CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Index

Abramson, Paul, 19, 174
Abreu, Jogin, 27
activists, 141, 184. *See also* party elites
Adams, James, 26, 50, 52, 54, 65
Aguilar Zinser, Adolfo, 230, 238
Aldrich, John, 11, 121, 122, 129, 133, 136, 159, 184
Alemán, Miguel, 74, 79–81
Alliance for Change, 221
Almazán, Juan Andreu, 78
Alvarez, R. Michael, 246
Alves, Maria Helena Moreira, 44
Ames, Barry, 46
Amigos de Fox, 114, 214, 231–32, 242, 253
Angola, 13
Antorcha Popular, 203, 214
Arap Moi, Daniel, 7
Arce, René, 205
Argentina, 83
Arian, Alan, 10, 16, 46, 276
Arredondo Ramírez, Pablo, 110
Arrellano, David, 99, 100, 213
Arriola, Carlos, 9, 87–90, 166
Aspe, Pedro, 101–3, 105
Assembly of Neighborhoods (AB), 199, 204
Authentic Revolutionary Party of Mexico (PARM), Mexico, 94
authoritarian regimes. *See* fully closed authoritarian regimes; one-party regimes
authoritarian tools, 14, 15, 34, 57–59, 259, 306. *See also* electoral fraud; *individual country cases*; repression
Avila Camacho, Manuel, 74, 77, 79

Bahamas, 256
Bailey, John, 46, 80, 85
bank nationalization, Mexico, 92
Bank Savings Protection Fund (FOBAPROA), Mexico, 112, 222, 238
Banquet, the, Mexico, 105
Barisan Alternatif (BA), Malaysia, 271
Barisan Nasional (BN), Malaysia, 37, 269. *See also* United Malays National Organization (UMNO)
Barnes, Samuel, 10, 16, 46, 276, 287
Bartels, Larry, 244
Bartlett, Manuel, 214
Bartra, Roger, 45, 73
Basañez, Miguel, 88, 89, 173, 233
BDP. *See* Botswana Democratic Party

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Beck, Thorsten, 15, 18, 23, 24
Bejerano, René, 190
Berton, Peter, 278, 279
Besley, Timothy, 130
Bianco, William, 11, 121, 133
biased party competition. *See* dominant party resource advantages; party competition, spatial theories of; patronage; repression
black-gold politics, Taiwan, 266, 268
Blondel, Jean, 10, 15, 16
BNF. *See* Botswana National Front
Boix, Carles, 12, 22, 158, 299, 308
Borjas Benavente, Adriana Leticia, 9, 154
Bortolotti, Bernardo, 293
Botswana, 17, 22, 37
Botswana Congress Party (BCP), 38
Botswana Democratic Party (BDP), 17, 38
Botswana National Front (BNF), 37
Bouton, Lawrence, 272
Brandenburg, Frank, 4, 76, 299
Bravo Mena, Luis Felipe, 232
Brazil, 44, 85, 104, 302
Brody, David, 243
Brooker, Paul, 10, 44
Brownlee, Jason, 62
Bruhn, Kathleen, 8, 9, 73, 74, 81, 86, 93, 94, 106, 107, 144, 152, 154, 160, 177, 227, 230, 232, 235, 237, 239
Bruno, Giovanni, 294
Buendía, Jorge, 20, 174
bureaucracy. *See* public bureaucracy
Business Coordinating Council (CCE), Mexico, 88, 89
Butler, Kimberly, 15

cacique, 203
Calder, Kent, 281
Calderón Hinojosa, Felipe, 124
Calderón, Luisa María, 207
camarillas, 99. *See also* Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI)
Cameroon, 13
Camp, Roderic Ai, 99, 233, 299
campaign finance. *See also* dominant party resource advantages; patronage
  in Mexico, 107–14, 149, 212, 231
    equality of, 213, 215
    government donations, 111
    illegal funds, 113, 114, 213
    and party competitiveness, 107
    private donations, 111, 212
  in Taiwan, 266, 268
campaign restrictions, Japan, 283
campaigns. *See* Cárdenas Solorzano, C.; Fox, V.; Labastida, F.
Campbell, John, 282
Candiani, Mauricio, 201, 202
Cárdenas Solorzano, Cuauhtémoc, 7, 93, 95, 154, 189, 196, 198, 199, 203, 211, 221–53 *passim*, 301
  campaign strategy of, 234, 253
  campaign style of, 239
  centrism of, 240–44
  competence ratings of, 238, 252
  defection from, 245, 249, 251
  electoral coalition of, 233
  nomination of in 2000, 229–30, 267
  noncentrism of, 252
  viability assessments of, 250, 252
  voter defection from, 240
Cárdenas, Lázaro, 73–94 *passim*
Carey, John, 61, 266
Carothers, Thomas, 14
Carr, Barry, 9, 79–82, 85–87, 152
Case, William, 269, 272
Cassa per il Mezzogiorno, Italy, 289, 293
Castañeda, Jorge, 7, 94, 95, 230
Castillo Peraza, Carlos, 202
Castillo, Heberto, 84, 235
Castles, Francis, 286
catchall parties. *See also individual party names*
  definition of, 37
  extensive expansion of, 191
  organizational profile of, 179
  recruitment style of, 183
  voter communication style of, 184

