Islam and the Army in Colonial India

A ground-breaking study of the cultural world of the Muslim soldiers of colonial India. Set in Hyderabad in the mid nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the book focuses on the soldiers’ relationships with the faqîr holy men who protected them and the British officers they served. Drawing on Urdu as well as English sources, the book uses the biographies of Muslim holy men and their military followers to recreate the extraordinary encounter between a barracks culture of miracle stories, carnivals, drug-use and madness and a colonial culture of mutiny memories, Evangelicalism, magistrates and the asylum. It explores the ways in which the colonial army helped promote this sepy religion while at the same time attempting to control and suppress certain aspects of it. The book brings to light the existence of a distinct ‘barracks Islam’ and shows its importance to the cultural no less than the military history of colonial India.
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Preface and acknowledgements

‘As July advanced, the bazaar at Malakand became full of tales of the Mad Fakir. A great day for Islam was at hand. A mighty man had arisen to lead them.’¹ So wrote the young Winston Churchill in his account of the rise of Mullā Mastān, ‘the Mad Fakir of Swat’, on India’s North-West Frontier in the 1890s. Churchill was by no means the first Englishman to spread rumours of rabble-rousing ‘fakirs’, and, from the southern to the northern tip of the subcontinent, the imperial memoirs of many a British officer are replete with similar stories. Such tales were a common currency of the old India hand and a familiar pattern in the discursive fabric of empire. In the wake of the Sepoy Rebellion of 1857 especially, one strand of this fabric lent colour to reports about faqīrs rousing trouble among Indian soldiers under British command. By the 1920s, the tales of faqīr intrigue reached a crescendo of incredibility. It is to Raleigh Trevelyan that we owe the recording of perhaps the most extraordinary account of a faqīr-instigated rebellion. Trevelyan records how at the time of the Bacha-ye-Saqaw uprising in Afghanistan in the late 1920s,

A ridiculous rumour had circulated in India that T.E. Lawrence – when as Aircraftman Shaw he was trying, or pretending, to lead an anonymous life in the desert outpost of Miramshah in Waziristan – was behind the rebellion. It had actually been claimed that Lawrence had dared to disguise himself as a holy man. I remember Walter [Trevelyan (1893–1953), Special Service Officer of the Kashmir State Infantry stationed in Gilgit 1929–33] saying he had been in Lahore at the time and a real holy man there had been lynched because word had got around that he was Lawrence.²

The fog of war and rumour that had long surrounded the faqīrs was now fuelling even the wildest assertions. Yet for all the abundance of such reports in the archive of empire, historians still have precious

little sense of the character of these faqîrs as they appeared to their followers and of the nature of their relationship to the Indian soldiers on whom British rule in large part depended. At its simplest, this book aims to sift through the reports and rumours to answer precisely these questions: Who were the faqîrs? And what was their relationship with the colonial soldier?

Today, as 150 years ago, the topic of Islam and warfare remains a tendentious one. Ever since the emergence of a colonial historiography of India, the association between Islam and military conquest has been a fraught theme in the study of India’s past. Given the subject matter of this book, it is therefore necessary to make a few clarificatory remarks about the all too easy connection to be made between Muslims and violence. Many discussions of this topic assume some sort of innate Islamic predilection towards holy war. Whatever its dubious attractions, the assumption of such innate civilisational drives makes a travesty of the basic principles of historical enquiry on which this book is built. In a study investigating the connections between Islam and the armies of imperial India it would be particularly inappropriate to assume the trans-historical validity of attitudes towards Islam which were themselves only being formed in the colonial era. This is not to deny the long-standing association of India’s Muslims with the soldiering profession, an association that ironically (and perhaps paradoxically) rendered the Muslim soldier one of the main building blocks of empire. But if James Mill’s foundational early nineteenth-century narrative of a medieval ‘Muslim invasion’ had its forebears in the historiography of the Indo-Islamic sultanates themselves, recent research has shown that this picture of an expressly ‘Islamic’ conquest was more akin to the rhetoric of a book-writing clerical class than to a more general picture of agency and motive. Islam had no innate relationship – hostile or supportive – to any empire, and, as the following chapters show, its relationship to the life of the colonial soldier was a malleable and inconstant one.

