

# LITERATURE AND HERESY IN THE AGE OF CHAUCER

After the late fourteenth century, English literature was fundamentally shaped by the heresy of John Wyclif and his followers. This study demonstrates how Geoffrey Chaucer, William Langland, John Clanvowe, Margery Kempe, Thomas Hoccleve and John Lydgate, far from eschewing Wycliffism out of fear of censorship or partisan distaste, viewed Wycliffite ideas as a distinctly new intellectual resource. Andrew Cole offers the only complete historical account of the first official condemnation of Wycliffism – the Blackfriars Council of 1382 – and the fullest study of "Lollardy" as a social and literary construct. Drawing on literary criticism, history, theology, and law, he presents not only a fresh perspective on late medieval literature, but also an invaluable rethinking of the Wycliffite heresy. *Literature and Heresy* restores Wycliffism to its proper place as the most significant context for late medieval English writing, and thus for the origins of English literary history.

ANDREW COLE is Assistant Professor of English at the University of Georgia.



### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN MEDIEVAL LITERATURE

GENERAL EDITOR Alastair Minnis, Yale University

EDITORIAL BOARD

Zygmunt G. Barański, University of Cambridge
Christopher C. Baswell, University of California, Los Angeles
John Burrow, University of Bristol
Mary Carruthers, New York University
Rita Copeland, University of Pennsylvania
Simon Gaunt, King's College, London
Steven Kruger, City University of New York
Nigel Palmer, University of Oxford
Winthrop Wetherbee, Cornell University
Jocelyn Wogan-Browne, University of York

This series of critical books seeks to cover the whole area of literature written in the major medieval languages – the main European vernaculars, and medieval Latin and Greek – during the period *c.*1100–1500. Its chief aim is to publish and stimulate fresh scholarship and criticism on medieval literature, special emphasis being placed on understanding major works of poetry, prose, and drama in relation to the contemporary culture and learning which fostered them.

## RECENT TITLES IN THE SERIES

Nicolette Zeeman Piers Plowman and the Medieval Discourse of Desire
Anthony Bale The Jew in the Medieval Book: English
Antisemitisms 1300–1500

Robert J. Meyer-Lee Poets and Power from Chaucer to Wyatt Isabel Davis Writing Masculinity in the Later Middle Ages John M. Fyler Language and the Declining World in Chaucer, Dante and Jean de Meun

Matthew Giancarlo Parliament and Literature in Late Medieval England D. H. Green Women Readers in the Middle Ages Mary Dove The First English Bible: The Text and Context of the

Wycliffite Versions Jenni Nuttall The Creation of Lancastrian Kingship: Literature, Language and

Politics in Late Medieval England
Laura Ashe Fiction and History in England, 1066–1200
J. A. Burrow The Poetry of Praise
Mary Carruthers The Book of Memory, Second Edition

A complete list of titles in the series can be found at the end of the volume.



# LITERATURE AND HERESY IN THE AGE OF CHAUCER

ANDREW COLE





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

> > Cambridge University Press
> > The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521179836

© Andrew Cole 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008 First paperback edition 2010

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Cole, Andrew, 1968-

Literature and heresy in the age of Chaucer / Andrew Cole, p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in medieval literature; 71) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-88791-5

- 1. English literature-Middle English, 1100-1500-History and criticism.
- 2. Heresies, Christian, in literature. 3. Wycliffe, John, d. 1384–Influence.
  - 4. Lollards in literature. 5. Theology in literature. 6. Canon (Literature)-History-To 1500.
- 7. Literature and society-England-History-To 1500. 1. Title. 11. Series.

PR255.C65 2008 820.9'001–dc22 2008011346

ISBN 978-0-521-88791-5 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-17983-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For my parents,
Bill and Joan Cole



We have to look for new words about God which express the old faith because we have to argue with heretics. Aquinas

You can destroy spontaneity and produce a literature which is orthodox but feeble, or you can let people say what they choose and take the risk that some of them will utter heresies.

Orwell

The constructive use of riches is better than their possession.