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Catholic Church, 111, 191
CD, Mexico. *See* Democratic Current
Centeno, Miguel Angel, 101, 106
Cervera Pacheco, Victor, 214
Chand, Vikram, 9
Chandra, Kanchan, 276
Charlesworth, James, 18
charro, 79. *See also* authoritarian tools
Chaudhry, Kiren, 307
Chen, Shui-bian, 267, 268
Cheng, Tun-jen, 10, 45, 262, 264
Chhibber, Pradeep, 276
Chile, 7, 44, 87, 104, 134, 299
Chong, Dennis, 122–24
Christensen, Ray, 276, 278, 280, 282–84
Christian Democratic Party (DC), Italy, 276, 285, 300. *See also* dominant party systems; dominant party democratic regimes (DPDRs)
  alternative arguments for dominance, 285
  as catchall party, 285
  end of dominance, 294
  parliamentarism and dominance, 287
  resource advantages, 285, 288
    control of public bureaucracy by, 290–91
    decline of, 292–94
    origin of, 289–91
    types of, 289–91, 294
Chu, Yun-han, 38, 45, 122, 261–64, 266
Chua, Huat Beng, 37, 38, 271, 273, 274
Civic Alliance, Mexico, 111
Civic Union of Iztapalapa (UCI), Mexico, 204
civil service. *See* public bureaucracy
Clark, Peter, 123, 125
cleavages. *See* partisan cleavages
clientelism. *See also* patronage
  as compared to patronage, 40
  in Italy, 289
  in Japan, 278, 281, 283
  in Taiwan, 267
  public goods taregting and, 283
Cline, Howard, 4, 299
CNC. *See* National Campesino Confederation, Mexico
CNOP. *See* National Confederation of Popular Organizations
Coate, Steven, 130
Coleman, James, 15
Collier, David, 45, 76, 77, 80
Collier, Ruth Berins, 45, 76, 77, 80, 91
Colosio, Luis Donaldo, 105
command economy, 307
competitive authoritarianism, 11, 14, 15, 307, 308
conceptual stretching, 260
Conchello, José Angel, 87, 165
Confederation of Industrial Business Chambers (CONCAMIN), Mexico, 89
Confederation of Mexican Workers (CTM), 75, 77, 100, 104, 105, 212
Congress Party, India, 258, 276
congressional gridlock, Mexico, 8, 302
Conover, Pamela Johnston, 243
Coordinator of University Students (CEU), Mexico, 190
Cornelius, Wayne, 50, 72, 81, 98, 102, 111, 203, 214, 215, 233, 299
costs of participation. *See* opportunity costs; party elites; repression
Côte d'Ivoire, 13
Cotton, James, 122, 264
Coulon, Christian, 37
Cox, Gary, 11, 15, 16, 18, 23–26, 48, 52, 62, 70, 121, 127, 133, 177, 245, 257, 262, 263, 266, 277, 278
Craig, Ann, 50, 72, 81, 98, 102, 299
Crespo, José Antonio, 195
Cristero Rebellion, 76
  Mexico, 78
Cross, John, 104
Crouch, Harold, 45, 50, 269, 270, 272–74

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

CTM. *See* Confederation of Mexican Workers
Cuéllar, Angélica, 199
Curtis, Gerald, 277, 279, 281–84

Dalton, Matt, 97, 156
DAP. *See* Democratic Action Party, Malaysia
Davis, Otto, 48
DC. *See* Christian Democratic Party, Italy
de la Madrid, Miguel, 91, 166, 199
de Palma, André, 26, 177
debt crisis. *See* economic crisis, in Mexico
dedazo, 77, 236
Deininger, Klaus, 22
della Porta, Donatella, 285, 291, 294, 295
Democratic Action Party (DAP), Malaysia, 38, 270
Democratic Current (CD), Mexico, 93, 154, 190
Democratic Mexican Party (PDM), 86
Democratic Progressive Party (DPP), Taiwan, 38, 261–63, 267, 268
democratization. *See* transitions to democracy
di Palma, Guiseppe, 285
Diamond, Larry, 14, 267, 268
Diaw, Aminata, 20, 37
Díaz-Cayeros, Alberto, 106
Dillon, Samuel, 98, 99, 212, 235, 237
dinosaurs, 236. *See also* Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI)
Diouf, Mamadou, 20, 37
doctrinarios, 166. *See also* National Action Party (PAN)
dominant parties. *See also* dominant party systems
  centrism of, 45, 309
  defection from, 61–62
  legitimacy of, 10, 46
  origins of, 10
  and turnout, 46
dominant party authoritarian regimes (DPARs), 14, 16, 258, 261–76, 307. *See also individual party names and countries*
dominant party democratic regimes (DPDRs), 258, 259, 275–95. *See also individual party names and countries*
dominant party equilibrium, 16, 306
  in Botswana, 37
  formal model of, 47–59
  in Italy, 285–88
  in Japan, 278–81
  in Malaysia, 37, 268–71
  in Mexico, 37, 71, 72
  in Senegal, 37
  in Taiwan, 38, 261–62
dominant party resource advantages, 5–6, 298, 306. *See also individual party names;* patronage
  control of public bureaucracy, 41
  effects on political parties, 39
  measurement of, 149–50
  and probability of victory, 47–52
  types of, 39–42
dominant party systems. *See also* dominant parties; dominant party authoritarian regimes (DPARs); dominant party democratic regimes (DPDRs); *individual party names and countries*; resource theory of single-party dominance
  authoritarian tools and, 34, 42–45
  case selection for comparison, 256
  compared to fully competitive democracies, 17
  compared to predominant party systems, 259
  cultural theory of, 10, 257
  definition of, 12–16
  dominant party's policy appeals and, 45–47
  DPARs compared to DPDRs, 258–60
  economic crisis and, 21
  examples of, 16, 37, 258
  existing theories of, 10, 17–27, 257

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

institutional theory and, 22–24, 257
in Italy, 284–95
in Japan, 277–84
in Malaysia, 37, 268–75
modernization theory and, 21–22, 257
neutral models and, 24–26
non-neutral models and, 26–27
opposition coordination in, 308
opposition party types in, 35–38
parliamentary systems, 15, 220, 257, 276
patronage. *See* patronage
presidential systems, 15, 219, 257, 302
proto-dominant party systems, 14
rational choice theory and, 24–27
regime classification, 12
regional dominance, 17
repression. *See* repression
resource advantages. *See* dominant party resource advantages; resource theory of single-party dominance
retrospective voting theory and, 19–21, 308
role of the state in, 22, 149, 307
social cleavages theory and, 18–19
in Taiwan, 38, 261–68
Domínguez, Jorge, 95, 143, 144, 160, 173, 174, 176, 177, 233
Dow, Jay, 246
Downs, Anthony, 48, 178, 306
DPARs. *See* dominant party authoritarian regimes
DPDR. *See* dominant party democratic regimes
DPP. *See* Democratic Progressive Party, Taiwan
Dresser, Denise, 106
Duverger, Maurice, 10, 16, 22
Duverger's Law, 22, 23

