

John Rawls

What is a just political order? What does justice require of us? These are perennial questions of political philosophy. John Rawls, generally acknowledged to be one of the most influential political philosophers of the twentieth century, answered them in a way that has drawn widespread attention, not only from political philosophers, but from political scientists, economists, those in the field of public policy, and experts in jurisprudence. It is not only academics who have been inspired by Rawls' ideas; they have also influenced the theory of government and continue to play a role in actual public political debates. This introduction outlines Rawls' work on the theory of justice. Focusing on Rawls' own writings, from his first publication in 1951 to his final ones some fifty years later, Percy B. Lehning demonstrates how and why they can be considered as one consistent and coherent body of work.

PERCY B. LEHNING is Professor of Political Theory, Emeritus at Erasmus University Rotterdam. He is an internationally renowned Rawls specialist and has published widely on Rawls, on political theory, on normative issues of public policy, and on political theoretical issues of the European Union.



John Rawls

An Introduction

PERCY B. LEHNING





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521727693

Originally published in Dutch as *Rawls* by Lemniscaat b.v., Rotterdam 2006, © Percy B. Lehning 2006 First published in English by Cambridge University Press 2009 as *John Rawls: An Introduction* © Percy B. Lehning 2009

English translation © Cambridge University Press 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-89903-1 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-72769-3 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Jack, in cherished memory



Contents

Preface		page ix
List of abbreviations		xii
1	Life and work	1
2	A just society	16
	The aims of Rawls	16
	A theory of social justice	25
	Justification in A Theory of Justice	27
	Reflective equilibrium and justice as fairness	33
	Justice as fairness or utilitarianism?	38
	The difference principle or the maximin criterion?	42
	The principles of justice and primary goods	46
	Primary goods or basic capabilities?	57
	Primary goods or equal opportunity of welfare?	62
	The difference principle and reciprocity	68
	The difference principle and "just" inequalities	74
	Designing a just basic structure: the four-stage sequence	80
	Designing just legislation	86
	Political and market processes compared	91
	Fair background institutions and market arrangements	93
3	Pluralism and justice	99
	The aim of Rawls	99
	Political liberalism and citizenship	102
	Justification in Political Liberalism	105
	The idea of public reason and the criterion of reciprocity	111
	Constitutional essentials and matters of basic justice	116
	Reflective equilibrium and political liberalism	121
	Overlapping consensus, well-ordered society, and stability	126
	Overlapping consensus on justice as fairness?	130
	Justification, reciprocity, and moral motivation	137
	The ideal of public reason, political liberties, and deliberative	
	democracy	145

vii



vii	i	Contents
	Fair value of political liberties and access to the political process	147
	Political liberalism, "neutrality," and respect	154
	Equal basic liberties: regulation or restriction?	156
	Political toleration and religion	158
	Political liberalism and Enlightenment liberalism	162
	From A Theory of Justice to Political Liberalism	167
4	International justice	173
	The aim of Rawls	173
	Justice among Peoples	175
	Justification in The Law of Peoples	179
	Decent hierarchical peoples and the Law of Peoples	186
	Kazanistan: a decent hierarchical people	190
	Territorial boundaries and responsibility	194
	Territorial boundaries and social cooperation	196
	Duty of assistance	199
	Cosmopolitanism and The Law of Peoples	204
5	Justice as Fairness in practice	209
	Justice as fairness on the political spectrum	209
	Justice as fairness: implications for public policy	212
	Just income distribution	213
	Between fate and freedom of choice: personal responsibility	220
	The difference principle and the problem of envy	226
	Justice as fairness and the welfare state	229
	The social bases of self-respect	234
	An evaluation	237
6	Justice as Fairness: a realistic utopia	239
Notes		246
Works by John Rawls: a selection		276
Further reading		278
Index		280



Preface

John Rawls (1921–2002) is considered one of the most influential political philosophers of the twentieth century. His main work, *A Theory of Justice*, published in 1971, is recognized as one of the all-time great works in moral and political philosophy.

Rawls formulates in new ways answers to age-old, perennial questions of political philosophy: "What is a just political order?" and "What does justice require of us?" In working out his ideas on justice, Rawls is inspired by the traditional idea of the social contract, as represented by Locke, Rousseau, and Kant. But in Rawls' theory, the social contract is not used to set up a particular form of government. Rather, the guiding idea is that the object of the social contract is the principles of justice for a society.

In formulating his ideas on justice, Rawls at the same time takes a position against rival ethical conceptions, especially against the utilitarianism that has been the predominant theory for much of modern moral philosophy, represented by a long line of writers such as David Hume and Adam Smith, Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill, F. Y. Edgeworth and Henry Sidgwick.

