Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making presents a decision making approach to foreign policy analysis. The benefits of such an approach are its ability to explain not only outcomes of decisions but also the processes that lead to decisions and the decision dynamics. The book includes a wealth of extended real-world case studies and examples of decisions made by leaders of the United States, Israel, New Zealand, Cuba, Iceland, United Kingdom, and others. In addition to coverage of the rational actor model of decision making, levels of analysis, and types of decisions, the book covers alternatives to the rational choice model, the marketing and framing of decisions, cognitive biases and errors, and domestic, cultural, and international influences on decision making in international affairs. If we are to understand decision making, we need to understand how information processing and various biases affect decision making. Existing textbooks do not present such an explicit approach to foreign policy decision making, American foreign policy, and comparative foreign policy.

Alex Mintz is Dean of the Lauder School of Government, Diplomacy and Strategy at IDC-Herzliya, Israel. He is editor-in-chief of the journal Political Psychology, former co-editor of Foreign Policy Analysis, and former associate editor of the Journal of Conflict Resolution. Mintz is the 2005 recipient of the Distinguished Scholar Award for distinguished contribution to the field from the Foreign Policy Analysis section of the International Studies Association (ISA). He has published, edited, or co-edited nine books and is the author of multiple articles in top journals, such as American Political Science Review and American Journal of Political Science.

Karl DeRouen Jr. is Professor of Political Science, Director of the International Studies Program, and a College of Arts and Science Leadership Board Faculty Fellow (2008–2011) at the University of Alabama. His work has appeared in the Journal of Politics, International Organization, Journal of Peace Research, Journal of Conflict Resolution, British Journal of Political Science, and a number of other journals. He is currently working on Civil War related projects funded by the Folke Bernadotte Academy of Sweden and the Marsden Fund of New Zealand (with Jacob Bercovitch and several others) and a National Science Foundation funded project on negotiated settlements (with Douglas Gibler). He is also co-authoring the textbook Puzzles in International Relations (with Alex Mintz and Glen Biglaiser, Cambridge University Press, forthcoming).
Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making

Alex Mintz
IDC-Herzliya, Israel

Karl DeRouen Jr.
University of Alabama
Dedicated to Yael and Haidee
# Contents

**Acknowledgments**  

**PART ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1 Why Study Foreign Policy from a Decision-Making Perspective? .......................... 3  
   Foreign Policy Decision Making  3  
   Why Study Foreign Policy Decision Making?  5  
   The Rational and Cognitive Schools  7  
   Comparative Foreign Policy  9  
   Limitations of the Decision-Making Approach  10  
   Plan of the Book  10

**PART TWO: THE DECISION ENVIRONMENT**

2 Types of Decisions and Levels of Analysis in Foreign Policy Decision Making ............ 15  
   Types of Decisions  15  
   Unilateral, Negotiated, Structured, and Unstructured Decisions  16  
   Holistic, Heuristic and Wholistic Decisions  17  
   Trade-offs in Decision Making  17  
   The Level of Analysis in Foreign Policy Decision Making  18  
   Individual-Level Decisions  18  
   Group-level Decisions  19  
   Coalition Decision Making  20  
   Case Study: Israel’s Foreign Policy Making by Coalition  21  
   Another Example of Coalition Decision Making: Iceland’s Cod War, 1971–1974  23  
   The Decision Environment  25  
   Time Constraints  25  
   Information Constraints  26
3 Biases in Decision Making ........................................... 38

Case Study: The U.S. Decision to Invade Iraq In 2003 – the
Effect of Cognitive Biases on Foreign Policy Making 41
Groupthink 44
  Groupthink in American Foreign Policy 45
Beyond Groupthink 47
  Groupthink and Multiple Advocacy 48
Polythink 49
Case Study: Polythink at Camp David, 2000 50
  Did Polythink Lead to the Collapse of the Camp David Talks? 52
Group Polarization Effect 53
Conclusion 54