Fortune Cookie, Golden Sun Restaurant, Athens, GA



# Contents

Preface	page xi
Acknowledgments	xvii
List of abbreviations	XX
PART I THE INVENTION OF HERESY	I
1 The Blackfriars Council, London, 1382	3
Wycliffite preachers redux	5
Archbishop Courtenay's heretics and usurpers	7
Bishop Wykeham at Blackfriars, Wycliffites at Odiham	II
Bishop Buckingham and the hermit heretic	14
England is Odiham	16
Surprised by heresy? Wycliffism out of Oxford	18
Canon law as cultural theme	20
PART II THE LATE FOURTEENTH CENTURY: CANONIZING	
WYCLIFFISM	23
2 The invention of "lollardy": William Langland	25
Langland and the "lollare," devil in the details	26
The Blackfriars Council reimagined	28
The invention of "lollardy," c. 1387	33
Piers Plowman and the "lollard" tradition	38
"Lollares" as wasters	41
Langland after Blackfriars	44
3 The reinvention of "lollardy": William Langland and	
his contemporaries	46
Beyond the binary: "lollardy" in a Wycliffite tract, John Clanvowe's	
The Two Ways, and The Fyve Wyttes	47

vii



vi	ii <i>Contents</i>	
	Reading the <i>Epistola Sathanae ad Cleros</i> Beyond Fitzralph: Wycliffite antifraternalism Langland's "lunatyk lollares" Langland and Wycliffism: poor priests and prophecy Langlandian "lollardy": Will's apologia in <i>Piers Plowman</i> C5 Langlandian sympathies	54 55 60 63 66
In	termezzo: Wycliffism is not "lollardy"	72
4 Geoffrey Chaucer's Wycliffite text		75
4	Chaucerian sympathies, "lollards" in the wind	75
	Chaucer's Wycliffite text and context	79
	Wycliffism: the heresy of the vernacular?	81
	Chaucer's Wycliffite translation theory Wycliffite words	84
	John Trevisa, translator	92 94
	Vernacular innovations: Middle English grammars	96
	Chaucer's English lesson	98
	ART III THE EARLY FIFTEENTH CENTURY: HERETICS ND EUCHARISTS	101
5	Thomas Hoccleve's heretics	103
	Trying mercy: the "Address to Sir John Oldcastle"  The prince, the heretic, and the Prologue to Regiment of Princes	106 114
	Test image: a prince's portrait	116
	The Regiment of Princes	120
	Badby in the burning bull	120
	Prince of pardons	124
	Topically Hoccleve	130
6	John Lydgate's eucharists	131
	Lydgate the theologian	133
	"A Procession of Corpus Christi"	136
	Figural eucharists, literary theologies	146
PA	ART IV FEELING WYCLIFFITE	153
7	Margery Kempe's "lollard" shame	155
	"Lollard" shame	157
	"Lollardy" as mysticism	164



Contents	ix
The return of the recanted The mystic and the bishop – Philip Repingdon The form of emotion	171 175 181
PART V EPILOGUE	183
8 Heresy, Wycliffism, and English literary history	185
Notes	189
Bibliography	261
Index of manuscripts cited	286
General index	



# Preface

This book intervenes in a field that is as old as the earliest English literary biographers, such as John Bale and John Leland, and as new as the spate of recent work coming from scholars in departments of history, theology, philosophy, literature, and language. The field concerns the study of late medieval English heresy, and the heresy in question is Wycliffism, a reformist movement oriented around the teachings of the Oxford theologian John Wyclif (d.1384). Wyclif and his followers sought great changes in the church's sacramental practices and ecclesiastical structures. They insisted, for example, that the eucharist – by far the most important of devotional forms – was needlessly mystified by the church. Specifically, they claimed that the church rationalized its own power by obscuring the significance and symbolism of the sacrament as Jesus had intended it in the Gospels: a sign of his imminent and lasting sacrifice, and not a priestly legerdemain that produces Christ's bloody, crucified body under the appearance of bread to be consumed in Mass. They also argued that the church was mesmerized by temporalities – worldly possessions, episcopal palaces, accumulated livings - and that the only way to bring that institution to a recognition of its spiritual charge would be to effect its complete disendowment at the hands of the secular arm, redistributing its resources to the poor and into the foundation of new grammar schools and universities. It comes hardly as a shock, then, that the church adjudged these and other teachings to be heretical and erroneous at a provincial synod in 1382, the Blackfriars Council - a modern name in reference to the location of the Council itself at the Blackfriars house in London. After this public condemnation, and from the mid-1380s on, many persons attacked Wycliffites in English and Latin sermons, poems, and prose texts by dismantling their ideas and calling their adherents "lollards" - a neologism to England that loosely meant "heretic." Yet despite all these attempts to quash Wycliffism, it was influential in an oddly unique way: somehow this academic heresy became popular from



