised, 147, 152, 166
 - performance, 96, 98, 100, 109
 - sanctioning strategy, 106, 110
 - structure, 86
 - structure, mandate, composition, 85–86
 - types of orders, 89–90
- competition enforcement
 - social objectives, 242
 - South Asia. Benefits, 225–26
 - South Asia. Inequality, 225
 - South Asia. Poverty, 241
 - South Asia. Scope, 240–42
 - South Asia. Social objectives, 225
 - South Asia. Strategies, 240
 - South Asian Six. Role of government, 197–208
 - Sri Lanka, 203
- competition enforcement pathway
 - effect of Indian Tribunal, 189
 - India, 92
 - India and Pakistan, 170
 - Indian Competition Appellate Tribunal, 44
 - intervention in India, 111
 - intervention in Pakistan, 111
 - interventions in India and Pakistan, 94
 - Nepal, 59
 - Pakistan, 92
 - role of Pakistani Tribunal, 159
 - role of Tribunals. India and Pakistan, 148–51
 - Sri Lanka, 57–58
- Competition Ordinance 2007, 149, 177, 183–84, 188, 192
- constitutionality, 40, 186
- enforcement scheme, 149
- legislative procedure, 40
- legitimacy, 53
- Manes Report, 49–51
- promulgation, 85, 112, 184
- Sindh High Court Bar Association* case, 45
- Competition Ordinance 2009, 149, 193
- Competition Ordinance 2010, 149, 193
- Constitution of India 1950, 29
- Article 226, 170
- Constitution of Pakistan 1973
- Article 199, 170
- Constitutional petitions
 - grounds for filing. India and Pakistan, 170–84
 - grounds in first phase Pakistani petitions, 157
 - India, 94, 180
 - India adequate alternate remedy, 185
 - India and Pakistan, 97
 - Pakistan, 94, 176, 180
 - Pakistan cement cartel, 178
 - Pakistan first phase petitions, 176–77
 - Pakistan second phase petitions, 177
 - Pakistan. Principle of adequate alternate remedy, 186
 - Pakistani Tribunal. Impact, 158, 186
 - response of Indian courts, 107, 174, 180
 - response of Pakistani courts, 107, 157, 181
- deliberation–enactment–implementation
 - continuum
 - adapting, xxvii
 - compatibility and legitimacy, 20
 - deliberation phase, 19
 - enactment phase, 19
 - implementation stage, 20
 - modular and adaptable, 20
 - theoretical framework, 16, 18–19
- Department for International Development (DFID), 70–71, 76, 80
- Nepal, 72
- developing countries, xxviii, 224
- adopting western laws, xxviii
- challenges for competition authorities, 233
- lessons from the South Asian experience, xxix
- privacy concerns, 233
- Diffusion and transfer, 8
- adapting mechanisms for law, 11
- coercion*, 9, 11
- comparative law, 8–9
- contractualisation, *See coercion*
- convergence, 215
- emulation*, 11
- legitimacy, 12
- link with implementation, 219
- motivation, 11
- pattern finding v. process tracing, 8
- pre-conditions of transfer, 21

