CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

FORMS OF RESPONSIBILITY IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

Volume I of the International Criminal Law Practitioner Library series focuses on the law of individual criminal responsibility applied in international criminal law, providing a thorough review of the forms of criminal responsibility. The authors present a critical analysis of the elements of individual criminal responsibility as set out in the statutory instruments of the international and hybrid criminal courts and tribunals and their jurisprudence. All elements are discussed, demystifying and untangling some of the confusion in the jurisprudence and literature on the forms of responsibility. The jurisprudence of the ICTY and the ICTR is the main focus of the book. Every trial and appeal judgement, as well as relevant interlocutory jurisprudence, up to 1 December 2006, has been surveyed, as has the relevant jurisprudence of other tribunals and the provisions in the legal instruments of the ICC, making this a highly relevant and timely work.

GIDEON BOAS, a former Senior Legal Officer at the ICTY, is a Senior Lecturer in Law at Monash University Law Faculty and an international law consultant.

JAMES L. BISCHOFF, a former Associate Legal Officer at the ICTY, is a Law Clerk in the Chambers of the Honourable Juan R. Torruella of the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

NATALIE L. REID, a former Associate Legal Officer at the ICTY, is an Associate with Debevoise & Plimpton LLP, New York.

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

FORMS OF RESPONSIBILITY IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

International Criminal Law Practitioner Library Series

Volume I

GIDEON BOAS
JAMES L. BISCHOFF
NATALIE L. REID

The views expressed in this book are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the views of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia or the United Nations in general.

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521878319

© Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-87831-9

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Contents

Foreword *page* xiii
Table of authorities xvii

1 Introduction 1
1.1 Forms of responsibility in international criminal law 1
1.2 Scope of this book and terminology used 4
2 Joint criminal enterprise 7
2.1 Origins and development of Joint Criminal Enterprise in the jurisprudence of the *ad hoc* Tribunals 10
2.2 Limited application of JCE in the ICTR 28
2.3 Elements of Joint Criminal Enterprise 33
2.3.1 Physical elements 34
2.3.1.1 The JCE consisted of a plurality of persons: first physical element 35
2.3.1.2 Common plan, design, or purpose: second physical element 37
2.3.1.3 The accused participated in the JCE: third physical element 44
2.3.2 Mental elements 51
2.3.2.1 Mental elements of the first category of JCE 51
2.3.2.1.1 Voluntary participation 51
2.3.2.1.2 Shared intent 52
2.3.2.2 Mental elements of the second category of JCE 57
2.3.2.2.1 Personal knowledge 57
2.3.2.2.2 Intent to further criminal purpose 59
2.3.2.2.3 Shared intent for specific-intent crimes 67
2.3.2.3 Mental elements of the third category of JCE 68

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

2.3.2.3.1 Intent to participate and further criminal purpose 68
2.3.2.3.2 Accused's anticipation of natural and foreseeable commission of charged crime 70
2.4 The *Brđanin* Trial Judgement: reining in the expansion of JCE? 84
2.4.1 The *Brđanin* Trial Judgement 85
2.4.2 Warning signs before the *Brđanin* Trial Judgement 89
2.4.3 Precedent considered in the *Brđanin* Trial Judgement 93
2.4.4 Post-*Brđanin* jurisprudence 96
2.4.5 Assessing the impact of *Brđanin* 103
2.5 Indirect co-perpetration: a new form of common-purpose liability? 104
2.5.1 The *Stakić* Trial Judgement 105
2.5.2 The prosecutor's response to the *Brđanin* and *Stakić* Trial Judgements 109
2.5.3 The March 2006 *Milutinović* decision 112
2.5.4 The *Stakić* Appeal Judgement 114
2.5.5 The *Gacumbitsi* Appeal Judgement 116
2.5.6 Assessing the impact of *Milutinović*, *Stakić* and *Gacumbitsi* 121
2.6 Joint Criminal Enterprise and its analogues in the International Criminal Court and internationalised tribunals 124
2.6.1 The International Criminal Court 124
2.6.2 The Internationalised Tribunals 128
2.6.2.1 Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) 128
2.6.2.2 East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC) 133
2.6.2.3 The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC) 136
2.6.2.4 Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT), formerly known as the Iraqi Special Tribunal (IST) 137
2.7 Conclusion 140
3 Superior responsibility 142
3.1 Origins and development of the superior responsibility doctrine 145
3.1.1 The roots of the superior responsibility doctrine 145
3.1.2 Developments subsequent to the Second World War 148

