

THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF JAPAN

This is the first book-length study of the Yayoi and Kofun periods of Japan (c. 600 BC–AD 700), in which the introduction of rice paddy field farming from the Korean peninsula ignited the rapid development of social complexity and hierarchy that culminated with the formation of the ancient Japanese state. The author traces the historical trajectory of the Yayoi and Kofun periods by employing cutting-edge sociological, anthropological, and archaeological theories and methods. The book reveals a fascinating process through which sophisticated hunting-gathering communities in an archipelago on the eastern fringe of the Eurasian continent were transformed materially and symbolically into a state.

Dr. Koji Mizoguchi is Professor of Social Archaeology, Graduate School of Social and Cultural Studies, Kyushu University, Japan. He is the author of *An Archaeological History of Japan: 30,000 BC to AD 700* (2002) and *Archaeology, Society and Identity in Modern Japan* (2006). Dr. Mizoguchi is regarded as a leading Japanese archaeologist, particularly in the study of the Yayoi period and mortuary archaeology. His many contributions to scholarly journals focus on the postcolonial archaeologies of East Asia with special emphasis on Japan, the relationship between modernisation and the disciplinisation of archaeology, mortuary practices and the constitution of social identities, and the study of the centralisation and hierarchisation of social relations by using formal network analysis methods. He was elected the sixth president of the World Archaeological Congress in January 2013.





CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

SERIES EDITOR
NORMAN YOFFEE, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

EDITORIAL BOARD
SUSAN ALCOCK, Brown University
TOM DILLEHAY, Vanderbilt University
TIM PAUKETAT, University of Illinois
STEPHEN SHENNAN, University College London
CARLA SINOPOLI, University of Michigan
DAVID WENGROW, University College London

The Cambridge World Archaeology series is addressed to students and professional archaeologists, and to academics in related disciplines. Most volumes present a survey of the archaeology of a region of the world, providing an up-to-date account of research and integrating recent findings with new concerns of interpretation. While the focus is on a specific region, broader cultural trends are discussed and the implications of regional findings for cross-cultural interpretations considered. The authors also bring anthropological and historical expertise to bear on archaeological problems and show how both new data and changing intellectual trends in archaeology shape inferences about the past. More recently, the series has expanded to include thematic volumes.

RECENT BOOKS IN THE SERIES

MIKE SMITH, The Archaeology of Australia's Deserts
LI LIU AND XINGCAN CHEN, The Archaeology of China
STEPHEN D. HOUSTON and TAKESHI INOMATA, The Classic Maya
PHILIP L. KOHL, The Making of Bronze Age Eurasia
LAWRENCE BARHAM AND PETER MITCHELL, The First Africans
ROBIN DENNELL, The Palaeolithic Settlement of Asia
CHRISTOPHER POOL, Olmec Archaeology and Early Mesoamerica
SAMUEL M. WILSON, The Archaeology of the Caribbean
RICHARD BRADLEY, The Prehistory of Britain
LUDMILA KORYAKOVA AND ANDREJ EPIMAKHOV, The Urals and Western Siberia in the Bronze and Iron Ages
DAVID WENGROW, The Archaeology of Early Egypt
PAUL RAINBIRD, The Archaeology of Micronesia
PETER M. M. G. AKKERMANSA AND GLENN M. SCHWARTZ, The Archaeology of Syria
TIMOTHY INSOLL, The Archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa





CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF JAPAN: FROM THE EARLIEST RICE FARMING VILLAGES TO THE RISE OF THE STATE

KOJI MIZOGUCHI





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521884907

© Koji Mizoguchi 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Mizoguchi, Koji, 1963- author.