The ways Rawls has worked out his ideas on justice have drawn widespread attention, not only from (political) philosophers, but also from philosophers of law, political scientists, economists, those in the field of public policy, and experts in jurisprudence. But not only academics have been inspired by Rawls' ideas. His ideas on "what justice requires" have influenced the theory of government and play a role in public political debates between, and within, political parties on policies to be pursued in so-called welfare states. It should be noted, however, that Rawls himself very seldom took a direct stand in debates on political topics. In addition, he was never a "party-political philosopher" and – even more important – neither is his work directly focused on daily political debates, or on the whim of political hype.



x Preface

All of this brings two questions to the fore: Why is it that Rawls' work is considered to belong to the category of the all-time most important works of moral and political philosophy? And, second, how is it, and in what ways, that political movements, political parties, or for that matter "you and I" in our role as citizens, can be inspired by Rawls' ideas on justice? What can these ideas contribute today to public political debates that turn on the issue of how liberal democratic societies, that are characterized by a plurality of religious, philosophical, and moral beliefs and opinions, can be organized in such a way that they are at the same time stable and peaceful, as well as just?

This introduction to Rawls' theory of justice tries to answer these questions. In so doing it takes "the whole" of Rawls' work, which has now been published in its entirety, into account. It elaborates how Rawls' works hangs together, from his first publication in 1951 up to his very final ones, some fifty years later, and how and why it can be considered to be one consistent and coherent body of work. We will follow the developments in Rawls' theorizing on justice and will explain, if there are any, recasting and adaptations.

Let us be clear, then, about what this introduction is, and what it is not. It is not an overview of the literature on Rawls' theory. The motto of this introduction is rather "back to basics." The aim is to keep the focus on Rawls' own writings: it is his ideas, his arguments, and his texts on "justice" that take center stage. For one thing, it will help to clear up existing misunderstandings and misinterpretations of Rawls' theory, and to dismiss criticism of Rawls' failing to address problems not within his intended purview. This is not, of course, to say that Rawls' theory should be uncritically accepted. His theory is not immune to criticism, but it seeks fair criticism.

In a note on "My Teaching," Rawls once remarked: "When lecturing, say, on Locke, Rousseau, Kant, or J. S. Mill, I always tried to do two things especially. One was to pose their problems as they themselves saw them, given what their understanding of these problems was in their own time. ... The second thing I tried to do was to present each writer's thought in what I took to be its strongest form. I took to heart Mill's remark in his review of Sidgwick: 'A doctrine is not judged at all until it is judged in its best form'. I didn't say, not intentionally anyway, what I myself thought a writer should have said, but rather what the writer did say, supported by what I viewed as the most reasonable interpretation on the text. The text had to be known and respected,



Preface xi

and its doctrine presented in its best form. Leaving aside the text seemed offensive, a kind of pretending."¹

Following Rawls' lead on how to understand and appreciate the thought of important moral and political philosophers, we are not, in this introduction, concerned with "what I myself think Rawls should have said, but rather what Rawls did say, supported by what I view as the most reasonable interpretation of his texts." The effort in this introduction to Rawls' work is to lay bare what are the leading ideas in his theory of justice, a theory on which he had been working without interruption for over fifty years.

Rawls once thanked the author of this introduction "for correcting several of my false starts." However, rather the opposite holds. Over many years, in innumerable and illuminating conversations, Rawls taught me how to improve my understanding of his theory. This introduction to his work is the fall-out thereof. It is dedicated to his memory.



List of abbreviations

The following abbreviations for Rawls' work appear throughout the text.

- CP *Collected Papers*, 1999, edited by Samuel Freeman, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- JaF Justice as Fairness: A Restatement, 2001, edited by Erin Kelly, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- LHMP Lectures on the History of Moral Philosophy, 2000, edited by Barbara Herman, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- LHPP Lectures on the History of Political Philosophy, 2007, edited by Samuel Freeman, Cambridge, MA and London: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- LoP *The Law of Peoples*, 1999, including the paper "The Idea of Public Reason Revisited," Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- PL *Political Liberalism*, 1996, New York: Columbia University Press.
- TJ A Theory of Justice, 1971, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- TJR A Theory of Justice. Revised Edition, 1999, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

"The Idea of Public Reason Revisited" is quoted in this introduction as published in *The Law of Peoples*, 129–180. Thus LoP: 129 refers to "The Idea of Public Reason Revisited." The article was originally published in 1997 in the *University of Chicago Law Review*, 64, 765–807. It has been included in the *Collected Papers* from 1999 (pp. 573–615), as well as in the newly expanded edition of *Political Liberalism* from 2005 (pp. 440–490).