Eastern Europe, 301
Ebenstein, William, 100
Echeverría, Luis, 74, 84, 85, 87–89, 165
Eckstein, Susan, 183, 203
economic crisis, 174
effects on party elites' policy preferences, 159
in Malaysia, 274
in Mexico, 8, 74, 89–91, 94, 102, 115, 166, 173
effective number of parties, 17–19
Egypt, 13, 62
Eisenstadt, Shmuel, 50, 158
Eisenstadt, Todd, 7, 59, 95
ejidos, 80
elections
fraud. *See* electoral, fraud
in Italy, 285, 292, 294
in Japan, 277, 284
in Malaysia, 269
in Mexico, 2. *See also* Cárdenas Solorzano, C.; Fox, V.; Labastida, F.
1976, 86, 89, 165
1988, 7, 93, 95, 196, 203
2000, 210–54
fairness of, 150, 210–15
freeness of, 71
meaningfulness of, 2, 71
other elections, 91, 94, 195, 198, 200, 202, 203
in Taiwan, 2000, 266–68
meaningful competition, 13, 14, 259, 269
electoral authoritarianism, 14. *See also* competitive authoritarianism
electoral fraud, 14, 15, 34, 35, 42, 259, 300, 306
with certainty, 42
compared to resource advantages, 43, 58
effects on opposition parties, 42, 58
evidence of, 43
in Kenya, 13
in Malaysia, 269
in Mexico, 4, 7, 72, 73, 86, 91–95, 174
requirements for success of, 43
in Taiwan, 263
with uncertainty, 14, 43

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

electoral law
in Japan, 283
in Mexico, 86, 98, 107–14, 165, 168
electoral management bodies, 41, 309
electoral system
effect of district magnitude, 22–24
in Italy, 257
in Japan, 257, 278, 285
in Malaysia, 24, 257, 271
in Mexico, 24, 177, 257, 271
single nontransferable vote (SNTV), 262, 266, 278, 280, 284
in Taiwan, 24, 257
electoral-professional parties, 37
elite activists. *See* party elites
Endersby, James, 246
Epstein, Leon, 4, 52, 60, 121
equilibrium dominance. *See* dominant party equilibrium
Estévez, Federico, 106, 233
ethno-linguistic fractionalization, 18
European Manifestos Project, 73, 144
European Union, 292
expressive incentives. *See* party elites
externally mobilized parties, 11, 122, 303
extremism, definition of, 33

Farneti, Paolo, 285
FDN. *See* National Democratic Front, Mexico
Feddersen, Timothy, 24, 48
Federal Elections Institute (IFE), Mexico, 110, 113, 114, 212–14
Federal Electoral Tribunal (TRIFE), Mexico, 114
Federation of Public Sector Unions (FSTSE), Mexico, 100, 104, 212
Féher, Ferenc, 44
Feldman, Stanley, 243
FEP. *See* People's Front Party, Mexico
Ferdinand, Peter, 122, 263
Fernández de Cevallos, Diego, 230, 232, 233
Fields, Karl, 264, 265
Fiorina, Morris, 20, 174
firefighting strategy, 73, 75, 95, 114, 159. *See also* Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI)
Fischer, Bernhard, 77
Fish, M. Steven, 44
Fitzgibbon, Russell, 4, 299
FOBAPROA, *See* Bank Savings Protection Fund
Fox, Vicente, 2, 29, 38, 97, 114, 202, 210–53 *passim*, 268, 301, 302, 325, 326
Amigos de Fox. *See Amigos de Fox*
campaign strategy of, 234–43, 253
campaign style of, 239
centrism of, 240–44, 252, 253
competence ratings of, 238, 252
distance from PAN, 242, 253
electoral coalition of, 211, 233
independent resources of, 229, 231
nomination battle in 2000, 230–33, 267
Freedom House scores, 44, 96–97, 261
FSTSE. *See* Federation of Public Sector Unions
Fuentes-Berain, Rossana, 27
fully closed authoritarian regimes, 307, 308
as compared to dominant party systems, 134
as compared to Mexico, 96
definition of, 4
examples of, 13
fully competitive democracies, 304

Gabon, 13
Gambia, 16, 256
García Cervantes, Ricardo, 232
Garretón, Manuel Antonio, 44
Garrido, Luis Javier, 80
Geddes, Barbara, 16, 21, 98, 105, 120
Gerken, Egbert, 77
Gerschenkron, Alexander, 271, 292
gestión social, 201, 202, 207
Gibney, Mark, 97, 156
Givens, Terri, 11, 122
Golden, Miriam, 285, 286, 289–91, 294, 295

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Gómez Mont, Esperanza, 206, 207
Gómez Morín, Manuel, 188
Gomez, Edmund, 122, 269, 273, 274
Gómez, Leopoldo, 46
González Casanova, Pablo, 4, 88, 299
González Morfín, Efraín, 88
Gordillo, Elba Esther, 214
green parties, Western Europe, 41, 122, 303
Green Party (PVEM), Mexico, 114, 214, 221, 235
Greenberg, Joseph, 25
Greene, Kenneth F., 8, 10, 143, 196, 199, 213, 302
Grindle, Merilee, 99
Grofman, Bernard, 50, 52, 54, 65
Groseclose, Timothy, 50, 51, 57
Grzymala-Busse, Anna, 301
Guerrero Castillo, Augustín, 190
Guerrero, Juan Pablo, 99, 100, 213
Guillermoprieto, Alma, 203
Gutiérrez, Gabriela, 207
Guyana, 13
Guzzini, Stefano, 285, 294

Haber, Paul, 106, 183, 199
Haggard, Stephan, 21, 22, 159, 174
Hartz, Louis, 18
Hechter, Michael, 124
Heller, Agnes, 44
Hellman, Judith Adler, 45, 75, 81, 83, 85, 87, 93, 183, 299
Hellman, Stephen, 288
Hidalgo, Javier, 198–200
Hiemenz, Ulrich, 77
Hine, David, 285
Hinich, Melvin, 26, 48, 50
Hirschman, Albert O., 129, 130, 305
Holm, John, 38
Howard, Marc Morjé, 7, 62, 308
Hrebenar, Ronald, 277, 279, 282–84
Hsieh, John Fuh-sheng, 20, 21
Huang, Teh-fu, 264
Hug, Simon, 12, 303
Hugo, Victor, 205
Huntington, Samuel, 10, 45, 73
hyper-incumbency advantages, 39, 63, 98, 119, 297, 300, 309. *See also* dominant party resource advantages; *individual party names*; patronage