The archaeology of Japan: from the earliest rice farming villages to the rise of the state/Koji Mizoguchi.

pages cm. – (Cambridge world archaeology)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-88490-7 (hardback)

1. Yayoi culture – Japan. 2. Neolithic period – Japan. 3. Japan – Antiquities. I. Title.

GN776.2.Y3M59 2013

952'.01-dc23 2012037616

ISBN 978-0-521-88490-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



for Hiromi,
and
everyone and every thing I loved/love





CONTENTS

List of Figures	page xi	7. An Archaeology of Hierarchisation:	
List of Tables	XV	From the Final Yayoi IV to the	
Preface and Acknowledgements	xvii	Yayoi V Periods (AD 1/50–200) 1	183
PART I FRAMEWORKS 1. Introduction: The Beginnin Everything?	-	8. An Archaeology of Networks: The Yayoi–Kofun Transition (the Shonai [庄内] Pottery Style and the Earliest Furu [布留] Pottery Style Phase,	
2. A Tale of Co-transformatic History of Modern Japan a Archaeology of the Yayoi Periods	and the and Kofun	AD 200–250/275)	
3. Frameworks	26	10. An Archaeology of Bureaucracy: The Later Kofun Period (AD 500–600)	297
4. Environment and the East Context	43	11. An Archaeology of Governance: The Establishment of the <i>Ten'no</i> Emperor (AD 600–700)	318
5. Beginnings: From the Incip Yayoi (900/600 BC) to the Yayoi I Periods (400/200 I	e Late	12. Conclusion	326
6. An Archaeology of Growth the Final Yayoi I (400/200 the End of the Yayoi IV (A	h: From BC) to	~ 4	335 357





FIGURES

1.1 The first-ever "Yayoi" pottery

	excavated and so recognised.	page 5		leaf-shaped arrowheads: peninsula	
1.2	The Haji-Nisanzai tumulus, Sakai			originals and adopted specimens in the	
	City, Osaka prefecture.	7		archipelago.	95
3.I	Regional divisions and names.	3 I	5.15	The Etsuji (Fukuoka) and	
3.2	Marxist thesis of the structure of social			Shimotsukiguma (Fukuoka)	
	totality, compared with the social			cemeteries.	97
	systemic model adopted in the present		5.16	The Higashi-Muko cemetery (Hyogo	
	volume.	37		prefecture) composed of rectangular	
4.I	Calibration curve, plateaus, and cold			ditch-enclosed burial compounds.	IOI
	spells.	46	6.1	The middle Yayoi horizons: A	
5.I	The Etsuji village, Fukuoka			schematized pottery	
	prefecture.	56		typo-chronological phasing of the	
5.2	The Nishida village, Iwate prefecture.	57		Kyushu, the Western, and the Eastern	
5.3	The Shinmachi cemetery, Fukuoka			"horizons".	106
	prefecture.	60	6.2	Examples of the extraordinarily large	
5.4	The globular jar originated from the			building of the Middle Yayoi period in	
	Mumun pottery tradition and shallow			northern Kyushu and western Japan:	
	bowl originated from the indigenous			the Ubihon'mura (Saga prefecture) and	
	pottery tradition.	61		the Ikegami-Sone (Osaka prefecture).	108
5.5	The variations of the cooking jar		6.3	Transformation(/indigenization) of the	
	shape-type of the period.	67		Mumun pottery into the indigenous	
5.6	Examples of hybrid globular jars on			Yayoi Jonokoshi style through the	
	the eastern periphery of the			process of hybridization.	ΙΙΙ
	"cordoned-and-carinated-cooking-jar		6.4	The distribution of jar burials with	
	horizon" (CCH).	71		grave goods including Chinese bronze	
5.7	Tree-felling axes of the beginning of			mirrors and other Chinese imports	
	the Yayoi period.	75		and/or iron weapons.	112
5.8	The Jizoden site, Akita prefecture.	80	6.5	The spatial variation of globular jars	
5.9	House types at the beginning of the			across the central Seto Inland Sea and	
	Yayoi period in the peninsula and the			Kinki regions in the form of gradual	
	archipelago.	81		changes of traits drawing a	
5.10	Ditch-enclosed villages of the			geographical cline.	116
	Incipient and Early Yayoi.	85	6.6	A schematised model for the formation	
5.11	Initial Yayoi paddy fields of the			of villages as comprised of residential	
	Itazuke, Fukuoka prefecture.	91		groupings (hamlets) that have budded	
5.12	"Dolmens" and related burial facilities			off from preexisting settlements, with	
	in Japan and Korea.	93		the plan of the Takaradai settlement	
5.13	The emergence of adult burial			(Yayoi III and IV), Fukuoka	
	jars.	94		prefecture, and a schematised model	