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

3.1.3 Historical evolution of the elements of superior responsibility 152
3.1.3.1 Historical evolution of the subordinate-superior relationship element 152
3.1.3.1.1 Post-Second World War cases 153
3.1.3.1.2 Additional protocols 157
3.1.3.1.3 Statutes of the *ad hoc* Tribunals 158
3.1.3.2 Historical evolution of the mental element 159
3.1.3.2.1 Post-Second World War cases 159
3.1.3.2.2 Additional protocols 167
3.1.3.2.3 The Kahan Report (Israeli Commission of Inquiry) 168
3.1.3.2.4 The Statutes of the *ad hoc* Tribunals 169
3.1.3.2.5 ICC Statute 169
3.1.3.3 Historical evolution of the 'necessary and reasonable measures' element 170
3.1.3.3.1 Post-Second World War cases: 'necessary and reasonable measures' 170
3.1.3.3.2 Post-Second World War cases: duty to prevent as a separate duty? 172
3.1.3.3.3 Additional protocols 173
3.2 Elements of superior responsibility 174
3.2.1 Elements 181
3.2.1.1 A superior-subordinate relationship existed between the accused and the person for whose criminal conduct he is alleged to be responsible 181
3.2.1.2 The accused knew or had reason to know that the criminal conduct in question was about to be, was being, or had been realised by one or more subordinates 200
3.2.1.2.1 Actual knowledge: first alternative mental element 203
3.2.1.2.2 Constructive knowledge: second alternative mental element 205
3.2.1.3 The accused failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent or punish the subordinate criminal conduct in question 221
3.2.1.3.1 Common sub-element for the failure to prevent and the failure to punish 224

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

3.2.1.3.2 First form of superior responsibility: the failure to prevent 227
3.2.1.3.3 Second form of superior responsibility: the failure to punish 229
3.3 The scope of the subordinate criminal conduct that may give rise to superior responsibility 237
3.4 Superior responsibility in the International Criminal Court and internationalised tribunals 252
3.4.1 The International Criminal Court 252
3.4.1.1 A bifurcated standard 253
3.4.1.2 Mental element: a higher standard for civilian superiors 258
3.4.1.3 Causation 260
3.4.1.4 Past crimes and independent obligations 262
3.4.2 The internationalised tribunals 264
3.4.2.1 Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) 264
3.4.2.2 East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC) 268
3.4.2.3 The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC) 271
3.4.2.4 Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT) 272
3.5 Conclusion 274
4 Complicity and aiding and abetting 278
4.1 The modes of participation in genocide: inchoate crimes or forms of responsibility? 280
4.2 The relationship between 'aiding and abetting genocide' and 'complicity in genocide' 291
4.3 Elements of aiding and abetting 303
4.3.1 Physical elements 304
4.3.1.1 Practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support: first physical element 305
4.3.1.1.1 The accused lent practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support to the physical perpetrator in committing a crime 305
4.3.1.1.2 The accused may aid and abet by mere presence at the scene of the crime 307

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

4.3.1.1.3 Does a form of responsibility known as 'aiding and abetting by omission' exist in international criminal law? 310
4.3.1.1.4 The accused may aid and abet in the planning, preparation, or execution of a crime, and before, during, or after the crime of the physical perpetrator 315
4.3.1.1.5 The accused need not be physically present when the physical perpetrator commits the crime 316
4.3.1.2 Substantial effect: second physical element 317
4.3.1.2.1 The practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support had a substantial effect on the commission of the crime by the physical perpetrator 317
4.3.2 Mental elements 319
4.3.2.1 Intentional action 319
4.3.2.1.1 The accused acted intentionally with knowledge or awareness that his act would lend assistance, encouragement, or moral support to the physical perpetrator 319
4.3.2.2 Awareness of crime 321
4.3.2.2.1 The accused was aware of the essential elements of the physical perpetrator's crime, including the perpetrator's mental state 321
4.3.2.3 The requisite intent of the accused aider and abettor for specific-intent crimes 324
4.4 Elements of complicity in genocide 327
4.4.1 Practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support: first physical element 328
4.4.1.1 The accused lent practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support to the physical perpetrator in committing a crime 328

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

4.4.2 Substantial effect: second physical element 328
4.4.2.1 The practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support had a substantial effect on the commission of the crime by the physical perpetrator 328
4.4.3 Mental elements: intentional action and awareness of crime 329
4.4.3.1 The accused acted intentionally, and was aware of the essential elements of the crime of genocide, including the perpetrator's mental state 329
4.5 Complicity and aiding and abetting in the International Criminal Court and internationalised tribunals 330
4.5.1 The International Criminal Court 330
4.5.2 The internationalised tribunals 334
4.5.2.1 Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) 334
4.5.2.2 East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC) 336
4.5.2.3 The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC) 337
4.5.2.4 Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT) 339
4.6 Conclusion 341
5 Planning, instigating and ordering 343
5.1 Evolution of the elements of planning, instigating and ordering in the jurisprudence of the *ad hoc* tribunals 344
5.2 Elements of planning 354
5.2.1 Design of conduct with intent or awareness of substantial likelihood 354
5.2.2 Substantial contribution 357
5.3 Elements of instigating 358
5.3.1 Prompting of conduct with intent or awareness of substantial likelihood 358
5.3.2 Substantial contribution 362
5.4 Elements of ordering 364
5.4.1 Instruction to engage in conduct with intent or awareness of substantial likelihood 364
5.4.2 Authority of accused 367
5.4.3 Direct and substantial contribution 370
5.5 Planning, instigating and ordering in the International Criminal Court and internationalised criminal tribunals 371
5.5.1 The International Criminal Court 371