By drawing attention to the forms of Islam associated with Muslims serving in one of the armies by which Britain maintained its control over India, this book aims to take discussions about Islam and warfare in new directions, not least by turning around the familiar terms of debate in which Muslim violence is seen as perpetually directed against colonial objectives. As Islam and the Army in Colonial India hopes to demonstrate, the Muslims and their religion were at times less the enemies of empire than its assistants. By looking at the religious practices associated with the Muslim sepoys of the Hyderabad Contingent, this book places Islam into colonial history proper, showing how a historically mutable Islam helped shape the fortunes of empire while at the same time being itself reshaped by the military structures
of sepoys of life. The relationship between the religious traditions of the Muslim soldier and the exigencies of the British Empire was therefore one of give and take: the Islam of the Indian soldier was capable of assisting or resisting imperial agendas, lending mechanisms of loyalty no less than rebellion. The book is not primarily intended as a study of the Indian Army but is instead an attempt to link up a series of historiographical threads from different spools in Islamic and religious studies as well as colonial and military history. The intention is to offer new perspectives on writing ‘history from below’ by looking at the opportunities and predicaments presented by the interaction of empire with the religious culture of the Indian soldier that range from festivity and evangelicalism to madness and drug-use. In uncovering the world of the faqīrs long vilified in the rhetoric of empire, the book is in the end a study of what was in more ways than one a “subaltern” Islam.

Given the potential danger of the misuses of scholarship for political ends, it is perhaps worth issuing a word of caution. What is seen in this book is only a slice of India’s Islamic history and an interpretation of that slice at that. Explored in Islam and the Army in Colonial India is the encounter of Islam with the military culture of the British Empire, an investigation which could probably be repeated to similar effect with regard to the Hindus and Sikhs who also served in the armies of British India and whose religious customs and sacred spaces were also shaped by military service. Although this book draws attention to the Muslim sepoys followers of such faqīr holy men as Afzal Shāh, Banē Miyān and Tāj al-dīn, it is important to state that in their lifetimes they also counted ordinary Hindus among their followers. After their deaths, their shrines became places of Hindu no less than Muslim veneration, and their current successors have done much in the service of communal harmony.

***

The book had its origins in the noisy courtyard around the tomb of a former colonial soldier. Like other Muslim shrines in India, the grave of the old soldier serves as a stronghold of memory, a treasury of tales brought there by people seeking blessings, gossip, amusement, exorcism or simple respite from the traffic. If I initially fell into the latter category, my curiosity was soon captured by the faded photographs of sepoys that decorated the shrine’s saloon and the stories I was told about the man who was buried there. At first there were just spoken tales to collect, not least from Muinuddin Khan Sahib, the great-nephew and last living link with the dead soldier-saint, who was by then in his late nineties and who as a boy used to lead the old man round by the arm. On a later visit to India, I was introduced to another distant relative, Mustafa Shah Biyabani, who with the uncanny timing of the faqīrs handed me a copy of
the sepoys biography. It was the first I had heard of the text’s existence, and it was to entirely transform the direction of my research. Since searches in numerous libraries in India and Britain have failed to locate another copy of this small-town Urdu lithograph, there is much to be grateful for to those who preserved what has proven to be a valuable and perhaps unique source. Without Mustafa Shah’s sense of the fragility of history, and his generosity in sharing his books with me, my own book would not have been possible.

First place in the roster of thanks must therefore go to Mustafa Shah Biyabani and to those others who introduced me to the faqir Banë Miyan and through him to the religious world of the sepoys. I have already mentioned Muinuddin Khan and the hospitality he, Kashifuddin Khan and their family showed me at Banë Miyân’s shrine in Aurangabad sowed the seeds of this book in my imagination. I would especially like to thank Sarkar for his own tales of the soldier’s life and for the generosity of a true officer and gentleman. During several frantic days, Riazuddin Nehri was tireless in introducing me to the right people in Aurangabad, and the majalis of my friend Bashar Nawaz made my evenings no less memorable. In Qazipeth, I was helped in my enquiries about Afzal Shâh by Syed Shujathullah Hussaini Biabani, Iqbal Biabani and Aziz Baig, who provided me with several other rare texts and guided me around the padre’s shrine. Numerous other hosts showed me around the many other tombs, cantonments and churches that I visited during several research visits to India, and I am thankful for their kindness too. I was given a judicious measure of assistance by the librarians of the Salar Jung Library and Osmania University Library in Hyderabad, for which I am commensurately grateful.

Outside India, I was helped by a number of individuals and institutions. Doug Peers was particularly generous in sharing his vast knowledge of the literature on the Indian Army and its predecessors. At an earlier stage in the project, I was encouraged to problematise my Urdu sources through participating in a small Oxford workshop on ‘Indian Texts in their Historical Contexts’ with David Washbrook, Sanjay Subrahmanym and Frank Clooney. The day-to-day interaction with colleagues at Manchester University (particularly John Zavos, Jacqueline Suthren Hirst and George Brooke) provided me with the supportive but intellectually challenging environment needed to push my ideas in new directions. In later stages in the project, my readings were supplemented by the timely tip-offs of Anindita Ghosh, Elizabeth de Michelas, Michael Snape and Feroze Yasamee. A new home and colleagues at UCLA proved the perfect setting for making the final revisions to the text. My thanks in particular to Sanjay Subrahmanyam.
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I am also grateful to James R. Newell for providing me with a copy of Tazkira-e-Bābā Tāj al-dīn Awliyā and giving permission to use his photographs of Tāj al-dīn and the Nagpur asylum. The comments of audiences at the Royal Asiatic Society, UCLA and the universities of Manchester and Cambridge have also worked their way into the following chapters. On a regular scale, Christopher Shackle and Francis Robinson were generous as ever with their advice. Timely assistance in preparing the manuscript was lent by Melissa Markauskas, and I am especially grateful to Joseph Bottrill for patient typesetting and for the recommendations of the three anonymous readers at Cambridge University Press, as well as for the encouragement of Marigold Acland. The remaining faults and extravagances are all mine.