5.14 Polished stone daggers and polished



xii List of Figures

	for the development of large		6.25	Shamanistic figures taking an identical	
	central-place-type settlements and			gesture and holding identical	
	allied facilities attached to them, with			implements from Kyushu and Kinki.	171
	the plan of the northern burial mound		6.26	Dotaku bronze bells with sequential	,
	(Yayoi III) of the Yoshinogari			pictorial depictions.	174
	settlement.	121	6.27	Analysis of the pictorial depictions (1).	175
6.7	The Sugu site as a cluster of a large			Analysis of the pictorial depictions (2).	170
J.,	number of residential groups (hamlets).	127		Analysis of the pictorial depictions (3).	177
6.8	The distribution and the network of	12/	7.I	A triangular-sectioned dam of the Late	- / /
•••	regional centre-type settlements in the		/•-	Yayoi period: Naka-Kunryu site,	
	southern Kinki region.	128		Fukuoka prefecture.	187
6.9	The Kuma-Nishioda loc. 2 and 3	120	7.2	Paddy fields excavated at Hyakkengawa	10,
0.9	cemeteries, Fukuoka prefecture.	129	/ • 2	site, Okayama prefecture.	188
6 10	Wooden ritual implements from the	129	7.2	Complex canal system excavated at the	100
0.10	_	т э э	7.3		
6	Minamikata site, Okayama prefecture.	133		Hyakkengawa-Haraojima site,	т 0 с
6.11	Imayama hill, the distribution of the			Okayama prefecture.	189
	jar burial custom and that of the		7.4	Four ritual horizons emerged in the	
<i>(</i>	Imayama axe.	137		Late Yayoi period.	191
0.12	Iron forging hearth of the Nioute, the		7.5	Temporal change of the <i>Dotaku</i>	
	Sugu site, Fukuoka prefecture.	143		bronze bell and the <i>Dohoko</i> bronze	
6.13	Classification of jar burial cemeteries			spearhead.	193
	according to the spatio-temporal		7.6	Regional variations of flanged forged	
	formation process.	145		iron axes.	197
6.14	Nagaoka cemetery, Fukuoka		7.7	Ups and downs of the number of	
	prefecture: general plan and formation			imported mirrors through Hidenori	
	process.	147		Okamura's seven-phase chronological	
6.15	Infant burial jars inserted to the adult			periods and their distribution period	
	grave, the Nagaoka cemetery.	148		by period.	203
6.16	Burial sequential clusters (Kuriyama		7.8	Sendoyama site (Saga prefecture) and	
	Location C, an example of burial			its residential segments.	204
	compound type II).	151	7.9	Yoshinogari settlement (Saga	
6.17				prefecture) in the Yayoi V.	205
	burial compounds during the Yayoi II		7.10	Some examples of the rectangular	
	and III: Burial compound type I, the			tumulus with four rectangular features	
	northern burial mound of the			sticking out of the four corners	
	Yoshinogari, Saga prefecture.	I 52		(Yosumi-tosshutsu-fun).	208
6.18	The probable square burial compound		7.I I	Cemeteries of the Yayoi V.	209
	of the Yoshitake-Takagi (Fukuoka		7.12	Possible relationships between burial	
	prefecture) and the grave goods.	153		compound type III clusters,	
6.19	Network of rich jar burials of the			settlements, and kin/communal	
	Yayoi IV.	157		groupings.	211
6.20	The Mikumo-Minamishoji, Fukuoka		8.1	Potteries of non-local stylistic	
	prefecture.	159		characteristics and origins excavated	
6.21	An example of the clusters of			from a port-of-trade-type settlement,	
	rectangular ditch-enclosed burial			the Nishijinmachi, Fukuoka	
	compounds: the Ama site, Osaka			prefecture.	217
	prefecture.	161	8.2	The distribution of typical	
6.22	Oki cemetery (Gunma prefecture) and			port-of-trade-type settlements of	
	the spatial segmentation reconstructed			western Japan indicated by the	
	by Harunari and Shitara.	163		distributional nodes of the so-called	
6.23	The Izuruhara cemetery, Tochigi	J		Kibi-style cooking jar.	221
3	prefecture.	165	8.3	Hashihaka (Hashinakayama) tumulus.	222
6.24	The horizons of the bronze ritual		8.4	Type 1 and Type 2 early keyhole	
7	implements of the Middle Yavoi.	169	٠ ٢	tumuli.	223