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

5.5.2 The internationalised tribunals 373
5.5.2.1 Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) 373
5.5.2.2 East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC) 376
5.5.2.3 The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC) 377
5.5.2.4 Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT) 378
5.6 Conclusion 379
6 Concurrent convictions and sentencing 381
6.1 Choosing among forms of responsibility 382
6.1.1 Concurrent convictions pursuant to more than one Article 7/6(1) form of responsibility 388
6.1.2 Concurrent convictions pursuant to Article 7/6(1) and Article 7/6(3) 393
6.2 Forms of responsibility and sentencing 406
7 Conclusion 415
7.1 Innovations in the law on forms of responsibility in the *ad hoc* Tribunals 416
7.2 The *ad hoc* Tribunals' emphasis on 'commission' liability 419
7.3 Limitations on trial chamber discretion in choosing forms of responsibility 423
7.4 The future development of the law on forms of responsibility 424

Annex: Elements of forms of responsibility in international criminal law 426
Index 430

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Foreword

International criminal law is a new branch of law, with one foot in international law and the other in criminal law. Until the Nuremberg trial, international criminal law was largely 'horizontal' in its operation – that is, it consisted mainly of co-operation between states in the suppression of national crime. Extradition was therefore the central feature of international criminal law. Of course there were international crimes, crimes that threatened the international order, such as piracy and slave trading, but with no international court to prosecute such crimes, they inevitably played an insignificant part in international criminal law. In 1937 came the first attempt to create an international criminal court, for terrorism, but the treaty adopted for this purpose never came into force. The Nuremberg and Tokyo trials mark the commencement of modern international criminal law – that is, the prosecution of individuals for crimes against the international order before international courts. The Nuremberg and Tokyo tribunals have been criticised for providing victors' justice, but they did succeed in developing a jurisprudence for the prosecution of international crimes that courts still invoke today. The Cold War brought this development to an end. Attempts to create a permanent international criminal court failed and it was left to academics to debate and dream about the creation of such a court for the next forty years.

All this changed with the end of the Cold War and the creation of *ad hoc* tribunals for the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda. At last the international community had two genuine international tribunals to dispense justice. 'Vertical' international criminal law – that is, the prosecution of individuals for international crimes before international courts – became a reality. However, no sooner had the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) started to function than attention was diverted to the creation of a

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

permanent international criminal court to try crimes throughout the world and not just in Yugoslavia and Rwanda. International lawyers applauded the proposal for such a court put forward by the International Law Commission and scrambled to participate in the Rome Conference of 1998 for the creation of an international criminal court. Attention remained focused on the International Criminal Court as the number of states ratifying the Rome Statute grew and the International Criminal Court finally became a reality in 2002. At this time there was a burst of writing and many books and journal articles appeared on the structure, jurisdiction, procedure and substantive law of the International Criminal Court.

In recent times, in part as a result of disillusionment following the slow start of the International Criminal Court, the pendulum of international criminal law has been swung back once more to where it should probably have been all the time – the *ad hoc* tribunals. Throughout the period of excitement and expectation over the creation of the International Criminal Court, the ICTY and ICTR quietly proceeded with the prosecution of international criminals for the most serious crimes known to mankind – genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes. The trial of Slobodan Milošević received much media attention but little attention was paid to the daily work of the ICTY and ICTR. Lengthy, carefully researched and thoroughly reasoned judgments have been handed down by judges from different backgrounds and with different judicial experience. These judgments have created a new, truly international or transnational international criminal law that draws on the experience of Nuremberg and Tokyo and national criminal courts, and successfully integrates national and international criminal law, humanitarian law and human rights law. At the same time the ICTY and ICTR have created vibrant institutions that attract judges and lawyers from many countries, united in their commitment to international justice. Over 1,000 lawyers and para-legals are today employed in some capacity before international tribunals – and most are with the ICTY or ICTR.