xii Preface

the mid-1380s on, spreading with increasing diversity among the peasantry and gentry alike. Wycliffite ideas were finding more and more expression in religious books written in English, as opposed to Latin. On account of the continued influence of Wycliffism among the laity, the church – specifically, successive archbishops of Canterbury – sought further measures to eradicate it, inaugurating the fifteenth century with morbid business: the execution by burning of a priest accused of eucharistic heresy, William Sawtre, in 1401. That ultimate penalty, which would be applied numerous times over the course of the fifteenth and early sixteenth century, marked the beginning of the end of what some rightly call the "premature reformation."

Our summary of Wycliffism can stop here, for much of it will be challenged in this book - from the scholarly consensus about the first important ecclesiastical response to this heresy, the Blackfriars Council, to the very word used to harass Wycliffites in medieval England but still employed transparently by modern scholars as if it had little ideological, much less theological, significance: "lollard." Indeed, this book offers a series of interventions on a number of topics within Middle English studies, including questions concerning the genesis of heretical identities out of the dialectic of the accuser and the accused (Chapters 1, 2, 3), the gray areas between orthodoxy and heterodoxy (Chapter 3), the limits of censorship and the politics of the vernacular (Chapters 1, 4, 5, 7), the importance of affective forms (Chapter 7), and the afterlife of Wycliffism within English literary history (Epilogue). Yet this book also presents some unnoticed literary, typological, and hermeneutic features of Wycliffism through a study of non-Wycliffite authors: William Langland, Geoffrey Chaucer, Thomas Hoccleve, John Lydgate, and Margery Kempe. The rationale for bringing together such a diverse set of writers is to fill a critical need: most of the work on late medieval English dissent, especially that which appears in monographs, either sidelines Wycliffism for the sake of continental traditions of prophetic dissent or views it as a subset of larger cultural problems.3 Those studies that do focus specifically on dissent largely explore authors who are canonically minor within the teaching and, for the most part, research of English literature.<sup>4</sup> These are all important studies that have shaped the field, and there is no artificial charge here that such work should have been focused elsewhere. Rather, the canonical focus of this book encourages an opening out of questions about the relevance of Wycliffism to literary culture and, as I conclude in my Epilogue, to literary history - a relevance doubted by a number of scholars outside of Wycliffite studies looking in and asking, Why



Preface xiii

Wycliffism? Have we not heard enough about "lollards"? The total argument of this book is that each author studied here supplies strikingly different angles from which to view Wycliffism as an ideologically diverse and aesthetically enabling feature of late medieval literary culture and politics. *Literature and Heresy* will not assess these authors' "response" to Wycliffism, which inevitably is a passive kind of claim involving Wycliffites who act and others who react, a claim undergirded by the mistaken idea that Wycliffism was somehow "outside" of mainstream literary and interpretive communities. Rather, it will seek to discover the making of Wycliffism and "lollardy" in the hands of authors who adopted Wycliffite terms and ideas that in many cases – such as Langland's – then became a cultural norm. In such appropriations can we see that Wycliffism, in its own right and by its influences, is one of the central forces that shaped English literary history.