List of Figures xiii

8.5	Size differences and distribution of the early keyhole tumuli and the Initial Kofun Package (IKP) horizon.	224	9.11	Examples of the <i>Haniwa</i> vessels of the Earlier Kofun period and their configuration on top of the round rear mound of the keyhole tumulus: the	
8.6	The location of Japanese archipelago in East Asia and ancient provinces as	224		Kanakurayama tumulus, Okayama prefecture.	267
	"nodes" relevant to the investigation.	225	9.12	The largest and second-largest tumuli	
8.7	The nodes and the edges.	227		in each phase by mound length, and	
9.1	Mound shape-types of the Kofun			the difference between them plotted	
	period.	245		phase by phase.	275
9.2	The construction process of a typical		9.13	Major tumuli clusters of the KCR	
	cist: the Ikeda Chausuyama, Osaka			(Kinki-core region): distribution.	276
	prefecture.	251	9.14	Five major tumuli clusters: detail.	277
9.3	An example of the Nendo-kaku		9.15	Mitsudera site of Gun'ma prefecture.	295
	clay-packed coffins: the Shiramizu		10.1	Size differences between the largest	
	Hisagozuka tumulus, Hyogo			tumuli of individual regions across the	
	prefecture.	252		archipelago: the Early, Middle, and	
9.4	An example of the cist with			Late Kofun periods.	298
	compartments for grave goods:		10.2	An example of the packed tumuli	
	Myokenzan tumulus, Kyoto			clusters (PTC): the Teraguchi-Oshimi,	
	prefecture.	254		Nara prefecture.	299
9.5	The placement of different categories		10.3	The Kinai-type gallery mortuary	
	of artefacts with distinct symbolic			chamber: Ichio-Hakayama, Nara	
	meanings: the Yukinoyama tumulus,			prefecture.	301
	Shiga prefecture.	255	10.4	The structure and formation process of	
9.6	The cist-like chamber (no. 3 chamber)			the packed tumuli clusters: Location	
	with a rectangular pit-like entrance of			Hiraishitanigawa, Teraguchi-Oshimi,	
	the Roji tumulus, Fukuoka prefecture.	257		Nara prefecture.	302
9.7	The placement of the		10.5	"Rich" grave good in the "ordinary"	
	Sankakuen-shinju-kyo mirrors in the			assemblages from the PTC: No. 3 of	
	cist: the Kurozuka tumulus, Nara			Loc. Hiraishitanigawa, the	
	prefecture.	259		Teraguchi-Oshimi (Nara prefecture).	305
9.8	An example of the deposition of a		10.6	Late Kofun hilted iron swords: No. 8	
	large number of iron armors and			tumulus of the Kuwabara-Ishigamoto	
	other weapons without the body:			tumuli cluster, Fukuoka prefecture.	310
	the Nonaka Ariyama, Osaka		10.7	The Imashirozuka tumulus, Osaka	
	prefecture.	261		prefecture.	311
9.9	Some proto-types of the Haniwa		10.8	Late Kofun settlements:	
	cylindrical vessel.	264		Hachioji-Nakata and Kuroimine.	315
9.10	The stone artefact inferred to have		10.9	Movements of Haniwa vessels in the	
	been excavated from the Tatetsuki			Kanto region in the Late Kofun	
	tumulus (Okayama prefecture) of the			period.	317
	Late Yayoi V period.	266	II.I	The Fujiwara palace and the capital.	323





