

## Gender, Work and Wages in Industrial Revolution Britain

A major new study of the role of women in the labor market of Industrial Revolution Britain. It is well known that men and women usually worked in different occupations, and that women earned lower wages than men. These differences are usually attributed to custom but Joyce Burnette here demonstrates instead that gender differences in occupations and wages were largely driven by market forces. Her findings reveal that, rather than harming women, competition actually helped them by eroding the power that male workers needed to restrict female employment and by minimizing the gender wage gap by sorting women into the least strength-intensive occupations. Where the strength requirements of an occupation made women less productive than men, occupational segregation maximized both economic efficiency and female incomes. She shows that women's wages were then market rather than customary wages and that the gender wage gap resulted from actual differences in productivity.

JOYCE BURNETTE is Daniel F. Evans Associate Professor of Economics at Wabash College, Indiana.



Cambridge Studies in Economic History

### **Editorial Board**

### Paul Johnson

London School of Economics and Political Science

### Sheilagh Ogilvie

University of Cambridge

### Avner Offer

All Souls College, Oxford

#### Gianni Toniolo

Universitā di Roma 'Tor Vergata'

### Gavin Wright

Stanford University

Cambridge Studies in Economic History comprises stimulating and accessible economic history which actively builds bridges to other disciplines. Books in the series will illuminate why the issues they address are important and interesting, place their findings in a comparative context, and relate their research to wider debates and controversies. The series will combine innovative and exciting new research by younger researchers with new approaches to major issues by senior scholars. It will publish distinguished work regardless of chronological period or geographical location.

Titles in the series include:

Robert Millward Private and Public Enterprise in Europe: Energy, Telecommunications and Transport, 1830–1990

S. D. Smith Slavery, Family, and Gentry Capitalism in the British Atlantic: The World of the Lascelles, 1648–1834

Stephen Broadberry Market Services and the Productivity Race, 1850–2000: British Performance in International Perspective.



# Gender, Work and Wages in Industrial Revolution Britain

Joyce Burnette





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521880633

© Joyce Burnette 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Burnette, Joyce.

Gender, work and wages in industrial revolution Britain / Joyce Burnette.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-88063-3 (hardback : alk. paper)

- 1. Women employees-Great Britain-History-19th century.
- 2. Sex discrimination against women-Great Britain-History-19th century.
- 3. Industrial revolution–Great Britain. I. Title. HD6135.B87 2008

331.40941'09039-dc22

2007051670

ISBN 978-0-521-88063-3 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



# Contents

List of figures		<i>page</i> vi
Li	ist of tables	vii
Pr	reface	xi
In	ntroduction	1
1	Women's occupations	16
2	Women's wages	72
3	Explaining occupational sorting	136
4	Testing for occupational barriers in agriculture	186
5	Barriers to women's employment	221
6	Occupational barriers in self-employment	274
7	Women's labor force participation	306
8	Conclusion	327
Appendix to Chapter 3		336
Aı	ppendix to Chapter 4	342
Bi	ibliography	351
Index		370



# Figures

1.1	The prevalence of women in commercial directories	page 33
1.2	The age distribution of textile factory workers	43
2.1	The female-male wage ratio by age in textile factories	79
2.2	The female-male wage ratio by age in agriculture	80
2.3	Female-male strength ratios: adults	107
2.4	Female-male strength ratios: teens	107
2.5	Female winter wages	127
2.6	Female summer wages	127
2.7	Wage persistence	130
3.1	A general example of a strength-productivity	
	relationship	141
3.2	A specific example: productivity as a function of	
	strength in occupations A and B	142
3.3	The efficiency costs of moving workers	143
3.4	A decline in the price of good B	144
3.5	Entry of a new occupation	147
3.6	Determination of the wage ratio in Model B	149
3.7	A change in technology	150
3.8	The distribution of male and female workers across	
	cloths of various piece-rates	160
3.9	The effect of the lace-making industry on the	
	market wage ratio	167
5.1	Hicks's bargaining model	251
5.2	Male wages at the Estcourt Farm in Shipton	
	Moyne, Gloucestershire	263
5.3	Daily wage of John Rickards at the Estcourt farm	263
7.1	Changes over time in the prevalence of women in	
	commercial directories	308
7.2	Female-male wage ratio in agriculture	311
73	Feinstein's estimates of real earnings	310

vi



1.1

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-88063-3 - Gender, Work and Wages in Industrial Revolution Britain Joyce Burnette Frontmatter More information

Occupations in the 1841 and 1851 censuses:

