Byzantine Athens was not a city without a history, as is commonly believed, but an important center about which much can now be said. Providing a wealth of new evidence, Professor Kaldellis argues that the Parthenon became a major site of Christian pilgrimage after its conversion into a church. Paradoxically, it was more important as a church than it had been as a temple: the Byzantine period was its true age of glory. He examines the idiosyncratic fusion of pagan and Christian culture that took place in Athens, where an attempt was made to replicate the classical past in Christian terms, affecting rhetoric, monuments, and miracles. He also reevaluates the reception of ancient ruins in Byzantine Greece and presents for the first time a form of pilgrimage that was directed not toward icons, Holy Lands, or holy men but toward a monument embodying a permanent cultural tension and religious dialectic.
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Preface

This book unveils for the first time a nearly 1,000-year-long chapter in the history of the Parthenon and the city of Athens, namely the Byzantine phase of their existence. Studies of the post-classical Parthenon have so far focused on the travelers of early modern Europe,¹ and strongly imply or state that nothing of any deep cultural or philosophical significance happened during the Byzantine era, indeed that it could not have happened because the Byzantines did not have the same relation to the classical past as do the Europeans. The result has been an appropriation of the Parthenon as a defining monument of the modern West and a denial of it to others, especially Byzantium. But this study argues, on the basis of extensive evidence assembled and, in some cases, uncovered here for the first time, that after antiquity Athens and the classical legacy that it still represented in the minds of many Byzantines did not vanish from the stage of history as has been asserted. The Parthenon, converted into a church, became an important site of pilgrimage whose fame spread throughout the Christian world. Yet contrary to the modes of Byzantine piety, what attracted pilgrims and adoration were not any sacred relics or icons that were kept there but rather the Parthenon itself, the building, whose classical past was known and, indeed, quite visible. Christian devotion was here engaged in a direct and continuous dialogue with antiquity, in the very seat of its classical greatness. The building was even believed to have mystical properties: a divine light emanated within or from its ancient marble walls. It some cases, it is difficult to know whether honor was being directed at the church or the Mother of God to whom it had been reconsecrated. Certainly, the Parthenon had never received this kind of attention in antiquity itself. It was now honored by emperors, visited by saints, inscribed with the

¹ E.g., Norre (1966); Pavan (1983); Beard (2002); Yalouri (2001) is about modern Greece. The travelers themselves have their own specialized bibliography, e.g., Eisner (1991); Augustinos (1994); Giakovaki (2006).
names of many pilgrims, and praised by orators in glowing terms. The Theotokos Atheniotissa was famous in Rome, Constantinople, and the East. This book traces for the first time the Orthodox history of this classical monument and attempts to explain why and how it became so important in a pre-modern, pre-European Christian world. It is exciting and amazing that such discoveries can still be made.

Obviously, there are many interpretive frameworks into which this new history can be situated. One can, for instance, use the new textual evidence to supplement what has been the main (in fact, the only) direction of research on the Byzantine Parthenon so far, namely the archaeological. I have resisted this approach, first because I am no archaeologist and, second, because the textual evidence tells us different kinds of things than does archaeology and I want to uncover its own tensions and dynamics. One can also study this material from the standpoint of medieval Mariolatry, the adoration of the Mother of God, which took a highly unusual form in Byzantine Athens that has not yet been studied or even recognized. There were moments when middle Byzantine Athens eclipsed even Constantinople as the special city of the Theotokos. But this dimension of the story I also leave to experts in other fields than mine. The framework that I use for my analysis here is largely that of the reception of the classical tradition, namely how Byzantine Christians adapted the mixed legacy that they inherited from the ancient world. The emphasis is not on “continuity” but on the creative aspects and historical dimension of the cultural tension between Hellenism and Christianity. It calls for close readings of the texts that mention and so interpret the Parthenon for medieval audiences, for philological art-history. Secondary themes brought into the discussion are the questions of medieval pilgrimage and civic identity.

I had never planned to write this book, but while reading through the original sources for a broader project, Hellenism in Byzantium: The Transformations of Greek Identity and the Reception of the Classical Tradition, I kept coming across references to Athens and the Parthenon. At first, I thought a brief article could bring attention to these texts and ask the basic questions of interpretation, but the evidence continued to pile up. And this was the Parthenon, after all, always on the horizon as I was growing up. It is not an insignificant topic in itself, and so I decided that its forgotten history deserved a longer study.

Some disclaimers are in order. This book focuses on the Parthenon and its veneration by Christians and does not offer a full history of Byzantine Athens, though digressions at key moments discuss the historical context
and give a sense of the life and topography of the city. Chronologically,
the book covers the years from AD 400 to 1200. Partly for reasons of space
I have not fully explored here Athens in late antiquity (second to sixth
centuries), except to the degree that it provides a background for the
conversion of the Parthenon into a church. The society, intellectual life,
and religious and economic transformations of Athens in late antiquity
are large and exciting topics that have not yet been fully addressed.
The evidence (textual, archaeological, and prosopographical) is very rich,
and so cannot be adequately covered here. Briefly, in that period the city
boasted many prominent professors of philosophy and rhetoric, especially
the anti-Christian Neoplatonists and some even more famous students,
such as the future emperor Julian and the future Church Father Gregorios
of Nazianzos. The city was sacked three times, by the Scandinavian
Heruls (AD 267), by Alaric’s Goths (AD 396), and by the Slavs (ca. 580).
It remained a bastion of paganism and anti-Christian thought until quite
late, indeed almost up to the very end. But it is the aim of the present
study to argue that the closing of the schools by Justinian (ca. AD 529) and
the catastrophes of the seventh century did not spell the “end” for
Athens’ classical civilization, as is not merely usually but rather always
assumed.

Finally, a note on conventions. I have generally avoided the term
“Virgin,” which is not what the Theotokos and Theometor (“Mother of
God”) is normally called in Orthodox tradition. The term “Parthenos,”
which does mean Virgin, I have usually left untranslated because when
used by Byzantine writers it was complicit in the negotiation between the
classical past and Christian present of the temple on the Akropolis.
Byzantine names are not Latinized or Anglicized but spelled correctly,
except where they would not be easily recognized.

A note on the jacket image

A ‘classic’ photograph of the Parthenon would not be appropriate for a
book such as this, and has been used too often on book covers anyway.
The early modern sketches and paintings by western travelers depict
a post-Byzantine phase of the city’s history and would be misleading.
I have opted for a work of Theophilos Hatzimichael (1870–1934), a folk
painter from my native island of Lesbos who adorned the walls of many
homes and shops with scenes from Greek history and daily life. His interest
in the classical past, along with his figures in the Byzantine iconic
tradition, make his painting of “Perikles on the Pnyx justifying the Akropolis expenses” (1928) the closest we have to a view of how the Byzantines themselves might have imagined ancient Athens, as some of them tried to do (see pp. 156–157 below). For permission to use this image I thank the Municipality of Mytilene, Lesbos, and its mayor Nasos Giakalis.
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