The prevailing historical method of this book will involve what is perhaps an unfashionable kind of reading, given the recent tendency in criticism to dissolve periodizing distinctions between medieval and Renaissance, whereby continuities trump ruptures and become in themselves grand narratives. What I offer here instead is an argument for a certain "break" in late medieval writing – not in the effort to supply my own narrative about a fundamentally new historical period but rather to offer a more modest account that loosely conforms to what Fredric Jameson proposes as "a break without a period" – a way of assessing what is new in a given historic moment without rushing to announce larger periodizing ideas about what is "medieval," proto-modern, or even modern about a given set of texts or cultural practices.<sup>5</sup> I argue that when Wycliffism appeared in late medieval England, writers began to engage older topics in new ways, conferring onto the resulting literatures an indelibly post-Wycliffite character. Each author in this study offers testimony to that claim. In researching this book, I began with those pressing diachronic questions ranging from the history of mysticism to the history of translation theory, antifraternalism, and canon law and heresy persecutions – to name but a few examples. My considerations of these large topics are available both in the main text of this book and in the notes, but the diachronic evidence always in my mind pointed to the difference between an earlier, pre-Wycliffite moment and the one under study here - the post-Wycliffite age of the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries. The relevance of Wycliffism asserts itself most forcefully through its difference and novelty, and such novelty in late medieval England was often seen as "heresy" and castigated in terms that attempt to mollify the shock of the new.6



xiv Preface

It should take no special pleading or argumentative periodizing to suggest that Wycliffism brings something new to late medieval writing that would not have been possible at a prior moment, before Wycliffism. Oftentimes, however, it does require constant positioning and qualification when arguing about - say - a Wycliffite influence in Chaucer. For it is so often the case that an objector stands up to ask, "Are you saying Chaucer is a 'lollard'?!" Let it be understood here that my goal is not to out any literary great as a "lollard," and, as will be clear in the discussion of "lollardy" below, the question itself is tiresomely simplistic and tangential. My task in this book is to end the special pleading and nuance the questions we ask. It is to open things up and posit the necessity of Wycliffism to the English literary canon. Indeed, this book shows that the authors who comprise not only our canon but the late medieval canons as well (Langland, Chaucer, Lydgate, Hoccleve, especially, were all popular authors) must be read in the Wycliffite context for us to gain a fuller understanding of the topics they explore in their works and the literary, intellectual, and ethical stakes in writing them. This book also recommends that we change our critical terminology in discussing English heresy and cease using a term that is both ideologically problematic and nostalgic -"lollard." As will be immediately obvious, my use of the terms "lollard" and "Wycliffite" departs from professional conventions (which see these terms as synonyms) on the conviction that the historical evidence suggests different meanings for each. If my phraseology, such as "Langlandian 'lollardy'" or "Geoffrey Chaucer's Wycliffite text," produces an estrangement effect long enough for us to rethink our critical paradigms and seek new ways to think about Wycliffism and its influence, then that is the most one can ask for.

This book begins with a foundational episode in English politics and religion – something of an originary moment for Wyclif and his followers, whose assorted views were condemned as heresy: the Blackfriars Council of 1382. There are many introductions to Wycliffism dealing with this event, and an infinite number of references to this Council in Middle English studies. Yet the plurality of studies and references has led to a narrowing familiarity with this Council and, consequently, has either mystified its political and legal implications or reduced them to one buzz word: censorship. The first chapter, therefore, offers what has long been needed in historical and literary scholarship – a description of how Archbishop William Courtenay used the resources of his administration to bring the Wycliffite heresy to national attention. Chapter 2 explores Langland's relation to the most visible form of anti-Wycliffite polemic in



Preface xv

the wake of the Blackfriars Council - namely, the discourse of "lollardy." Langland, I argue, ranks among the first authors to neutralize this polemic and offer in the C text of Piers Plowman what remains the most searching case study of "lollardy" as a construct and social form. In Chapter 3, this investigation continues with an assessment of the poet's relationship to the Wycliffite appropriation of "lollardy," whereby the typology of the "lollard" becomes idealized and shared among a number of Wycliffite and non-Wycliffite authors alike. Chapter 4 evaluates the Wycliffite significances of Chaucerian vernacularity. In arguing that Chaucer was a close reader of a specific Wycliffite text on translation, this chapter considers some adjacent but important questions. Did the Blackfriars Council place a pall upon vernacular writing itself? Did the authorities consider English writing as inherently heretical such that non-Wycliffite authors feared retribution from censors if they chose English as their medium? After surveying the evidence, I answer "no" and elaborate on this claim by discussing how Chaucer's circle presented the poet opportunities to read and cite English Wycliffite texts with impunity.