# **Tables**

	Great Britain	page 19
1.2	The occupations of women workers: Higgs's	
	revisions of census data	22
1.3	Employment ratios	26
1.4	Comparison of commercial directories and population	31
1.5	Number of independent tradeswomen, from	
	commercial directories	32
1.6	The top ten most common occupations for men	
	and women in commercial directories	35
1.7	Wages in lace-making	47
1.8	The British proprietress	66
2.1	Women's wages compared to men's	74
2.2	Payments for reaping at Gooseacre Farm, Radley,	
	Berkshire	88
2.3	Servants' wages	96
2.4	Differences in physical performance by sex	109
2.5	Gender gaps in performance for recruits and soldiers	110
2.6	Examples of apprenticeship premiums	119
2.7	Wage persistence, female summer wages	129
3.1	Male and female strength distributions	141
3.2	Wages paid to laborers at the Apley Park farm,	
	July 15, 1836	151
3.3	Age-specific marital fertility	173
3.4	Women's wages in cottage industry compared to	
	wages in other industries, 1833	181
4.1	Descriptive statistics: Arthur Young's data	191
4.2	Distribution of farm size	192
4.3	OLS and Tobit estimations: specification one	194
4.4	OLS and Tobit estimations: specification two	195

vii



viii	List of tables	
4.5	Elasticities	197
4.6	Two-stage least squares estimates and specification test	200
4.7	Means of wages: 1770	204
4.8	Correlations of men's and women's wages: 1770	204
4.9	Log-log regressions: 1770	205
4.10	Wages in 1833	207
4.11	Correlations of men's and women's wages: 1833	208
4.12	Log-log regressions: 1833	209
4.13	Industry regressions: 1833	210
4.14	Correlation of seasonal wage differences: 1833	212
4.15	Difference-of-log regressions: 1833	213
4.16	The effect of unemployment: 1833	213
4.17	Correlations with boys' wages: 1833	214
4.18	Wages in England and Wales: 1860-1	215
4.19	Correlations of men's and women's wages: 1860-1	216
4.20	Log-log regressions: 1860–1	217
4.21	Correlations of wage differences: 1860–1	217
4.22	Difference-of-log regressions: 1860–1	218
4.23	Descriptive statistics: French agricultural day-laborers	
	in 1839	219
4.24	French agricultural day-laborers: 1839	219
5.1	The percentage of women in selected occupations:	
	the 1841 census	222
5.2	Occupational sorting in skilled occupations:	
	Manchester, 1846	223
5.3	The gender division of labor in staymaking	224
5.4	The apprenticeship of girls	237
6.1	Sorting in the garment trades	276
6.2	Capital requirements: Campbell's estimates	
	compared to others	282
6.3	Percent female compared to capital requirements	287
6.4	Correlation of minimum capital requirements with	
	the percentage of business owners who were women	288
6.5	Professional employment in the censuses	293
7.1	Married women's labor force participation from	
	census totals	307
7.2	Indexes of occupational segregation from	
	commercial directories	316
7.3		
		317
7.4		
	married women's labor force participation	320
	Trends in female participation in some of the largest occupations  The predicted effect of changes in real earnings on	317



	List of tables	ix
8.1	Gender division of labor by strength category	
	of occupation	333
8.2	Men's hours of housework as a percentage of women's	
	hours of housework	334



## **Preface**

Once upon a time women were largely missing from economic history. Economic historians somehow managed to make claims about the standard of living without examining women's wages. Happily, that has now changed, thanks to the efforts of pioneering feminists who made the case for the importance of including women in economic history. Since the value of studying women as well as men is now well established, I do not feel a need to justify the existence of this book. The subject matter is contentious, but it is my hope that the book will stimulate, not an all-ornothing debate about the existence of gender discrimination, but a nuanced discussion of where, when, and how gender discrimination may have operated, and of the relationship between discrimination and markets.

This book began fifteen years ago as a PhD dissertation at Northwestern University. The origin of the project was a paper I wrote for Joel Mokyr's European Economic History class on the correlation between male and female wages in the "Rural Queries" of 1833. This paper got me thinking about how the labor market treated women, a process which eventually led to the ideas expressed here. I am grateful for the input of Joel Mokyr, my dissertation advisor, and Rebecca Blank and Bruce Meyer, the labor economists on my committee. A grant from the Mellon Foundation supported a year of dissertation research, and a Northwestern University Dissertation Year Grant supported the purchase of microfilm from the archives.

After receiving my PhD, I published parts of my research as articles, but otherwise put the dissertation aside while I concentrated on collecting data from farm accounts. I continued to think about the issues raised in this book, but did not begin to revise it until my sabbatical in 2002–3. I spent that academic year as a visitor at the London School of Economics, supported partly by Wabash College and partly by a Sabbatical Fellowship from the American Philosophical Society. Most of the revisions to the



### xii Preface

manuscript were accomplished in the spring of 2005, during a one-semester leave funded by a grant from the National Science Foundation (Grant no. 0213954). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this book are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. I thank Dan Newlon for working with someone who didn't understand the grant process very well.

I am thankful for the many comments I have received from colleagues when I have presented portions of the material. Colleagues who have been especially helpful are Greg Clark, Jane Humphries, and Andrew Seltzer, who have commented on my work multiple times over many years. I am especially grateful for critics of my work who have forced me to think more carefully about specific claims. I thank James Henderson for teaching me to love economics as an undergraduate at Valparaiso University. Last but not least, I am thankful for the support of my husband Patrick, both for helping me with my prose, and for running the household when I was doing other things.