- Diffusion and transfer (cont.)
 - regulatory competition, 11
 - role of institutions, 17
 - similarities between, 7–8
 - socialisation*, 11
 - typology of mechanisms, 12, 18
- economic growth and development, 240
 - Bangladesh. Preamble Bangladesh Competition Act 2012, 223
 - Indian Competition Act 2002. Preamble, 223
 - South Asia. Preambles of legislation, 223
- economic growth competition enforcement South Asia, 242
- emulation*, 10, 41, 43, 46, 78
 - contrast with learning, mimicry, *socialisation*, copying, lesson-drawing, cost-saving and problem-solving, 10
 - India, 120
 - India and Pakistan, 52
 - international legitimacy, 52
 - Pakistan, 119
- Government of Pakistan 1935, 31
- hiatus stage, 196
 - Brahm Dutt* case, *See* Brahm Dutt case
 - experience in India, 196–97
 - experience in Pakistan, 197
 - factors in utilisation, 211–12
 - links with success, 210–11
 - utilisation, 213
- India
 - Brahm Dutt* case, 47, 84, 148, 183
 - Competition Act 2002, *See* Indian Competition Act 2002
 - delay in court system, 181
 - draft Competition Bill 2020, 223–35
 - e-commerce policy framework, 228–29
 - e-commerce sector, 226
 - judicial institutions, 30
 - legislative institutions, 30
 - Raghavan Committee, 35, 38, 40, 47–48, 82, 112, 142, 144, 147, 193, 208
- India and Pakistan
 - adoption process. Comparison, 192
 - compatibility and legitimacy, 52–53, 96
 - competition enforcement pathways, 92–93, 168–70
 - competition enforcement systems, 148, 165
 - competition legislations. Comparison, 47
 - competition enforcement pathways.
 - Comparison, 93
 - institutions, 185
 - interactions with general courts, 169–72, 178
 - interpretive strategies. Comparison, 140
 - penal strategies, 143
 - performance of competition authorities.
 - Comparison, 98–99, 196
 - pre-conditions of transfer. Comparison, 192
 - Indian Competition Act 2002, 192
 - 2007 amendment, 44, 48, 148, 183, 196
 - 2017 amendment, 44, 46, 148
 - adoption process, 41
 - agreement, 121
 - appreciable adverse effect on competition (AAEC), 115, 117
 - Brahm Dutt* case, 43–44
 - cartels, 115
 - comes into force, 84
 - Commission Fund, 86
 - content, 48–49
 - enactment, 39, 48
 - horizontal agreements, 117
 - Indianization, 112, 119–20, 140, 144
 - international legitimacy, 40, 53
 - motivations for adoption, 35–36
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 32
 - presumption of AAEC, 115, 117, 120–21, 123–25
 - role of government, 87
 - section 27, 145
 - section 3, 115–17, 119–20, 122
 - Selection Committee, 88
 - vertical agreements, 117
- Indian Tribunal, 87, 97, 148, 158, 160, 185
 - 2007 amendment, 44
 - appeals from CCI orders, 152
 - Brahm Dutt* case, 148
 - established and operationalised, 94, 148
 - interactions with general courts, 168
 - replaced by NCLAT, 44, 148–49
 - role in competition enforcement, 154
- International Finance Corporation (IFC), 71
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 71, 222
 - Bangladesh, 74
 - conditionalities, 223
 - South Asia. First and second generation reforms, 222
- Jones Day, 119, 144, 188
- Law and Development movement, 1, 17
- legitimacy, 165
 - adoption process, 17
 - attributes, 22
 - consent, 25, 27
 - dimensions of, 23
 - domestic legitimacy, 12, 140, 147, 183, 186, 215
 - enforcement, 24
 - formal and functional legitimacy, 23

- international legitimacy, 23, 141, 147
- justice, 23–24
- leveraging, 24
- relationship with democracy, 23
- reputational effect, 24
- significance, 24
- sources, 22, 25
- South Asian Six, 208
- substantive legitimacy, 23
- life cycle of a statute, 15, 17
- Locke, John, 25
- machinery of justice, 6, 21
- Maldives, 60
 - adoption process, 80
 - Competition Act 2020, 60
 - competition enforcement, 206–17
 - Constitution of Maldives 2008, 74
 - e-commerce policy framework, 232–33
 - enforcement authorities, 60–61
 - mandate of the Act, 61
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 72
 - Strategic Action Plan 2019–2023, 74
 - World Bank projects, 74
 - WTO, 72, 74
- multilateral agencies, xxix, 80–82, 119, 191–92, 199, 204, 210, 222
 - impact in Nepal, 78
 - role in law reform in South Asia, xxix–xxx
- Nepal, 58
 - adoption process, 73, 78
 - competition advocacy, 213
 - competition enforcement, 59, 199, 205, 212
 - Competition Promotion and Market Protection Act 2063, 58
 - e-commerce policy framework, 231–32
 - India in adoption process, 72
 - national productivity, 224
 - Nepalese Act, *See* Competition Promotion and Market Protection Act 2063
 - Nepalese Act. Mandate and powers, 76
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 72–73
 - SAWTEE report, 204
 - WTO, 72–73
- New Institutional Economics, 13
 - adapting institutional blueprints, 14
 - compatibility, 21
 - compatible institutions, 13
 - defining institutions, 13
 - extractive institutions, 14
 - inclusive institutions, 14
 - institutions as technology, 14–15
 - institutions include legal institutions, 15
- North, Douglas C, 13
- OECD, 76
- Pakistan
 - Competition Act 2010, *See* Pakistani Competition Act 2010
 - Competition Appellate Tribunal, *See* Pakistani Tribunal
 - Competition Ordinance 2009, 45
 - Competition Ordinance 2010, 45
 - Constitution of Pakistan 1956, 31
 - Constitution of Pakistan 1962, 31
 - Constitution of Pakistan 1973, 33
 - delay in court system, 181
 - e-commerce policy framework, 230–31
 - judicial institutions, 34
 - legislative institutions, 33
 - Manes Report, 49, 142
 - penalties realised, 159
 - pre-conditions of transfer, 34–36
 - Sindh High Court Bar Association* case, 45, 177
 - World Bank team, 38–39, 82
 - WTO, 119, 144
- Pakistani Competition Act 2010, 150
 - agreements. Definition, 117
 - anti-competitive agreements, 117–18
 - Commission Fund, 86
 - economic efficiency, 224
 - object and effect, 126–28
 - role of government, 87–88
- Pakistani Tribunal, 97, 162, 166
 - contrast with Appellate Bench, 52, 87
 - established and operationalised, 94, 150, 186
 - history, 150
 - interactions with general courts, 168
 - performance, 158, 162–63
- regulatory competition, 41, 43
 - impact in Pakistan, 46
 - in South Asia, 237–40
 - India and Pakistan, 52
 - international legitimacy, 41
- Rodrik, Dani, 13, 21
- Sacco, Rodolfo, xxv
- socialisation*, 218
 - compatibility and legitimacy, 111
 - distinct from *emulation*, 10
 - India, 45, 119, 147, 189
 - India. Impact, 47, 143, 193–94
 - learning as, 10
 - Maldives, 80
 - Nepal. Impact, 78
 - Pakistan, 112
 - Pakistan. Impact, 51