I would also like to thank the staff of: the Oriental and India Office Collections at the British Library (particularly Leena Mitford); the Oriental Reading Room at the Bodleian Library; the National Army Museum (particularly Alastair Massie); Balliol College Library (particularly Alan Tadielo); and the library of the Wellcome Institute. Research in India was assisted by travel grants from the British Academy; the Society for South Asian Studies; the Fellows’ Travel Fund at Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford; and the University of Manchester. I am grateful to Harrassowitz Verlag for permission to reprint sections from ‘The Faqīr and the Subalterns: Mapping the Holy Man in Colonial South Asia’, Journal of Asian History, 41, 1 (2007); to Duke University Press for permission to reprint sections from ‘Making a ‘Muslim’ Saint: Writing Customary Religion in an Indian Princely State’, Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East, 25, 3 (2005); and to Cambridge University Press for permission to reprint sections from ‘Stories of Saints and Sultans: Re-membering History at the Sufi Shrines of Aurangabad’, Modern Asian Studies 38, 2 (2004) and ‘Jack Sepoy and the Dervishes: Islam and the Indian Soldier in Princely Hyderabad’, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society 18, 1 (2008).

Final thanks to Nushin, for sharing house for so long with the shades of bumptious sāhibs and their subalterns.
A note on terminology

The names of the Muslim soldiers and holy men who appear in this book have been standardised in a simplified version (preserving macrons but removing underdots) of the *International Journal of Middle East Studies* system for the transliteration of the Arabic and Persian alphabet. To avoid confusion, for Urdu words the same simplified system has been used with slight modifications to reflect Urdu pronunciation. While Indian personal names have been transliterated according to this system, Indian place names have been rendered in their most familiar form.

Given the inconsistency with which ‘Hindustani’ Urdu words passed into English, it is also necessary to clarify the book’s usage of two key terms. In general, the Anglo-Indian designation ‘sepoy’ is used in reference to any Indian soldier in colonial employment and not in its more restrictive sense of a foot soldier (which was in any case a misnomer, given the word’s derivation from the Persian *sipāḥ*, ‘horseman, cavalryman’). To avoid an overload of terminology, I have only used the Anglo-Indian term for a cavalryman (‘sowar’, from the Persian *sawār*, ‘rider’) when referring to specific Indian cavalrymen.
Glossary of Urdu and Anglo-Indian terms

*barakat* blessing power, life-force, *élan vital*

*bhāng* cannabis leaves prepared for drinking

*charas* cannabis resin prepared for smoking in a *chīlam* pipe

*faqīr* 'poor man'; a mendicant or holy man; a fakir

*gānjā* leaf cannabis

*jazb* 'attraction'; ecstasy; licit madness (see also *majzūb*)

*kontinjant* 'Contingent'; collective term for the British-commanded regiments of Hyderabad State

*mahfīl* a social or religious gathering, typically for a musical performance

*majzūb* a person ecstatically ‘attracted’ to God; a holy fool

*mawlwī* 'my master'; an authority on Islamic tradition; a mullah (Anglicised as maulvi)

*munshī* a writer, or secretary, usually working in Persian or Urdu; an amanuensis

*nāvbat* a kettle drum or reveille

*nazar* a vow or offering (in cash or kind) made to a prince or a holy man

*pādṛi* a Christian priest or military chaplain; a ‘padre’

*pāgalkhāna* 'mad house'; colloquial term for an asylum

*pīr* 'old man'; a spiritual elder or patriarch; a holy man

*qalandar* an antinomian wandering Muslim holy man, traditionally wearing a shaven head

*qāzī* a Muslim judge or magistrate

*risāla* a regiment

*sādhū* 'perfect, virtuous honourable'; a Hindu holy man

*sāhib* 'master'; a term of respect, often demanded by Britons in India (Anglicised as sahib)

*sepoy* Anglo-Indian term for an Indian soldier (from Persian *spāhī*, ‘soldier, cavalryman’)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sowar</td>
<td>Anglo-Indian term for an Indian cavalryman (from Persian sawār, ‘rider’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subaltern</td>
<td>a low-ranking native officer; a ‘subordinate’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wali (pl. awliyā)</td>
<td>a ‘friend’ or ‘client’ of God; a Muslim saint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zanāna</td>
<td>‘women’s space’; the female or domestic quarters of a traditional Indian house or shrine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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