TABLES

3.I	Relative chronological chart	page 34	8.3	Bonacich centrality scores of the edges	229
6.1	Degree centrality scores of the jar		8.4	Closeness centrality scores of the edges	230
	burial cemeteries with grave goods	156	8.5	Reach centrality scores of the edges	230
6.2	Bonacich centrality scores of the jar		8.6	Eigenvector centrality scores of the	
	burial cemeteries with grave goods	156		edges	230
6.3	Closeness centrality scores of the jar		8.7	Betweenness centrality scores of the	
	burial cemeteries with grave goods	156		edges	230
6.4	Reach centrality scores of the jar burial		8.8	Matrix indicating the presence	
	cemeteries with grave goods	157		and absence of the edges between	
6.5	Eigenvector centrality scores of the jar			the nodes in the Initial Kofun period	232
	burial cemeteries with grave goods	157	8.9	Degree centrality scores of the edges	232
6.6	Betweenness (flow betweenness)		8.10	Bonacich centrality scores of the edges	233
	centrality scores of the jar burial		8.11	Closeness centrality scores of the edges	233
	cemeteries with grave goods	158	8.12	Reach centrality scores of the edges	233
8.1	Matrix indicating the presence and		8.13	Eigenvector centrality scores of the	
	absence of edges between the nodes in			edges	233
	the late Yayoi V	229	8.14	Betweenness centrality scores of the	
8.2	Degree centrality scores of the edges	220		edges	2.3.4





PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Writing about what was going on in a temporal segment of the past of a modern nation-state is an impossible task. Or one might say that it is only made possible by accepting artificiality and arbitrariness creeping in. A modern nation-state is a created entity, and its boundaries have been drawn, redrawn, taken for granted, and disputed in order to hold those who live within them together, or at times to split them apart. The boundaries work as filters to choose who and what can come inside, and they are there to be referred to when those who dwell inside the nation-state identify who they are and who they are not. And writing about what has been going on in the inside, in any manner and intension, is bound to "reproduce" the boundaries; if one accepts them as taken for granted, so it would; and if one tries to dispute their validity, authenticity, significance, and so on, still so it would, because it would evoke claims for and against, and as a result reinforce their presence in the mind of those who become aware of the problems concerning them.

However, such history still has to be written, or so I believe, because we all were born into a nation-state, fully 'functioning' or otherwise, which is meant to protect and further our rights, and constitute and determine, to a significant degree, how we live, die, and are remembered/forgotten. Therefore, the nation-state matters a great deal, thinking about it matters a great deal, imagining how it can be otherwise matters a great deal, and therefore, to think about how 'it' has come about, despite this 'it' being an artificial, arbitrary, and specific-value-committed entity, matters a great deal to us.

In that sense, books like this have to be written in the manner which illustrates the range of ways in which a nation-state's history has been investigated and written, and which explicitly reveals how the author thinks about it, engages with it, and imagines how it can be otherwise. That is the intension which I have been keeping with myself throughout my writing of this book about the supposed 'critical phases' of the history of Japan: the Yayoi and Kofun periods.