socialisation (cont.)

Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Maldives, 208

Sri Lanka. Impact, 78

South Asia

adoption processes. Comparison, 79

B2B e-commerce, 228

B2C e-commerce, 228

comparing competition legislation across region, 64

competition as consumer protection, 224

competition experience, 218

convergence of competition laws, 215

e-commerce potential, 226

economic growth and development, 220, 240

Gross Domestic Product (GDP), 220

historic relationship amongst countries, xxvi

IMF and competition reform, 222–23

impact of regional developments, 237–40

income inequality, 221

pattern of diffusion and transfer, 214

political and economic power, 224

privatisation, 221–22

South Asian Six, 56

state control and central planning, 221

status of competition laws, 63

sustainable and inclusive growth, 240

WTO, 80

South Asian Six, 61

adoption processes, 78

compatibility and legitimacy, 80, 82

competition laws. Success, 81, 83, 209–10

diffusion and transfer mechanisms, 201

e-commerce and privacy, 236

hiatus stage, 211

pre-conditions of transfer, 63

role of India, 215

role of institutions, 207

WTO, 81

Sri Lanka, 57

adoption process, 68–69, 198

competition advocacy, 213

competition legislation, 57

Consumer Affairs Authority Act 2003, *See* Sri

Lanka competition legislation

e-commerce policy framework, 230

Fair Trading Commission Act 1987, 68

focus on consumer welfare, 202

pre-conditions of transfer, 63

socialisation, 78

The World Bank. Technical assistance, 68

United States in the adoption process, 68

WTO, 68

success of competition laws

benchmarks, 17, 96

India and Pakistan, 166

India. Evaluation of benchmarks, 111

role of governments, 237

role of interaction with general courts, 188

Supreme Court of India, 166–67, 181, 189, 193

appeals from Indian Tribunal, 160

competition decisions, 160

role in competition enforcement, 154, 190

Supreme Court of Pakistan, 162, 166, 178–79, 181, 184, 186, 190

appeal in *1-Link* case, 163

appeals from orders of Pakistani Tribunal, 163

competition appeals, 158

The World Bank, 37

Bangladesh, 71

Maldives, 73

Pakistan. Adoption process, 144

Pakistan. Manes Report, 49–51

Pakistan. Technical assistance, 38–39, 43, 119, 147, 188, 193

Sri Lanka report, 203

Sri Lanka. Technical assistance, 68

top-down and exclusive institutions, 16

coercion, 49, 214

compatibility and legitimacy, 112, 147, 214

competition enforcement, 219

Nepal, 78

Pakistan, 53, 186, 193–94

Treaty of Functioning of Europe (TFEU), 116

Article 101, 118

Article 101(1), 116

de minimis rule, 116, 126, 138–39

UNCTAD, 37, 76–78

engagement with Bangladesh, 70

Nepal, 72

Sri Lanka review, 203

UNDP, 74

United Nations, 220

US antitrust jurisprudence, 121

per se rule, 126–27, 139

Sherman Act 1890, 115–16

Weber, Max, 24

World Trade Organisation, 191

Afghanistan, 76

Bangladesh, 68–69

India, 36, 40

Maldives, 72, 74

Nepal, 72

Pakistan, 37, 41, 119

role in diffusion of competition laws, 201

South Asia generally, 80

South Asian Six, 198

Sri Lanka, 68