Ibarra, Rosario, 235
Ibarrola, Christlieb, 87
IFE. *See* Federal Elections Institute
Imaz, Carlos, 190
import-substitution industrialization (ISI), 101, 104, 307
inchoate party systems, 302
incumbency advantages, 39. *See also* hyper-incumbency advantages
independent left, Mexico, *See* Party of the Democratic Revolution (PRD), predecessors to
India, 258, 276
Indonesia, 13
informal sector, 92, 104, 214
Inoguchi, Takashi, 276, 281, 282
Institute for Industrial Reconstruction (IRI), Italy, 289
Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI), Mexico, 16. *See also* dominant party systems; dominant party authoritarian regimes (DPARs)
  authoritarian preceptions of, 237
  authoritarian tools and, 72
  candidate launch 2000, 140, 267
  compared to other dominant parties, 255–96
  defeat of in 2000, 44, 210, 267
  historical relationship with opposition, 75–96 *passim*
  identifiers, 215, 233, 248, 250
  legitimacy of, 165
  nomination politics in 2000, 232, 267
  performance evaluations. *See* retrospective voting
  policy position of, 72, 73. *See also* firefighting strategy
    centrism, 73, 164
    effects on party elites' policy preferences, 159

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Institutional Revolutionary Party (*cont.*)
shift to left, 75–77, 84–87
shift to right, 77–82, 91–92
post-democratization survival of, 8, 301
as pragmatic party, 10, 46, 73
predecessors to, 80
prospective evaluations of, 21, 174
recruitment into, 77, 138
repression and, 75–97, 168. *See also* repression
resource advantages, 34, 75–96 *passim*, 102, 185
control of public bureaucracy, 99–101
decline of, 105, 114, 173, 211–15
origin of, 97–99, 101–107
sectoral organizations, 75, 80, 104, 105, 188, 214
voter dissatisfaction with. *See* retrospective voting
voter identification with, 173
institutional theory, 22–24, 71, 72
interest groups, 124
Internal Security Act (ISA), Malaysia, 275
internally mobilized parties, 11
Islamic Party of Malaysia (PAS), 38, 270
Israel, 258, 264, 276
Italian Communist Party (PCI), 286–88
Italian Social Movement (MSI), 286
Italy under the DC, 255, 257–59, 276, 284–95, 300
Iztapalapa, Mexico City, 195, 196, 203–8

Jackson, John, 129, 130
Japan under the LDP, 255, 257–59, 276–84, 295, 300
Japanese Communist Party (JCP), 278, 280
Japanese Socialist Party (JSP), 279, 280
Jennings, Kent, 148
Johnson, Chalmers, 282
Johnson, Kenneth, 78, 83, 84
Johnston, Richard, 219
Jones, Claudio, 107
Jones, Mark, 192
Jowitt, Ken, 44, 45

Kalyvas, Stathis, 292
KANU. *See* Kenya African National Union
Karl, Terry, 11, 14
Katz, Richard, 304
Kaufman Purcell, Susan, 4, 299
Kaufman, Robert, 21, 22, 159, 174
Kenya, 7, 13
Kenya African National Union (KANU), 7
Keohane, Robert, 16
Kessler, Timothy, 85, 87, 106, 112
Key, V.O., 18, 20, 174
King, Gary, 16, 220, 247
Kirchheimer, Otto, 37
Kitschelt, Herbert, 11, 37, 50, 122, 129, 136, 158, 279, 283, 305
Klesner, Joseph, 86, 107, 176, 213, 233
KMT. *See* Kuomintang, Taiwan
kāenkai, Japan, 283
Kollman, Ken, 178
Komeito/Clean Government Party (CGP), Japan, 279, 280
Krauss, Ellis, 278, 281, 282
Krauze, Enrique, 1
Kuo, Chengtian, 264, 265
Kuomintang (KMT), Taiwan, 16, 38, 261, 264–65, 300. *See also* dominant party systems; dominant party authoritarian regimes (DPARs)
as catchall party, 262
centrism of, 262
defection from, 267
institutional argument for dominance, 262
performance of, 263. *See also* retrospective voting
prospective evaluations of, 21
resource advantages, 263–64

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

control of public bureaucracy, 264
decline of, 265–67
origin of, 264–65
Kuran, Timur, 174

Laakso, Markku, 18
Labastida, Francisco, 211, 233, 235, 236, 239, 243–45, 247–52
campaign strategy of, 234, 253
competence ratings of, 239, 252
democratic credentials of, 236
electoral coalition of, 233
Lacy, Dean, 20, 21
land redistribution, Mexico, 77, 79, 85
Langston, Joy, 80
LaPalombara, Joseph, 285, 289, 290
Latin America
compared to Mexico, 7, 44, 87, 104, 299
rise of the left across, 210
Laver, Michael, 15, 62, 276, 277, 286
Law of curvilinear disparities, 228, 305
Lawson, Chappell, 94, 110, 176, 213, 233, 244
leaders. *See* party elites
Leftist Democratic Faction (CID), Mexico, 190, 205
Lehoucq, Fabrice, 43
Levite, Ariel, 10
Levitsky, Steven, 6, 11, 12, 14, 15, 48, 302
Liberal Democratic Party (LDP), Japan, 276, 277, 280, 300. *See also* dominant party systems; dominant party democratic regimes (DPDRs)
as catchall party, 281
end of dominance, 284
existing explanations for dominance, 277
resource advantages
control of public bureaucracy, 282
types of, 281–83
as rightwing party, 278
Liberal Mexican Party, 88
Liberation Theology, 84
Lichbach, Mark, 12, 122
Lien, Chan, 267, 268
Lin, Oliver, 263
Lin, Tse-min, 26, 177
Linz, Juan, 61, 219
Lipset, Seymour Martin, 18, 38
Lipson, Leslie, 18
Liu, I-chou, 261, 262, 264
Loaeza, Soledad, 9, 37, 77, 78, 87, 88, 166
Locke, Richard, 293
Lombardo Toledano, Vicente, 77
Londregan, John, 50
López Obrador, Andrés Manuel, 124, 229
López Portillo, José, 74, 86, 89, 90, 166
Lujambio, Alonso, 90, 91, 112, 213
Lustig, Nora, 85, 91, 102, 103

Mabry, Donald, 9, 76–79, 191
machine politics. *See* patronage
MacLeod, Dag, 101–4, 106, 107
Madagascar, 13
Madrazo, Roberto, 236, 237
Magaloni, Beatriz, 9, 20, 21, 62, 106, 143, 144, 174, 217, 233
Magar, Eric, 110
Mahathir, Mohammad, 275
Mainwaring, Scott, 193, 302
Mair, Peter, 193, 286, 304
Malaysia under UMNO, 16, 37, 50, 122, 255, 257, 258, 268–75, 295, 296, 300, 307, 308. *See also* United Malays National Organization (UMNO)
as dominant party authoritarian regime, 269
as fully closed authoritarian regime, 269
economic crisis, 274
National Economic Plan (NEP), 272–73
Mandela, Nelson, 236
Manikas, Peter, 266
Markus, Gyorgy, 44