Publications have not kept pace with developments before the ICTY and ICTR. Writings on these courts, particularly in comparison with writings on the International Criminal Court, are few. Moreover, much of the writing on the ICTY and ICTR focuses on the structure of the tribunals and their procedures, rather than on the substantive law applied. *International Criminal Law Practitioner Library Series*, with one volume devoted to forms of responsibility and the other to elements of crime, therefore makes a timely appearance. Written by three young international criminal lawyers who have all worked in the ICTY and been directly involved in the evolution of the law before the tribunal, the study examines the substantive law of the tribunals

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

primarily from the perspective of the international criminal law practitioner, with the needs of the practitioner in mind. However, as one would expect from authors with such distinguished academic credentials, the study has an equal appeal to the legal academic and student.

Inevitably, as the ICTY and ICTR provide the richest source of substantive criminal law, the study focuses on the jurisprudence of these tribunals. The jurisprudence of other tribunals is not, however, ignored. The law of Nuremberg and Tokyo features prominently, and the law and structures of the other international or internationalised tribunals – the Special Court for Sierre Leone (SCSL), the Special Panels for Serious Crimes in East Timor (SPSC), the Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT), the Extraordinary Chambers of the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC) and, of course, the International Criminal Court – are also examined. The law of the International Criminal Court, contained in its primary instruments dealing with crimes and elements of crimes, receives particular attention.

Volume I deals with the law of individual criminal responsibility in international criminal law. This law seeks to capture all the methods and means by which an individual may contribute to the commission of a crime and be held responsible under the law. It aims to ensure that not only the perpetrator but also the high- or mid-level person – both civil and military – frequently removed from the actual perpetration of the crime, may be held responsible. Consequently this volume focuses on the various forms of participation in international crimes – joint criminal enterprise, superior responsibility, aiding and abetting and planning and instigating international crimes.

Volume II will cover the elements of the core international crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes, as seen from the perspective of law of both the *ad hoc* international tribunals and other tribunals.

The authors are not content with a mere portrayal or description of the law. The approaches of different tribunals, and the approaches of different judges within the same tribunal, are compared and contrasted; and decisions are carefully analysed and criticised. Moreover, the views of scholars are considered and integrated into the text.

International Criminal Law Practitioner Library Series will primarily, and in the first instance, assist the international criminal law practitioner, whatever his or her court. But it will also be of assistance to the growing body of national lawyers engaged in the practice of international criminal law before domestic courts. As the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court gives jurisdiction over international crimes in the first instance to domestic courts, in accordance with the principle of complementarity, it can be expected that this body of lawyers will grow.

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Gideon Boas, James Bischoff and Natalie Reid are to be congratulated on a work that concentrates on the jurisprudence of the main source of contemporary international criminal law – the law of the *ad hoc* tribunals – but which at the same time takes account of all the other sources of this rapidly expanding branch of law. Practitioners, academics and students will learn much from this excellent study.

John Dugard
The Hague

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Table of authorities

€**International Criminal Court (ICC) cases**
Situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Prosecutor v. *Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, Case No. ICC-01/04-01/06 253
Situation in Uganda, Case No. ICC-02/04–01/05 128

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) cases
Prosecutor v. *Akayesu*, Case No. ICTR-96-4 291, 292–3, 306–7, 309–10, 326, 328, 345–7, 356, 359–60, 367
Prosecutor v. *Bagilishema*, Case No. ICTR-95-1A 183, 191–2, 193, 210–11, 316, 348, 355
Prosecutor v. *Gacumbitsi*, Case No. ICTR-2001-64 31, 116–21, 124, 369, 422–3
Prosecutor v. *Kajelijeli*, Case No. ICTR-98-44A 184–5, 193, 198, 398, 405
Prosecutor v. *Kambanda*, Case No. ICTR 97-23 247–8
Prosecutor v. *Kamuhanda*, Case No. ICTR-99-54A 284, 366, 370–1, 389–92, 400
Prosecutor v. *Karemera, Ngirumpatse, and Nzirorera*, Case Nos. ICTR-98-44 97, 287–9
Prosecutor v. *Karera*, Case No. ICTR-01-74 6
Prosecutor v. *Kayishema and Ruzindana*, Case No. ICTR 95-1 29–30, 198, 226
Prosecutor v. *Mpambara*, Case No. ICTR-01-65 32–3, 314–15
Prosecutor v. *Muhimana*, Case No. ICTR-95-1B 6
Prosecutor v. *Musema*, Case No. ICTR-96-13 302
Prosecutor v. *Muvunyi*, Case No. ICTR-00-55A 189, 314, 360–1, 369
Prosecutor v. *Nahimana, Barayagwiza, and Ngeze*, Case No. ICTR-96-11 6, 355, 357
Prosecutor v. *Ndindabahizi*, Case No. ICTR-01-71 6, 364
Prosecutor v. *Ntagerura, Bagambiki, and Imanishimwe*, Case No. ICTR-99-46 31–2, 202