Chapters 5 and 6, on Hoccleve and Lydgate respectively, turn to later ecclesiastical (and secular) events. Chapter 5 reassesses Hoccleve's relation to two major persecutions in the fifteenth century – the burning of John Badby in 1410 (the first layperson to be executed for eucharistic heresy) and the trial of Sir John Oldcastle in 1413, the subsequent hunt for the escaped heretic, and his execution in 1417. Hoccleve, it will be argued, differs from the legal and chronicle publications that portray these events as orthodox triumphs. Engagingly, he cites but revises the public record about the trials and persecutions of Wycliffites and, in so doing, assumes postures of pity and mercy that are authentically sympathetic with Wycliffism but foreclosed in the recorded orthodox staging of these trials. Chapter 6 attends to Lydgate's handling of the most problematic theological issue of his day - the eucharist - and shows that the poet explores the possibilities of eucharistic theology by rejecting the mainstream, juridically orthodox interpretation and adapting a uniquely historically informed model that reasserts the relevance of form and figural interpretation. The final chapter on Margery Kempe brings this book nearly full circle, returning us to the issues of Chapters 2 and 3 -"lollardy" - but viewing this typology as an affective form. Kempe embraces the identity of the persecuted "lollard" in order to make salient her own affective investments in being "shamed" for Christ through persecution. This chapter then moves to expand these affective considerations in an examination of what is perhaps the most topically loaded



xvi Preface

moment in the *Book*: Kempe's encounter with the former Wycliffite, Bishop Philip Repingdon. Kempe appears to be fascinated by Repingdon, whose own status as a recanted heretic serves as an example of how the categories of orthodoxy and heterodoxy can be productively fused and intentionally confused within a larger discourse of shame.

Collectively, these chapters suggest that the major anti-Wycliffite persecutions from the Blackfriars Council to the burning of Badby, to Archbishop Thomas Arundel's Constitutions, do not produce the cultural effects we would assume – a dampening down of ideas, a disinterest in vernacularity, and a quashing of experimentation in theology and literary form. Indeed, much of the evidence suggests that the major ecclesiastical and secular initiatives against Wycliffism did not succeed as planned and in some instances had the opposite effect of making this heresy an item of great and lasting interest.



# Acknowledgments

I am delighted to thank all the many people who made this book possible, beginning with my undergraduate teacher, Allen J. Frantzen, who with his sharp mind and limitless knowledge of the humanities, inspired me to be a medievalist. Britton J. Harwood showed himself to be an unbelievably learned and patient man as my M.A. thesis director. David Aers has to be among the most committed teachers in the profession, for whom reading a 450-page manuscript in one day and mailing back a copiously trenchant criticism is a walk in the park. I cannot thank him enough for all he's done for me. Sarah Beckwith taught me many things, but these are only dimly evidenced here because I cannot combine originality and lyricism quite like her. I am also indebted to Paul Strohm, who has been a trusted advisor for many of my projects since we first met a decade ago. Finally, those who have studied with Fred Jameson at Duke will instantly understand my acknowledging this singular intellectual. For he has influenced a generation of medievalists with his work and friendship. I owe so much to these gifted scholars. And they know it.

David Aers, Maura Nolan, and Nicholas Watson all read my book in manuscript in its entirety and offered probative feedback, as did the anonymous reviewers for Cambridge. I owe a special thanks to Maura for her incisive critical readings of almost everything I write and for always responding to the intellectual call with the best insights. Fiona Somerset and I have emailed and debated about Wycliffism for nearly a decade, and that exchange alone makes one plenty thankful, apart from her many other scholarly generosities freely given. I also acknowledge those who have commented on individual chapters or have provided practical help: Sarah Beckwith, John Bowers, Jackie Brown, Mary Dove, Rick Emmerson, Andy Galloway, Shannon Gayk, Jane Gatewood, Bruce Holsinger, Simon Hunt, Sarah Kelen, Ethan Knapp, Katie Little, Bobby Meyer-Lee, Jack Murnighan, Ben Saunders, Fiona Somerset, Helen Spencer, Paul Strohm, Kalpen Trivedi, Lawrence Warner, Nicholas

