Ever since the scientist-turned-novelist C. P. Snow clashed with literary critic F. R. Leavis in the early 1960s, it has been a commonplace to lament that intellectual life is divided between “two cultures,” the arts and the sciences. Yet why did a topic that had long been discussed inspire such ferocious controversy at this particular moment? This book answers that question by recasting the dispute as an ideological conflict between competing visions of Britain’s past, present, and future. It then connects the controversy to simultaneous arguments about the mission of the university, the methodology of social history, the meaning of national “decline,” and the fate of the former empire. By excavating the political stakes of the “two cultures” controversy, this book explains the workings of cultural politics during the 1960s more generally, while also revising the meaning of a term that continues to be evoked to this day.
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