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Prosecutor v. *Ntakirutimana and Ntakirutimana*, Case Nos. ICTR-96-10 and ICTR-96-17 28–9, 30–1, 35, 299, 301–2, 326–7
Prosecutor v. *Rutaganda*, Case No. ICTR-96-3 360
Prosecutor v. *Rutaganira*, Case No. ICTR-95-1C 311
Prosecutor v. *Rwamakuba*, Case No. ICTR-98-44 27–8, 29, 141
Prosecutor v. *Semanza*, Case No. ICTR-97-20 281, 289–90, 293–4, 327, 329–30, 367–8, 411–12
Prosecutor v. *Seromba*, Case No. ICTR-2001-66 6
Prosecutor v. *Simba*, Case No. ICTR-2001-76 32, 56, 387

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) cases

Prosecutor v. *Aleksovski*, Case No. IT-95-14/1 193, 205, 308, 311, 345, 359
Prosecutor v. *Babić*, Case No. IT-03-72 50–1
Prosecutor v. *Blagojević and Jokić*, Case No. IT-02-60 37, 40, 43, 50, 51, 96, 186, 197, 201, 202–3, 210, 281, 286–7, 297–8, 299, 316, 327, 328–9, 330
Prosecutor v. *Blaškić*, Case No. IT-95-14 78, 207–8, 222–3, 224, 226, 230, 309, 311, 314, 319–20, 322–3, 347–8, 349–52, 361, 393–404, 423–4
Prosecutor v. *Boškoski and Tarčulovski*, Case No. IT-04-82 6, 239–40, 241–6, 416–17
Prosecutor v. *Brđanin and Talić*, Case No. IT-99-36 6, 26–7, 36, 37, 41–2, 45–7, 50, 51, 60, 70, 74–5, 77, 81–96, 103–5, 109–10, 186, 193, 194, 197, 201–3, 211, 238–9, 286, 298–9, 309, 327, 329, 352, 357, 386, 387, 392–3, 417, 421
Prosecutor v. *Delalić, Mucić, Delić and Landžo*, Case No. IT-96-21('*Čelebići* case') 29, 151, 152, 155, 157, 161, 165, 166, 168, 182–3, 185–7, 189–90, 203, 206–8, 210–11, 224, 345
Prosecutor v. *Furundžija*, Case No. IT-95-17/1 10–14, 40, 305, 307–8
Prosecutor v. *Galić*, Case. No. IT-98-29 366–7
Prosecutor v. *Hadžihasanović and Kubura*, Case No. IT-01-47 175–6, 187–9, 195, 220–1, 233–7, 238, 412
Prosecutor v. *Halilović*, Case No. IT-01-48 177–8, 194–5, 211, 220–4
Prosecutor v. *Jokić*, Case No. IT-01-42/1 404–6
Prosecutor v. *Kordić and Čerkez*, Case No. IT-95-14/2 191, 192, 195, 211, 227, 233, 270, 348, 350–6, 367, 395–7, 402
Prosecutor v. *Krajišnik*, Case No. IT-00-39 41, 43–4, 80–1, 88, 97–103, 124, 387, 417, 420–1
Prosecutor v. *Krnojelac*, Case No. IT-97-25 37, 37–8, 40–1, 52–67, 94, 202, 210, 217–20, 242–3, 325–6, 394–8, 407, 413
Prosecutor v. *Krstić*, Case No. IT-98-33 56, 75–8, 94, 95, 187, 189, 195, 233, 243, 281, 294–8, 300–1, 319, 320, 325, 326, 342, 397, 408–9, 417–18, 422–3