xvii



xviii

# Acknowledgments

Watson, and Alex Wolloch (for the Orwell epigraph). To Anne Hudson I owe a particular kind of gratitude: she answered my queries by letter and email, debated charitably with me in print in YLS, and worked with my own graduate students during their research visits to Oxford. Vance Smith, Michael Uebel, Dan Blanton, Bruce Holsinger, and Group 190 have comprised an intense and lasting intellectual community during the writing of this and other projects. Alastair Minnis and Linda Bree pursued this book from the first – with Alastair on the yacht at NCS 2006 encouraging me to finish, and Linda at serial Kalamazoos insisting that I write the book I want to write. I am immeasurably grateful to them both.

Various persons and offices at the University of Georgia have supported this work with several semesters of paid leave: President Michael Adams, Dean Garnett Stokes, Senior Associate Dean Hugh Ruppersburg, the University of Georgia Research Foundation, and the Sarah Moss foundation. UGA's Oxford Program kindly offered me room after room during my summer research visits to Oxford. In the Department of English, I have received support, friendship, and free meals from Doug Anderson, Kris Boudreau, Nelson Hilton, Bill Kretzschmar, Adam Parkes, Jed Rasula, Susan Rosenbaum, Judy Shaw, Fran Teague, Kalpen Trivedi, Aidan Wasley, Anne Williams, and Suzi Wong. My research assistants, Lisa Ulevich and Robin Wharton, took on tasks to help me with this one. Ryan Perry made the UGA library work for a resident medievalist in ways hitherto unseen, while the Interlibrary Loan Department supplied me many books and microfilms – exhibiting perfect patience on the vexed topic of overdue items. The painting reproduced on the book jacket is the masterful work of Kent Knowles of the Savannah College of Art and Design who instantly took on the commission to imagine on canvas for the first time in the history of Chaucer portraiture the endlink to the Man of Law's Tale.

Versions of Chapters 2, 3, and 4 appeared in, respectively, *Lollards and Their Influence, Yearbook of Langland Studies*, and *Speculum*. I am grateful to the editors and publishers for permission to extract portions of these chapters here, as well as to the relentlessly inquisitive audience at the University of Colorado – Boulder, where I presented Chapter 7 (April 2005). Thanks, too, to the British Library, the Bodleian Library, and the Syndics of the Cambridge University Library for permission to use and cite from their manuscripts; and to Balliol and Magdalen Colleges at Oxford for access to their collections. Jean-Pierre Mialon at Duke Humfrey's library deserves recognition for his assistance – all the way down to the furtively "crappy photocopies."



# Acknowledgments

xix

Cathy Dailey – artist, best friend, and fellow-traveler with whom life is always full and fun – helped me complete this book with her unquantifiable forms of support and love. My family supported me in all things for many years. My brother and sister, Wes and Harriet, have always at the right time been more like friends than family. And my parents, Bill and Joan, gave me a good upbringing primarily by letting me learn in my own way and wander to places both real and imaginative. To these two sweet, gentle souls, I dedicate this book.



# Abbreviations

EWS	English Wycliffite Sermons, 5 vols., ed. Anne Hudson and Pamela Gradon. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1983–96.
FZ	Fasciculi Zizaniorum Magistri Johannis Wyclif cum Tritico, ed. Walter Waddington Shirley. London: Long-
	man <i>et al.</i> , 1858.
MED	The Middle English Dictionary, ed. Hans Kurath et al.
	Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1956–2007.
OED	The Oxford English Dictionary, 2nd edn. Oxford: Oxford
	University Press, 1989.
PL	Patrologia Cursus Completus: Series Latina, ed. J. P. Migne.
	221 vols. Paris, 1844–64.

### NOTE

References to the Vulgate Bible are from *Biblia Sacra: Iuxta Vulgatam Versionem*, 3rd edn., ed. Robert Weber (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 1983), with translations from Challoner's text, *The Holy Bible* (Baltimore, MD: F. Lucas, Jr., 1837).