PART THREE: MODELS OF DECISION MAKING

4 The Rational Actor Model ........................................... 57

The Rational Actor Model 57
Case Study: New Zealand’s Defiance of the United States
  and ANZUS 59
The Expected Utility Model of War Decision Making 60
Opportunity Costs 61
Game-Theoretic Models 62
Prisoner’s Dilemma 64
Contents ix

5 Alternatives to the Rational Actor Model .......................... 68

Bounded Rationality and the Cybernetic Model 68
Bureaucratic Politics 70
Organizational Politics 73
Prospect Theory 75
Sunk Costs 77
Integrating the Rational and Cognitive Models: Poliheuristic Theory 78
What is Poliheuristic Decision Making? 79
Case Study: The Decision not to Invade Iraq in 1991 – An Application of Various Decision-Making Models to a Foreign Policy Event 81
Background 81
A Rational Actor Interpretation 82
A Cybernetic Explanation 83
A Prospect Theory Explanation 84
A Poliheuristic Explanation 85
An Organizational Politics Explanation 86
A Bureaucratic Politics Model 87
Applied Decision Analysis 87
A Simple Example: The Cuban Missile Crisis and the Decision Matrix 88
ADA: A Closer Look 89
Application to Decisions of Leaders of Terrorist Organizations: Bin Laden and al-Qaeda 92
Conclusion 93

PART FOUR: DETERMINANTS OF FOREIGN POLICY DECISION MAKING

6 Psychological Factors Affecting Foreign Policy Decisions .... 97

Psychological Factors 97
Cognitive Consistency 98
Evoked Set 99
Emotions 99
Images 101
Beliefs, Belief Systems, and Schema 101
Operational Code Analysis 102
Analogies and Learning 103
The “Munich Analogy” and Use of Analogies in U.S. Foreign Policy

Case Study: Analogies in U.S.-Cuban Relations, 1954–1967

The Analogies Provided by Guatemala, 1954

The Bay of Pigs

The Cuban *Foco* and Africa, 1965

Bolivia, 1966–1967

Leaders’ Personality

Leadership Style

Types of Leaders: Crusader, Strategic, Pragmatic, and Opportunistic

Cognitive Mapping

Conclusion

7 International, Domestic, and Cultural Factors Influencing Foreign Policy Decision Making

International Factors

Deterrence and Arms Races

Strategic Surprise

Alliances

Regime Type of the Adversary

Domestic Factors

Diversionary Tactics

Economic Interests and Foreign Policy Decisions

The Role of Public Opinion

Electoral Cycles

The Effect of Domestic and International Factors on Foreign Policy Decisions: Two-Level Games

Case Study: The Domestic and International Underpinnings of Decision Making – the Falklands War, 1982

Diversionary Behavior

Deterrence and Misperception

Electoral Impact

Decisions on the Use of Economic Instruments of Foreign Policy

The Decision to Use Sanctions as an Instrument of Foreign Policy

The Decision to Use Aid in Foreign Policy

Negotiation and Mediation Decisions

Decisions on Foreign Policy Substitutability

Gender Differences in Decision Making

Cultural Differences in Decision Making

Conclusion
### PART FIVE: MARKETING FOREIGN POLICY

8 Framing, Marketing, and Media Effects on Foreign Policy

#### Decision Making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marketing Effects</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framing Effects</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Frame as a Political Lens</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who is Framing Whom? Framing the Public</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framing beyond the Borders</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Group Framing and Manipulating</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Effects</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Study: The Marketing of the U.S. Invasion of Grenada, 1983</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Key Decision Makers</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Marketing of the Decision</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Decision Process</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Could the Process Actually Have Been a Compensatory One?</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART SIX: CONCLUSION

9 Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What Does it All Mean?: A Case Study of the U.S. Decision to Invade Iraq in 2003</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix: Foreign Policy Simulation and Exercise

References

Index
Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Yaakov Agam Chair in Public Diplomacy at the IDC-Herzliya, Israel and the College of Arts and Sciences Leadership Board Faculty Fellowship at the University of Alabama. We would also like to thank Alex Fiedler and Jenna Lea for research assistance. Ed Parsons, Acquisitions Editor at Cambridge University Press, was wonderful to work with, and we appreciated his professionalism and encouragement.