The Yayoi period witnessed the introduction and establishment of rice paddy field agriculture, and the Kofun period saw the construction of a large number of keyhole- and variously shaped tumuli, some of which are truly gigantic. Naturally, the periods have attracted significant scholastic interest and evoked popular imagination concerning how the ancient Japanese 'state' emerged. The mythological origin of the imperial family, depicted in the two oldest imperial chronicles, namely, the Kojiki and the Nihonshoki, is 'regarded' by many Japanese people, albeit ambiguously but firmly, to have marked not only the origin of the Japanese 'race' but also the beginning of the basic traits of the uniquely Japanese lifeways and customs, and, those constitutive components of 'Japaneseness' are regarded to be traced back to those periods. As we will see later in the volume in detail, the legal status of the emperor in the current constitution, that is, the Constitution of Japan, is the symbol of the integration of the nation, and in the previous one, that is, the Constitution of the Empire of Japan, was a sort of absolute sovereign. It is well known that the emperor's legal status was abused during World War II and the Asian-Pacific War, which brought immense devastation and suffering to the neighbouring countries such as China and Korea as well as Japan itself. Because of that, the basic elements of the 'Japaneseness', both

xvii

xviii

Preface and Acknowledgements

positive and negative, are also regarded to have their origins traced back to those periods. That implies that the origins and sources of the failures and successes of the Japanese nation can be found in those periods, and, accordingly, the study of the periods has been the arena of both scholastic and popular debates concerning the (good and bad) origin(s) of the Japaneseness.

Naturally, the study of the periods has confined itself to the investigation of such issues, and has not necessarily been aware of or had its wider potential recognised, such as the possibility of making contributions to the deepening of the study of the emergence and development of social complexity and state formation. Consequently, the periods have not attracted as much international interest as the Jomon period, the era of 'affluent foragers', does.

This volume is meant to change the situation by illustrating for the international audience the potential and excitement of the study of the Yayoi and Kofun periods. I shall not pretend to be thorough in the coverage of the available evidence, the topics previously covered, and the models and interpretations previously proposed; the richness of the scholarship and the amount of the evidence accumulated are simply staggering. I also admit that the evidence analysed is considerably biased to western Japan, where a larger number of the phenomena that are related to causes of the changes took place. (I have to admit that it is also significantly due to my familiarity with the data from western Japan.) Admitting these shortcomings, I shall focus on being as explicit as possible about the framework with which I choose the evidence, the methods to analyse them, and the theory to make sense of/interpret the outcomes. I shall also avoid reproducing established Japaneseness narratives by focussing on the unique contextuality in which the individual was situated when she or he was engaged in communications with the others and the contingency generated by it. By drawing upon the theory of social systems and communication proposed by the late Niklas Luhmann, the German sociologist, I shall recognise communication as the basic unit of social phenomena and the basic arena in which sociality is reproduced, and I shall try to describe the historical trajectory of the periods as the trajectory through which the material media and structure of communication were transformed in order to react to changes generated within and outside a given field of communication/a communication system. By doing so, I shall ensure that the picture I present can be compared with preexisting general models on the emergence and development of social complexity and state formation and with cases from various parts of the world.

I learnt archaeology in Japan and the United Kingdom, and I have been made to see what I am doing as a form of 'mimicry': in order to communicate about and do archaeology with my colleagues in Japan, I tacitly but strongly feel that I have to conform to the expectations my colleagues have of me; and the same happens with my colleagues abroad. This makes my attitude to Japan, and the ways in which I see that archaeology is done in this country, chronically oscillate, at times violently. That, I think, is a cause of my being obsessed with theorisation, which at least allows me to pin down the framework through which I observe how I oscillate.