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Prosecutor v. *Kunarac, Kovac, and Vuković*, Case No. IT-96-23 & IT-96-23/1 321, 386
Prosecutor v. *Kvocka, Radić, Žigić, and Prcać*, Case No. IT-98-30 37–8, 43, 44, 45–6, 47–68, 79, 81–2, 192, 228, 325, 348
Prosecutor v. *Limaj, Bala, and Musliu*, Case No. IT-03-66 79, 183–4, 211, 230–1, 352
Prosecutor v. *Martić*, Case No. IT-95-11 6
Prosecutor v. *Milošević*, Case No. IT-02-54 43, 77, 384–5
Prosecutor v. *Milutinović, Šainović, and Ojdanić*, Case No. IT-99-37 23–29, 46, 97–9, 112–113, 122–4, 419–420
Prosecutor v. *Milutinović, Šainović, Ojdanić, Pavković, Lazarević, Đordević and Lukić*, Case No. IT-05-87 43, 111, 418, 421–2
Prosecutor v. *Mrksić, Radić, and Šljivančanin*, Case No. IT-95-13/1 6
Prosecutor v. *Naletilić and Martinović*, Case No. IT-98-34 208–9, 244, 316–19, 324, 355
Prosecutor v. *Orić*, Case No. IT-03-68 188, 194, 210, 215–17, 226, 231–4, 239, 240–52, 308–9, 320–1, 359, 361, 398–401, 410–13, 417
Prosecutor v. *Popović, Beara, Nikolić, Borovčanin, Tolimir, Miletić, Gvero, Pandurević and Trbić*, Case No. IT-05-88 97, 100, 110, 112, 121–3, 417
Prosecutor v. Prlić, Stojić, Praljak, Petković, Ćorić and Pušić, Case No. IT-04-74 110–12
Prosecutor v. *Simić, Tadić, and Zarić*, Case No. IT-95-9 37, 40–1, 52–6, 94–6, 321–4
Prosecutor v. *Stakić*, Case No. IT-97-24 37, 46, 52–4, 58, 79–82, 105–9, 113–16, 194, 294, 329, 348–9, 357, 392–3, 400, 405, 417
Prosecutor v. *Strugar*, Case No. IT-01-42 181–2, 195–7, 211–15, 224–5, 228–9, 230, 312–16, 352–3, 402–4
Prosecutor v. *Tadić*, Case No. IT-94-1 15–23, 37, 38–9, 42, 43, 51, 57, 60, 68–74, 90, 94, 95, 305–6, 309, 315–17, 344–5, 418–19
Prosecutor v. *Vasiljević*, Case No. IT-98-32 29, 37, 47, 51, 54, 65, 76–7, 94, 319, 326, 407–8

International treaties

Convention on the Prevention and Suppression of the Crime of Genocide of 1948, entered into force 12 January 1951, 78 UNTS. 277 27, 280, 331–2
Convention Respecting the Laws and Customs of War on Land of 18 October 1907, entered into force 26 January 1910, 36 Stat. 2277 (1907), TS No. 539 146–7
Geneva Convention relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, 75 UNTS. 135 149

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

International Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombing, entered into force 23 May 2001, GA Res. 52/164, Annex (1997) 22
Protocol Additional to the Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts, 1125 UNTS. 3 150, 157–8, 167–8, 209
Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts, 1125 UNTS. 609 150
Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, entered into force 1 July 2002, UN Doc. A/CONF. 183/9 (1998) 119–20, 125–8, 169–70, 252–64, 330–4, 371–3

National cases and legislation

American Articles of War (1775-1806) 146
American Law Institute, Model Penal Code (1962) 349
Articles of Military Lawwes to be Observed in the Warres (Sweden 1621) 145–6
British Royal Warrant of 1945 11
In re Yamashita, 327 U.S. 1 (1946) 153
Judgement in the Case of Emil Muller, 30 May 1921, reprinted in (1922) 16 *American Journal of International Law* 684 147
R. v. *Crabbe*, (1985) 58 ALR 417 349
R. v. *G. and Another*, [2004] 1 AC 1034 349
Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia Federal Secretariat for National Defence, Regulations Concerning the Application of International Law to the Armed Forces of SFRY (1988) 361–3
United States v. *Calley*, 46 CMR 1131 (1971), affirmed by 48 CMR 19 (1973) ('*Medina* case') 166–7
United States v. *Yamashita*, in Law Reports of Trials of War Criminals, vol. IV 153, 159–61, 170
US Department of Army, Law of Land Warfare Field Manual 27–10 (1956) 167

Other international and United Nations materials

Committee of the Whole, Summary Record of the 1st Meeting, held on 16 June 1998, UN Doc. A/CONF.183/C.1/SR.1, 20 November 1998 256
Committee on the Responsibility of the Authors of War and on Enforcement of Penalties, Report Presented to the Preliminary Peace Conference, Versailles, 29 March 1919 147
Control Council Law No. 10 11, 27, 148
Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind (1991) 151
Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind (1996) 151, 307