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Martínez, Omar, 110
Martínez Valle, Adolfo, 78, 87, 88
Martínez Verdugo, Arnoldo, 86, 152
mass media, 94, 184
May, John, 129, 130, 136, 148, 159, 228, 305
McCann, James, 95, 143, 144, 160, 173, 174, 176, 177, 233, 244
McDonald, Ronald, 15
McKelvey, Richard, 26
meaningful electoral competition. *See* elections
Means, Gordon Paul, 269
Medina Placencia, Carlos, 230
medium N analysis, 256
Meguid, Bonnie, 303
Merino, Mauricio, 100
Merrill III, Samuel, 50, 52, 54, 65
Mershon, Carol, 292
message-seekers. *See* party elites
Mexican Communist Party (PCM), 13, 80–82, 84–86, 92, 93, 152
  membership of, 80, 82
  registration of, 71, 80, 86
Mexican Democratic Party (PDM), 76
Mexican Employers' Association, 88
Mexican left. *See* Party of the Democratic Revolution (PRD), predecessors to
Mexican Revolution, 73, 76, 125
Mexican Revolutionary Party (PRM), 75, 80
Mexican Socialist Party (PMS), 86, 93, 152, 165, 204
Mexican Unified Socialist Party (PSUM), 86, 92, 93, 151, 152, 196, 204
Mexican Worker-Peasant Party (POCM), 82, 152
Mexican Workers Party (PMT), 84, 86, 152
Mexico Party Personnel Surveys
  description of, 27, 140–42
  sample sizes, 141
  stock versus flow, 142
Mexico under the PRI. *See also* dominant party resource advantages; electoral fraud; National Action Party (PAN); Party of the Democratic Revolution (PRD); repression
  compared to fully closed authoritarian regimes, 7, 44, 134, 299
  in comparative perspective, 299
  as a democracy, 4, 72, 299
  as a dominant party authoritarian regime (DPAR), 299
  as a fully closed authoritarian regime, 4, 72, 299
  history of party competition, 75–96
Middlebrook, Kevin, 75, 79, 81, 83
Miguel Hidalgo, Mexico City, 195–202
Miller, John, 178
Miller, Warren, 148
Mizrahi, Yemile, 9, 78, 90, 124, 166, 188, 189, 232
MLN. *See* National Liberation Movement
Moctezuma Barragán, Esteban, 99, 100
modernization theory, 21–22, 71, 158, 308
Moe, Terry, 123, 124
Molinar, Juan, 13, 71, 80, 81, 106, 107, 110, 144
Moore, Clement, 10
moral authority, 175, 229, 305
Moreno, Alejandro, 27, 143, 174, 176, 233
Mozambique, 13
MRM. *See* Revolutionary Teacher's Movement
MRP. *See* People's Revolutionary Movement
MSI. *See* Italian Social Movement
MT. *See* Territorial Movement, Mexico
multi-method approach, 27
Munger, Michael, 26
Muñoz Ledo, Porfirio, 93, 154, 190, 229, 230
Munro-Kua, Anne, 269, 272, 275

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Muramatsu, Michio, 278, 281, 282

NAFTA, 177
Nagler, Jonathan, 246
National Action Party (PAN), Mexico. *See also* Fox, V.; opposition parties; party elites
  activist recruitment, 182–84, 188–89
  adherentes, 232
  Catholic Church and, 76, 77, 90, 144
  Catholic humanism and, 77, 88, 165
  as Christian Democratic party, 87
  core constituency of, 37, 181–82, 202, 206
  cost of participation in, 79
  economic liberals and, 76, 78, 90, 165, 166
  existing descriptions of, 9
  extremism of, 164, 165, 177, 301
  feeder organizations, 191
  formation of, 76
  history of, 75–96 *passim*
  identifiers with, 177, 215, 223, 233, 248
  limits of expansion, 202, 207
  membership, 192–95, 201, 206
  moderation of, 165, 166
  National Assembly, 141
  National Council, 150, 230
  National Executive Committee, 232
  as niche party, 37, 189
  nomination politics in 2000, 227–33, 267
  organization of, 188–90
  platform of, 177
  prospective evaluations of, 174
  repression of, 78
  social conservatives and, 76, 88, 165
National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), 83
National Campesino Confederation (CNC), Mexico, 75, 104
National Chamber of Transformation Industries, Mexico, 89
National Confederation of Popular Organizations (CNOP), Mexico, 80, 81, 90, 104, 106, 203
National Coordinator of Urban Popular Movements (CONAMUP), Mexico, 204
National Democratic Front (FDN), Mexico, 93, 94, 110, 154
National Economic Plan (NEP), Malaysia, 272–73
National Liberation Movement (MLN), Mexico, 152
National Reconstruction Cardenist Front Party (PFCRN), Mexico, 94
National Revolutionary Party (PNR), Mexico, 75
National Solidarity Program (PRONASOL), Mexico, 94, 106, 203, 212
National Workers' Union (UNT), Mexico, 105
Nationalist Society Party (PSN), Mexico, 221
Nava, Salvador, 235
Needler, Martin, 72
neopanistas, 166. *See* National Action Party (PAN), economic liberals and
New Party (NP), Taiwan, 262
niche parties, 175. *See also* opposition parties; *individual party names*
  definition of, 35
  intensive expansion, 191
  organizational profile, 179
  recruitment style, 182
  voter communication style, 184
Niou, Emerson, 20, 21
nomination theory, 228–29
non-neutral entry models. *See* party competition, spatial theories of; resource theory of single-party dominance