I have been extremely fortunate to have many colleagues and friends, in Japan and abroad, who have tolerated my oscillation and obsession, and who have provided me with various kinds of support when I felt lost. I would particularly like to thank my colleagues in Kyushu University, Yoshiyuki Tanaka, Shozo Iwanaga, Kazuo Miyamoto, Jun'ichiro Tsujita, Takahiro Nakahashi, Ren'ya Sato, Yoshinori Tajiri, and Kyoko Funahashi for providing me with an excellent research and teaching environment; Sander van der Leeuw, Gina Barnes, Ian Hodder, Colin Renfrew, Simon Kaner, and Julian Thomas for their academic and personal mentorship and friendship; and Norman Yoffee for understanding, supporting, and encouraging me throughout the process of the writing of this volume and being extremely patient. My wife, Hiromi, has always been with me and shared with me all good and bad times.

For various influences on the way I do archaeology and stimulations to the way in which I wrote the volume, I would like to thank the late Koichi Yokoyama, the late Takato Kojima, the late Takashi Okazaki, the late Yoshiro Kondo, the late Peter Ucko, the late Bruce Trigger, the late Yukio Matsunaga, Tadashi Nishitani, Hitoshi Fujita, Fujio Oda, Nobuyuki Shimojo, Hiroaki



Preface and Acknowledgements

xix

Takakura, Jun'ichi Takesue, Hiroshi Tsude, Tatsuo Kobayashi, Hideji Harunari, Seigo Wada, Takao Uno, Takanobu Sawashita, Koichi Sugimura, Kenji Tsutsumi, Kenji Takaku, Kim Jaehyun, Takeshi Ishikawa, Yudai Itakura, Shimpei Hashino, Yoshinori Ozawa, Yoshinori Hira, Tomoko Ishida, Ari Tanizawa, Yoshitaka Hojo, Yasuyuki Murakami, Hisao Kuwabara, Shoji Morishita, Yoshiro Watanabe, Yoshiro Miyai, Motoji Doi, Motoki Yamada, Kazuaki Yoshimura, Yoshiki Fukasawa, Hideto Morioka, Kaoru Terasawa, Hideshi Ishikawa, Hiromi Shitara, Shin'ya Fukunaga, Takehiko Matsugi, Yasunobu Ikeda, Yukio Sato, Eitaro Suga, Kunihiko Wakabayashi, Hiromichi Ando, Yoichi Kawakami, Katsunori Takase, Hiroshi Yoshida, Takeo Kusumi, Hitoshi Fujii, Koji Araki, Hitoshi Shimogaki, Ken'ichi Yano, Katsuyuki Okamura, Yumiko Ogawa, Ikuko Toyonaga, Nobiru Notomi, Katsuhiro Nishinari, Mark Edmonds, Michael Shanks, Marie Louise Sorensen, John Barrett, Mike Parker-Pearson, Ian Bapty, J.D. Hill, John Carman, Jonathan Last, Gavin Lucas, Brian Boyd, Joe Kovacik, Sarah Tarlow, Lesley MacFadyen, Mark Lake, Joshua Pollard, Claire Smith, Robert Preucel, Cornelius Hortolf, Stephaney Koerner, Stephen Shennan, Kristian Kristiansen, Anthony Harding, Timothy Dervil, Greham Barker, Richard Bradley, John

Bintliff, Martin Carver, Paul Garwood, and Keith Ray.

Last but not least, I would also like to thank everyone who excavated and published the traces of human lives from the periods that this volume covers; without their toil, the past cannot materially prove its existence.

Parts of Chapter 8 were based upon my article 'Nodes and edges: A network approach to hierarchisation and state formation in Japan' *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, 28(I) 1:14–26.

What I feel with particular poignancy now is that what you are is what you have loved, that is, people, their works, and their ways to live their lives, and all sorts of things, smells, touches, goods, buildings, landscapes, and so on. This work is composed of what I have loved, and particularly of the memories I have of them. I dedicate the book to those who have been and things and matters that have been with me and dwelled and/or been etched in my everchanging and, in a way, growing, memory, that enabled me to endure and finish writing this book.

Koji Mizoguchi Fukuoka, Japan March 2012