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Final Report of the Commission of Experts Established pursuant to Security Council Resolution 780 (1992), UN Doc. S/1994/674 (1994) 204
Final Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Events at the Refugee Camps in Beirut, 7 February 1983, reprinted in (1983) 22 ILM 473–520 168–9
Law on the Establishment of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia for the Prosecution of Crimes Committed during the Period of Democratic Kampuchea, as amended on 27 October 2004, Doc. No. NS/RKM/1004/006 136, 271, 338, 378
Law Proposal Submitted by the United States of America for Article 25, UN Doc. A/CONF.183/C.1/L.2, 16 June 1998 255
Report of the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, UN Doc. A/CONF.183/2/Add.1, 14 April 1998 255
Report of the Secretary-General pursuant to Paragraph 2 of Security Council Resolution 808 (1993), UN Doc. S/25704 (1993) 1, 5, 241
Rules of Procedure and Evidence for the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, UN Doc. IT/32/Rev.37 (6 April 2006) 90
Security Council Resolution 808, 22 February 1993, UN Doc. S/RES/808 (1993) 1, 5
Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991, 32 ILM 1159 (1993), as amended by Security Council Resolution 1660 of 28 February 2006 1–2, 8, 151, 158, 177–252, 237, 240, 279–344
Statute of the International Tribunal for Rwanda, (1994) 33 ILM 1602, as amended by Security Council Resolution 1534 of 26 March 2004 177–252, 279–344
Statute of the Iraqi Special Tribunal 137, 339–40, 378
Statute of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, 2178 UNTS 138, UN Doc. S/2002/246, Appendix II 128–9, 264–8, 334–7, 373–4
United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor, Regulation No. 2000/15 on the Establishment of Panels with Exclusive Jurisdiction over Serious Criminal Offences, UN Doc. UNTAET/REG/2000/15, 6 June 2000 133, 268, 337, 376–7

Other international cases

Government Commissioner of the General Tribunal of the Military Government for the French Zone of Occupation in Germany v. *Herman Roechling and Others, Indictment and Judgment of the General Tribunal of the Military Government of the French Zone of Occupation in Germany*, in Trials of War

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Criminals Before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10 (1950) vol. XIV, Appendix B 155, 165–6

In re Sandrock and others, War Crimes Reports 1 (1947) 35 17–18

Massenvernichtungsverbrechen und NS-Gewaltverbrechen in Lagern; Kriegsverbrechen. KZ Auschwitz, 1941–1945, 21 Justiz und NS-Verbrechen (1979) 361 ('*Auschwitz Concentration Camp* case') 12

Röling, B. V. A. and C. F. Rüter (eds.), *The Tokyo Judgment: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East (I.M.T.F.E.) 29 April 1946–12 November 1948* (1977) 156–7, 171–3

Trial of Gustav Alfred Jepsen and others, 15 Law Reports of Trials of War Criminals (1949) 18

Trial of Martin Gottfried Weiss and Thirty-Nine Others, 16 Law Reports of Trials of War Criminals (1949) 5 11–12

United States v. *Flick, Steinbrinck, Weiss, Burkart, Kaletsch and Terberger*, in Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10 (1950), vol. VI 156

United States v. *Goebell, Krolikovsky, Wentzel, Weber, Seiler, Schmitz, Pointer, Albrecht, Geyer, Witzke, Akkermann, Meyer-Gerhards, Rommel, Garrels, Mammenga, Haksema, Hanken, Heinemann, Wittmaack, Langer, Haesiker, Schierlau and Rimbach*, US Military Government Court, U.S. Forces, European Theatre, Verdict of 22 March 1946 20–1

United States v. *Karl Brandt, Becker-Freyseng, Beiglböck, Blome, Brack, Rudolf Brandt, Fischer, Gebhardt, Genzken, Handloser, Hoven, Mrugowsky, Oberheuser, Pokorny, Poppendick, Rombert, Rose, Rostick, Ruff, Schäfer, Schröder, Sievers and Weltz*, in Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10 (1950), vol. II 154–5, 170

United States v. *List, von Weichs, Rendulic, Kuntze, Foertsch, Boehme, Felmy, Lanz, Dehner, von Leyser, Speider and von Geitner*, US Military Tribunal, Judgment, 19 February 1948, in Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10 (1950), vol. XI 154, 162–3, 172–3

United States v. *Pohl, Frank, Georg Lörner, Fanslau, Hans Lörner, Vogt, Tschentscher, Scheide, Kiefer, Eirenschmalz, Sommer, Pook, Baier, Hohberg, Volk, Mummenthey, Bobermin and Klein*, in Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10 (1950), vol. V 155–6, 164–5

United States v. *Toyoda*, War Crimes Tribunal Courthouse, Tokyo, Japan, 6 September 1949 161–2

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

United States v. *von Leeb, Sperrle, von Küchler, Blaskowitz, Hoth, Reinhardt, von Salmuth, Hollidt, Schniewind, von Roques, Reinecke, Warlimont, Wöhlerand Lehmann*, in Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law No. 10 (1950) vol. I 154, 163–4, 173

Selected secondary sources

Akhavan, Payam 'The Crime of Genocide in the ICTR Jurisprudence', (2005) 3 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 989 281, 300

Ambos, Kai 'Superior Responsibility', in Antonio Cassese, Paola Gaeta, and John R. W. D. Jones (eds.), *The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: A Commentary* (2001), vol. I 164

Crespi, Alberto, Giuseppe Zuccalà, and Frederico Stella, *Commentario breve al Codice penale* (1986) 350

Danner, Allison Marston and Jenny S. Martinez, 'Guilty Associations: Joint Criminal Enterprise, Command Responsibility, and the Development of International Criminal Law', (2005) 93 *California Law Review* 75, 124 159