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

O'Donnell, Guillermo, 11
office-seekers. *See* party elites
oil, 76, 90, 307. *See also* PEMEX
OIR-LM. *See* Revolutionary Leftist Organization-Proletarian Line
Olson, Mancur, 122, 304
Olukoshi, Adebayo, 20
one-party regimes, 26, 44, 301
OPEC, 91
Oppenheimer, Andrés, 105
opportunity costs, 60, 120, 131, 133, 137, 148, 155, 183, 259, 297
opposition alliance, Mexico, 219, 220, 223–27
  failure of, 75, 221, 227
  negotiations over, 221, 227
opposition parties. *See also individual party names*
  collective action problem in, 62, 122–24
  coordination failure, 62, 270, 278–81, 308
  defection from dominant party and, 61–62
  form of, 35, 37
  founder's problem, 53, 66–70
  information asymmetries and, 59
  in Italy, 286–88
  in Japan, 278–81
  in Malaysia, 269–71
  in Mexico. *See also* independent left; National Action Party (PAN); Party of the Democratic Revolution (PRD)
    candidates for executive office and, 302
    collective action problem in, 175, 178, 210
    coordination failure between, 7, 9, 147, 210, 300, *See also* opposition alliance
    historical opportunities for development, 73–96
    incentives for centrism, 176
    legality of, 71
    new parties in 1990s, 142
    as niche parties, 6, 71
    opposition alliance. *See* opposition alliance
    organization of, 188–90
    party-building case studies, 187–208
    poverty of, 102, 122, 184
    recruitment into, 182–84. *See* party elites
    repression of, 96–97
    strategy options in 2000, 215–19, 267
  moral authority and, 60, 175
  party-building problems, 11, 59–63
  path-dependency in, 62
  policy motivations of, 52–55
  poverty of, 122, 297
  recruitment into. *See* party elites
  spatial strategy of, 55–57, 60
  in Taiwan, 261
  in United States, 41
  in Western Europe, 41
opposition party elites. *See* party elites
Ordeshook, Peter, 20, 48
Ortiz Pardo, Francisco, 231
Ortiz Pinchetti, Francisco, 231
Ortiz, Francisco, 231, 236, 239
Osborne, Martin, 25, 130
Osei-Hwedie, Bertha, 17, 38
Otake, Hideo, 279

Padgett, Leon, 45
Padierna, Dolores, 190
Page, Benjamin, 243
Page, Scott, 178
Palfrey, Thomas, 25, 303
PAN. *See* National Action Party
Panebianco, Angelo, 37, 124, 129
parallel demonstration of theory, 255
Parás, Pablo, 233
PARM. *See* Authentic Revolutionary Party of Mexico
partisan cleavages. *See also* retrospective voting; voters
  in Italy, 285
  in Japan, 281
  in Malaysia, 270
  in Mexico, 142–46, 215–19

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

in Taiwan, 261
party activists. *See* party elites
party affiliation. *See* party elites
Party Building Index, 179
party competition
adaptive party theory, 177
neutral models, 3, 7, 24–26, 48, 56, 71, 72, 176
non-neutral models, 3, 26–27, 72. *See also* resource theory of single-party dominance
and resource advantages, 303
spatial theories of, 175, 303
Party of the Democratic Revolution (PRD), Mexico, 93. *See also* Cárdenas Solorzano, C.; opposition parties; party elites
activist recruitment practices, 189–90
core constituencies of, 37, 181–82
defectors from PRI and, 152
electoral performance in 1990s, 94
existing descriptions of, 9
expansion of, 38
factions in, 190
family tree, 152
formation of, 94
identifiers with, 177, 215, 223, 233, 248
limits of expansion, 200, 205
membership of, 192–95, 200, 205
moderation of, 165, 166, 168
National Convention of, 141
National Political Councilors, 155
as niche party, 37
nomination politics in 2000, 227–33, 267
organization of, 188–90
platform of, 177
predecessors to, 75–96 *passim*, 150–54, 165, 190
prospective evaluations of, 174
reliance on social movements, 200, 204
repression of, 95. *See also* repression; party elites
party elites (leaders and activists)
activists, definition of, 141
adverse selection into opposition parties, 149
careerism, 298
costs of participation, 43, 120, 133–35, 148, 155–56, 175
dominant party elites' centrism, 148
effects of probability of victory on, 148, 164
in Italy, 288
in Mexico
cooptation of, 183
generational conflicts, 175
party-building preferences, 179–87
perception of core constituencies, 181–82
political associations and, 151
quality of, 183
year of affiliation, 150–55
in Taiwan, 264
message-seekers, 120, 126, 130, 148, 156
affiliation decisions of, 131–37
description of, 125–27
empirics of party affiliation, 160–68
measurement of, 156
party-building preferences of, 179–89
support for opposition alliance, 223–27
utility functions of, 129–30
office-seekers, 120, 148, 156
affiliation decisions of, 131–37
description of, 125–27
empirics of party affiliation, 160–68
measurement of, 156
party-building preferences of, 179–89
support for opposition alliance, 223–27
utility functions of, 127–29
opposition party founders, 124
party affiliation decisions, 59–62. *See also* party elites, costs of participation
abstention from activism, 134

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

party affiliation decisions (*cont.*)
existing theories of, 120–23
formal model of, 119–38
incentives for, 5, 11, 52, 53, 120–24, 128
policy preferences and, 146
party personnel, defintion of, 141
policy preferences of
hypotheses for, 147–48
measurement of, 142–47
office-seekers vs. message-seekers, 125, 148, 157
sincere preferences, 131–35
statistical model of, 148–62
repression of. *See* repression
similarity of opposition candidates and activists, 59
socialization of, 149, 305
utility functions of, 129–30
party financing. *See* campaign finance
party identification. *See* voters
party leaders, 141. *See also* party elites
Party Nationalization Score, 192
party platforms, 144
party-owned businesses, 40, 264, 271, 309
PAS. *See* Islamic Party of Malaysia
Pasquino, Gianfranco, 292
patronage. *See also* dominant party resource advantages; *individual party names*
definition of, 40
effects, compared to electoral fraud, 58
in Italy, 288–94
in Japan, 281–83
in Malaysia, 271–74
marginal effects of by SES, 50
in Mexico, 75–107 *passim*, 111, 114, 173, 211–15, 245, 249
modeling effects on party competition, 47–59
modeling magnitude of, 64–66
modernization and, 50
networks for distribution, 49, 283
origin of, 40–41
in Taiwan, 263–66
theoretical distribution of, 48
turnover and, 41
unavailability to opposition parties, 48
voter response to, 49
PCI. *See* Italian Communist Party
PCM, Mexico. *See* Mexican Communist Party
PDM. *See* Mexican Democratic Party
PEMEX (Petróleos Mexicanos), 90, 101, 221, 237, 240. *See also* oil
PEMEXgate, 113, 114, 214
Pempel, T.J., 10, 15, 16, 33, 258, 276, 281
pendulum theory, 72, 73, 95. *See also* Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI)
People's Action Party (PAP), Singapore, 16
People's Front Party (FEP), Mexico, 84
People's Progressive Party (PPP), Gambia, 16
People's Revolutionary Movement (MRP), Mexico, 152
PFCRN. *See* National Reconstruction Cardenist Front Party
Pierce, Roy, 287
Pignatelli, Andrea, 290
Plaza de Tlatelolco, 83
PMT. *See* Mexican Workers Party
PNR. *See* National Revolutionary Party, Mexico
POCM. *See* Mexican Worker-Peasant Party
Poiré, Alejandro, 176, 233
polarized pluralism, 23, 286
political economy of single-party dominance, 6, 34, 212, 297, 307
political recruitment. *See* party elites
Pomper, Gerald, 124
Popular Defense Committee (CDP), Mexico, 106
Popular Front policy, 77
Popular Socialist Party (PPS), Mexico, 82, 94, 152
Popular Union New Tenochitlán (UPNT), 190

