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The Ayyubid and Mamluk periods were two of the most intellectually vibrant in Islamic history. Megan H. Reid’s book, which traverses three centuries from 1170 to 1500, recovers the stories of medieval men and women who were renowned not only for their intellectual prowess but also for their devotional piety. Through these stories, the book examines trends in voluntary religious practice that have been largely overlooked in modern scholarship. This type of piety was distinguished by the pursuit of God’s favor through additional rituals, which emphasized the body as an instrument of worship, and through the rejection of worldly pleasures, and even society itself. Using an array of sources including manuals of law, fatwa collections, chronicles, and obituaries, the book shows what it meant to be a good Muslim in the medieval period and how Islamic law helped to define holy behavior. In its concentration on personal piety, ritual, and ethics the book offers an intimate perspective on medieval Islamic society.

Megan H. Reid is Assistant Professor of Religion at the University of Southern California.
Cambridge Studies in Islamic Civilization

Editorial Board

David O. Morgan, Professor Emeritus, University of Wisconsin–Madison (general editor)
Shahab Ahmed, Harvard University
Virginia Aksan, McMaster University
Michael Cook, Princeton University
Peter Jackson, Keele University
Chase Robinson, The Graduate Center, The City University of New York

A list of books in the series can be found after the index.
This book is dedicated to my father,

Watson Day Reid
# Contents

**Acknowledgments**  
Introduction: Devotional Piety and Islamic Law 1  
1. The Persistence of Asceticism 21  
2. “Devote Yourselves to Deeds You Can Bear”: Voluntary Fasting and Bodily Piety 56  
3. Charity, Food, and the Right of Refusal 97  
4. The Devil at the Fountain: Problems of Ritual 144  
   Conclusion: Beyond Transgression, Beyond *sunna* 197  

**Glossary** 215  
**Bibliography** 219  
**Index** 241
This book benefited first of all from Marigold Acland at Cambridge University Press, who expressed enthusiasm for my project and was a pleasure to work with. She encouraged me patiently through challenges, as did Helen Wheeler and Mary Starkey. Mary did far more than copyedit this text; engaging with someone of her caliber was immensely rewarding, and I know that not many authors have this privilege. Both readers for Cambridge drew my attention to issues large and small, particularly Marion Katz, who caught some “egregious errors” (to use Ibn al-Najjār’s expression) and was generous with her detailed, insightful comments.

I want to express my deep appreciation for the support I received from a number of institutions and foundations. At the University of Southern California I have benefited from Faculty Development Grants, and I want to thank especially Deans Dani Byrd and Kathleen Speer, who have shown great kindness and were instrumental in allowing me time to work on this project. A fellowship from the USC–Huntington Early Modern Studies Institute provided me a semester in which to reconceptualize parts of the book. I traveled to London with a grant from the Center for Feminist Research at USC that allowed me to undertake several weeks of follow-up archival research.

The book was built upon earlier research and writing conducted with the help of Foreign Language and Area Studies Fellowships, the Ora J. Bretall Fellowship in Religion, and other generous support from Princeton University. A Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Research Fellowship allowed me to spend a year in Egypt and France; and the Charlotte Newcombe Doctoral Dissertation Fellowship was crucial during the final year of writing.
Acknowledgments

I am happy to acknowledge never-to-be-repaid debts to several teachers and mentors. Michael Morony at UCLA encouraged my interest in writing about a model of piety rather than a social type. My dissertation advisor, Shaun Marmon, brought an entire world to life through her excitement about the field and the Arabic sources. I still miss the pleasure of her intellectual rigor and her good company. I am proud to have been her first graduate student.

Discussions with Peter Brown encouraged me to look for and appreciate the strange, the puzzling, and the humorous in the lives of people in the medieval period. His belief in my project was a great source of comfort. My years at Princeton were enlivened by a friendship with Oleg Grabar, with whom I had wandering and scandalous conversations about many things, including wanderers and scandalous piety. I thank Avram Udovitch, under whose tutelage my love of Islamic legal texts blossomed, and also Patricia Crone, who was a marvelous interlocutor. I thoroughly enjoyed the year I spent as her research assistant at the Institute for Advanced Study.

I feel lucky to have chosen a field filled with colleagues whose ideas I admire and whose companionship I have enjoyed so much: Ali Ballouti, Jonathan Berkey, Anne Broadbridge, Sandra Campbell, Dani Doueiri, Brian Edwards, Daphna Ephrat, Jason Glenn, Molly Green, John Iskander, Tariq al-Jamil, Nasser Rabbat, Jennifer Roth, and Brad Verter. Before I met any of these, the door was opened by Emile Durzi, to whom I will always be grateful.

Tamer el-Leithy and I worked on our dissertations together, and there is much of our delightful friendship in this book. Lisa Bitel has had the least fun role to play, but she cannot know how much her support, her intellectual engagement, and her irreverent sense of humor have meant to me. She and Peter Mancall have brought much joy to my life in Los Angeles.

The most important thanks of all must go to Paul Cobb, who every year has provided yet more steady friendship and super support as a comrade, colleague, trouper, reader, mover, shaker.

At the last stages, and thus last in these acknowledgments, my loving thanks and unending gratitude to my mother, Penny Holbrook, to my sister, Cassandra Reid, and to my husband, Djamel Hamdad. I could not have finished this book without them.