Eboe-Osuji, Chile '"Complicity in Genocide" versus "Aiding and Abetting Genocide": Construing the Difference in the ICTR and ICTY Statutes', (2005) 3 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 56 299

Grotius, Hugo *De jure belli ac pacis: libri tres* (1625) 145

Gustafson, Katrina 'The Requirement of an "Express Agreement" for Joint Criminal Enterprise Liability: A Critique of *Brđanin*', (2005) 3 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 10 85, 88–9

Henckaerts, Jean-Marie and Louise Doswald-Beck (eds.), *Customary International Humanitarian Law* (2005) 143–4, 150

Le Gunehec, Francis 'Elément moral de l'infraction', in Marie-Françoise Homassel (ed.), 1 *Juris-Classeur Pénal Code* (2002) 350

Levine, Eugenia 'Command Responsibility: The *Mens Rea* Requirement', available at www.globalpolicy.org/intljustice/general/2005/command.htm 164, 168

Lieber, Francis, Instructions for the Government of Armies of the United States in the Field 146

McCormack, Timothy L. H. 'From Sun Tzu to the Sixth Committee', in Timothy L. H. McCormack and Gerry J. Simpson (eds.), *The Law of War Crimes* (1997) 147

Meron, Theodor, 'Editorial Comment: Revival of Customary Humanitarian Law' (2005) 99 *American Journal of International Law* 817 418

Mundis, Daryl A. 'Crimes of the Commander: Superior Responsibility Under Article 7(3) of the ICTY Statute', in Gideon Boas and William A. Schabas

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

(eds.), *International Criminal Law Developments in the Case Law of the ICTY* (2003) 164

Mundis, Daryl A. and Fergal Gaynor, 'Current Developments at the ad hoc International Criminal Tribunals', (2005) 3 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 268 104

Parks, William H. 'Command Responsibility for War Crimes', (1973) 62 *Military Law Review* 1 145, 147, 161

Powles, Steven 'Joint Criminal Enterprise: Criminal Liability by Prosecutorial Ingenuity and Judicial Creativity?', (2004) 2 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* 606 25

Sandoz, Yves, Christophe Swinarski, and Bruno Zimmerman (eds.), *Commentary on the Additional Protocols of 8 June 1977 to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949* (1987) 152, 168, 173–4

Schabas, William A. *The UN International Criminal Tribunals: The Former Yugoslavia, Rwanda and Sierra Leone* (2006) 300

Sun Tzu, *The Art of War* 145

Singh, Avi 'Criminal Responsibility for Non-State Civilian Superiors Lacking De Jure Authority: A Comparative Review of the Doctrine of Superior Responsibility and Parallel Doctrines in National Criminal Laws', (2005) 28 *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review* 267 155

Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) cases

Prosecutor v. *Brima, Kamara, and Kanu*, Case No. SCSL-04-16 ('*AFRC* Case') 6, 131–3, 336

Prosecutor v. *Koroma*, Case No. SCSL-2003-03 131, 267

Prosecutor v. *Norman, Fofana and Kondewa*, Case No. SCSL-2004-14 ('*CDF* Case') 6, 131, 266–7

Prosecutor v. *Sesay, Kallon and Gbao*, Case No. SCSL-2004-15 ('*RUF* Case') 131

Prosecutor v. *Taylor*, Case No. SCSL-03-01 129–31, 133, 267, 335–6, 374–6

Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC, East Timor) cases

Deputy General Prosecutor v. da Cruz, Alves, de Araújo, dos Santos a.k.a. Alves, Tasion, Barreto and Lopes de Carvalho, Case No. 04-2004 269

Deputy General Prosecutor v. Gonsalves, Tavares, Oliveira, Maia Pereira and Tavares, Case No. 05-2004 268–9

Deputy General Prosecutor v. Gultom, Case No. 10-2004 268

Deputy General Prosecutor v. Nur, Melky, Hilario, Martins, Pereira and da Costa, Case No. 12-2004 269

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87831-9 - Forms of Responsibility in International Criminal Law
Gideon Boas, James L. Bischoff and Natalie L. Reid
Frontmatter
More information

Prosecutor v. *Joni Marques, Manuel da Costa, João da Costa, Paulo da Costa, Amélio da Costa, Hilário da Silva, Gonsalo dos Santos, Alarico Fernandes, Mautersa Monis and Gilberto Fernandes*, Case No. 09/l2000 133–5
Prosecutor v. *Jose Cardoso Fereira*, Case No. 04/2001 135–6
Prosecutor v. *Soares*, Case No. 11-2003 270–1

Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT) cases
Anfal case 379
Dujail case 138–40, 273–4, 340, 378–9

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org