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

populism, Mexico, 74–79
  conservative reaction to, 77–79
  neopopulism, 84–91
pork-barrel politics, 39
poverty-alleviation programs, Mexico, 107
PPS. *See* Popular Socialist Party
PRD. *See* Party of the Democratic Revolution
Prescott, Edward, 25
Preston, Julia, 98, 99, 212, 235, 237
PRI, Mexico. *See* Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI), Mexico
priming of voters, 219, 235, 237
privatization. *See* state-owned enterprises
PRM. *See* Mexican Revolutionary Party
PRONASOL. *See* National Solidarity Program
PRT. *See* Revolutionary Workers Party
Prud'homme, Jean Francois, 183
Przeworski, Adam, 12–14, 16–18, 21, 22, 158, 259, 299, 308
PS. *See* Socialist Party, Senegal
PST. *See* Socialist Workers Party
PT. *See* Workers Party
public bureaucracy, political control of, 290–91, 307, 309. *See also* public sector; state-owned enterprises
  in Japan, 282
  in Malaysia, 272
  in Mexico, 85, 99–104, 213
  in Taiwan, 264
public sector. *See also* public bureaucracy; state-owned enterprises
  in Italy, 290
  in Malaysia, 271
  in Mexico, 85, 92, 212
Punto Crítico, 190
Putnam, Robert, 287, 288
PVEM. *See* Green Party, Mexico

radical rightwing parties, Western Europe, 122, 303
Rahim, Lily, 122
Ramanadham, V.V., 272
Ramírez Saiz, Juan Manuel, 92
rational choice theory, 24–27
rebel's dilemma, 12, 122
Reed, Steven, 284
rentier state, 307
repression, 57–59, 120, 133–35, 148, 155–56. *See also* authoritarian tools
  in dominant party authoritarian regimes, 44–45
  in Malaysia, 269, 272, 275
  in Mexico, 4, 72, 75, 78, 81, 83, 92, 95–97, 231
  in Taiwan, 261
  theoretical role of, 43
resource advantages. *See* dominant party resource advantages; patronage
resource theory of single-party dominance, 5–6, 34–59, 260
  adding authoritarian tools, 57–59
  comparative evidence for, 255–96
  formal model of, 47–59
  founder's problem, 66–70
  generalizability of, 255
  modeling patronage, 64–66
  political economy and, 6, 34, 212, 297, 307
  probability-maximizing party utility functions, 51
resource-poor parties, 303–306
retrospective voting. *See also* partisan cleavages; voters
  in Japan, 278
  in Mexico, 8, 9, 19, 20, 71, 72, 94, 166, 173, 174, 210, 252, 300
  in Senegal, 20
  in Taiwan, 20, 263
  in the United States, 19, 174
  theory of, 19–21, 175
Reveles Vásquez, Francisco, 88
Revolutionary Leftist Organization-Proletarian Line (OIR-LM), Mexico, 152

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Revolutionary National Civic Association (ACNR), Mexico, 83, 190
revolutionary nationalism, Mexico, 73
Revolutionary Popular Union – Emiliano Zapata (UPREZ), Mexico, 204
Revolutionary Teacher's Movement (MRM), Mexico, 152
Revolutionary Workers Party (PRT), Mexico, 84, 86, 92, 93, 190
Reyes Heroles, Jesús, 86
Reyna, José Luis, 4, 45, 72, 299
Richardson, Bradley, 259, 276, 281, 282
Rigger, Shelley, 38, 261, 263, 264, 267, 268
Riker, William, 7, 8, 34, 57, 62, 219, 276, 277, 298
Rimanelli, Marco, 286
Rivera Ríos, Miguel Angel, 82
Rocha Menocal, Alina, 107
Rodríguez Araujo, Octavio, 13, 71, 86
Roeder, Philip, 18
Roemer, Andrés, 99, 100
Roessler, Phillip, 7, 62, 308
Rogozinski, Jacques, 106
Rohde, David, 11, 121
Rokkan, Stein, 18
Romer, Tom, 50
Roniger, Luis, 50, 158
Ross, Michael, 307, 308

Saldierna, Georgina, 124
Salinas de Gortari, Carlos, 7, 93–95, 103, 105, 106, 156, 166, 203, 212, 230, 235
Samuels, David, 302
Sánchez, Marco Aurelio, 9, 190
Sanderson, Steven, 101
Sartori, Giovanni, 10, 15, 16, 19, 23, 42, 259, 260, 285–87
Saucedo, Francisco, 190
Schattschneider, E.E., 124
Schedler, Andreas, 14, 45, 108
Scheiner, Ethan, 61, 276–78, 281–85, 295, 298
Schlesinger, Jacob, 281, 282
Schlesinger, Joseph, 11, 121, 124, 304
Schmitt, Karl, 71, 80–82, 84, 85
Schmitter, Philippe, 11
Schofield, Norman, 15, 62, 276, 277, 286
Schumpeter, Joseph, 14, 307
Scott, Robert, 4, 76, 299
secret budget, Mexico, 111
Secretary of the Interior, Mexico, 83, 86, 109
Secretary of the Treasury and Public Credit (SHCP), Mexico, 100
Segreto, Luciano, 294
selection bias, 16
Semo, Enrique, 81, 92
Sened, Itai, 24, 48
Senegal, 17, 37
Shadlen, Kenneth, 89
Shalev, Michael, 276
SHCP. *See* Secretary of the Treasury and Public Credit
Shefter, Martin, 11, 99, 122, 158, 285
Shepsle, Kenneth, 25
Shirk, David, 9, 78, 79, 87, 88, 165, 166, 220, 230–32, 237, 239, 242
Shugart, Mathew, 23, 61, 266
Shvetsova, Olga, 25
Singapore, 16, 22, 122
Singh, Hari, 38, 270
single-party dominance. *See* dominant parties; dominant party systems
Sirvent, Carlos, 13, 71, 86
Skocpol, Theda, 255
Slivinski, Al, 25, 130
Smith, Benjamin, 22
Smith, Peter H., 72, 99, 299
Snyder, James, 178
Snyder, Richard, 101
social choice, 306
social cleavages theory, 18–19, 71, 72
social movements, 92, 124. *See also* independent left; student protest

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

Socialist Alliance Party (PAS), Mexico, 221
Socialist Party (PS), Senegal, 17, 37
Socialist Workers Party (PST), Mexico, 151
sociological theory of party competition. *See* social cleavages theory
Soka Gakkai, 279
Solid South, 17
Solinger, Dorothy, 45, 122, 264
Somers, Margaret, 255
Soong, James, 267, 268, 275
sottogoverno, 289
Spotts, Frederic, 285, 286, 288, 290–92
Squire, Lyn, 22
state, economic role of, 149, 307. *See also* public bureaucracy; public sector; state-owned enterprises
state-owned enterprises, 6, 33, 40, 295, 307, 309
  in Italy, 289–90, 293, 294
  in Malaysia, 272
  in Mexico, 8, 98, 100, 105, 109, 149, 182
    compared to other countries, 104
    privatization of, 94, 106, 166, 173
    as proxy for resource advantages, 160, 185
    relationship between PRI and, 101
    size of, 85, 100–107, 111, 212
    types of, 101
  in Taiwan, 265
Stokes, Susan, 22, 48, 302, 308
STPRM, 214
strategic voting. *See* voters
student protest, 83, 85, 89. *See also* repression
Sumlinski, Mariusz, 272
Superbarrio Gómez, 199

Taagapera, Rein, 18, 23
Taiwan under the KMT, 16, 38, 122, 255, 257, 258, 261–68, 295, 296, 300, 308
  as dominant party authoritarian regime (DPAR), 261
  as fully closed authoritarian regime, 261
tangentopoli, Italy, 292
Tanzania, 13
Tarrow, Sidney, 10, 124, 276, 277, 285, 287–89
Teh, Yik Koon, 274
TELMEX, 101
TEPJF. *See* Federal Electoral Tribunal
Territorial Movement (MT), Mexico, 106
third parties, United States, 41, 303
Thornton, Laura, 266
threshold of representation, 24
Tien, Hung-mao, 262–64, 267
Tomz, Michael, 220, 247
transitions to democracy
  via elections, 2, 11, 301, 308
  from fully closed authoritarian regimes, 253
Trejo Delabre, Raúl, 110
Trejo, Guillermo, 107
Trinidad and Tobago, 256
Trisecta, 190
Tsebelis, George, 228
Tucker, Robert, 10, 44, 45
Tullock, Gordon, 122, 304
Tunisia, 13
turnover, 41, 98

UMNO. *See* United Malays National Organization
uncommon democracies. *See* dominant party democratic regimes (DPDRs)
Unified Coordinating Committee of Earthquake Victims (CUD), 199
Union for National Order (UNS), Mexico, 78
Union of Mexican Petroleum Workers (STPRM), 113

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-87719-0 - Why Dominant Parties Lose: Mexico's Democratization in Comparative Perspective
Kenneth F. Greene
Index
More information

United Malays National Organization (UMNO), 16, 37, 38, 50, 268, 270, 296, 300, 307. *See also* dominant party systems; dominant party authoritarian regimes (DPARs)
  as catchall party, 269
  repression and, 275
  resource advantages
    control over public bureaucracy, 272
    decline of, 274
    origins of, 271–73
    types of, 271–74
United States, 41
UNS. *See* Union for National Order, Mexico
UNT. *See* National Workers' Union, Mexico

Valenzuela, Arturo, 61, 219
Vallejo, Demetrio, 84
Van Biezen, Ingrid, 193
Van de Walle, Nicolas, 7, 14, 15, 17, 62
Vannucci, Alberto, 285, 291, 294, 295
Vatican II Council, 88
Velázquez, Fidel, 77
Venezuela, 104
Verba, Sidney, 16
Visscher, Michael, 25
vote buying, 40, 75. *See also* patronage
voter dissatisfaction. *See* retrospective voting
voters. *See also* partisan cleavages; retrospective voting
  dealignment of, 19, 21, 173
  in Mexico
    campaign effects, 233, 245, 246, 248
    centrism of, 176
    economic policy opposition voters, 217, 219, 223, 251
    independents, 196, 208, 216, 219, 231, 233, 242
    issue voting, 177, 244–46, 249–50
    party identification. *See* PAN, PRI, PRD
    priorities of, 238
    projection, 243–44
    regime opposition voters, 217, 219, 222, 237
    rigid opposition voters, 217, 219, 223
    voting behavior in 2000, 244–54, 267
  retrospective voting. *See* retrospective voting
  strategic voting, 240, 245, 249, 252, 267

Walesa, Lech, 236
Ware, Alan, 16, 276
Warner, Carolyn, 285, 286, 290
Way, Lucan, 6, 11, 12, 14, 15
Weiner, Robert, 15, 61
Weinert, Richard, 4, 45, 72, 299
Weldon, Jeffrey, 106, 302
White, James, 279
Wieser, Theodor, 285, 286, 288, 290–92
Wilson, James Q., 123, 125, 192
Wittenberg, Jason, 220, 247
Wittman, Donald, 50, 56
Woodall, Brian, 281, 282
Workers Party (PT), Mexico, 106, 221
Wright, Stephen, 24, 48

Xu, Dianqing, 264

Yu, Ching-shin, 264

Zambia, 13
Zarembo, Alan, 83
Zedillo, Ernesto, 98, 107, 236, 252
Zermeño, Sergio, 89
Zimbabwe, 17
Zimbabwe Africa People's Union (ZAPU), 17
Zimbabwe African National Union (ZANU), 17
Zolberg, Aristide, 45
Zuckerman, Alan, 285, 286, 289–91

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org